



Published in final edited form as:

Anesthesiology. 2014 May ; 120(5): 1063–1064. doi:10.1097/ALN.000000000000173.

Balance in opioid prescription during pregnancy

Pamela Flood, M.D.¹ and Srinivasa N. Raja, M.D.²

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine. Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA ²Department of Anesthesiology and Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

In this issue of *Anesthesiology*, Bateman and colleagues present an analysis of opioid prescription practices from a large US private insurance database ¹. The information, derived from the database “InVision” for Data Mart is constructed from medical and prescription information from privately insured people in the United States. The authors identified a surprisingly high incidence of opioid prescription during pregnancy (14%) that was marked by considerable regional variation. The large majority of opioid prescriptions identified were for short courses of treatment with only 2.2% including 2 or more refills.

This work is important in that the absolute incidence of opioid prescription during pregnancy in this cohort is much higher than that identified in earlier US cohorts ^{2,3} or Scandinavian cohorts ^{4–6}. Furthermore, the large regional variation suggests that a different balance is perceived between the value of treating the mother with a short course of opioid and potential risk to the fetus. To enhance the awareness of pain treatment among healthcare professionals, the American Pain Society promoted pain as the “5th vital sign” in the latter half of the 1990s. The Veterans Health Administration (1999) and the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO, 2000) –subsequently adopted the “Pain as the 5th Vital Sign” initiative to establish standards for the routine screening, assessment, and documentation of a management plan for pain. In part, as a result of these campaigns designed to combat under treatment of chronic pain, US opioid prescription has greatly increased over the periods covered by the above studies, even between the period considered by the National Birth Defects Prevention Study (1997–2005) and the current trial (2005–2011)⁷.

The potential impact of liberalization of opioid prescription on the fetus is certainly worthy of consideration and has been the subject of many studies. The consequences of fetal opioid exposure have been documented for decades, since the rise of heroin use in the 1950s. Opioid use during pregnancy was associated with an excess of premature delivery, low birth weight infants, neonatal withdrawal and birth defects⁸. However, the interpretation of these findings from small observational trials is complicated by the strong association of adverse

Corresponding Author: Pamela Flood, Stanford University, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, 300 Pasteur Dr., Palo Alto, CA 94305, 201-370-3933 (phone), pamelaflood181@gmail.com.

The authors are not supported by, nor maintain any financial interest in any commercial activity that may be associated with the topic of this article.

social circumstances associated with illicit opioid use including comorbid disease and other drug use and poor maternal nutrition. Pregnant women who are prescribed a short course of opioids are less likely to be exposed to the above conditions.

The risk to the fetus of short term exposure to prescription opioids under medical supervision is more difficult to assess and needs to be carefully examined in future studies. Most studies focus on exposure in early pregnancy during the period of organogenesis although pathology may occur through more subtle changes in neuronal structure or function. An early US cohort from the Collaborative Perinatal Project documenting use of propoxyphene and codeine (1959 to 1965)², a study from a Swedish birth registry identifying use of dextropropoxyphene and codeine (1985–1989)⁴ and a study based on the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort that identified codeine (1967–2008)⁵ did not find an association between opioid prescription and congenital malformation. In contrast, several case control studies, most notably the US National Birth Defects Prevention Study (1997–2005)³ have found an associations between codeine and other opioid use and birth defects including atrial and ventricular septal defects, hypoplastic left heart syndrome, spina bifida and gastroschisis in newborns. It is not clear whether differences in study design between the large cohort trials and case control trials might play a role in the difference in findings. However, the findings from recent case control trials should play a role in consideration of the best treatment for maternal pain and risk to the fetus. Maternal treatment with opioids in the third trimester, near the time of birth, is clearly a risk factor for neonatal abstinence syndrome and requires careful attention by the pediatricians caring for the newborn to avoid associated morbidity⁹.

Pain is very common in pregnancy as a result of weight gain, postural change, hormonally induced ligamentous relaxation and pelvic floor dysfunction. Other studies have suggested that low back pain and pelvic pain are present in 2/3ds and 1/5th of pregnancies respectively and are potentially improved by exercise and acupuncture¹⁰. Approximately 10% of the total prescriptions in the Bateman cohort were related to surgical procedures and approximately 1% was for women who were chronically using opioids prior to pregnancy. If opioids are considered obligatory for those patients, the remaining 89% of patients might consider alternative means for pain management. The majority of the indications for opioid treatment in the Bateman cohort were back pain (37%) abdominal pain, migraine, joint pain, and fibromyalgia, pain syndromes that are not particularly opioid responsive and are amenable to treatment with other drugs including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, physical and psychological therapy.

The use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) during pregnancy has been considered a risk factor for congenital malformation but a recent study of over 90 thousand Norwegian mother child pairs did not identify any increase in the risk for congenital malformation¹¹. NSAIDs are used not only for pain but for tocolysis later in pregnancy. There use after 30 weeks gestation is associated with 15 fold higher risk of premature closure of the ductus arteriosus before birth which can be complicated by persistent pulmonary hypertension¹². The use of other drugs and treatments for pain management is not studied in this cohort, therefore we do not know if the opioids were prescribed after failure of other therapy.

The findings of Bateman and colleagues suggest that at least in some areas of the United States, the balance between of inadequate pain management for the mother and risk to the fetus has shifted. Current studies continue to raise the specter of a small increased risk for birth defects when prescription opioids are used in early pregnancy. Opioids are not recommended as the first line treatment of mild to moderate pain even in the absence of the consideration of pregnancy. It may be perceived as easier for the practitioner and or the patient to use opioids for the common pain conditions encountered in pregnancy rather than more time intensive use of other therapies. The ratio between the relative risk and benefits of the available therapies for pain in pregnant women, such as NSAIDs and opioids, needs further careful investigation. When long-term risk is added to the therapeutic equation, an emphasis on alternative therapy may be warranted and should be considered in prospective trials of pain management in pregnancy.

References

1. Bateman BT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Rathmell JP, Seeger JD, Doherty M, Fischer MA, Huybrechts KF. Patterns of opioid utilization in pregnancy in a large cohort of commercial insurance beneficiaries in the United States. *Anesthesiology*. 201X;XX:XX-XX.
2. Heinonen, OP.; Slone, D.; Shapiro, S. Birth defects and drugs in pregnancy. Littleton, CO: Publishing Sciences Group, Inc.; 1977. p. 293-352.
3. Broussard CS, Rasmussen SA, Reefhuis J, Friedman JM, Jann MW, Riehle-Colarusso T, Honein MA. National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Maternal treatment with opioid analgesics and risk for birth defects. *Am. J. Obst. Gynecol.* 2011; 204:314.e1–314.e11. [PubMed: 21345403]
4. Källén B. Birth weight for gestational age standard based on data in the Swedish Medical Birth Register 1985–1989. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 1995; 11:601–606. [PubMed: 8549738]
5. Nezvalová K, Spigset O, Nordeng H. Effect of codeine on pregnancy outcome: Results from a large population-based cohort study. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2011; 67:1253–1261. [PubMed: 21656212]
6. Källén B, Borg N, Reis M. The use of central nervous system active drugs during pregnancy. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2013; 6:1221–1286. [PubMed: 24275849]
7. Daubresse M, Chang HY, Yu Y, Viswanathan S, Shah ND, Stafford RS, Kruszewski SP. Ambulatory diagnosis and treatment of nonmalignant pain in the United States, 2000–2010. *Med Care*. 2013; 51:870–878. [PubMed: 24025657]
8. Coluzzi F, Valensise H, Sacco M, Allegri M. Chronic pain management in pregnancy and lactation. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2013 Jul 15. EPUB.
9. Behnke M, Smith VC. Committee on Substance Abuse, Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Prenatal substance abuse: short- and long-term effects on the exposed fetus. *Pediatrics*. 2013; 131:e1009–e1024. [PubMed: 23439891]
10. Pennick V. Interventions for preventing and treating pelvic and back pain in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013; 8:CD001139. [PubMed: 23904227]
11. Nezvalová-Henriksen K, Spigset O, Nordeng H. Effects of ibuprofen, diclofenac, naproxen, and piroxicam on the course of pregnancy and pregnancy outcome: A prospective cohort study. *BJOG*. 2013; 120:948–959. [PubMed: 23489333]
12. Koren G, Florescu A, Costei AM, Boskovic R, Moretti ME. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs during third trimester and the risk of premature closure of the ductus arteriosus: A meta-analysis. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2006; 40:824–829. [PubMed: 16638921]