# AFT1: a mediator of iron regulated transcriptional control in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

## Yuko Yamaguchi-Iwai, Andrew Dancis and Richard D.Klausner<sup>1</sup>

Cell Biology and Metabolism Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

Communicated by F.Grosveld

Using a scheme for selecting mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae with abnormalities of iron metabolism, we have identified a gene, AFT1, that mediates the control of iron uptake. AFT1 encodes a 78 kDa protein with a highly basic amino terminal domain and a glutaminerich C-terminal domain, reminiscent of transcriptional activators. The protein also contains an amino terminal and a C-terminal region with 10% His residues. A dominant mutant allele of this gene, termed AFT1-1<sup>up</sup>, results in high levels of ferric reductase and ferrous iron uptake that are not repressed by exogenous iron. The increased iron uptake is associated with enhanced susceptibility to iron toxicity. These effects may be explained by the failure of iron to repress transcription of FRE1, FRE2 and FET3. FRE1 and FRE2 encode plasma membrane ferric reductases, obligatory for ferric iron assimilation, and FET3 encodes a copperdependent membrane-associated oxidase required for ferrous iron uptake. Conversely, a strain with interruption of the AFT1 gene manifests low ferric reductase and ferrous iron uptake and is susceptible to iron deprivation, because of deficient expression of FRE1 and negligible expression of FRE2 and FET3. Thus, AFT1 functions to activate transcription of target genes in response to iron deprivation and thereby plays a central role in iron homeostasis.

Key words: ferric reductase/iron/transcription/transport

## Introduction

Iron is required by virtually all organisms for essential functions, often involving oxidations and reductions. The capacity to participate in redox reactions probably underlies the toxicity of iron, which may interact with oxygen to generate free radicals able to damage vital cellular constituents. The uptake and metabolism of iron must therefore be highly regulated. In all organisms studied, the levels of iron uptake are tightly coupled to the levels of available iron and the needs of the cell for the metal. In complex eukaryotes, a large amount of work has focused on the controlled expression of genes involved in the uptake of iron from transferrin via the transferrin receptor, the storage and sequestration of iron in ferritin, and the utilization of iron in the production of heme. The critical target genes in these organisms are controlled posttranscriptionally, either by changes in the level of mRNA translation or the rate of mRNA degradation (reviewed in Klausner *et al.*, 1993).

Organisms as diverse as prokaryotes, simple eukaryotes and mammals all share a requirement for the regulation of iron metabolism. Bacteria and some fungi synthesize and secrete siderophores, small molecules capable of chelating and thereby solubilizing external ferric iron. Complexes of ferric iron bound to siderophores are then transported into the cell (Bagg and Neilands, 1987a). Siderophore-producing organisms regulate the rate of siderophore synthesis through the transcriptional control of iron-regulated genes. In Escherichia coli, this regulation is mediated by the Fur protein (Schaffer et al., 1985), which acts as a simple repressor of transcription, binding to its target DNA sequences, referred to as the iron box, in the presence of the co-repressor, ferrous iron (Bagg and Neilands, 1987b). More recently, genetic studies of the fungus Ustilago maydis (Mei et al., 1993) have led to the identification and characterization of the Urbs1 regulator, which represses transcription in the presence of iron, analogous to the bacterial Fur protein (Voisard et al., 1993). Interestingly, the Urbs1 protein is quite similar to the transcription factor GATA-1, which functions as an activator of the transcription of erythroid specific genes in vertebrates (Tsai et al., 1989).

Many eukaryotes, including budding and fission yeast, do not synthesize siderophores. Rather, a growing body of data points to a distinct strategy for iron uptake employed by these organisms. This strategy relies on the initial solubilization of ferric iron by an externally oriented ferric reductase followed by the transport of ferrous iron across the cell membrane via saturable, high affinity ferrous transporters. Ferric reductase activities have been described in bacteria (Lovley et al., 1989), plants (Chaney et al., 1972), budding (Lesuisse et al., 1987) and fission yeasts (Roman et al., 1993) and in animal cells (Raja et al., 1992). In the gut of humans, a ferric reductase activity has been localized to the luminal membrane of enteroctyes responsible for absorption of iron into the body (Raja et al., 1992). However, to date, genetic work on ferric reductases has been restricted to yeast (Dancis et al., 1990, 1992; Roman et al., 1993; Georgatsou and Alexandraki, 1994). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the expression of two unlinked genes, FRE1 and FRE2 is required for the external ferric reductase activity. The primary amino acid sequences of these genes suggests that they represent related members of plasma membrane flavocytochromes (Segal and Abo, 1993). Expression of the ferric reductase genes is the rate limiting step in the assimilation of ferric iron, as shown by examination of interruption/deletion mutants. These mutants exhibit impaired growth on ferric iron-poor medium (Georgatsou

#### Y.Yamaguchi-Iwai, A.Dancis and R.D.Klausner

and Alexandraki, 1994) and low ferric iron uptake (Dancis *et al.*, 1992). Ferric reductase activity is regulated by iron availability, and this effect probably occurs at the level of transcription of *FRE1* and *FRE2*. In the case of the *FRE1* gene, the sequences of the 5' flanking region were analyzed further and a segment which included a binding site for the general transcription factor RAP1 followed by a repeated element, TTTTTGCTCAYC, was identified which was capable of conferring iron-regulated expression to a reporter gene. Analysis of the data led to the conclusion that the iron regulation is probably mediated by an activator of transcription induced by iron deprivation (Dancis *et al.*, 1992).

Recently, it has been shown that copper uptake is required for high affinity ferrous transport into yeast cells (Dancis et al., 1994a). Two genes, CTR1 encoding a protein required for copper uptake, and FET3, encoding a copper-dependent oxidase, are both needed for iron assimilation (Askwith et al., 1994). The mechanism by which the activity of the FET3 oxidase is coupled to ferrous transport is not clear, and the structural components of the ferrous transporter have not been identified at the molecular level. However, it has been shown that the ferrous transport step of iron assimilation is regulated by the availability of iron. This in part reflects the ability of iron to control the level of FET3 transcription (Askwith et al., 1994). In order to gain further insight into the regulation of this iron uptake system, we have utilized a genetic approach and identified an activator of ferrous transport, termed AFT1, which activates both the ferric reductases, FET3 and ferrous transport in response to iron starvation through transcriptional control.

## **Results**

## Identification of an iron regulatory mutant

A selection scheme for mutants of S.cerevisiae with abnormalities of iron metabolism was designed using the properties of the FRE1 promoter (Dancis et al., 1994a). As previously demonstrated, the promoter region of the FRE1 gene mediates a wide range of changes in transcription in response to changes in available iron (Dancis et al., 1992), with iron excess resulting in repression and iron deprivation resulting in activation of transcription. The regulatory region of the FRE1 gene was fused to the structural region for HIS3, an enzyme required for the biosynthesis of histidine. When this construct was expressed in a his3<sup>-</sup> strain, this strain exhibited irondependent auxotrophy for histidine, such that no growth occurred on iron-rich plates lacking histidine. As reported previously, 73 spontaneous mutants were isolated under these conditions (Dancis et al., 1994a).

To determine whether these mutant phenotypes were dominant or recessive, each of the mutants was crossed with a wild type parental strain of the opposite mating type and the diploids were analyzed for histidine prototrophy on iron-rich plates. The mutants were also examined for high affinity ferrous iron uptake. Twelve of 73 independent mutants were classified as dominant, based on evaluation of the His<sup>+</sup> phenotype of the diploid. Ferrous uptake was measured in the 12 dominant mutants and three (M2, M26 and MA18) exhibited enhanced uptake. We considered that the histidine prototrophy in these strains might result



**Fig. 1.** Semidominance of the *AFT1-1<sup>up</sup>* allele. Haploid strains 61 (WT) and the M2 (M2) and diploid strains Y4 (WT×WT) and Y17 (M2×WT) were evaluated for histidine auxotrophy on low iron (-Fe) or iron-rich (+Fe) plates. The solid medium consisted of modified defined medium with 50 mM MES buffer pH 6.0, copper sulfate 0.7  $\mu$ M, iron sulfate 50  $\mu$ M with 1 mM of the iron chelator ferrozine (-Fe) or without ferrozine (+Fe). The strains were transferred from a fresh YPD plate to a -Fe plate, grown for several days and then transferred to the test plates (-Fe, +Fe) and incubated for 4 days at 30°C prior to photographing.

from mutation of a regulatory protein affecting the ability of assimilated iron to repress the FRE1 promoter, and so this group was selected for further study. All 12 tetrads obtained by crossing either the M2 or the M26 mutants with the MA18 mutant and then sporulating the resulting haploids showed prototrophy for histidine on iron plates (mutant phenotype), indicating that the mutations in M2, M26 and MA18 were probably allelic. The M2 mutant was chosen for further characterization. The features of the histidine prototrophy phenotype of the M2 mutant are shown in Figure 1. Four strains were evaluated for histidine prototrophy on iron limited (-Fe) and iron-rich (+Fe)plates: the haploid parental strain 61 (WT), the haploid mutant M2 (M2), the control diploid Y17 (WT×WT) and the diploid formed by crossing M2 with the related strain of the opposite mating type Y4 (M2 $\times$ WT). As the mutant phenotype was dominant, the growth on the iron-rich plates was apparent for the M2 haploid and the Y4  $(M2 \times WT)$  diploid. However, the mutant phenotype was more pronounced for the M2 haploid than the Y4  $(M2 \times WT)$  diploid, indicating an effect of the wild type allele (i.e. semi-dominance of the mutant allele). The Y4  $(M2 \times WT)$  diploid was sporulated. When the tetrads were evaluated, a  $2^+:2^-$  segregation was observed, consistent with a single locus being responsible for the mutant phenotype. Ferrous uptake measured in the spore clones genetically co-segregated with the histidine prototrophy phenotype. Because this mutation resulted in high levels of ferrous iron transport, we referred to the responsible gene as AFT1 for activator of ferrous transport.

## Isolation of the AFT1 gene

Since the mutant phenotype of the M2 strain behaved in semi-dominant fashion, the gene responsible could not be cloned by complementation with a genomic library derived from a wild type strain. Instead, genomic DNA from the M2 mutant was isolated, and a library with an average insert size of 10 kb was created in the YCp50 shuttle vector. This library was used to transform a strain containing the indicator *FRE1-HIS3* fusion and ~10<sup>5</sup> transformants of this strain were evaluated for the mutant phenotype of histidine prototrophy on iron plates. A single transformant was identified that displayed the mutant phenotype and a plasmid with a 9.4 kb insert was rescued (Figure 2A). The responsible region of DNA within the cloned insert





## В

-515																															taac	cat	ttta	aco	caac	rtta	reat	actt	atati	a	
-480	act	gtt	gga	tga	aaa	gggt	aat	caa	agag	aaa	cgg	aaa	cgg	cct	cct	cat	cgt	taa	get	tcat	ca	gtat	ttc	attt	ctc	ccc	ttt	cta	ctc	cat	caco	ata	ctc	пап	act	atal	tc	ttca	gatt		
-360	tca	ago	ag	aaad	cag	aatt	cgc	ata	atta	cat	aac	ttt	cac	ago	ttg	aag	tat	taaa	acci	gcta	aca	gta	cac	aaco	tca	gat	aga	ata	tag	aaa	agag	aac	caa	ttc	cat			gatt	taat		
-240	ttc	ttt	aca	gtt	aci	aaaa	agt	att	acc	tat	tat	cct	ctt	tto	ggt	gtc	att	gao	aaa	acct	ct	tage	cga	caga	aac	tee	cta	aca		0.80	taas	atc	cat	ann	aac			gace	.caac	a	
-120	att	atc	at	aata	ata	atto	Igga	gto	gata	gad	cgad	gat	ttca	tac	tat	acc	gta	agat	tt	tta	ata	caa	atc	taac	ant	tat	act	att	+++									toti	gaca	a	
1	ATG	GAA	GGG	TTC	AAS	rccg	GCT	GAC	ATA	GAA	CAT	GCG	TCA	CCG	ATT	AAT	TCA	TCT	GAO	AGO	CA	TTC	ATC	CTCC	TTT	GTA	TAT	GCT	CTA	ccc		ACT	acaa	ACT	CAN	T A T		CTCL	acque	m	
	М	E	G	F	N	P	A	D	I	E	H	A	S	P	т	N	S	S	Г	) 5		S	g	S	F	v	v	3	T	P	v	011	3		700.	V	U N	U	N		4.0
121	AAT	GAG	GGT	CGT	FGC	AAGT	GCA	AGT	TGGA	AAT			GCA	GTO	-	TCT		- 2 - 2		0.202		C > >	mom	C & & X	E DOC		-			P		5	~	5	B	I	v	v	N		40
	N	R	G	R	A	s	λ	s	G	N	P	λ	A	v	P	c	P		M	m	101	UAA N		CAAI	AGC	ACA	E	TCC	CIC	AAT	ATTO	SAT	CAG	CAT	GTT	CAT	ACC	TCAP	ICATC	G	
241	CCG	ACG	GAL	ACT	PAT	Taga	CAT	ATT	TCAT	CAT	• •	CA			1 2 2 7	C 3 3		-	m m m		10.1	mom			5	1		5	L	N	1	D	2	H	v	E	т	S	TS	5	80
	p	T	P	m		000	E.			E.	1010	- GAZ	AAG		AAT		AAC	AA		GATT	I CA	TCT	GGA	TCCA	GTA	CCC	AAC	TTT	GAA	GAT	AAGT	rcc	GAT.	ATT.	AAG	CCT	rgg	TTGC		A G	
361	A.T.T.	- 	-	1 C C I	-			-			v	-		L.	N	2	N	N	L	1	I:	L	D	P	V	P	N	F	E	D	K	S	D	I	K	P	W	L	• F	K I	120
501	T 1			D		n G G A		GAN	ACTT.	GIG	ATA	GAN	AGG	TCC	GAC	GCA	TTT		GT	TGTC	TT	CAA	GTG	TAAA	GCT	GCT	AAA	AGG	GGA	AGG	AACO	JCG.	AGA.	AGG.	AAA	AGAI	AAA	GATA	AGCC	C	
491	1		1	P		G	1	B	L	V mag	1	B	R	5	D	A	F	K	v	v	P	K	C	K	A	A	K	R	G	R	N	A	R	R	K	R	ĸ	D	K F	P	160
401	AAA	GGA	CAU	GAC	CA	GAA	GAC	GAC	JAAA	TCC	AAG	ATO	CAAT	GAI	GAC	GAA	TTA	AGAZ	TAT	TGCC	GAG	TCC	TTC	TAAT	GCC	ACA	GTA	ACC	AAT	GGG	CCTC	CAA	ACA	TCG	CCC	GAT	CAA	ACAI	CCTC	C	
	K	G	•	D	E	R	D	R	K	S	ĸ	I	N	D	D	E	L	E	Y	A	S	P	S	N	A	т	v	Т	N	G	P	Q	т	S	P	D	Q	т	S S	s i	200
60 T	ATA	AAG	CCI	AAA	GAA.	AAAA	AGA	TGI	TGTA	TCC	GAGG	TTT	TAAT	AAC	TGT	CCG	TTT	CAGA	GT	ACGA	AGC	TAC	TTA	TTCC	TTA	AAG	AGG	AAA	AGA	TGG	AGCI	ATT	GTT	GTA.	ATG	GAC	AAT	AACC	ATTC	A	
	I	K	P	K	K	K	R	C	v	S	R	F	N	N	C	P	F	R	v	R	A	Т	Y	S	L	K	R	K	R	W	S	I	V	V	М	D	N	N	H S	s i	240
721	CAT	CAG	CTI	AAA	GTT	FAAC	CCT	GAT	TCC	GAA	GAG	TAC	CAAA	AAA	TTC	AAA	GAA	AAA	TT	AAGA	AAA	GGA	TAA	TGAC	GTA	GAT	GCA	ATC	AAG	AAA	TTCC	GAC	GAA	TTG	GAA	TAC	AGA	ACTI	TGGC	CC	
	H	Q	L	K	F	N	P	D	S	E	E	Y	ĸ	K	F	K	E	K	L	R	K	D	N	D	V	D	Α	I	ĸ	ĸ	F	D	E	L	E	Y	R	т	LI	A	280
841	AAT	TTG	CCC	ATT	CCI	ACA	GCT	ACA	ATC	CCC	TGT	GAT	TGT	GGT	TTA	ACA	AAT	GAA	ATA	ACAA	AG	TTTC	CAA	TGTC	GTA	TTG	ccc	ACT	AAC	AGT	AATG	TT	ACT	TCAT	TCAC	GCAT	rccr	TCTT	CAAC	т	
	N	L	P	I	P	т	Α	т	I	P	C	D	C	G	L	т	N	E	I	Q	S	F	N	v	v	L	P	т	N	S	N	v	т	S	S	A	S	S	S 1	г	320
961	GTA	TCG	TCO	ATA	ATC	CCTT	GAT	TCA	ATCG	AAT	GCA	TCT	AAA	AGG	CCA	TGC	TTA	ACCO	TCT	TGTA	AA	TAA	CAC	CGGI	AGT	ATC	AAT	ACC	AAT	AAC	GTA	AGG	AAA	CCG	AAA	AGCO	AG	TGTA	AGAA	T	
	v	s	S	I	S	L	D	S	S	N	A	S	K	R	P	C	L	P	S	v	N	N	т	G	S	Т	N	т	N	N	v	R	ĸ	P	к	S	0	C	K I	N	360
1081	AAA	GAC	ACA	CTC	TT	AAAA	AGA	ACO	ACC	ATG	CAG	AAC	TTT	CTC	ACA	ACT	AAA	TCA	AGO	GCTC	CG	TAA	GAC	CGGT	ACG	CCA	ACA	TCT	TCG	CAA	CACI	TCA	TCT	ACA	GC A	TTT	EC A	GGAT		τ T	500
	K	D	т	L	L	K	R	т	т	м	0	N	F	L	т	т	ĸ	S	R	T.	R	к	т	G	T	p	T	s	S	0	E	S	g	T	A		g	G	v	T .	400
1201	GAT	GAT	CCT	TTC	AAT	TTG	AAT	GAA	ATC	TTG	CCA	CTG	CCG	GCA	TCC	GAT	TTC	AAG	CTA	AAAC	ACT	TGTZ	AC	AAAT	TTG	AAC	CAA	100	GAC	<b>Ш</b> т.т.т.	ACGA	AAC	3 TT TT 1	- -	ACC		n c c c	0000	ATCC		
	D	D	P	F	N	L	N	E	Т	L	p	ī.	P	A	S	D	F	ĸ	Τ.	N	T	v	T	N	т.	N	P	т.	D	P	T	M	T		T	v v		P	u i	D	440
1321	CAT	AGC	GGG	TCT	ACO	CAT	CCA	AGA	CAA	GTC	TTC	GAC	CAA	TTG	GAC	GAT	TGT	TCC	TCT	גידגי	CTO		-	TCCA	TTA	ACT	ACA	220	200	2 2 7	3 3 T C	2 2 2 1	-	22.24	-	CACI	C.N.	C A T C			440
	H	S	G	S	т	H	p	R	0	v	P	D		т.	D	D	C	g	g	т	T.	F	c	D	T	m	T	M	m	N	M	, AA.	P	PAR	C	PAGI	CAU	D	D I		4 9 0
1441	GTT	CAT	TCT	CCA	TAT	TTG	AAC	TCA	GAG	GC A	GAT	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		CAA	2.00	C T T	AGT	A GT	100	P.C.C.C		ACT	20.2	mcan	CAC				100	CAM	CACC		E NO	а С р. с.	C M m			0.001			400
	v	H	S	p	v	T.	N	S	R	A	n		c		T	T. 1	c .	c		D	D	NGI(		ICA:	D	D	N	GAA	m	E	AGO	D AA	AACI		SAT	A 1 1 /		GATA	GATT		5.2.0
1561	GCT	AAT	AGT	TCC	CA	AGAA	CAT	2 2 7	CAC	TAT	2.00	-	C 3 3		-	200	CAC			F	F					P D D D	200	5 	1		2		N	2	D	1	1	D	R P		520
	2	N	g	g		P	F	M	P	v	T	T		1 A I		m	E.		.GA.	1 GC 1	. GC	TAAG		CAAI	AAC	ATC	000	GTT	COA	AAC	AACA	AT	TCA	CAP	reg	CTAR	AAT.	ACTO	AGCA	ΥT.	
1681	AAC	2000	TCT	CAT		0000	220	man	Cmm		202	C 2 2		1				5		A	A	1	14	N	N	1	G	, , , ,	P	N	N	N	5	E.	S	L	N	т	•		560
1001	M	v	0	D	CIC.	0000	N	ICA C			AGA		GAA	GCT	TTA	GTT	GGC	AGC	TCI	TCA	ACI	AAAA	ATO	CTTC	GACO	GAA	TTG	AAA	TTT	GTA		ATO	GGCC	CAG	ACC	3GT1	CTO	CAAC	ATCC	т	
1 0 0 1	200.2	~~~	5	D	L	G	N	5	L		R	2	E	A	г	v	G	S	S	S	т	K	I	F	D	E	L	K	F	v	0	N	G	P	H	G	S	0	H F	P	500
1901	ATA	GAT	111	CAA	CA.	GTT	GAC	CAI	CGT	CAT	CTC	AGC	TCT	AĄT	GAA	CCT	CAA	GTA	CGI	ATCA	CA	TCAR	ATA	TGGI	CCG	CAA	CAG	CAG	CCA	CCG	CAGO	CAA	TTG	CAA	FAT	CACO	CAA	AATC	AGCC	C	
	1	D	F	•	F	V	D	н	R	171	L	S	S	N	E	P	Q	v	R	S	H	Q	Y	G	P	Q	Q	Q	P	P	Q	Q	L	Q	Y	H	Q	N	• F	P	640
1921	CAC	GAC	GGC	CAT	AA	CAC	GAA	CAG	CAC	CAA	ACA	GTA	CAA	AAG	GAT	ATG	CAA	ACG	CAT	FGAA	TC	GCT	AGA	AATA	ATG	GGA	AAC	ACA	TTA	TTG	GAAC	JAG	TTC	AAA	GAC	ATTA	AAA	ATGO	TGAA	C	
-	H	D	G	H	N	H	E	Q	H	Q	т	v	Q	K	D	М	Q	т	H	E	S	L	E	I	М	G	N	т	L	L	E	E	F	K	D	I	ĸ	М	v	N	680
2041	GGC	GAG	TTO	AAG	TAT	TGTG	AAG	CCI	AGAA	GAI	Itag	cat	ata	gat	gaa	atc	aaa	ctt	aga	acgt	at	ctdt	cg	tcca	ttt	tca	tta	cat	ttt	cat	cttt	tet	tca	tta	att	atat	tac	tgaa	icata	at	
	G	E	L	K	Y	v	K	P	E	D	*																													3	690
2161	acg	cat	cta	tac	aat	ttt	gac	gac	ttt	tta	aag	aag	aaa	aag	aaa	aca																									

Fig. 2. Structure of the AFT1 genomic locus and cDNA sequence. (A) Restriction enzyme map of the 9.4 kb mutant genomic insert from plasmid pT14. The map of a wild type genomic fragment was identical. The positions of the AFT1 open reading frame and that of the adjacent genes (HSF1 and RPB9) are indicated as arrows. The HindIII site shown as H was used to introduce a 4 bp insertional mutation. This HindIII site is not a unique site in this fragment. Ba, BamHI; Bg, Bg/II; E, EcoRI; H, HindIII; P, PstI; S, SaII. (B) DNA sequence of the AFT1 cDNA: a wild type cDNA library was screened and a complete cDNA clone was identified and sequenced. The untranslated regions are depicted in lower case and the ORF is depicted in upper case. The translated protein sequence is depicted by the single letter amino acid code. A single discrepancy between the wild type sequence and the mutant genomic sequence (G to T transversion) was found at position 872 as shown by underlining. The wild type sequence TGT encodes a cysteine at residue 291, and the mutant sequence TTT encodes a phenylalanine. The corresponding codon is boxed and the predicted amino acid is underlined. A basic regiou is boxed, and histidine and glutamine residues are highlighted.

was determined by restriction fragment subcloning. A fragment of ~3 kb was found to confer the M2 phenotype and was subjected to sequencing. This revealed a 2070 base single open reading frame (ORF; Figure 2B). To establish that the ORF identified was responsible for the phenotype associated with expression of the gene in the tested cells, we introduced a frameshift mutation at codon 575 by a 4 bp insertion at a *Hind*III site in order to disrupt the reading frame. This abolished any phenotype of the introduced gene.

To identify the wild type *AFT1* gene, we used a fragment derived from within the ORF of the mutant genomic clone to probe both cDNA and wild type genomic libraries. A cDNA clone containing the entire predicted ORF and several wild type genomic clones were identified. The *AFT1* gene was fully sequenced from both cDNA and genomic clones and no discrepancies were noted. Furthermore these sequences completely matched the sequence of the ORF of the mutant genomic clone except for a single G to T missense mutation noted in the mutant sequence at base 892 (Figure 2B). The effect of this mutation would be to change the residue at position 291 from a cysteine to a phenylalanine. Transformation with the wild type genomic clone was incapable of conferring the mutant phenotype of histidine prototrophy on iron-rich plates, whereas transformation with the mutant clone which differed only by the single point mutation conferred the mutant phenotype. Therefore this point mutation was probably the cause of the mutant phenotype, and the allele was named  $AFT1-1^{up}$ .

Using the cDNA to probe for the AFTI transcript, a single mRNA species of ~2.8 kb was observed (Figure 3). This transcript was of sufficient length to encode the ORF predicted from the sequence of the genomic clones. The insert of the cDNA clone was of similar size and thus likely to represent a full length clone. The DNA



**Fig. 3.** Expression of *AFT1* mRNA. Total RNA was prepared from strains 61 (WT), M2 (*AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup>) and Y18 (*aft1*) grown with (+) or without (-) added iron. The strains were grown to a high density in defined medium without iron or copper, and then diluted into modified defined medium and grown for an additional 4 h. This medium contained 50 mM MES pH 6.0, copper sulfate 40  $\mu$ M, the iron chelator BPS 100  $\mu$ M without (-) or with (+) 40  $\mu$ M ferrous sulfate. Five  $\mu$ g of each RNA were analyzed by Northern blot hybridization. A 1.4 kb *Bam*HI-*Hind*III fragment from within the *AFT1* open reading frame was used as a probe.

sequence of this clone included a 515 nucleotide 5' UTR, the entire ORF and a 134 base 3' UTR preceding the poly(A) tail. The long 5' UTR contained two small ORFs, suggesting the possibility that the expression of the AFT1 protein might be translationally regulated. The AFT1 transcript was of moderate abundance, and its level was not affected by the iron status of the cell. Moreover, the M2 mutant expressed normal levels of full length AFT1 transcript.

The 3' portion of the ORF (372 of 690 codons) represented a sequence that was highly homologous to a recently reported gene, RCS1, originally cloned on the basis of immunoreactivity of the gene product to an antibody raised against a 33 kDa cell wall mannoprotein. This proved to be a fortuitous cross-reactivity. The authors of this study have named the gene RCS1 because of the effects of mutation and disruption on cell size (Gil et al., 1991). While there are many sequence differences between our ORF and the corresponding region of RCS1, we believe that these are due to polymorphisms and/or sequencing errors in the previous study. The genomic maps of RCS1 and its surrounding DNA and our clone are identical and we believe that the reported RCS1 gene is an incomplete fragment of the actual gene. Sequencing of the genomic insert on either side of the AFT1 gene identified two known genes, locating the AFT1 gene to chromosome VII. Located 5' of AFT1 is the gene encoding the heat shock transcription factor, HSF1 (Wiederrecht et al., 1988) while 3' to AFT1 is the gene encoding the RNA polymerase subunit RPB9 (Woychik et al., 1991; Figure 2A).

No other gene or protein sequence in the database revealed significant homology to AFTI. The reading frame predicts a protein with a molecular mass of 78 kDa. The protein is quite hydrophilic with no predicted membranespanning domains or hydrophobic leader sequence. There are, however, several remarkable details about the predicted protein sequence. The N-terminal region is quite basic with clusters of basic residues reminiscent of either nuclear localization signals or DNA binding proteins (or both). While the predicted pI of the entire protein is 6.88, the calculated pI of the region between residues 140 and 280 is 10.64. The C-terminal region is quite rich in glutamines which comprise >12% of the region between residues 500 and 690 and 25% of the region between residues 600 and 660. Glutamine-rich domains have been found in a number of transcriptional activators (Mitchell and Tjian, 1989). No previously defined metal binding or DNA binding motifs were identified. However, there is a distinctive distribution of histidines throughout the ORF and these might participate in metal binding. At the amino terminus, between residues 1 and 100, 10% of the amino acids are histidine. This drops to 1% over the next 300 amino acids. At the C terminus (residues 500–690), the histidine content rises again to 10.5%.

## Effect of AFT1 on ferric reductase and ferrous iron uptake

In order to characterize the effects of AFT1 expression, we compared ferric reductase activity in haploid strains differing only at the AFT1 locus that either contain a single copy of wild type AFT1 (WT), a strain containing a single copy of the mutant M2 derived gene  $(AFTI-l^{up})$ and a strain containing an interruption of the AFT1 gene (aft1, strain Y18). In the wild type strain, ferric reductase activity was induced under conditions of iron deprivation and repressed by iron addition. Under the conditions of this experiment, the strain containing  $AFTI-I^{up}$  exhibited high reductase activity which was repressed to much less extent by addition of iron to the growth medium than seen in the WT strain. The partial repression observed likely reflects a leaky phenotype of AFT1-1<sup>up</sup>. Finally, in the aft1 strain little surface reductase activity was measured, regardless of the iron present in the medium (Figure 4A).

The uptake of ferric iron is dependent on the expression of surface ferric reductase activity. However, the high affinity specific uptake of ferrous iron can be regulated separately under some conditions (Eide et al., 1992). Mutants affecting ferric reductase and not ferrous iron uptake (Dancis et al., 1990) and vice versa (Askwith et al., 1994) have been identified. The genetic co-segregation of the histidine prototrophy phenotype on iron-rich media with the elevated ferrous uptake phenotype in the meiotic products of the cross of the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> mutant with a parental strain suggested that both ferric reductase and ferrous uptake abnormalities would be affected by the AFT1- $l^{up}$  mutant allele. This is shown in Figure 4B. Two additional points can be made here. First, not only was ferrous iron uptake enhanced in the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> strain, but the uptake was dysregulated. Growth in iron-rich medium failed appropriately to repress the level of ferrous iron uptake. Secondly, in the aft1 strain there was little, if any, ferrous iron uptake. Thus, the expression of AFT1 was required for the expression of surface ferric reductase activity and ferrous iron transport, and the dominant mutant resulted in constitutively high reductase and ferrous transport.

## Regulation of ferric reductase and FET3 gene expression by AFT1

*FRE1* and *FRE2* encode proteins that are likely to be plasma membrane flavocytochromes responsible for the external ferric reductase activity of yeast. We therefore decided to test whether the effects of AFT1 on reductase activity could be mediated through effects on transcription of these genes. As shown in Figure 5, in the *AFT1*(WT) strain, transcript levels for *FRE1* and *FRE2* were repressed Ferric reductase (nmol/10<sup>6</sup>cells/hr)

40

30

20

10

0



B



**Fig. 4.** Effect of AFT1 on ferric reductase activity and ferrous iron uptake. Strains 61 (WT), M2 (*AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup>), and Y18 (*aft1*) were grown with (+Fe) or without (-Fe) iron, as for Figure 3, prior to assay of ferric reductase activity (**A**) or ferrous iron uptake (**B**). Standard deviation is indiated by error bars (n = 3).

by growth in iron-replete medium. In the  $AFT1-1^{up}$  strain, mRNA levels were higher and incompletely repressed by iron and in the *aft1* loss-of-function mutant there was little detectable message for these genes. Growth of the aft1 interruption mutant in iron replete or iron limited media had no effect on this result, although copper deprivation was capable of inducing *FRE1* expression (not shown) in this strain. Actin mRNA levels were not affected by the iron manipulations or by the different *AFT1* alleles (not shown). The results of these assays were qualitatively similar to the reductase assays (compare Figures 4A and 5), consistent with the hypothesis that the reductase



**Fig. 5.** Effect of AFT1 on *FRE1*, *FRE2* and *FET3* mRNA: strains 61 (WT), M2 (*AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup>) and Y18 (*aft1*) were grown as in Figure 3 in iron depleted (-) or iron-rich (+) media prior isolation of total RNA. RNAs from each sample were analyzed by Northern blot, using probes for FET3 [2 kb *Hind*III–*Bam*HI fragment (Askwith *et al.*, 1994)], FRE1 [1.5 kb *Eco*RI–*Bst*EII fragment (Dancis *et al.*, 1992)], and FRE2 [0.5 kb fragment obtained by PCR amplification of nt 1–500 of the FRE2 sequence (Georgatsou and Alexandraki, 1994) from genomic DNA].

phenotypes resulted from effects of AFT1 on the transcription of *FRE1* and *FRE2*.

The *FET3* gene encodes a multi-copper oxidase that is required for ferrous iron uptake and its expression is iron regulated (Askwith *et al.*, 1994). We therefore asked whether the effects of AFT1 on ferrous uptake would be reflected in changes of *FET3* transcript levels. The pattern of regulation of *FET3* expression resembled the pattern of ferrous uptake regulation and was similar to the pattern of *FRE1* and *FRE2* expression: high transcript levels with incomplete iron repression were seen in the *AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup> strain and negligible transcript levels were found in the aft1 strain.

To establish that AFT1 influences the expression of these target genes at the level of transcription, the 5' flanking region of the FET3 gene was fused to the reporter B-galactosidase gene and this construct was introduced into the strains carrying different AFT1 alleles (Figure 6A). As expected, the AFT1(WT) strain displayed ironregulated  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. In the Aft1-1<sup>up</sup> strain, enzyme activity was induced to high levels and was not repressed by iron, while in the *aft1* strain,  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity was low and could not be induced by iron deprivation. We also examined the  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity using a construct containing the  $\beta$ -galactosidase gene driven by heterologous promoter, CYC1, with the upstream iron responsive region of the FRE1 gene (Dancis et al., 1992) and confirmed that AFT1 acts via transcriptional regulation (Figure 6B).

### Consequences of AFT1 mediated dysregulation of iron uptake

If AFT1 plays a central role in the homeostatic control of iron uptake, we predicted that abnormal AFT1 activity should make cells vulnerable to either iron starvation or iron toxicity. To evaluate this, we compared the growth of several strains as a function of iron levels in the medium





Fig. 6. Effect of AFT1 on the  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity of FET3-lacZ (A) and FRE1-lacZ (B). Strains 61 (WT), M2 (AFT1-1<sup>up</sup>) and Y18 (aft1) were transformed with plasmid pT22 containing a fusion of the 5' flanking region of FET3 to a  $\beta$ -galactosidase reporter (A) or with plasmid pGC6-9 (Dancis et al., 1992) containing the upstream region of FRE1 with a  $\beta$ -galactosidase reporter driven by CYC1 promoter (B). The transformants were grown on plates designed to maintain selection for the plasmid and to vary the available iron concentration. The plates consisted of agarose as the solid support and modified defined medium including MES buffer 50 mM pH 6.0, complete media supplements -uracil, copper sulfate 0.7 µM, and no added iron (-) or iron 10  $\mu$ M (+). The transformants were incubated for 12 h on (-) or (+) iron plates prior to growth in liquid medium of the same composition for an additional 6 h. β-galactosidase activity measured on whole cells is shown for the culture with iron (+Fe) or without iron (-Fe).

(Figure 7). To maintain iron in solution over a wide range of concentrations, a ferrozine—iron chelate was added at different concentrations to low iron medium (Stookey, 1970). In the medium without added iron, the wild type



**Fig. 7.** Iron-dependent growth. Strains 61 (WT;  $\textcircledline)$ , M2 (*AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup>;  $\bigcirc$ ), Y18 (*aft1*;  $\triangle$ ), Y19 (*fet3*;  $\square$ ), and Y20 (*AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup>, *fet3*;  $\blacksquare$ ) were inoculated into modified defined medium at a density at OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.005. Various concentrations of iron were added as a complex of ferrous iron and ferrozine in order to keep the iron in solution. The strains were cultured in a microtiter plate dish for 14 h prior to measurement of the OD<sub>600</sub>. The cell number was calculated from a calibration curve.

AFT1 strain grew at the maximum rate. The rate of growth of the *fet3* mutant (Y19) was slightly retarded, despite the fact that the measured high affinity ferrous uptake was neglible in this strain (Askwith *et al.*, 1994). The ability of cells to grow under these conditions depends on the carryover of iron from the medium in which the strains were maintained prior to the start of the experiment. By contrast, when subjected to the same mild degree of iron deprivation, the *aft1* mutant failed to grow. For both of these mutants, the growth defect could be completely corrected by iron supplementation. Despite the comparable levels of measured ferrous uptake, the sensitivity to iron deprivation was more profound in the aft1 strain. This suggests the possible existence of additional AFT1 target genes affecting iron utilization or low affinity iron uptake.

While iron excess is known to have toxic effects on cells, in practice we have not previously been able to achieve such effects in wild-type strains of S.cerevisiae, even when high concentrations of iron were added to the growth medium. In the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> strain, however, growth was inhibited by high concentrations of iron in the medium. We considered that this effect was most likely due to bypass of the normal homeostatic mechanisms controlling cellular iron uptake and/or detoxification. Another explanation for the iron toxic phenotype was considered. Could the elevated and non-repressed ferric reductase activity be functioning to increase toxic free radical formation at the cell surface? To distinguish between these possibilities, a fet3 interruption was introduced in the  $AFT1-1^{up}$  strain and the sensitivity to iron toxicity evaluated. The double AFT1-1<sup>up</sup>, fet3 mutant (Y20) still exhibited constitutive ferric reductase activity but high affinity ferrous uptake was absent (not shown). As can be seen in Figure 7, the iron toxicity attributed to the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> mutation was abrogated in this strain by the second site mutation in FET3. Therefore, the toxicity of iron for the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> strain probably relates to uncontrolled cellular iron uptake.

## Discussion

In this paper, we report the identification of the AFT1 gene of S.cerevisiae. AFT1 encodes a mediator of irondependent gene expression in this organism and is the first such protein to be described. Effects of iron on gene expression in this organism have been shown for three genes: FRE1 (Dancis et al., 1992), FRE2 (Georgatsou and Alexandraki, 1994) and FET3 (Askwith et al., 1994). The expression of each of these genes is induced by starvation for iron, and this control has been shown to occur through changes in gene transcription. Consistent with this type of regulation, the three iron-controlled genes are involved in the uptake of extracellular iron. FRE1 and FRE2 constitute the structural elements of the surface reductases that are required to solubilize extracellular ferric chelates in order to provide the ferrous iron that the cell actually assimilates. The FET3 protein is a membrane-associated copper-dependent oxidase that is required for high affinity ferrous uptake, and therefore acts downstream of the ferric reductases in the process of iron assimilation. In this study we analyzed the effects of the expression of a dominant mutant allele of AFT1 and of interruption of the AFT1 gene on expression of these iron-regulated genes of S.cerevisiae. The AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> mutant was identified because of failure of the FRE1 promoter to respond to high levels of exogenous iron. Likewise, in this mutant, the FET3 promoter is constitutively active, and cannot be repressed by iron addition to the growth medium. These effects could be due to loss-of-function mutation of an irondependent transcriptional repressor or gain-of-function mutation of an iron-inhibited transcriptional activator. Examination of the phenotypes of the aft1 interruption strain leads us to favor the latter interpretation. In the absence of a functional AFT1 gene, the transcripts for FRE1, FRE2 and FET3 are not detected. The effects of AFT1 on its target genes are probably mediated through the promoters of these genes. This was shown by the study of chimeric constructs fusing the FRE1 promoter to the HIS3 reporter and the FET3 promoter to the  $\beta$ galactosidase reporter. An interpretation consistent with our results is that the AFT1 protein is functioning as an essential transcriptional activator for the target genes. Iron regulation is accomplished by iron inhibition of the activating function of AFT1.

Supporting the function of Aft1p as a transcription factor are the sequence similarities to transcriptional activators, including a basic region, perhaps involved in DNA recognition (Frankel and Kim, 1991), and glutaminerich domains which have been shown to function in transciptional activation in a number of protein (Mitchell and Tjian, 1989). Unfortunately, Aft1p does not share enough similarity to any known transcriptional activator to allow us confidence in predicting more about its function. Alternatively, it may function as part of a signal transduction system that senses iron and perhaps other environmental stimuli and acts upstream of the target promoters.

Iron may interact directly with Aft1p and inhibit its ability to activate transcription. Two features of the amino acid sequence are of interest here. The histidine-rich domains, comprising up to 10% of residues in two discrete regions of the predicted protein, might coordinate iron (Arnold and Haymore, 1991). The Fur protein of bacteria (Schaffer *et al.*, 1985) and Urbs1 protein of *U.maydis* (Voisard *et al.*, 1993) both contain histidine-rich domains that are thought to interact with iron. These proteins function as repressors of siderophore biosynthesis in the presence of iron.

The point mutation present in the dominant AFT1-1up mutant allele is intriguing because the residue that is altered is located in a Cys-X-Cys triplet that might be postulated to interact with iron. Cys-X-Cys motifs may ligate iron as part of an Fe-S cluster. A mechanism of gene regulation involving an Fe-S cluster has been well characterized in complex eukaryotes. The iron sensor protein IRP1 contains a reversibly assembled [4Fe-4S] cluster ligated to the protein via three cysteinyl residues (Kennedy et al., 1992; Haile et al., 1992). In the presence of iron, the assembled cluster stabilizes a protein conformation that prevents the protein from interacting with sequences in the target RNAs. In this way, the IRP1 exerts post-transcriptional control on the expression of genes involved in iron uptake, sequestration and utilization. Mutations of the Fe-S cluster ligating cysteine in human IRP1 result in a constitutively active RNA binding protein. no longer sensitive to iron levels (Phillpot et al., 1994). An analogous mechanism for the AFT1-mediated irondependent transcriptional regulation can be proposed, according to which, in the presence of available iron, the Aft1p might bind iron or assemble an Fe-S cluster, which inhibits its ability to act as a transcriptional activator. In the absence of iron, the metal or the cluster is not present and the protein is able to function in activating transcription of the target genes. The Cys to Phe mutation resulting in the Aft1-1<sup>up</sup> allele, by preventing the ligation of the metal or the Fe-S cluster, might mimic the effects of iron deprivation, accounting for the constitutive activation seen in this mutant.

The AFT1 iron regulator has numerous parallels with the recently reported MAC1 regulator of copper controlled gene transcription (Jungmann *et al.*, 1993). This gene also appears to encode a metal-inhibited transcriptional activator, in this case specific for copper. It exhibits sequence homology to ACE1 and AMT1, genes coding for copper fist proteins that are transcriptional activators of metallothionein genes (Furst *et al.*, 1988; Zou and Thiele,1991). In addition to providing parallel examples of such metal inhibited activators, AFT1 and MAC1 share at least one target gene, *FRE1*. Thus, iron via AFT1 and copper via MAC1 regulate overlapping but non-identical sets of genes. It will be particularly interesting to identify the precise DNA targets of these two factors and to thereby explain the dual control of *FRE1*.

After iron has been taken up by a eukaryotic cell it must be incorporated into proteins that serve a wide array of functions, including oxygen transport, electron transfer and oxidation/reduction. Not much is known regarding the intracellular handling of iron, but it is likely that specific gene products are involved. For example, in order for heme synthesis to be completed, iron in the ferrous form must be delivered to the ferrochelatase active sites in the mitochondrial interior. The mechanism by which this process occurs and the proteins involved have not been defined. A protein such as AFT1 which mediates the homeostatic control of cellular iron uptake might also

#### Y.Yamaguchi-Iwai, A.Dancis and R.D.Klausner

Table I. Yeast strains	Table I. Yeast strains						
Strain name	Short genotype	Complete genotype					
Haploid							
61 <sup>a</sup>	AFTI FET3	MATa trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4–101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3::URA3					
66 <sup>b</sup>	AFT1 FET3	MATa trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3::LEU2					
81 <sup>a</sup>	AFTI FET3	MATa ino1-13 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3::URA3					
M2	AFT1-1 <sup>up</sup> FET3	MATa. trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3::URA3 AFT1-1 <sup>up</sup>					
Y18	aft1 FET3	MATa. trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3::URA3 aft1::TRP1					
Y19	ÅFT1 fet3	MATa. trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 fet3::URA3					
Y20	AFT1-1 <sup>up</sup> fet3	MATo. trp1-63 leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 AFT1-1 <sup>up</sup> fet3::URA3					
Diploid							
Y4 (M2×81)	AFTI-I <sup>up</sup> /AFTI	MAT <b>a</b> /α leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3:URA3 AFT1-1 <sup>up</sup> /AFT1					
Y17 (61×81)	AFT1/AFT1	MATa/a leu2-3,112 gcn4-101 his3-609 FRE1-HIS3:URA3 AFT1/AFT1					

<sup>a</sup>Dancis et al. (1994a); <sup>b</sup>Dancis et al. (1994b); all other strains are from this study

control intracellular iron transport. The targets of AFT1 regulation might include proteins involved in the poorly characterized processes of iron movement within the cell and iron delivery to enzymatic pools. An observation that supports this possibility is the comparison of isogenic mutant yeast strains with loss of function mutation of FET3, and loss of function mutation of AFT1. In the former case, high affinity ferrous uptake is completely and specifically abrogated, leading to a growth defect of this strain in media lacking iron. However, the aft1 mutant strain manifests an external iron requirement that exceeds the requirement of the *fet3* mutant. Perhaps the delivery of iron to intracellular locations for the incorporation into proteins is impaired in the aft1 mutant, and the high medium iron concentration overcomes this defect by recruiting a non-specific intracellular transport system. In any case, the possibility that the AFT1 regulator affects intracellular iron utilization makes the identification of additional targets of this gene of particular interest.

In the *AFT1-1*<sup>up</sup> mutant on the other hand, the homeostatic control of iron uptake is bypassed, and iron uptake continues in excess of cellular needs. As a result, this mutant manifests toxic effects when grown in iron-rich medium. The study of this mutant should shed light on the mechanisms by which excess iron damages cells and the mechanisms activated to defend against this toxicity. In summary, the identification of a sensor/regulator of iron mediated transcriptional control should provide the opportunity to study the mechanisms whereby this eukaryote maintains the homeostasis of this essential but toxic element.

## Materials and methods

## Cloning of the AFT1-1<sup>up</sup> and AFT1 genes

A library was constructed from genomic DNA prepared from the M2 strain. The DNA was digested with Sau3AI, and DNA fragments >5 kb were isolated by sucrose density gradient fractionation and ligated into the BamHI site of the centromere-based YCp50 vector. Strain 66 was transformed with the M2 genomic library and transformants were initially selected for uracil prototrophy. The Ura<sup>+</sup> transformants were then transferred by velveteen replica to iron-rich plates without histidine and a colony appearing after 3 days was selected. Plasmid DNA (pT14) was rescued from the selected colony and analyzed further. A BamHI-HindIII fragment from within the AFT1 ORF was used to probe wild type, genomic (Rose et al., 1987) and cDNA (Liu et al., 1992) libraries according to standard colony hybridization procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989).

#### Plasmid constructions

For disruption of AFTI, the plasmid pT20 was made as follows: the BamHI-PstI genomic fragment of pT14 was subcloned into the vector BluescriptII SK<sup>+</sup> (Stratagene). A 0.9 kb fragment containing the TRPI gene was obtained by digesting pRS414 with BspMII and SspI and inserted in to the unique *Hind*III site of AFTI.

For disruption of *FET3*, the plasmid pT21 was made as follows. A genomic fragment including the *FET3* gene and flanked by *XbaI* and *HindIII* from plasmid pDS8 (Askwith *et al.*, 1994) was subcloned into BluescriptII SK<sup>+</sup>. The *URA3* gene flanked by *Bam*HI sites was inserted into the corresponding unique *Bam*HI site of *FET3* as described (Askwith *et al.*, 1994). The modified genomic fragment was linearized and used to transform yeast to uracil prototrophy.

For FET3-lacZ fusion, the plasmid pT22 was made by fusing 0.9 kb of the *FET3* 5' flanking region to the coding region of the bacterial  $\beta$ -galactosidase gene in the high copy number vector YEGal4 (Dancis et al., 1994b). The sequence of the fusion at the *Bam*HI site between the two genes was directly determined to be tgaccaacggaatgaacat<u>GGATC-</u><u>C</u>CGTC, where the *FET3* sequence is shown in lower case, the *lacZ* sequence is shown in upper case and the *Bam*HI site is underlined.

#### Yeast strains and growth conditions

The strains of *S.cerevisiae* used in this study are listed in Table I. Strain Y18 was created by transforming strain 61 with the *Bam*HI-*Pst*I fragment of pT20. The tryptophan prototrophs were selected and checked for the presence of the interruption of the *AFT1* locus by Southern blot. Strain Y19 was made by growing strain 61 on plates containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA, Fluka) to select for loss of the *FRE1*-*HIS3* fusion gene which was integrated at the *URA3* locus. The uracil auxotrophic strain was then transformed with pT21. A similar method was used to create strain Y20. M2 was treated with FOA and transformed with the fragment from pT21. Interruption of the *FET3* gene in Y19 and Y20 was confirmed by Southern blot. The diploid Y4 was made by crossing M2 and 81, and the diploid Y17 was made by crossing 61 and 81.

The defined media were designed to study the effect of varying iron concentration. This medium consisted of 2% glucose, and MES buffer 50 mM pH 6.0. These components were treated with Chelex-100 resin to remove metal contamination. Yeast nitrogen base was added to the medium as described (Dancis *et al.*, 1994a) omitting iron and copper. Copper was added back in concentrations sufficient to allow maximal growth, and iron availability was varied. This was accomplished by the addition of various concentrations of iron or by the use of the iron (II) chelators ferrozine (Stookey, 1970) or bathophenanthrolene disulfonate (BPS; Bell *et al.*, 1991).

Strain construction, mating, sporulation and tetrad analysis followed standard protocols (Sherman et al., 1989).

### Assays

Radioactive iron uptake and ferric reductase assays were carried out essentially as described (Dancis *et al.*, 1990). Cells in log phase growth were harvested and resuspended in ice-cold assay buffer (5% glucose, 50 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.5) For ferrous uptake, cells were incubated with radioactive iron ( $^{55}$ Fe; 37–50 mCi/mg total iron; Amersham) in the presence of 1 mM ascorbate at 30°C, and filtered through a glass fiber filter, and the retained radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation

counting. Ferric reductase activity was assayed with BPS and ferric chloride. Absorbance at 520 nm was measured after pelleting the cells.

 $\beta$ -galactosidase was assayed in transformants of plasmid pT22 by permeabilizing whole cells with chloroform–SDS and incubating with 0.4 mg/ml of *o*-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -galactopyranoside (ONPG) in 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 50 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at 30°C as described previously (Guarente, 1983). The reaction was terminated by adding sodium carbonate and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 420 nm. Activity was normalized to cell density (OD<sub>600</sub>).

#### DNA procedures and RNA procedures

Plasmid DNA preparation, recombinant DNA methods, DNA sequencing, Southern blot analysis, and fragment amplification by PCR were performed by standard techniques (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). Sequence analysis and homology searches were accomplished by using the GCG software package (Devereux *et al.*, 1984).

Total RNA from each strain was isolated by the hot phenol method (Kohrer and Domdey, 1991), and subjected to electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing formaldehyde, transferred to nylon membranes, and hybridized with <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe at 42°C in Hybrisol II (Oncor). Hybridized membranes were washed twice with 2× SSC and 0.1% SDS at 42°C, then twice with 0.2× SSC and 0.1% SDS at 65°C and exposed to X-ray film (Kodak).

## Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Gisela Storz for comments on the manuscripts and Maria Diglio for technical assistance.

## References

- Arnold, F. and Haymore, B.L. (1991) Science, 252, 1796-1797.
- Askwith, C., Eide, D., VanHo, A., Bernard, P.S., Li, L., Davis-Kaplan, S., Sipe, D.M. and Kaplan, J. (1994) *Cell*, **76**, 403–410.
- Bagg, A. and Neilands, J.B. (1987a) Microbiol. Rev., 51, 509-518.
- Bagg, A. and Neilands, J.B. (1987b) Biochemistry, 26, 5471-5477.
- Bell,P.F., Chen,Y., Potts,W.E., Chaney,R.L. and Angle,J.S. (1991) Biol. Trace Element Res., 30, 125-144.
- Chaney, R.L., Brown, J.C. and Tiffin, L.O. (1972) Plant Physiol., 50, 208-213.
- Dancis, A., Klausner, R.D., Hinnebusch, A.G. and Barriocanal, J.G. (1990) Mol. Cell. Biol., 10, 2294–2301.
- Dancis, A., Roman, D.G., Anderson, G.J., Hinnebusch, A.G. and Klausner, R.D. (1992) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 89, 3869–3873.
- Dancis, A., Yuan, D.A., Haile, D., Askwith, C., Eide, D., Moehle, C., Kaplan, J. and Klausner, R.D. (1994a) Cell, 76, 393-402.
- Dancis, A., Haile, D., Yuan, D.S. and Klausner, R.D. (1994b) J. Biol. Chem., 269, 25660-25667.
- Devereux, J., Haeberli, P. and Smithies, O. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res., 12, 387–395.
- Eide, D., Davis-Kaplan, S., Jordan, I., Sipe, D. and Kaplan, J. (1992) J. Biol. Chem., 267, 20774–20781.
- Frankel, A.D. and Kim, P.S. (1991) Cell, 65, 717-719.
- Furst, P., Hu, S., Hackett, R. and Hamer, D. (1988) Cell, 48, 1035-1046.
- Georgatsou, E. and Alexandraki, D. (1994) Mol. Cell. Biol., 14, 3065–3073.
- Gil, R., Zueco, J., Setandreu, R. and Herrero, E. (1991) Yeast, 7, 1-14.
- Guarente, L. (1983) Methods Enzymol., 101, 181-191.
- Haile, D.J., Rouault, T.A., Harford, J.B., Kennedy, M.C., Blondin, G.A., Beinert, H. and Klausner, R.D. (1992) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 89, 11735–11739.
- Jungmann, J., Hans-Albert, R., Lee, J., Romeo, A., Hassett, R., Kosman, D. and Jentsch, S. (1993) *EMBO J.*, **12**, 5051–5056.
- Kennedy,M.C., Mende-Mueller,L., Blondin,G.A. and Beinert,H. (1992) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 89, 11730-11734.
- Klausner, R.D., Rouault, T.A. and Harford, J.B. (1993) Cell, 72, 19-28.
- Kohrer, K. and Domdey, H. (1991) Methods Enzymol., 194, 398-405.
- Lesuisse, E., Raguzzi, F. and Crichton, R.R. (1987) J. Gen. Microbiol., 133, 3229-3236.
- Liu, H., Krizek, J. and Bretscher, A. (1992) Genetics, 132, 665-673.
- Lovley, D.R., Baedecker, M.J., Lonergan, D.J., Cozzarelli, I.M.,
- Phillips,E.J.P. and Siegel,D.I. (1989) *Nature*, **339**, 297–299. Mei,B., Budde,A.D. and Leong,S.A. (1993) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **90**, 903–907.
- Mitchell, P.J. and Tjian, R. (1989) Science, 245, 371-378

- Phillpot,C.C., Klausner,R.D. and Rouault,T.A. (1994) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 91, 7321–7325.
- Raja,K.B., Simpson,R.J. and Peters,T.J. (1992) Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1135, 141-146.
- Roman, D., G., Dancis, A., Anderson, G.J. and Klausner, R.D. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol., 13, 4342–4350.
- Rose, D.M., Novick, P., Thomas, J.H., Botstein, D. and Fink, G.R. (1987) Gene, 60, 237-243.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Segal, A.W. and Abo, A. (1993) Trends Biochem. Sci., 18, 43-47.
- Schaffer, S., Hantke, K. and Braun, V. (1985) Mol. Gen. Genet., 200, 110-113.
- Sherman, F., Fink, G.R. and Hicks, J.B. (1989) Laboratory Course Manual for Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Stookey, L.L. (1970) Anal. Chem., 42,779-781.
- Tsai,S.-F., Martin,D.I.K., Zon,L.I., D'Andrea,A.D., Wong,G.G. and Orkin,S.H. (1989) *Nature*, 339, 446–451.
- Voisard,C., Wang,J., McEvoy,J.L., Xu,P. and Leong,S.A. (1993) Mol. Cell. Biol., 13, 7091–7100.
- Wiederrecht, G., Seto, D. and Parker, C.S. (1988) Cell, 54, 841-853.
- Woychik, N.A., Lane, W.S. and Young, R.A. (1991) J. Biol. Chem., 266, 19053–19055.

Zhou, P. and Thiele, D.J. (1991) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 88, 6112-6116.

Received on November 3, 1994; revised on January 2, 1995

## Note added in proof

The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the EMBL database under accession number Z48004.