RESEARCH PAPER



The phosphoproteome in regenerating protoplasts from *Physcomitrella patens* protonemata shows changes paralleling postembryonic development in higher plants

Xiaoqin Wang^{1,2}, Meiyan Qi², Jingyun Li², Zhongzhong Ji², Yong Hu², Fang Bao², Ramamurthy Mahalingam³ and Yikun He^{2,*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Urban Agriculture (North) Ministry of Agriculture, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 102206, China

² College of Life Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China

³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Oklahoma State University, OK 74078, USA

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: yhe@cnu.edu.cn

Received 17 June 2013; Revised 29 January 2014; Accepted 31 January 2014

Abstract

The moss *Physcomitrella patens* is an ideal model plant to study plant developmental processes. To better understand the mechanism of protoplast regeneration, a phosphoproteome analysis was performed. Protoplasts were prepared from protonemata. By 4 d of protoplast regeneration, the first cell divisions had ensued. Through a highly selective titanium dioxide (TiO₂)-based phosphopeptide enrichment method and mass spectrometric technology, more than 300 phosphoproteins were identified as protoplast regeneration responsive. Of these, 108 phosphoproteins were present on day 4 but not in fresh protoplasts or those cultured for 2 d. These proteins are catalogued here. They were involved in cell-wall metabolism, transcription, signal transduction, cell growth/division, and cell structure. These protein functions are related to cell morphogenesis, organogenesis, and development adjustment. This study presents a comprehensive analysis of phosphoproteome involved in protoplast regeneration and indicates that the mechanism of plant protoplast regeneration is similar to that of postembryonic development.

Key words: LC-MS/MS, *Physcomitrella patens*, phosphoproteome, postembryonic development, protoplast regeneration, TiO₂ enrichment.

Introduction

Plant leaf mesophyll cells can be separated from their original tissue by cell-wall-degrading enzymes generating a large population of protoplasts that can then become totipotent and hence regenerate whole plants (Zhao *et al.*, 2001). Becoming totipotent involves changes in DNA methylation pattern and increased transcription and reorganization of specific chromosomal sub-domains (Zhao *et al.*, 2001; Avivi *et al.*, 2004), changes that are similar to those during embryo development, implying a similar mechanism. Protoplasts are also used to observe cellular processes and activities, such as cell-wall synthesis, cell cycle, and differentiation during regeneration, and hormone responses in various plant species (Sheen, 2001). These cellular processes might be similar to plant postembryonic development.

In plants, postembryonic development is organized by meristems, which both self-renew and produce daughter cells that differentiate and give rise to different organ structures. Mechanisms mediating postembryonic development have been mainly studied in seed plants. It has been established that the cell wall is responsible for organ shape and that the cytoskeleton plays an important role in cell division and expansion. Additionally, cell-cycle regulation is essential for development. Some cell-cycle regulators, such as cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases, are particularly numerous in plants, reflecting the remarkable ability of plants to modulate their development (Inze and De Veylder, 2006).

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2094 | Wang et al.

Organogenesis is a postembryonic process that occurs in a continuous manner throughout the entire lifespan. During organogenesis, organ identity genes play a key role. In the course of shoot propagation, a number of regulators have been identified, including KNOTTED1, SHOOT MERISTEMLESS (STM), KNOTTED-like from Arabidopsis thaliana 2 (KNAT2), and CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON 1 and 2 (CUC1 and CUC2) (Vollbrecht et al., 1991; Long et al., 1996; Pautot et al., 2001; Cary et al., 2002; Gordon et al., 2007). In contrast, for root formation, certain other proteins appear to play dominant roles, including the PINFORMED (PIN) family, transport inhibitor response1 (TIR1), and the Aux/IAA family of transcription factors (Geldner et al., 2001; Rogg et al., 2001; Friml et al., 2002a, b). During organogenesis, DNA methylation, histone methylation and acetylation are important for the enormous variation in cell-type-specific and stage-specific gene expression (Fransz and de Jong, 2002). However, much less is known the molecular mechanism of protoplast regeneration.

The moss *Physcomitrella patens* has been established as a model system for the study of plant development (Cove et al., 1997: Sakakibara *et al.*, 2003). As with all plants, the form of the moss plant is determined by the pattern of growth and division. Protoplast cultures provide an ideal system for the study of development because following protoplast formation intact plants are produced at high frequency and rapidly. In protoplasts formed from seed plants, the process of regeneration has been associated with numerous events, including dedifferentiation and the loss of photoautotrophic metabolism (Fleck et al., 1980; Vernet et al., 1982; Criqui et al., 1992; Nagata et al., 1994), cell-wall synthesis (Meyer and Abel, 1975), and activation of the cell-cycle machinery (Galbraith et al., 1981, 1983). The cell cycle is regulated by key developmental regulators, which are themselves phosphoregulated (Joubes et al., 2000).

Protein phosphorylation is among the most important post-translational modifications in cells. It underlies many regulatory functions, such as cell-cycle control, receptormediated signal transduction, differentiation, and metabolism. For these regulatory functions, eukaryotic cells rely extensively on phosphorylating the hydroxyl group of the side chains of serine, threonine, and tyrosine (Hunter, 1995; Schlessinger, 2000).

Here, phosphoproteomics has been used to increase understanding of the machinery of protoplast regeneration in *P. patens*. The work examined the global changes in the phosphoproteome following protoplast development using titanium dioxide (TiO₂) phosphopeptide enrichment strategies coupled with LC-MS/MS. The study reveals the integration of protoplast regeneration mechanisms in *P. patens*.

Materials and methods

Plant material and harvesting

P. patens (Hedwig) ecotype 'Gransden 2004' was grown in BCDA medium as described (Khandelwal *et al.*, 2010). Protonemata were cultured at 25 °C under a 16/8 light/dark cycle. To produce

protoplasts, 7-d-old protonemata were treated for 1 h with 0.5% driselase dissolved in 8% mannitol. After filtration and washing, the protoplasts are regenerated on liquid BCDA medium with 8% mannitol under the same culture conditions. Protoplast isolation was repeated three times. For analysis of the next experiment, freshly prepared protoplasts and those cultured for 2 and 4 d were harvested.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorter analysis

For fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis, nuclei were stained with 2.86 μ M 2-(4-amidinophenyl)-6-indolecarbamidine dihydrochloride (DAPI) and analysed on a two-laser FACStar Plus platform (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, USA). An argon ion laser tuned to 488 nm was used in the laser experiment and a detector with a 530 band pass filter was used for fluorescein isothiocyanate. Software compensation was applied to the collected data using CELLQUEST software (Becton Dickinson).

Protein extraction

Frozen plant material was suspended in 2ml extraction buffer containing 250 mM sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT), and inhibitor cocktail for proteases (Sigma) and phosphatases (Sigma). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 8000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were transferred to clean tubes and centrifuged at 120 000 g for 1 h at 4 °C. The final supernatants were used for soluble protein extraction, as described previously (Wang et al., 2009). The pellets (membraneassociated proteins) were re-precipitated with acetone overnight at -20 °C. The precipitated membrane proteins were rinsed three times with ice-cold acetone containing 13mM DTT and subsequently lyophilized. Finally, both protein pellets were resuspended separately by adding 8M urea, 4% CHAPS, 65mM DTT, and 40mM Tris (pH 7.5). The protein concentration was determined according to Peterson (1977) using BSA as a standard. The supernatants were stored in aliquots at -80 °C or directly digested with trypsin.

Tryptic digestion and phosphopeptide purification

The tryptic digestion was processed as described previously (Dai *et al.*, 2005). In brief, 500 μ g of each protein sample (100 μ l volume) were reduced with 20 mM DTT at 37 °C for 2.5 h and alkylated with 100 mM iodoacetamide for 40 min at room temperature in the dark. After that, the protein mixtures were spun and exchanged into 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer (pH 8.5), and then incubated at 37 °C for 16 h with trypsin at an enzyme/substrate ratio of 1:50 (w/w) to produce a proteolytic digest. Finally, the digested peptide mixture was lyophilized and then diluted in a loading buffer containing 1 M glycolic acid in 65% acetonitrile (ACN) and 2% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA).

Phosphopeptide purification was performed using TiO₂ microcolumns (320 μ m×50 mm, Column Technology, Freemont, CA, USA; Thingholm and Larsen, 2009). The microcolumns were rinsed with 100 μ l loading buffer and the samples (200 μ l) were subsequently loaded by applying air pressure. After loading the sample onto the microcolumn, the columns were subsequently washed with 100 μ l loading buffer, 100 μ l washing buffer I (65% ACN and 0.5% TFA), and 100 μ l washing buffer II (65% ACN and 0.1% TFA). The bound peptides were eluted with 100 μ l elution buffer (300 mM ammonium water and 50% ACN). The elution was acidified by adding 5 μ l 100% formic acid prior to the desalting step.

Nano-LC/MS/MS analysis

Peptide separation was performed on a surveyor liquid chromatography system (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA), consisting of a degasser, MS pump, and autosampler and equipped with a C18 trap column (RP, $320 \ \mu m \times 20 \ mm$, Column Technology) and an analytical

C18 column (RP, 75 µm×150mm, Column Technology). After sample loading, the column was washed for 30 min with 98% mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in water) to flush off remaining salt. Peptides were eluted using a linear gradient of increasing mobile phase B (0.1%)formic acid in ACN) from 2 to 35% in 120min. A linear ion trap/ Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with a NSI nanospray source was used for the MS/MS experiment. Spray voltage applying to the Nano needle was 1.85kV and ion transfer capillary temperature was 160 °C. Normalized collision energy for collision-induced dissociation was 35%. The number of ions stored in the ion trap was regulated by the automatic gain control. The instrument method consisted of one full MS scan from 400 to 2000 m/z followed by data-dependent MS/MS scan of the 10 most-intense ions from the MS spectrum with the following dynamic exclusion settings: repeat count of 2, repeat duration 30 s, exclusion duration 1.5 min. The resolution of the Orbitrap mass analyser was set at 100 000 (m/ Δ m 50% at m/z 400) for the precursor ion scans.

Protein assignment

The strategy for identifying phosphorylated proteins in *P. patens* was as follows. The MS/MS spectra files from each LC run were centroided and merged to a single file using the TurboSEQUEST program in the BioWorks 3.2 software suite (Thermo Electron), and then the MS/ MS spectra were searched against the NCBI A. thaliana and P. patens combined protein database (including normal and reversed) with carbamidomethylcysteine as a fixed modification. Oxidized methionine and phosphorylation (serine, threonine, and tyrosine) were searched as variable modifications. The searches were performed with tryptic specificity allowing one missed cleavage and the precursor ion m/z tolerances of 50 ppm and fragment ion m/z tolerances of ± 1 Da. Cysteine residues were searched as a fixed modification by 57.02146 Da because of carboxyamidomethylation. Oxidation was set as a variable modification on methionine (15.99492Da). Dynamic modifications were permitted to allow for the detection of phosphorylated serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues (+79.96633). The phosphoric acid neutral loss peaks of serine and threonine was about -18.01056 Da.

To provide high-confidence phosphopeptide sequence assignments, an accepted SEQUEST result had to have a ΔC_n score of at least 0.1 (regardless of charge state). Peptides with a +1 charge state were accepted if they were fully digested and had a cross correlation (Xcorr) of at least 1.9. Peptides with a +2 charge state were accepted if they had a Xcorr \geq 2.2. Peptides with a +3 charge state were accepted if they had a Xcorr ≥3.3. All output results were filtered and combined together using BuildSummary software to delete the redundant data (Tabb et al., 2002). All identified proteins (whether phosphorylated or not) were calculated separately and filtered by precursor ion tolerance m/z of 10 ppm and 1.0% false-positive rate (Elias and Gygi, 2007). The false-positive rate (FPR) was calculated as: FPR = $2[N_{rev}/(N_{rev} +$ $N_{\rm for}$)], where $N_{\rm rev}$ is the number of hits to the 'reverse' peptide and $N_{\rm for}$ is the number of hits to the 'forward' peptide. The proteins were classified to a protein group if the same peptides were assigned to multiple proteins after false peptides were filtered. A further manual check removed phosphopeptides with unclear MS/MS spectra.

For phosphorylation site identification, first a stricter peptide identification criteria (FPR≤0.01) was set. Second, a modified site was considered to be unique only when the corresponding modified peptides had a $\Delta C_n > 0.1$ because a $\Delta C_n > 0.1$ is significant for discriminating the first (top) candidate peptide from the second candidate peptide (Deng *et al.*, 2010). In addition, this work checked the phosphoric acid neutral loss peaks for phosphorylation site identification (Ballif *et al.*, 2004).

Analysis of gene expression by real-time reverse-transcription PCR

Total RNA was extracted using a RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). After extraction, RNA samples were treated with DNase (Ambion, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using a iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *P. patens* actin3 cDNA gene was used as a standard to normalize the content of cDNA. Real-time reverse-transcription PCR was performed using gene-specific primers for phosphoproteins in the *P. patens* protein database and phosphoproteins in the *A. thaliana* protein database that had genes homologous to those in the *P. patens* database (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2, respectively, available at *JXB* online) on a Rotor Gene 3000 Real-Time Thermal Cycler (Corbet Research, Australia). SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Perfect Real Time) kit and reverse-transcription PCR reagents (Takara Bio) were used for quantification of differentially expressed gene sequences.

Results

Protoplast cell-cycle phase

To identify the phase of the cell cycle for cells in *P. patens* protonemata, the DNA content of protonemata cell nuclei was measured with FACS. The standard phase of cell cycle was determined using nuclei from *A. thaliana* leaves. The nuclei from *A. thaliana* had three peaks and two peaks from *P. patens*, and the second peak in *A. thaliana* has approximately the same relative fluorescence value as that of *P. patens* protonemata in the first peak (Fig. 1A and B). The *A. thaliana* genome size is 125 Mb and the leaves are diploid. The *P. patens* protonemata are haploid and its genome size is 490 Mb. The nuclei in the second peak of *A. thaliana* leaves are in G2 phase (4C, 500 Mb; Fig. 1B). So, it was speculated that the nuclei from *P. patens* protonemata were in G1 phase (1C, 490 Mb; Fig. 1A).

To investigate how P. patens protoplasts regenerate, 7-d-old protonemata were used to establish an efficient and reproducible 'protoplast system'. FACS analysis showed that most protonemal nuclei (92%) had a DNA content corresponding to G1 phase and a small peak (8%) was present at a S/G2 level (Fig. 2A), whereas nearly 100% of the nuclei from freshly harvested protoplasts had a G1 level of DNA (Fig. 2B). This is consistent with previous report. Tobacco leaves were treated with cell-wall-degrading enzymes to produce a large population of protoplasts, which had a DNA content corresponding to G1 phase (Zhao et al., 2001). Fresh protoplasts appeared round and green. By 2 d of regeneration, the new polar axes were established and protoplasts with a S/G2 level of DNA were present (constituting about 8% of the population; Fig. 2C). By 4 d, asymmetric cell divisions were common and protoplasts with a S/G2 DNA content constituted about 13% of the population (Fig. 2D). Subsequently, the cultures were transferred to a regeneration medium (BCDA medium) for formation of protonemata.

Phosphopeptide enrichment and LC-MS/MS identification

To analyse the *P. patens* phosphoproteome, this work used a TiO_2 phosphopeptide enrichment strategy in combination with LC-MS/MS for identification. The resulting data were analysed using the TurboSEQUEST program in the BioWorks 3.2 software suite. From the three treatments altogether, more than 2000 phosphoproteins were identified (data not shown). This work focused on phosphoproteins in



Fig. 1. Identification of cell-cycle phases. Nuclei were prepared from *Physcomitrella patens* protonemata (A) and *Arabiposis thaliana* leaves (B) or a mixture of nuclei from both species (C), stained with DAPI, and subjected to FACS analysis.

protoplasts regenerated for 4 d. There were more than 300 of these expressed in protoplasts regenerated for 4 d which were not present in fresh protoplasts or those regenerated for 2 d. Of this group of unique phosphoproteins, 108 of them were functional annotation proteins and others are predicted

proteins. These 108 phosphoproteins were chosen for further analysis (Table 1).

Phosphorylation site identification

Protein phosphorylation in eukaryotes predominantly occurs on serine and threonine residues, whereas phosphorylation on tyrosine residues is less abundant. As expected, the serine and threonine phosphorylation sites were predominant among the phosphoproteins associated with the protoplasts regenerated for 4 d (Table 1). Tyrosine phosphorylation accounted for nearly 12.5% of all phosphorylation events, a proportion that is nearly identical to that reported previously for protonemata (13%) of P. patens (Heintz et al., 2006). In contrast, for A. thaliana, phosphorylation on tyrosine residues was reported to constitute only 4.3% of protein phosphorylation events (Sugivama et al., 2008), and for animal cells (2-3%) and yeast (<1%), tyrosine phosphorylation is even less (de la Fuente van Bentem and Hirt, 2009). Evidently, the moss has a high ratio of tyrosine phosphorylation compared to other eukaryotes but the reason for this remains to be determined. Additionally, this work found tyrosine phosphorylation in multiple phosphorylated peptides, results that are similar to those for A. thaliana (Sugiyama et al., 2008).

Predicted localization and categorization of phosphoproteins

The 108 phosphoproteins identified from the 4-d culture were categorized by cellular location, based on annotation within the NCBI database, and by function, based on the EU *A. thaliana* genome project (Bevan *et al.*, 1998). The majority of the proteins were predicted to be located in membrane, nucleus, and chloroplast (Table 1 and Fig. 3). As for putative function, proteins could be sorted into 10 categories (Table 1 and Fig. 4), with more than half of the proteins being involved in transcription, signal transduction, growth/division, and structure.

Phosphoproteins involved in cell-wall metabolism and cytoskeleton structure

Among the collection of identified phosphoproteins were several involved in cell-wall metabolism, arguably one of the characteristic metabolic processes of protoplast regeneration. This work identified a xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase (XTHs, C1) and a copper-binding oxidoreductase related to *A. thaliana* SKU5 (C2), proteins that have been previously implicated in cell-wall-loosening and expansion (Campbell and Braam, 1999; Sedbrook *et al.*, 2002). This work also identified structural cell-wall proteins, including a proline-rich family protein (C83) and a glycine-rich protein (C84) although the NCBI database does not assign either to a cell-wall location.

The identified phosphoproteins included several that are cytoskeletal. These include two members of the kinesin superfamily (C79, C86), a formin-like protein (C80), and a myosin heavy chain (C81). Kinesins are microtubule-based motors, formin is involved in the organization of the actin



Fig. 2. Protoplast regeneration and the cell cycle. (A) Protonemata. (B) Protoplasts. (C) Protoplasts after regeneration for 2 d. (D) Protoplasts after regeneration for 4 d. Nuclei were isolated from *Physcomitrella patens* protonemata or protoplasts at the indicated times, stained with DAPI, and subjected to FACS analysis. Bright-field images show representative cells. Bars, 20 μm.

cytoskeleton, and myosin is a motor protein that drives actindependent motility.

Phosphoproteins involved in signal transduction

At 4 d after protoplast formation, 14 proteins were categorized within the signal transduction group. Among these proteins, four are heterodimeric serine/threonine protein kinases. These kinases comprise a catalytic subunit, termed cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK, C46, C47), an activating subunit (CDK-activating kinase, CAK, C49), and a cyclin (C48). In addition, two proteins are putatively involved in signalling to the cytoskeleton. One of them is a putative homologue of TAO-1 (C50), a protein that, regulates microtubule

| Metabolic group | Protein no. | Theoretical mass (kDa) | Theoretical pl | Accession no. | Description | Subcellular localization | Sequence |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Metabolism (19.44%) | 5 | 32.1 | 5.05 | NP_194311 | XTR6 probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase protein 23 | Cell wall | M*Y#S#SLWNAEEWATR |
| ~ | C2 | 61.9 | 9.08 | NP_187947 | Sks11 (SKU5 Similar 11); copper ion binding/ oxidoreductase | Membrane | NCWQDGT#PGT#MCPIMPGT#NYTYHFQPK |
| | C3 | 116.4 | 5.96 | NP_172637 | ATSS3 (starch synthase 3); starch synthase/transferase, | Chloroplast | DS#NATST#ATNEVSGISK |
| | C4 | 42.2 | 5.5 | NP_001031705 | transferring glycosyl groups CORI3 (CORONATINE INDUCED 1); cystathionine | Apoplast | FSSIVPWT#LGSISK |
| | | | | | beta-lyase/transaminase | | |
| | C5 | 152.4 | 5.77 | NP_193817 | TPP2 (TRIPEPTIDYL PEPTIDASE II); tripeptidyl-peptidase | Unknown | VLDVIDCT#GSGDIDTST#VVK |
| | 00 | 72.1 | 6.31 | Q8RXN5 | tRNA wybutosine-synthesizing protein 1 homologue | Unknown O " " | CMET#T#PS#LACANK |
| | 5 | 44.U | 7.04 | NP_500193 | ATAGAL2 (Afabloopsis mallana ALPHA-GALAU I USIUASE 2): alaha-aalactosidase/catalvtic/hvdralase_hvdrahvsind | Cell Wall | |
| | | | | | C-alvcosyl compounds | | |
| | 08 | 61.5 | 8.93 | NP_196950 | Pseudouridine synthase/transporter | Chloroplast | GET#VELS#PR |
| | 60 | 7.04 | 6.05 | Q9C6T3 | Terpene synthase family protein, putative | Membrane | LIHLLVS#M*GISYHFDK |
| | C10 | 66.6 | 6.63 | NP_191966 | Malate oxidoreductase, putative | Mitochondrion | MAGIS#ES#EATK |
| | C11 | 87.6 | 6.37 | Q9FZI2 | Lupeol synthase 5 | Unknown | CCMLLS#T#M*PTDITGEK |
| | C12 | 38.3 | 4.61 | NP_849593 | Lipid-binding serum glycoprotein family protein | Membrane | DQIGS#SVEST#IAK |
| | C13 | 40.1 | 8.87 | NP_196027 | Short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) family | Unknown | FNHS#HT#PVCVITGAT#SGLGK |
| | | | | | protein | | |
| | C14 | 60.2 | 8.74 | Q8W033 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase family 3 member 11 | Chloroplast | LFS#EYLDNTT#IR |
| | C15 | 79.9 | 6.12 | NP_566041 | Transketolase, putative | Chloroplast | S#IGIDT#FGASAPAGK |
| | C16 | 45.8 | 5.12 | NP_196694 | Monooxygenase family protein | Membrane | S#SEPPVGSPT#GAGLGLDPQAR |
| | C17 | 52.3 | 5.6 | Q9C7Z9 | Serine carboxypeptidase-like 18 | Membrane | T#EGYNGGLPSLVSTSYS#WTK |
| | C18 | 39.7 | 5.27 | NP_197540 | Oxidoreductase, 20G-Fe(II) oxygenase family protein | Unknown | SLELEENSFLDM*Y#GESATLDT#R |
| | C19 | 25.8 | 8.79 | Q8VY52 | PsbP domain-containing protein 2 | Chloroplast | FFCFAQNPS#S#TVSINLSK |
| | C20 | 134.7 | 7.39 | NP_196999 | GMII (GOLGI ALPHA-MANNOSIDASE II); | Golgi | PLNDS#NS#GAWDITT#K |
| | | | | | alpha-mannosidase | apparatus | |
| | C21 | 57.6 | 9.09 | NP_193230 | ATAO1 (ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA AMINE OXIDASE 1); | Cell wall | VGVDLT#GVLEVK |
| | | | | | amine oxidase/copper ion binding | | |
| Transcription | C22 | 62.5 | 7.72 | NP_192756 | Light-mediated development protein DET1 | Nucleus | FGLFATSTAQIHDSS#SPS#NDAVPGVPS# |
| (22.22%) | | | | | | | IDK |
| | C23 | 137.4 | 9.28 | NP_568624 | COP1-interacting protein-related | Membrane | VYT#LETEIIQIK |
| | C24 | 102.4 | 8.35 | EDQ54570.1 | Trithorax-like protein, histone-lysine N-methyltransferase | Nucleus | TDCLTY#QPPVT#S#SGCAR |
| | C25 | 70.3 | 4.44 | EDQ52478.1 | Paf1 complex protein | Nucleus | GLSPGY#LEDALEEEDEPDQYDR |
| | C26 | 110.5 | 4.91 | EDQ60631.1 | Putative histone deacetylase complex, SIN3 component | Nucleus | FM*QVLY#GLLDGSVDNSK |
| | C27 | 72.4 | 8.63 | NP_181971 | DNA-binding bromodomain-containing protein | Nucleus | SMNESNST#ATAGEEER |
| | C28 | 35.6 | 4.91 | P46640 | KNAT2 homeobox protein knotted-1-like 2 | Nucleus | AEDNFS#LSVIK |
| | C29 | 59.9 | 6.96 | NP_199123 | APUM13 (Arabidopsis Pumilio 13); RNA binding/binding | Nucleus | IMDAISSVALQLT#R |
| | 030 | 29.5 | 8.75 | EDQ59312.1 | Tvpe I MADS-domain protein PPTIM4 | Nucleus | MS#TT#TSPPALT#VK |

Table 1. Phosphoproteins in Physcomitrella patens unique to protoplast regenerated 4 d

| Continued | |
|-----------|--|
| ÷ | |
| e | |
| Tab | |

| Metabolic group | Protein no. | Theoretical mass (kDa) | Theoretical pl | Accession no. | Description | Subcellular localization | Sequence |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | C31 | 114.8 | 8.71 | NP_173522 | Squamosa promoter-binding-like protein 14 | Nucleus | GLDLNLGS#GLT#AVEETTTTTQNVR |
| | C32 | 40.2 | 5.70 | NP_198791 | AGL81 (AGAMOUS-LIKE 81); transcription factor | Nucleus | CSSS#SSS#SSYSLASTSLSNR |
| | C33 | 19.9 | 6.21 | NP_001078798 | AGL31 (AGAMOUS LIKE MADS-BOX PROTEIN 31); | Unknown | TS#LEANSS#VDTQ |
| | 787 | 61 Q | л ОО | ND 170283 | transcription factor AMS (A PODITED MICDOSEDODES), DNA hinding (hono) | Ali tolor in | |
| | t 00 | 0. | 20.02 | INI _ 1 9200 | אינט (אסטרו דבו ויווט אינט כי ובט), בואס טווטוווט וומויד scription factor | | |
| | C35 | 176.3 | 5.65 | EDQ61350.1 | SNF2 family DNA-dependent ATPase | Nucleus | S#PENGS#PAQEYDSPS#R |
| | C36 | 108.1 | 6.84 | NP_564568 | SNF2 domain-containing protein/helicase domain-con- | Nucleus | LDGT#MS#LIAR |
| | | | | | taining protein/RING finger domain-containing protein | | |
| | C37 | 200.2 | 8.50 | EDQ61497.1 | SNF2 family chromodomain-helicase | Nucleus | NY#QEEGVTWLDHNFENR |
| | C38 | 102.1 | 9.52 | EDQ66080.1 | Argonaute family member | Unknown | LMSPLEGGSTTS#SS#SS#R |
| | C39 | 42.5 | 5.40 | NP_179397 | VND1 (VASCULAR RELATED NAC-DOMAIN PROTEIN 1); | Unknown | FVASQLMS#QEDNGTS#SFAGHHIVNEDK |
| | 070 | 0 70 | 1 | | transcription factor | | |
| | | 0.10 | - t- O | INL_100240 | | | |
| | C41 | 263.8 | 5.34 | NP_171710 | Transcriptional regulator-related | Membrane | ETGT#SLQTLT#SAATM*ER |
| | C42 | 67.5 | 8.98 | NP_175971 | Transcription factor-related | Unknown | HFWSSY#PITTT#Y#LHTK |
| | C43 | 42.8 | 5.39 | NP_566737 | S1 RNA-binding domain-containing protein | Chloroplast | DT#S#DEASAAGPSDWK |
| | C44 | 36.5 | 6.91 | NP_188063 | CID9 (CTC-interacting domain 9); RNA binding/protein | Unknown | NFFES#ACGEVTR |
| | | | | | binding | | |
| | C45 | 38.2 | 8.81 | NP_567159 | DNA-binding storekeeper protein-related | Nucleus | T#LNS#PSAAVAVSDDSES#EK |
| | C46 | 36.8 | 8.33 | NP_174084 | CYCT1;3 (CYCLIN T 1;3); cyclin-dependent protein | Nucleus | NVSLFESPQCET#S#K |
| | | | | | kinase | | |
| Signal transduction | C47 | 71.7 | 6.43 | Q8H0V1 | CDK5RAP1-like protein | Unknown | AT#HAS#SSSALLPR |
| (12.96%) | | | | | | | |
| | C48 | 49.6 | 6.37 | NP_568248 | CYC3B (MITOTIC-LIKE CYCLIN 3B FROM A A ABIDOBSIS): examine demondant excitain kinana soci latas | Nucleus | WT#LDQTDHPWNPT#LQHYTR |
| | 0.49 | 30.2 | 9 11 | COCOM7 | CAK4 evelin-denendent kinase n-2 | Cytonlasm | T#IFPM*AS#DDALDLLAK |
| | C50 | 134.2 | 6.53 | NP_199264 | TAO1 (TARGET OF AVRB OPERATION1); ATP binding/ | Membrane | QFLVDT#EDICEVLTDDT#GT#R |
| | | | | | protein binding/transmembrane receptor | | |
| | C51 | 20.3 | 6.59 | EDQ82848.1 | Arf6/ArfB family small GTPase | Unknown | NVNFT#VWDVGGQDK |
| | C52 | 33.5 | 5.72 | NP_200984 | ATIPK2BETA; inositol or phosphatidylinositol kinase/inosi- | Nucleus | LPHLVLDDVVSGY#ANPS#VM*DVK |
| | | | | | tol trisphosphate 6-kinase | | |
| | C53 | 21.9 | 6.84 | EDQ74392.1 | Sar1 family small GTPase | Unknown | M*GY#GEGFK |
| | C54 | 188.8 | 5.47 | NP_195533 | Guanine nucleotide exchange family protein | Chloroplast | T#ALGPPPGSS#T#ILSPVQDITFR |
| | C55 | 52.5 | 6.11 | NP_001031307 | NHO1 (nonhost resistance to P. s. phaseolicola 1); carbo- | Unknown | AVLES#M*CFQVK |
| | | | | | hydrate kinase/glycerol kinase | | |
| | C56 | 109.4 | 5.43 | NP_849957 | AtRLP19 (receptor-like protein 19); kinase/protein binding | Membrane | LTGTLPSNMSSLS#NLK |
| | C57 | 75.5 | 9.02 | NP_565976 | TTL3 (TETRATRICOPETIDE-REPEAT THIOREDOXIN-LIKE | Unknown | GSASSSAAATPTS#SSGS#SGSAS#GK |
| | α L | 01 Q | е 10 | NID 170300 | 3); binding/protein binding ATSAPA1A (ARABID/DESIS THAI IANA SECRETIOM- | Chloronlact | イヨションキへいまい |
| | 000 | 2 | 0.0 | | AI SANATA (ANAULUCT GIG TI INLINING GEOTIL 11.017- ASSOCIATED RAS SUPER FAMILY 1); GTP binding | | |

| Metabolic group | Protein no. | Theoretical mass (kDa) | Theoretical pl | Accession no. | Description | Subcellular localization | Sequence |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | C59 | 22.6 | 9.41 | NP_196631 | Aminoacyl-tRNA hydrolase/protein tyrosine phosphatase | Unknown | FTTAS#PS#M*SQQTGEIDAVVDASSAEK |
| Transport | C60 | 96.9 | 6.92 | Q38998 | Potassium channel AKT1 | Membrane | S#WFLLDLVSTIPSEAAM*R |
| | C61 | 19.7 | 8.86 | NP_175324 | Plastocyanin-like domain-containing protein | Membrane | VMEVESTPQSPPPS#S#SLPAS#AHK |
| | C62 | 50.2 | 5.65 | NP_198698 | Amino acid transporter-like protein | Membrane | IMLQVS#ILVSNIGVLIVYM*IIIGDVLAGK |
| | C63 | 106.9 | 5.62 | NP_201066 | Transportin-SR-related | Nucleus | LDTVTYS#LLALTR |
| | C64 | 46.5 | 9.7 | NP_001078720 | APE2 (ACCLIMATION OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS TO | Chloroplast | QFS#TAS#SSSFS#VK |
| | | | | | ENVIRONMENT 2); antiporter/triose-phosphate trans- | | |
| | | | | | membrane transporter | | |
| | C65 | 53.5 | 6.36 | Q6AWX0 | D-xylose-proton symporter-like 2 | Membrane | M*ALDPEQQQPISSVS#R |
| | C66 | 25.6 | 5.24 | Q9SP35 | Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit | Membrane | EDPWNS#IIAGAATGGFLSMR |
| | | | | | Tim17 | | |
| | C67 | 84.6 | 9.29 | Q9S9N5 | Putative cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel 7 | Membrane | FVS#S#GETEWIK |
| | C68 | 116.2 | 8.17 | EDQ55253.1 | Na+ P-type-ATPase | Membrane | INMVY#SS#T#TVAK |
| | C69 | 98.2 | 5.34 | NP_195035 | APM1 (AMINOPEPTIDASE M1); aminopeptidase | Membrane | MLQSY#LGAEVFQK |
| | C70 | 117.7 | 6.91 | NP_566869 | CEF (clone eighty-four); protein binding/transporter/zinc | COPII vesicle | T#FVGIATFDS#TIHFYNLK |
| | | | | | ion binding | coat | |
| Cell growth/ | C71 | 137.2 | 6.45 | EDQ50619.1 | Condensin complex component SMC3 | Nucleus | AEMET#QLVDS#LTPEQR |
| division (7.41%) | | | | | | | |
| | C72 | 77.6 | 8.87 | NP_850846 | Kelch repeat-containing protein | Cytoplasm | S#SS#SAVLTSDES#VK |
| | C73 | 102.7 | 6.19 | NP_567236 | PMS1 (POSTMEIOTIC SEGREGATION 1); ATP binding/ | Nucleus | MQGDSS#PSPTT#TS#SPLIR |
| | | | | | mismatched DNA binding | | |
| | C74 | 50.3 | 6.58 | NP_199003 | POLA3; DNA primase | Unknown | MDVDDDDS#DS#SLLGK |
| | C75 | 58.0 | 9.61 | P40602 | Anter-specific proline-rich protein APG | Unknown | NDIDSYT#TIIADSAAS#FVLQLY#GYGAR |
| | C76 | 82.7 | 7.60 | NP_194589 | NPGR2 (no pollen germination related 2); calmodulin | Membrane | DY#NGSSALST#AES#ENAK |
| | | | | | binding | | |
| | C77 | 34.5 | 6.76 | NP_564689 | Embryo-abundant protein-related | Unknown | FDT#NCW/T#TLK |
| | C78 | 16.3 | 9.38 | NP_564332 | SAUR68 (SMALL AUXIN UPREGULATED 68) | Unknown | S#NVFTS#SSS#TVEK |
| Cell structure | C79 | 108.8 | 8.62 | NP_198947 | Kinesin-like protein | Microtubule | INVLETLASGT#TDENEVR |
| (9.26%) | | | | | | associated | |
| | | | | | | complex | |
| | C80 | 98.7 | 9.34 | Q9FJX6 | Formin-like protein 6 | Membrane | EEVS#EALT#DGNPESLGAELLET#LVK |
| | C81 | 80.1 | 8.41 | NP_189792 | Myosin heavy chain-related | Unknown | ET#TGSVPFPS#VGGSR |
| | C82 | 106.3 | 5.60 | NP_567048 | VLN3 (VILLIN 3); actin binding | Unknown | LY#S#IADGQVESIDGDLSK |
| | C83 | 56.8 | 11.32 | NP_566340 | Proline-rich family protein | Unknown | GTSPSPTVNS#LS#K |
| | C84 | 20.0 | 6.16 | Q9SIX3 | Putative glycine-rich RNA-binding protein | Unknown | GGMVGGYGS#GGY#R |
| | C85 | 128.9 | 8.51 | NP_194467 | VIIIB; motor | Chloroplast | X#S#QDLIY#SK |
| | C86 | 89.2 | 7.24 | NP_192428 | ATK5 (ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA KINESIN 5); microtubule | Mitochondrion | T#LM*FVNISPDPS#STGESLCSLR |
| | | | | | motor | | |
| | C87 | 82.9 | 4.84 | Q8L838 | Conserved oligomeric Golgi complex subunit 4 | Membrane | SOLSELGELS#S#T#FK |
| | C88 | 135.5 | 6.51 | NP_174461 | Actin binding | Unknown | S#GLS#TEMVYSMS#DK |

Table 1. Continued

| Metabolic group | Protein no. | Theoretical mass (kDa) | Theoretical pl | Accession no. | Description | Subcellular localization | Sequence |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Protein destination and storage (6.48%) | C89 | 75.8 | 8.99 | NP_187752 | DNAJ heat shock N-terminal domain-containing protein/ cell division protein-related | Unknown | EY#VPSFNS#Y#ANK |
| | C90 | 105.5 | 5.55 | Q9LV01 | ET01-like protein 2 | Unknown | LASS#LGHVYS#LAGVS#R |
| | C91 | 28.3 | 9.39 | NP_196816 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase CYP20-2 | Chloroplast | MAT#LS#M*TLSNPK |
| | C92 | 74.0 | 7.57 | NP_192255 | Ankyrin repeat family protein | Unknown | TMWQHSNNGS#S#STS#TLASK |
| | C93 | 46.9 | 9.73 | NP_566289 | PAPA-1-like family protein/zinc finger (HIT type) family protein | Chloroplast | T#LQT#NSEAGTYTK |
| | C94 | 32.1 | 9.42 | NP 199935 | Ubiquinol-cytochrome C chaperone family protein | Mitochondrion | Y#GY#ATVAPAADPPSQK |
| | C95 | 67.4 | 4.47 | NP_191403 | Meprin and TRAF homology domain-containing protein/ | Unknown | ET#VDINGFVVVSS#K |
| | | | | | MATH domain-containing protein | | |
| Defence | C96 | 22.8 | 9.30 | Q8LEQ3 | Nectarin-like protein | Secreted | DS#TQIT#PEDFYFK |
| (0/ nc. c) | | | | | | | |
| | C97 | 29.7 | 9.54 | NP_178377 | WHY3 (WHIRLY 3); DNA binding | Nucleus | FGDS#S#SASQNAEVSSPR |
| | C98 | 145.4 | 5.64 | NP_176378 | Galactolipase/phospholipase | Unknown | IIVFS#GTFGPTQAVVK |
| | C99 | 17.8 | 5.01 | NP_189276 | Major latex protein-related/MLP-related | Unknown | MAT#SGT#Y#VTQVPLK |
| | C100 | 62.6 | 8.9 | NP_001117315 | Jacalin lectin family protein | Unknown | PSS#PHNAIVPHNNSGT#AQIENS#PWANK |
| | C101 | 48.9 | 6.08 | O80998 | Myrosinase-binding protein-like | Unknown | VFGS#ES#SVIVMLK |
| Protein syn- thesis (3.70%) | C102 | 55.6 | 9.10 | NP_186876 | elF4-gamma/elF5/elF2-epsilon domain-containing protein | Unknown | IFT#HEIS#S#CYASR |
| | C103 | 28.4 | 5.44 | NP_194797 | MA3 domain-containing protein | Unknown | FT#LSSSS#DLTNGGDAPS#FAVK |
| | C104 | 50.9 | 5.35 | NP_851097 | HCF109 (HIGH CHLOROPHYLL FLUORESCENT 109); | Chloroplast | Y#TLAMAS#AVTN |
| | | | | | translation release factor/translation release factor, codon sneofic | | |
| | C105 | 11.0 | 6 01 | NP 001031319 | 1 CB82 Putative defensio-like protein 86 | Membrane | CV#STPFCNAT#CLHFGY#FFGK |
| Unknown | C106 | 56.4 | 5.60 | NP_201248 | Octicosapeptide/Phox/Bem1p (PB1) domain-containing | Chloroplast | FS#Y#NS#YPDSTDSSPR |
| (2.78%) | | | | | protein | | |
| | C107 | 21.4 | 5.44 | NP_172268 | LOB domain-containing protein 1 | Mitochondrion | S#DASVATTPIISSSSPPPS#LS#PR |
| | C108 | 30.1 | 9.32 | NP_195061 | Chloroplast inner membrane import protein Tic22, | Chloroplast | SGTPTTT#LSPS#LVAK |
| | | | | | putative | | |

Table 1. Continued



Fig. 3. Annotated subcellular localization of phosphoproteins, showing the percentage of the 108 phosphoproteins that were specific to 4 d of regeneration in each category. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of phosphoproteins in each subcellular localization. Localization was based on the NCBI database.



Fig. 4. Annotated functional categorization of phosphoproteins, showing the percentage of the 108 phosphoproteins that were specific to 4 d of regeneration in each category. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of phosphoproteins in each functional category. Function was based on the EU *Arabiposis thaliana* genome project.

dynamics and checkpoint signalling during mitotic progression (Draviam *et al.*, 2007), and the other is annotated as a small GTPase of the Arf6/ArfB family (C51), which is well known to regulate actin cytoskeletal organization in animals and fungi (Myers and Casanova, 2008), although apparently not studied in plants.

Phosphoproteins associated with transcription

Among the 108 phosphoproteins, 24 of them were associated with transcription. Interestingly, the putative function of many of these transcription factors developmental. These identified transcription factors include putative homologues of the following proteins: *A. thaliana* DE-ETIOLATED1 (C22), a COP1-interacting protein (C23), a histone–lysine *N*-methyltransferase (C24), a paf1 complex subunit (C25), a bromodomain-containing protein (C27), KNOTTED OF ATHALIANA2 (KNAT2) (C28), a *A. thaliana* pumillio family member (C29), a squamosa promoter binding protein-like 14 (SPL, C31), a type I MADS-domain protein (C30), two AGAMOUS-LIKE (AGL) proteins (C32, C33), ABORTED

MICROSPORES (C34), and three SNF2 family proteins (C35, C36, C37).

Phosphoproteins involved in growth and division

There are eight phosphoproteins involved in growth and division. These proteins include a putative condensin complex component SMC3 (C72), a Kelch repeat-containing protein (C72), a putative homologue of POSTMEIOTIC SEGREGATION 1 (C73), POLA3 (C74), a putative homologue of an anther-specific proline-rich protein (C75), a putative homologue of no pollen germination related 2 (C76), a protein related to embryo-abundant proteins (C77), and SMALL AUXIN UPREGULATED68 (C78).

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of phosphoproteins

To correlate protein level with the corresponding mRNA level, this work performed quantitative real-time PCR to analyse the mRNA expression of 24 genes of the 108 proteins specifically phosphorylated on day 4 (Fig. 5). Expression of all of the tested genes was increased at 2 d but fell at 4 d, in many cases falling substantially below the level of the fresh protoplasts. This result indicates that the transcriptional response precedes the protein phosphorylation, a result that is consistent with cellular signal transduction.

Discussion

Two major processes that are involved in plant development are morphogenesis and organogenesis. Morphogenesis is the formation of shapes and structures, and this depends on aspects of cell behaviour such as cell-wall synthesis, cell division, and elongation. Organogenesis is the specification of organ identity. Plants are characterized by having continuous postembryonic development, where both meristematic maintenance and growth are coupled with organogenesis and reproduction (Bowman and Eshed, 2000; Gutierrez, 2005). This study shows that the mechanism of protoplast regeneration is similar to that of postembryonic development.

Cell morphogenesis in the process of protoplast regeneration

Protoplast regeneration is accomplished by cell-wall synthesis, cytoskeleton construction, and regulation of the cell cycle. The primary cell wall consists of three coextensive polymer networks: the cellulose–xyloglucan framework, pectin, and structural protein. During protoplast regeneration, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH, C1), SKU5 (C2), proline-rich family protein (C83), and glycine-rich protein (C84) are examples of phosphorylated proteins that have plausible roles in primary cell-wall synthesis. In addition, squamosa promoter binding protein-like 14 (C31) is involved in cell-wall regeneration from protoplasts (Yang *et al.*, 2008).

Microtubules and actin filaments are essential components of the machinery required for nuclear division and cytokinesis. Cytokinesis in plant cells is achieved through the construction



mRNA expression level

Fig. 5. Real-time quantitative PCR, showing mRNA changed patterns of selected proteins searched for *Physcomitrella patens* protein database (A) and of the *Physcomitrella patens* homologous genes of the selected proteins searched in *Arabiposis thaliana* protein database (B). Message level is expressed as log₂ of the ratio of the expression level at day 2 (or day 4) to day 0. All expression levels were measured relative to *P. patens* actin3 cDNA gene. Values are mean±SD of three replicate experiments.

of a new cell wall between daughter nuclei after mitosis. This process is directed by the phragmoplast, a cytoskeletal structure that is made up in part by parallel microtubules and actin filaments. This work identified several phosphorylated proteins that are implicated in regulating the cytoskeleton, including TAO-1 (C49), a Arf6/ArfB family small GTPase (C50), two kinesins (C79, C86), and a Kelch repeat-containing protein (C72) that has been reported to influence cell shape through the actin cytoskeleton (Adams *et al.*, 2000), and a formin-like protein (C80) and myosin heavy chain (C81) that have been implicated in tip growth in moss (Vidali and Bezanilla, 2012). It indicates that that these cytoskeletal proteins are involved in cell division during protoplast regeneration.

Additionally, this work found several cell-cycle-regulating proteins to be phosphorylated specifically at day 4, including two cyclin-dependent kinase catalytic subunits (CDK, C46, C47), a CDK-activating kinase (C49), and a cyclin (C48). The catalytic subunits do not act alone: their ability to trigger cell-cycle events depends completely on associated cyclin subunits. The timing of activation of the CDK is be controlled by the timing of expression of a particular cyclin subunit, which

also contributes to substrate specificity (Harper and Adams, 2001), and by phosphorylation. CDK-activating kinase is such an enzyme that phosphorylates CDKs to activate them (Umeda *et al.*, 2005). These versatile enzymes form the core of the cell-division cycle. Given that more than 90% of the protoplasts divide in the days after protoplast formation, it is not surprising to see evidence of protein phosphorylation among cell-cycle regulators.

Development adjustment in response to protoplast regeneration

In this study, there were several phosphoproteins associated with development and closely related to protoplast regeneration. DET1 (C22) and COP1 control the transcription of multiple genes involved in photomorphogenesis by regulating chromatin conformation (Lau and Deng, 2012). COP1-interacting protein-related (C23) together with COP1 mediates gene expression during photomorphogenesis. Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase (ATX1, C24) is a chromatin modifier that trimethylates lysine 4 of histone H3 of associated nucleosomes. Histone H3 methylation affects DNA methylation and chromatin structure in ways that are consequential for development (Tamaru and Selker, 2001; Jackson et al., 2002). Pumilio (C29) is a founder member of an evolutionarily conserved family of RNA-binding proteins that play an important role in embryo development, differentiation, and asymmetric division (Spassov and Jurecic, 2002).

Surprisingly, this study identified a number of transcription factors that are well known from studies of flowering in seed plants. FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) is a MADS-box transcriptional regulator controlling flowering time (Michaels and Amasino, 1999). *FLC* transcription is controlled in part by the PAF1 complex (C25), which mediates histone methylation of FLC chromatin (Yu and Michaels, 2010). AGAMOUS-LIKE (AGL, C32, C33) is also a MADS-box protein required for the normal development of the internal two whorls of the flower (Mizukami *et al.*, 1996). The specific function of these flowering genes in *P. patens* should be further studied.

Plant organs are formed continuously during development from meristems. ATX1 (C24) is required for the expression of homeotic genes involved in flower organogenesis (Alvarez-Venegas *et al.*, 2003). Within the meristem, the family of *KNOX* (*KNOTTED* homeobox) genes plays a crucial role in regulating organogenesis of meristematic cells (Reiser *et al.*, 2000). In *A. thaliana*, *KNAT2* (*KNOTTED*-like from *A. thaliana* 2; C28) homeobox gene is expressed in the vegetative apical meristem. It is also active during flower development and plays a role in carpel development (Pautot *et al.*, 2001). In *P. patens*, KNOX2 acts to prevent the haploid-specific body plan from developing in the diploid plant body (Sakakibara *et al.*, 2013).

Several proteins related to chromosome stability and DNA repair were also identified. The SNF2 family of proteins (C35, C36, C37) plays roles in processes such as transcriptional regulation, maintenance of chromosome stability during mitosis, and various aspects of repairing DNA damage (Eisen *et al.*, 1995). The condensing-complex component SMC3 (C71) is the core component of the tetrameric complex cohesin, which



Fig. 6. Cell responses corresponding to phosphoproteins identified during protoplast regeneration in Physcomitrella patens.

is required for the establishment of sister chromatid cohesion during S phase, maintenance of cohesion, and segregation of chromosomes in mitosis. PMS1 (C73) is a protein involved in the mismatch repair process after DNA replication (Nicolaides *et al.*, 1994). These findings suggest that these proteins play a role in protecting cell stability during protoplast regeneration.

In conclusion, this study is, as far as is known, the first reported assessment of the phosphoproteome during of protoplast regeneration. A comprehensive analysis of the phosphoproteome involved in protoplast regeneration is presented (Fig. 6). This study indicates that there are similar mechanisms for plant protoplast regeneration and postembryonic development. Further studies of how these proteins direct the specific processes will provide deeper insight into plant protoplast regeneration.

Supplementary material

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Supplementary Table S1. Quantitative real-time PCR primer pairs for phosphoproteins in the *P. patens* protein database.

Supplementary Table S2. Quantitative real-time PCR primer pairs for phosphoproteins in the *A. thaliana* protein database homologous to genes in the *P. patens* database.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Project of Ministry Science and Technology of China (2013CB967300, 2007CB948201 to Y.H.), by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31371243 to X.W.), and Beijing University of

Agriculture Improving Research Quality Foundation (GL2012001 to X.W.). The authors acknowledge editing from Dickinson Meadows.

References

Adams J, Kelso R, Cooley L. 2000. The kelch repeat superfamily of proteins: propellers of cell function. *Trends in Cell Biology* **10**, 17–24.

Alvarez-Venegas R, Pien S, Sadder M, Witmer X, Grossniklaus U, Avramova Z. 2003. ATX-1, an *Arabidopsis* homolog of trithorax, activates flower homeotic genes. *Current Biology* **13**, 627–637.

Avivi Y, Morad V, Ben-Meir H, Zhao J, Kashkush K, Tzfira T, Citovsky V, Grafi G. 2004. Reorganization of specific chromosomal domains and activation of silent genes in plant cells acquiring pluripotentiality. *Developmental Dynamics* **230**, 12–22.

Ballif BA, Villen J, Beausoleil SA, Schwartz D, Gygi SP. 2004. Phosphoproteomic analysis of the developing mouse brain. *Molecular and Cell Proteomics* **3**, 1093–1101.

Bevan M, Bancroft I, Bent E, et al. 1998. Analysis of 1.9Mb of contiguous sequence from chromosome 4 of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **391,** 485–488.

Bowman JL, Eshed Y. 2000. Formation and maintenance of the shoot apical meristem. *Trends in Plant Science* **5**, 110–115.

Campbell P, Braam J. 1999. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylases: diversity of genes, enzymes and potential wall-modifying functions. *Trends in Plant Science* **4**, 361–636.

Cary AJ, Che P, Howell SH. 2002. Developmental events and shoot apical meristem gene expression patterns during shoot development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **32**, 867–877.

Cove DJ, Knight CD, Lamparter T. 1997. Mosses as model systems. *Trends in Plant Science* **2**, 99–105.

Criqui MC, Plesse B, Durr A, Marbach J, Parmentier Y, Jamet E, Fleck J. 1992. Characterization of genes expressed in mesophyll protoplasts of *Nicotiana sylvestris* before the re-initiation of the DNA replicational activity. *Mechanisms of Development* **38**, 121–132.

Dai J, Shieh CH, Sheng QH, Zhou H, Zeng R. 2005. Proteomic analysis with integrated multiple dimensional liquid chromatography/mass

de la Fuente van Bentem S, Hirt H. 2009. Protein tyrosine phosphorylation in plants: more abundant than expected? *Trends in Plant Science* **14**, 71–76.

Deng WJ, Nie S, Dai J, Wu JR, Zeng R. 2010. Proteome phosphoproteome, and hydroxyproteome of liver mitochondria in diabetic rats at early pathogenic stages. *Molecular and Cell Proteomics* **9**, 100–116.

Draviam VM, Stegmeier F, Nalepa G, Sowa ME, Chen J, Liang A, Hannon GJ, Sorger PK, Harper JW, Elledge SJ. 2007. A functional genomic screen identifies a role for TAO1 kinase in spindle-checkpoint signalling. *Nature Cell Biology* **9**, 556–564.

Eisen JA, Sweder KS, Hanawalt PC. 1995. Evolution of the SNF2 family of proteins: subfamilies with distinct sequences and functions. *Nucleic Acids Research* **23**, 2715–2723.

Elias JE, Gygi SP. 2007. Target-decoy search strategy for increased confidence in large-scale protein identifications by mass spectrometry. *Nature Methods* **4**, 207–214.

Fleck J, Durr A, Fritsch C, Lett MC, Hirth L. 1980. Comparison of proteins synthesized in vivo and in vitro by mRNA from isolated protoplasts. *Planta* **148**, 453–454.

Fransz PF, de Jong JH. 2002. Chromatin dynamics in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **5**, 560–567.

FrimI J, Benkova E, Blilou I, et al. 2002a. AtPIN4 mediates sinkdriven auxin gradients and root patterning in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **108**, 661–673.

Friml J, Wisniewska J, Benkova E, Mendgen K, Palme K. 2002b. Lateral relocation of auxin efflux regulator PIN3 mediates tropism in *Arabidopsis. Nature* **415**, 806–809.

Galbraith DW, Harkins KR, Maddox JM, Ayres NM, Sharma DP, Firoozabady E. 1983. Rapid flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle in intact plant tissues. *Science* **220**, 1049–1051.

Galbraith DW, Mauch TJ, Shields BA. 1981. Analysis of the initial stages of plant protoplast development using 33258 Hoechst: reactivation of the cell cycle. *Physiologia Plantarum* **51**, 380–386.

Geldner N, Friml J, Stierhof YD, Jurgens G, Palme K. 2001. Auxin transport inhibitors block PIN1 cycling and vesicle trafficking. *Nature* **413**, 425–428.

Gordon SP, Heisler MG, Reddy GV, Ohno C, Das P, Meyerowitz EM. 2007. Pattern formation during de novo assembly of the *Arabidopsis* shoot meristem. *Development* **134**, 3539–3548.

Gutierrez C. 2005. Coupling cell proliferation and development in plants. *Nature Cell Biology* 7, 535–541.

Harper JW, Adams PD. 2001. Cyclin-dependent kinases. *Chemical Reviews* **101**, 2511–2526.

Heintz D, Erxleben A, High AA, Wurtz V, Reski R, Van Dorsselaer A, Sarnighausen E. 2006. Rapid alteration of the phosphoproteome in the moss *Physcomitrella patens* after cytokinin treatment. *Journal of Proteome Research* 5, 2283–2293.

Hunter T. 1995. Protein kinases and phosphatases: the yin and yang of protein phosphorylation and signaling. *Cell* **80**, 225–236.

Inze D, De Veylder L. 2006. Cell cycle regulation in plant development. *Annual Review of Genetics* **40**, 77–105.

Jackson JP, Lindroth AM, Cao X, Jacobsen SE. 2002. Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. *Nature* **416**, 556–560.

Joubes J, Chevalier C, Dudits D, Heberle-Bors E, Inze D, Umeda M, Renaudin JP. 2000. CDK-related protein kinases in plants. *Plant Molecular Biology* **43**, 607–620.

Khandelwal A, Cho SH, Marella H, Sakata Y, Perroud PF, Pan A, Quatrano RS. 2010. Role of ABA and ABI3 in desiccation tolerance. *Science* **327**, 546.

Lau OS, Deng XW. 2012. The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later. *Trends in Plant Science* **17**, 584–593.

Long JA, Moan El, Medford JI, Barton MK. 1996. A member of the KNOTTED class of homeodomain proteins encoded by the STM gene of *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* **379**, 66–69.

Meyer Y, Abel WO. 1975. Importance of the wall for cell division and in the activity of the cytoplasm in cultured tobacco protoplasts *Planta* **123**, 33–40.

Michaels SD, Amasino RM. 1999. FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. *The Plant Cell* **11**, 949–956.

Mizukami Y, Huang H, Tudor M, Hu Y, Ma H. 1996. Functional domains of the floral regulator AGAMOUS: characterization of the DNA binding domain and analysis of dominant negative mutations. *The Plant Cell* **8**, 831–845.

Myers KR, Casanova JE. 2008. Regulation of actin cytoskeleton dynamics by Arf-family GTPases. *Trends in Cell Biology* **18**, 184–192.

Nagata T, Ishida S, Hasezawa S, Takahashi Y. 1994. Genes involved in the dedifferentiation of plant cells. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **38**, 321–327.

Nicolaides NC, Papadopoulos N, Liu B, *et al.* 1994. Mutations of two PMS homologues in hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. *Nature* **371**, 75–80.

Pautot V, Dockx J, Hamant O, Kronenberger J, Grandjean O, Jublot D, Traas J. 2001. KNAT2: evidence for a link between knotted-like genes and carpel development. *The Plant Cell* **13**, 1719–1734.

Peterson GL. 1977. A simplification of the protein assay method of Lowry et al. which is more generally applicable. *Analytical Biochemistry* **83**, 346–356.

Reiser L, Sanchez-Baracaldo P, Hake S. 2000. Knots in the family tree: evolutionary relationships and functions of knox homeobox genes. *Plant Molecular Biology* **42**, 151–166.

Rogg LE, Lasswell J, Bartel B. 2001. A gain-of-function mutation in IAA28 suppresses lateral root development. *The Plant Cell* **13**, 465–480.

Sakakibara K, Ando S, Yip HK, Tamada Y, Hiwatashi Y, Murata T, Deguchi H, Hasebe M, Bowman JL. 2013. KNOX2 genes regulate the haploid-to-diploid morphological transition in land plants. *Science* **339**, 1067–1070.

Sakakibara K, Nishiyama T, Sumikawa N, Kofuji R, Murata T, Hasebe M. 2003. Involvement of auxin and a homeodomain-leucine zipper I gene in rhizoid development of the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* **130**, 4835–48346.

Schlessinger J. 2000. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. *Cell* **103**, 211–225.

Sedbrook JC, Carroll KL, Hung KF, Masson PH, Somerville CR. 2002. The *Arabidopsis* SKU5 gene encodes an extracellular glycosyl phosphatidylinositol-anchored glycoprotein involved in directional root growth. *The Plant Cell* **14**, 1635–1648.

Sheen J. 2001. Signal transduction in maize and *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts. *Plant Physiology* **127**, 1466–1475.

Spassov DS, Jurecic R. 2002. Cloning and comparative sequence analysis of PUM1 and PUM2 genes, human members of the Pumilio family of RNA-binding proteins. *Gene* **299**, 195–204.

Sugiyama N, Nakagami H, Mochida K, Daudi A, Tomita M, Shirasu K, Ishihama Y. 2008. Large-scale phosphorylation mapping reveals the extent of tyrosine phosphorylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Systems Biology* **4**, 193.

Tabb DL, McDonald WH, Yates JR 3rd. 2002. DTASelect and Contrast: tools for assembling and comparing protein identifications from shotgun proteomics. *Journal of Proteome Research* **1**, 21–26.

Tamaru H, Selker EU. 2001. A histone H3 methyltransferase controls DNA methylation in *Neurospora crassa*. *Nature* **414**, 277–283.

Thingholm TE, Larsen MR. 2009. The use of titanium dioxide microcolumns to selectively isolate phosphopeptides from proteolytic digests. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **527**, 57–66, xi.

Umeda M, Shimotohno A, Yamaguchi M. 2005. Control of cell division and transcription by cyclin-dependent kinase-activating kinases in plants. *Plant Cell Physiology* **46**, 1437–1442.

Vernet T, Fleck J, Durr A, Fritsch C, Pinck M, Hirth L.

1982. Expression of the gene coding for the small subunit of ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase during differentiation of tobacco plant protoplasts. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **126**, 489–494.

Vidali L, Bezanilla M. 2012. *Physcomitrella patens*: a model for tip cell growth and differentiation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **15**, 625–631.

2106 | Wang et al.

Vollbrecht E, Veit B, Sinha N, Hake S. 1991. The developmental gene *Knotted-1* is a member of a maize homeobox gene family. *Nature* **350**, 241–243.

Wang XQ, Yang PF, Liu Z, Liu WZ, Hu Y, Chen H, Kuang TY, Pei ZM, Shen SH, He YK. 2009. Exploring the mechanism of *Physcomitrella patens* desiccation tolerance through a proteomic strategy. *Plant Physiology* **149**, 1739–1750.

Yang X, Tu L, Zhu L, Fu L, Min L, Zhang X. 2008. Expression profile analysis of genes involved in cell wall regeneration during protoplast culture in cotton by suppression subtractive hybridization and macroarray. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 3661–3674.

Yu X, Michaels SD. 2010. The *Arabidopsis* Paf1c complex component CDC73 participates in the modification of FLOWERING LOCUS C chromatin. *Plant Physiology* **153**, 1074–1084.

Zhao J, Morozova N, Williams L, Libs L, Avivi Y, Grafi G. 2001. Two phases of chromatin decondensation during dedifferentiation of plant cells: distinction between competence for cell fate switch and a commitment for S phase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 22772–22778.