



Published in final edited form as:

*Science*. 2010 August 27; 329(5995): 1012–1014. doi:10.1126/science.329.5995.1012.

## Dietary Restriction: Standing Up for Sirtuins

JOSEPH A. BAUR<sup>1</sup>, DANICA CHEN<sup>2</sup>, EDUARDO N. CHINI<sup>3</sup>, KATRIN CHUA<sup>4</sup>, HAIM Y. COHEN<sup>5</sup>, RAFAEL DE CABO<sup>6</sup>, CHUXIA DENG<sup>7</sup>, STEFANIE DIMMELER<sup>8</sup>, DAVID GIUS<sup>9</sup>, LEONARD P. GUARENTE<sup>10,\*</sup>, STEPHEN L. HELFAND<sup>11</sup>, SHIN-ICHIRO IMAI<sup>12</sup>, HIROSHI ITOH<sup>13</sup>, TAKASHI KADOWAKI<sup>14</sup>, DAISUKE KOYA<sup>15</sup>, CHRISTIAAN LEEUWENBURGH<sup>16</sup>, MICHAEL MCBURNEY<sup>17</sup>, YO-ICHI NABESHIMA<sup>18</sup>, CHRISTIAN NERI<sup>19</sup>, PHILIPP OBERDOERFFER<sup>20</sup>, RICHARD G. PESTELL<sup>21</sup>, BLANKA ROGINA<sup>22</sup>, JUNICHI SADOSHIMA<sup>23</sup>, VITTORIO SARTORELLI<sup>24</sup>, MANUEL SERRANO<sup>25</sup>, DAVID A. SINCLAIR<sup>26</sup>, CLEMENS STEEGBORN<sup>27</sup>, MARC TATAR<sup>28,\*</sup>, HEIDI A. TISSENBAUM<sup>29</sup>, QIANG TONG<sup>30</sup>, KAZUO TSUBOTA<sup>31</sup>, ALEJANDRO VAQUERO<sup>32</sup>, and ERIC VERDIN<sup>33</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nutritional Science and Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720–3104, USA

<sup>3</sup>Anesthesia Research, St. Mary's Hospital, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305, USA

<sup>5</sup>The Mina and Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel

<sup>6</sup>Laboratory of Experimental Gerontology, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA

<sup>7</sup>Genetics of Development and Disease Branch, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases/National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

<sup>8</sup>Molecular Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine III, J. W. Goethe University, 60325 Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology and Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Cell Biology and Biochemistry, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

---

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. leng@mit.edu (L.P.G.); marc\_tatar@brown.edu (M.T.).

L.P.G. and D.A.S. are co-chairs, and S.I. and E.V. are members of the scientific advisory board for Sirtris, a GSK company. D.A.S. owns shares in GSK. E.N.C. has a sponsored research agreement with Sirtris/GSK. R.d.C. has a cooperative research and development agreement with Sirtris/GSK. All other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

<sup>13</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Nephrology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>14</sup>Department of Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, The University of Tokyo, 160-8582 Tokyo, Japan

<sup>15</sup>Diabetes and Endocrinology, Kanazawa Medical University, Uchinada, Ishikawa 920-0293, Japan

<sup>16</sup>Department of Aging and Geriatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

<sup>17</sup>Department of Medicine and Biochemistry, Ottawa Health Research Institute, Ottawa, ON K1H 8L6, Canada

<sup>18</sup>Institute of Biomedical Research and Innovation Foundation for Biomedical Research and Innovation, 2-2 Minatojima-Minamimachi Chuo-ku Kobe 650-0047 Japan

<sup>19</sup>INSERM, Unit 894, Laboratory of Neuronal Cell Biology and Pathology, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>20</sup>Mouse Cancer Genetics Program, National Cancer Institute/National Institutes of Health, Frederick, MD 21702, USA

<sup>21</sup>Department of Cancer Biology and Medical Oncology, Kimmel Cancer Center, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

<sup>22</sup>Department of Genetics and Developmental Biology, University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT 06030, USA

<sup>23</sup>Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ 07103, USA

<sup>24</sup>Laboratory of Muscle Stem Cells and Gene Regulation, National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

<sup>25</sup>Spanish National Cancer Research Center (CNIO), Madrid, Spain

<sup>26</sup>Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

<sup>27</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Bayreuth, Germany

<sup>28</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

<sup>29</sup>Program in Gene Function and Expression, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

<sup>30</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

<sup>31</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>32</sup>Cancer Epigenetics and Biology Program (PEBC), ICREA, and IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, 08907, Spain

<sup>33</sup>Gladstone Institute of Virology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

We believe that L. Fontana, L. Partridge, and V. D. Longo should have included a discussion of sirtuins in their Review “Extending healthy life span—From yeast to humans” (16 April, p. 321). We also believe that some of the references used are misleading.

The authors state that the purpose of their Review is to “consider the role of nutrient-sensing signaling pathways in mediating the beneficial effects of dietary restriction.” Yet there was no mention of the sirtuins, a family of critically important nutrient-sensing proteins that promote health span from yeast to mammals, as shown by more than 1000 peer-reviewed publications from labs around the world. The authors state that “[i]t is unlikely that a single, linear pathway mediates the effects of dietary restriction in any organism,” and we agree. Indeed, the aging field now recognizes that healthy life span is under the influence of several nutrient-sensing pathways, and there is at least as much evidence for the involvement of sirtuins in the dietary restriction response as for any of the pathways discussed in the Review (1).

Numerous independent studies show that dietary restriction does not extend life span when sirtuins are deleted. This result has been shown in multiple organisms, from yeast to flies and even in mice (2). Moreover, deleting SIRT1, SIRT3, SIRT4, or SIRT5 abrogates various physiological aspects of dietary restriction and fasting, including longevity (3). SIRT1 activity in mice increases during dietary restriction, and enforced SIRT1 activity results in a dietary restriction–like physiology and protection from many of the same degenerative diseases that are protected by dietary restriction in mice, including cancer, neurodegeneration, inflammatory disorders, metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (4). In humans, there is also evidence that sirtuins may be involved in mediating the response to dietary restriction and increasing health span. For example, SIRT1 levels increase in humans practicing dietary restriction (5), and there are strong associations between alleles that increase SIRT1 expression and increased metabolic rate, as well as protection from type 2 diabetes (6).



Collectively, these studies provide strong support for a central role of sirtuins, as well as other nutrient-sensing proteins, as mediators of the effects of dietary restriction and the extension of healthy life span.

We also believe that the Review fails to assign due credit for major discoveries in the aging field, and not just from the sirtuin field. In some cases, credit is incorrectly attributed. For instance, the ablation of *Drosophila* germ line as it affects insulin-like peptides (dlps) and

life span was performed by Flatt *et al.* (7). In another instance, data is selectively used to support the view that insulin signaling plays a role in dietary restriction, which is the opposite of what the original paper shows (8).

The Review shows dietary restriction working through insulin signaling in nematodes and flies, both of which are controversial. Studies indicate that daf-16/FoxO is not required for life-span extension by dietary restriction in nematodes (9) or in flies (8). Published data further demonstrate that dietary restriction robustly extends fly life span even when RNAi has suppressed diet-associated changes in insulin-like peptides.

## References

1. Finkel T, et al. *Nature*. 2009; 460:587. [PubMed: 19641587]
2. Boily G, et al. *PLoS ONE*. 2008; 3:e1759. [PubMed: 18335035]
3. Imai S, Guarente L. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2010; 31:212. [PubMed: 20226541]
4. Haigis MC, Sinclair DA. *Annu Rev Pathol*. 2010; 5:253. [PubMed: 20078221]
5. Civitarese AE, et al. *PLoS Med*. 2007; 4:e76. [PubMed: 17341128]
6. Lagouge M, et al. *Cell*. 2006; 127:1109. [PubMed: 17112576]
7. Flatt T, et al. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:6368. [PubMed: 18434551]
8. Min KJ, et al. *Aging Cell*. 2008; 7:199. [PubMed: 18221413]
9. Lakowski B, Hekimi S. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998; 95:13091. [PubMed: 9789046]