A Distal CCAAT/NUCLEAR FACTOR Y Complex Promotes Chromatin Looping at the FLOWERING LOCUS T Promoter and Regulates the Timing of Flowering in Arabidopsis

Shuanghe Cao,^a Roderick W. Kumimoto,^a Nerina Gnesutta,^b Alessandra M. Calogero,^b Roberto Mantovani,^b and Ben F. Holt III^{a,1}

^a Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

^b Dipartimento di BioScienze, Università degli Studi di Milano, 20133 Milan, Italy

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7182-9594 (B.F.H.)

For many plant species, reproductive success relies on the proper timing of flowering, and photoperiod provides a key environmental input. Photoperiod-dependent flowering depends on timely expression of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT); however, the coordination of various cis-regulatory elements in the FT promoter is not well understood. Here, we provide evidence that long-distance chromatin loops bring distal enhancer elements into close association with the proximal promoter elements bound by CONSTANS (CO). Additionally, we show that NUCLEAR FACTOR Y (NF-Y) binds a CCAAT box in the distal enhancer element and that CCAAT disruption dramatically reduces FT promoter activity. Thus, we propose the recruitment model of photoperiod-dependent flowering where NF-Y complexes, bound at the FT distal enhancer element, help recruit CO to proximal cis-regulatory elements and initiate the transition to reproductive growth.

INTRODUCTION

To properly time flowering and reproduction, plants often use seasonally changing daylength signals (or photoperiod). Mechanistic descriptions of photoperiod-dependent flowering are important for understanding phenology in changing global environments and for intelligently modifying agricultural crops. During photoperiod-dependent flowering, plants integrate photoperiod with the production of mobile florigenic hormones, or florigens. A key florigen, the protein product of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), integrates signals from several flowering time pathways (e.g., vernalization and photoperiod; reviewed in Imaizumi and Kay, 2006). Under inductive conditions, which vary among plant species, FT protein is produced in the vascular tissue of leaves, loaded into the phloem, and translocated to the shoot apical meristem (Corbesier et al., 2007; Jaeger and Wigge, 2007; Mathieu et al., 2007; Tamaki et al., 2007). In the shoot apical meristem, FT putatively interacts with transcriptional activators, such as the bZIP protein FD (Wigge et al., 2005), driving the expression of floral promoting genes and initiating the conversion of vegetative meristems to reproductive meristems. Thus, understanding the regulation of FT has important implications in plant developmental biology.

An important transcriptional activator of FT and photoperioddependent flowering is encoded by CONSTANS (CO) (Putterill et al., 1995; Kobayashi et al., 1999; Samach et al., 2000). In Arabidopsis thaliana, CO accumulates late in the day but is

¹Address correspondence to benholt@ou.edu.

[™]Online version contains Web-only data.

IOPEN Articles can be viewed online without a subscription. www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.113.120352

rapidly degraded by the proteasome in the dark. Thus, Arabidopsis is a facultative long-day (LD) flowering plant, meaning that sufficiently long days (longer than ~12 h) are necessary for CO to accumulate and positively affect FT expression (Suárez-López et al., 2001; Valverde et al., 2004; Jang et al., 2008; L.J. Liu et al., 2008). In 2010, Tiwari and colleagues identified two COresponsive elements (CORE1 and 2) in the FT promoter (pFT) with the consensus sequence TGTG(N2-3)ATG (Tiwari et al., 2010). CO directly bound CORE2 in gel shift assays, and a mutation in this site eliminated both CO binding and synthetic promoter activation. Simultaneously, Adrian et al. (2010) examined flowering time relative to various pFT mutations and truncations using an in vivo methodology that relied on rescuing late flowering ft-10 mutants (Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia-0 [Col-0]). While pFT:FT constructs efficiently rescued late flowering, a mutation in the CORE1 region resulted in late flowering and suppressed FT expression (CORE1 was called S2 in this article; hereafter, CORE1). The CORE1 and CORE2 sites are located at -220 and -161 bp relative to the start codon, respectively. However, experiments using *pFT* truncations and a combination of flowering time and β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter assays defined the minimal functional FT promoter as \sim 5.7 kb in length (Adrian et al., 2010). Therefore, while CO is clearly a key regulator of FT expression during photoperiod-dependent flowering and the proximal CORE sites appear to play an important role, the functionally defined promoter suggests that additional distal elements are required.

CO and CO-Like proteins physically associate with NUCLEAR FACTOR Y (NF-Y) transcription factors, but the nature of these interactions remain unclear (Ben-Naim et al., 2006; Wenkel et al., 2006). NF-Y complexes bind DNA with the central pentamer CCAAT and are composed of three unique subunits called NF-YA, NF-YB, and NF-YC (Dolfini et al., 2012). Each subunit is encoded by a 10-member gene family in Arabidopsis (with similar family sizes in other plant lineages) (Petroni et al., 2012; Laloum et al.,

The authors responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) are: Roberto Mantovani (mantor@unimi.it) and Ben F. Holt III (benholt@ou.edu).



Figure 1. CCAAT and CORE Sites Are Required for Proper FT Expression and Flowering.

(A) Simplified diagram of *pFT* showing locations of hypothesized interacting *CCAAT* and CORE sites (Adrian et al., 2010; Tiwari et al., 2010). (B) *GUS* expression patterns for *pFT:FTGUS*, *pFT* Δ *core1:FT/GUS*, *pFT* Δ *core2:FT/GUS*, and *pFT* Δ *ccaat:FT/GUS*. Arrow designates distal expression in *pFT* Δ *ccaat* leaf. GUS pictures represent the subjective average GUS expression pattern for multiple (~10) independent lines for each construct. Results were relatively consistent between independent lines, with the exception of *pFT* Δ *core1*, which showed more variable expression.

(C) Box and whisker plots of flowering times for *ft-10* lines transgenic for various *FT* rescue constructs. Box represents 25th/75th percentiles,

2013). Higher order nf-y mutants appear phenotypically identical to co mutants (e.g., nf-yb2 nf-yb3 double mutants have very low FT expression and strongly delayed flowering under normally inductive LD photoperiods) (Kumimoto et al., 2008). Furthermore, overexpression of CO normally drives very early flowering, except in appropriate nf-yb (nf-yb2 nf-yb3) and nf-yc (nf-yc3 nf-yc4 nf-yc9) mutant backgrounds (Kumimoto et al., 2010; Tiwari et al., 2010). Despite the direct NF-Y/CO physical interaction and prediction that they function together to drive FT expression, there are no canonical CCAAT sites located immediately adjacent to the CORE domains in pFT (Figure 1A). However, there are at least eight potential NF-Y binding sites in the functionally defined FT promoter. In particular, a CCAAT site at approximately -5.3 kb from the ATG is in a region that is highly conserved in the FT promoters of several examined Brassicaceae species (Adrian et al., 2010). This -5.3-kb region is also largely free of LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1 (LHP1) interactions, which likely suppress transcription factor binding in much of pFT (Adrian et al., 2010). Collectively, these findings led to the hypothesis that CO binds near the transcriptional start site (TSS) of pFT and makes long distance genetic interactions with an activator complex bound in the -5.3-kb region (Adrian et al., 2010; reviewed in Pin and Nilsson, 2012). Here we specifically test this hypothesis, focusing on the role of the single CCAAT site at -5.3 kb and its interactions with the CORE1 and 2 sites to regulate the flowering transition.

RESULTS

A Distal CCAAT Box and Proximal CORE Domains Are Required for Proper Expression of *FT* and Floral Initiation

To initially explore the relative contributions of the two CORE sites and the -5.3-kb CCAAT site in FT expression, we generated various pFT:FT/GUS reporter lines and examined the GUS expression patterns in 2-week-old plants. As previously demonstrated for similar constructs, an ~6.5-kb pFT fusion to an FT/GUS chimeric reporter gene (pFT:FT/GUS) was strongly expressed in leaf vascular tissue, especially in the peripheral regions (Notaguchi et al., 2008; Adrian et al., 2010) (Figure 1B). Plants expressing constructs with mutations in either CORE sequence ($pFT\Delta core1$: FT/GUS and pFT_core2:FT/GUS) had vascular expression in essentially the same regions as pFT:FT/GUS; however, the $\triangle core2$ mutation resulted in an overall reduction in the strength of reporter gene expression. A mutation in the -5.3-kb CCAAT sequence (pFTAccaat:FT/GUS lines) consistently resulted in much weaker reporter expression, largely confined to the vascular tissue at leaf tips (see arrow in Figure 1B).

We next tested whether these apparent *FT* expression reductions translated to alterations in flowering time using *ft-10* rescue experiments. Rescue constructs included *FT* genomic sequence from -6.5 kb through ~ 1.5 kb of 3'untranslated region (UTR) sequence (using *gFT:GUS* from Notaguchi et al.

whiskers are 10th/90th percentiles, horizontal line is median, + is mean, and black dots are outliers. Statistical comparison of populations done by nonparametric Kruskal Wallis test (P < 0.0001) and Dunn's multiple comparison test (*P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant).

[2008] as template; see Methods). We chose to measure flowering time in randomly selected, glufosinate (BASTA)-treated T1 plants because this removed the biases inherent in plant selection and gave a better picture of the overall response to the individual transgenes. ft-10 plants transgenic for pFT:FT (control) readily recovered photoperiod-dependent flowering and flowered even earlier than the wild-type Col-0 ecotype (Figure 1C). We note that multiple independent experiments confirmed this earlier flowering, suggesting that additional negative regulatory elements may be missing from the pFT:FT constructs. Consistent with the reporter gene assays, $pFT\Delta core1:FT$ plants flowered with similar timing to pFT:FT (12.8 \pm 6.3 versus 11.8 \pm 5.4 total leaves, respectively). However, the ability of $pFT\Delta core2:FT$ to rescue the *ft-10* mutation was significantly reduced (18.8 \pm 7.9). A double promoter mutant, pFT Δ core1/2:FT (25.6 \pm 9.7), flowered later than pFT Δ core2:FT, suggesting that the CORE1 site does have a role, albeit weaker, in photoperiod-dependent flowering. As predicted from the reporter gene assays, mutations in the -5.3-kb CCAAT site (pFT Δ ccaat:FT) strongly affected flowering time (28.1 \pm 8.7). Finally, *pFT* Δ *core1/2*, Δ ccaat:FT triple promoter mutants flowered only slightly earlier than ft-10 plants (35.6 \pm 4.8 versus 40.4 \pm 4.4), suggesting that much of the positive, photoperiod-dependent regulation of FT expression can be accounted for by these three *cis*-regulatory elements.

NF-YB2 Can Physically Associate with the -5.3-kb CCAAT Box in the *FT* Promoter

Previous electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs; Tiwari et al., 2010) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays (Song et al., 2012) confirmed in vitro CO binding to the CORE2 domain and in vivo CO binding to the FT proximal promoter, respectively. To determine if NF-Y complexes also bind pFT, we performed ChIP using stable plant lines that constitutively overexpressed NF-YB2 (from the 35S cauliflower mosaic virus promoter) with a yellow florescent protein (YFP) and HA epitope tag. NF-YB2 is a known component of the NF-Y complexes that positively regulate FT expression and photoperiod-dependent flowering and is therefore expected to be bound to a subset of the CCAAT sites in pFT. Interestingly, while there was always modest enrichment for amplicons near the -5.3-kb CCAAT site and at ~ 2 kb upstream of the TSS (P < 0.1), we also measured consistent enrichment for binding near the CORE1/2 elements, where canonical CCAAT sites are absent (P < 0.05; Figure 2A). In two additional independent experiments, the strength of binding to the -5.3-kb site was typically ~2-fold enriched but varied in statistical significance (P = 0.16 and P = 0.0002; Supplemental Figure 1). Therefore, we additionally performed EMSA to test NF-Y complexes for direct biochemical association with the -5.3-kb CCAAT site, as well as potential interaction with the CORE1/2 sites. The tested NF-Y complex consisted of NF-YB2 and NF-YC3, two known components of FT-regulating complexes, and mouse NF-YA (mNF-YA). mNF-YA has previously been used as a substitute for plant NF-YA and was chosen here because it remains unclear which of the 10 Arabidopsis NF-YA subunits are involved in flowering. Initially we demonstrated that mNF-YA/NF-YB2/NF-YC3 can bind a 31-bp oligonucleotide from the -5.3-kb site (Figure 2B). Binding of this site is CCAAT specific, since it could be competed with an unlabeled oligonucleotide, but not with a mutant



Figure 2. NF-Y Binding Was Enriched in *pFT* and Could Specifically Bind at *CCAAT* Sequences.

(A) ChIP experiment showing NF-YB2 enrichment at several locations in *pFT*. NF-YB2/GFP immunoprecipitated using anti-GFP antibodies linked to magnetic beads. NIC, (white bars) nonimmune controls where anti-Myc antibodies were substituted. Statistical comparison done by one-tailed *t* tests; *P < 0.1, **P < 0.05, *n* = 9 biological replicates, mean + sE. ChIP experiments were repeated twice (Supplemental Figure 1).

(B) EMSA showing -5.3-kb CCAAT-specific binding. Unlabeled oligonucleotide competitors were CCAAT (identical to 31-bp Cy5-labeled version); CCAATmut (CCAAT sequence changed to *gtcAg*); and CORE1 and 2 (CORE sequences as competitors). Oligo and primer sequences, including specific locations of ChIP amplicons, are in Supplemental Table 1.

version (CCAATmut, same mutation as Figures 1B and 1C above). Importantly, we additionally demonstrated that oligonucleotides containing the CORE1 and CORE2 sites could not compete away binding, indicating no significant intrinsic affinity of the NF-Y trimer to the CORE1/2 sites. Coupled with the known protein–protein interactions between NF-Y subunits and CO, these data suggested that hypothesized genetic interactions between the –5.3-kb CCAAT and CORE1/2 regions of pFT (Figure 1B; Adrian et al., 2010) might also represent direct physical interactions resulting in chromatin loops.

CO- and NF-Y-Dependent Chromatin Loops Form in a Time-Dependent Manner in the *FT* Promoter

Using the chromatin conformational capture (3C) technique (Hagège et al., 2007; Louwers et al., 2009a, 2009b), we addressed whether DNA loops bring the CORE1/2 and -5.3-kb *CCAAT* sites within close proximity. With CORE1/2 acting as the anchor point, we sequentially queried cross-linking interactions between this region and various *Dpn*II restriction enzyme-released, *CCAAT*-containing DNA fragments in the functionally defined ~6.5-kb *FT* promoter (Figure 3A). These experiments were performed on chromatin extracted from LD grown plants at ~16 h after lights on, for example, during a time of peak *FT* expression. Two clear peaks

were consistently measured, corresponding to interactions with DpnII-released fragments IV and VII (Figure 3B; note that fragment VII corresponds to the -5.3-kb CCAAT site). We also inconsistently measured an interaction with fragment III, but this is likely due to linkage and incomplete digestion as previously described (Louwers et al., 2009a, 2009b). To address whether or not these putative DNA loops depended on CO or an NF-Y complex, we repeated the 3C experiment using the low FTexpressing, late-flowering, strong hypomorphic mutant co-9 (also referred to as co-sail; Laubinger et al., 2006) and nfyb2 nf-yb3. In the co-9 mutant, the peaks corresponding to fragments IV and VII were both significantly reduced but not eliminated. However, only peak VII was significantly reduced in nf-yb2 nf-yb3 (Figure 3B). We additionally examined the late flowering nf-yc3 nf-yc4 nf-yc9 triple mutant and it also showed a reduction in peak VII, but no change in peak IV (Supplemental Figure 2). This data suggested that several DNA loops formed in pFT that were





(A) Scale diagram of the FT promoter showing the positions of all DpnII restriction sites, CCAAT boxes, and the fragments tested for interaction with the CORE1/2 region.

(B) Wild-type and mutant 3C analysis. Mean (±sE) for three biological replicates for each genotype. Note that apparent, but inconsistent, linkage to fragment III is likely related to incomplete digestion and not looping.

(C) Time-course 3C analysis. Mean $(\pm s_E)$ for three biological replicates for each time point. White/black bar above graph represents light and dark. All 3C experiments repeated with similar results.

(D) 3C using fragment VII (-5.3-kb CCAAT site) as anchor region revealed a similar diurnal looping pattern as when the CORE1/2 region was used as the anchor probe.

linked to the CORE sites and were partly, but not completely, dependent on CO and NF-Y.

Under inductive LD conditions (16 h light/8 h dark), *FT* expression is low early in the day, increases near the end of the day, and rapidly drops off in the dark. Using time-course 3C, we examined if the CORE-linked DNA loops followed a similar diurnal rhythm. Samples were collected every 4 h from ZT0 to ZT20. Cross-linking between fragment IV and VII with the CORE domain was strikingly similar to *FT* mRNA accumulation (i.e., relatively weak cross-linking early in the dary with peak linkage late in the day and rapid drops in the dark) (Figure 3C). If region VII was used as the 3C anchor point (i.e., the probe was located at this position instead of the CORE1/2 region), we measured the same diurnal pattern for the linkage between regions II (CORE domains) and VII (-5.3-kb *CCAAT* site; Figure 3D). Therefore, cross-linking between the -5.3-kb *CCAAT* and CORE regions was sensitive to time of day, as well as CO and NF-Y availability.

DISCUSSION

Collectively, our data are strongly supportive of an essential role for NF-Y complexes in the previously hypothesized, distal FT activator complex (Figure 1A). Blackman and Michaels (2010) proposed a model where CO interacts with pFT directly at the CORE elements and separately through distinct interactions with NF-Y complexes that are already bound to CCAAT boxes (an extension of the "docking" model proposed in Siefers et al. [2009]). If the putative DNA loops shown here are incorporated, this model can be updated to include NF-Y complexes in very close proximity to the CORE sites. We propose a "recruitment" model where (1) chromatin loops maintain NF-Y complexes in close proximity to the CORE sites, especially late in the day, (2) CO binding at CORE sites can be stabilized via direct NF-Y interactions, and (3) CO provides the FT transcriptional activation potential in this expression system (Figure 4). Thus, we hypothesize that chromatin loops do not activate FT expression per se, but create a time-of-day-dependent, poised environment where recruitment of CO (the key transcriptional activator of the system) is more likely and/or more stable.

Accordingly, our data could explain why moderate *CO* overexpression is ineffective at driving early flowering in various *nf-y* mutant backgrounds but that this *NF-Y* requirement can be partially overcome at very high levels of *CO* overexpression (Kumimoto et al., 2010; Tiwari et al., 2010). We hypothesize that, at sufficiently high levels, some CO effectively binds at CORE sites without NF-Y assistance. Furthermore, the partial, but not complete, requirement for NF-Y complexes and CO to form the long-distance loops suggests that other, currently undefined, *pFT*-bound proteins provide loop stability in the absence of an NF-Y/CO interaction.

It is currently unknown what roles well-known negative regulators of FT expression, as well as repressive chromatin marks, might play in the formation or maintenance of these chromatin loops. It is worth noting that in previous studies, reductions in LHP1 binding largely followed, but did not precede, FT activation. Also, localized increases or decreases in normally activating or repressing chromatin marks appeared to occur after FT activation, suggesting that the measured changes were part of a "fine-tuning" mechanism as opposed to activators of expression (Adrian et al., 2010). Of particular interest will be future investigations of the FT



FT Transcriptional Activation

Figure 4. Recruitment Model of FT Activation.

3C-measured loops are visualized as bringing CCAAT-bound NF-Y complexes into close proximity with the two CORE (C) sites (Figure 3). This putatively allows for improved recruitment/stabilization of CO through the combined effects of physical interactions with both its DNA binding site (Tiwari et al., 2010) and bound NF-Y complexes (dashed lines; Wenkel et al., 2006; Kumimoto et al., 2010). A second loop with a region that contains an NF-Y-bound CCAAT box (Figure 2A) and a putative E-box (E?; Adrian et al., 2010) is also shown.

repressors *TEMPRANILLO1* (*TEM1*) and *TEM2*. Accumulation of *TEM1/2* is thought to be negatively correlated with *CO* accumulation, such that their *FT* repressive and activating functions are developmentally balanced (Castillejo and Pelaz, 2008; Osnato et al., 2012). Two putative TEM1/2 binding sites are located ~130 to 190 bp from the CORE1 and CORE2 sites; thus, it is certainly possible that they have a role in the formation/stability of the described *FT* chromatin loops. Alternatively, the putative TEM1/2 binding sites are located between the TSS and start codon of *FT* (Castillejo and Pelaz, 2008), suggesting that TEM1/2 binding may simply physically interfere with transcription.

It was previously suggested that CO protein competes with NF-YA for occupancy in NF-YB/NF-YC dimers to regulate *FT* expression ("replacement" model; Wenkel et al., 2006; Siefers et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011). However, the data presented here show that *CCAAT* boxes are important for the regulation of *FT* expression, and it is unclear how a CO/NF-YB/NF-YC trimer would effectively bind *CCAAT* sequences because the NF-YA component of the trimer makes almost all of the essential *CCAAT* contacts (Siefers et al., 2009; Kumimoto et al., 2010; Nardini et al., 2013). While an NF-Y crystal structure does show limited identity between NF-YA and CO in amino acids necessary for the *CCAAT* interaction, CO is also missing several key residues that are functionally required for *CCAAT* binding (Xing et al., 1993; Nardini et al., 2013). Furthermore,

from monocots to dicots, these residues are completely conserved in plant NF-YAs (Cao et al., 2011). Finally, the need for CO to drive flowering can be completely eliminated by overexpressing NF-YB2 fused to a strong transcriptional activation domain (Tiwari et al., 2012). Collectively, this data cannot absolutely prove a requirement for NF-YA or disprove the replacement model. However, without functional NF-YA, it is difficult to imagine how NF-YB2 fused to a transcriptional activation domain could activate *FT* expression in a *co* mutant and, simultaneously, why proper *FT* expression requires at least one *CCAAT* box. Regardless of the need for NF-YA, it is important to note that the existence of both canonical NF-Y complexes and CO/NF-YB/NF-YC complexes on *pFT* remains possible.

Although not the focal interaction of this study, we also measured the consistent formation of a DNA loop between the CORE sites region and DpnII fragment IV (Figures 3A to 3C). Due to its large size (1569 bp), fragment IV provides poor resolution for potential cis-regulatory elements, but it does contain two CCAAT sequences (located at -1743 and -3004 relative to the ATG) and a conserved E-box (-1902; Adrian et al., 2010). ChIP data confirm NF-Y binding in the region of the first CCAAT sequence ("-2kb" ChIP primers in Figure 2B amplify the -1789 to -2141 region); however, loop formation with fragment IV appears unaffected in nf-y mutant plants. No binding partner has yet been identified for the conserved E-box, although it was previously suggested that CRY2-INTERACTING bHLH1 (CIB1) is an appealing possibility because of its ability to bind E-box cis-regulatory elements and enhance flowering time (H. Liu et al., 2008; Adrian et al., 2010). Nevertheless, recent data suggest that while multiple CIB proteins act redundantly to interact with the FT promoter and promote flowering, no interaction is reported at the -1902 E-box (Liu et al., 2013). Further research is therefore required regarding the importance of putative cis-regulatory elements and their potential binding partners in the -2-kb region of *pFT*.

While the study of chromatin conformation in relation to gene transcriptional activity is still in its infancy, it's increasingly clear that chromatin looping is important for bringing distant enhancer elements into close association with their promoters (Harmston and Lenhard, 2013). Prior to the advent of 3C and related technologies, CCAAT cis-elements were shown to act as enhancers and proposed to be involved in DNA looping (Koch et al., 1989). Examining genome-wide NF-Y binding in animal cell lines revealed that only 22% of bound CCAATs were located in proximal promoters; surprisingly, another 25% were located in distal enhancer elements (Fleming et al., 2013). Accordingly, NF-Y may represent a unique category of transcriptional activator because (1) binding at increasing distances from the TSS is correlated with increasing, not decreasing, regulatory control of transcription, and (2) it effectively saturates available CCAAT sites regardless of localized positive or repressive chromatin modifications. This latter feature suggests it is a "pioneer" transcription factor, capable of binding DNA in normally nonconducive environments and assisting with transcriptional activation through the recruitment of additional, essential regulatory transcription factors (Donati et al., 2008; Fleming et al., 2013). Considering the potential combinatorial diversity generated by the many possible plant trimeric NF-Y complexes, as well as their increasingly clear associations with other large transcription factor families (e.g., bZIPs; Yamamoto et al.,

2009; Liu and Howell, 2010; Kumimoto et al., 2013), understanding how and when they act in structured chromatin conformations represents a key next step in describing their many functions.

METHODS

Plasmid Construction

All FT complementation constructs contain 6.5 kb upstream of the ATG, the genomic coding sequence, and 1.5 kb of downstream sequence, including the entire predicted 3'UTR. Primers used in the cloning reactions are provided in Supplemental Table 1. The FT promoter was amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA. The PCR products were introduced into pDONRP4-P1r via a BP Clonase reaction (Invitrogen). Point mutations of the -5.3-kb CCAAT box and CORE1/2 sites within the FT promoter were introduced by PCR and recombined into DONRP4-P1r via BP Clonase reaction. CORE1 and 2 mutations were from TGTG(N2-3)ATG to TaTa(N2-3)ATG, a mutation previously demonstrated to eliminate both CO binding and synthetic promoter activation in protoplast assays (Tiwari et al., 2010). The CCAAT site was mutated to gtcAg. The genomic coding region of FT, including the 3'UTR, with a translational fusion to the GUS gene, was amplified from a previously described gFT:GUS construct (Notaguchi et al., 2008) and inserted into pDONR207 via BP Clonase reaction. Multisite Gateway reactions between each version of the FT promoter and genomic coding region of FT (FT:GUS:3'UTR) into the destination vector R4pGWB613 (Nakamura et al., 2010) were performed via LR Clonase (Invitrogen). However, the presence of the Gateway site between the FT promoter and coding region resulted in nonfunctional constructs. Therefore, the Gateway site was subsequently removed using PCR and restriction digest approaches. Although the GUS-tagged FT protein is properly expressed in the leaf vasculature, this translational fusion is unable to rescue the ft-10 lateflowering phenotype. Therefore, the GUS gene was removed from the above constructs for flowering time assays. The CORE1/CORE2 double mutant was created by restriction digest and ligation between the two vectors containing the single mutations using standard procedures.

All of destination plasmids based on pGWB613 were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 containing the helper plasmid pSOUP (Koncz and Schell, 1986). Plasmids were transformed into the *ft-10* mutant in the Col-0 background (Yoo et al., 2005) by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). In the T1 generation, plants carrying a pGWB613 plasmid were identified on the basis of BASTA resistance. Construction of 35S:NF-YB2-YFP/HA was previously described (Kumimoto et al., 2010).

GUS Staining and Flowering Time Measurement

All plants were grown at 22°C under standard LD conditions (16 h light/8 h dark) in Conviron growth chambers (model ATC13) or a custom walk-in chamber. For flowering time experiments, plants were grown in soil media containing equal parts Farfard C2 Mix and Metromix 200 supplemented with 40 g Marathon pesticide and dilute Peters fertilizer (NPK 20:20:20). Plants were watered throughout with dilute fertilizer (Peters NPK 20:20:20 at one-tenth of the recommended feeding levels). Flowering time was quantified by counting rosette and cauline leaves on the primary axis. Graphs and statistical analyses were all performed using the Prism software package (GraphPad). *nf-yb2 nf-yb3* and *nf-yc triple* mutant lines were originally described (Kumimoto et al., 2008, 2010). *ft-10* and *co-9* (*co-sail*) mutant lines were previously described (Yoo et al., 2005; Laubinger et al., 2006).

For GUS staining experiments, seeds were surfaced sterilized, sown on plates containing Gamborg B5 media, and grown for 10 d in standard LD conditions. Whole plants were harvested into GUS staining solution (50 mM NaHPO₄, pH 7.2, 0.2% Triton X-100, and 1 mM 5-bromo-4chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronic acid) and vacuum-infiltrated until tissue became translucent. Tissue was incubated overnight at 37°C in the GUS staining solution. The plant material was cleared and maintained in 70% ethanol. Pictures were taken with an Axiocam mounted on a Zeiss Stemi 2000-C stereoscope with Axiovision software version 4.8. Representative images of the subjective average staining are shown.

ChIP

Aboveground tissue of 10-d-old, LD-grown p35S:NF-YB2-YFP/HA was harvested at 14 h after lights on. The nuclei extraction and chromatin crosslinking was performed using a protocol from the lab of Eric Lam (Rutgers). Young leaves (1 to 3 g) were ground in liquid nitrogen and immediately transferred into 30 mL of nuclear isolation buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 400 mM Suc, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 1% formaldehyde, 14 mM 2mercaptoethanol, 0.6% Triton X-100, and 0.4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) for 10 min at room temperature. Next, 2 mL of 2 M Gly was added to stop the cross-linking for 5 min with gentle mixing. The lysate was then filtered (Miracloth on top of a piece of Sefar Nitex mesh) and nuclei were pelleted at 2800g (4000 rpm with 15-cm-diameter rotor) for 10 min. Chromatin shearing was performed using a Bioruptor UCD300 per the manufacturer's instructions (Diagenode). Immunoprecipitations were performed using µMACS GFP-tagged microbeads. µMACS cMyc-tagged microbeads were used as mock ChIP controls (nonimmune controls). The immunoprecipitation procedure follows the ChIP protocol described by Miltenyi Biotec. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX Connect real-time system with Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas). The qPCR profile was 2 min at 50°C and 10 min at 95°C, 45 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, and 1 min at 55°C followed by the default dissociation step for melt curve. Primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1. ChIP efficiency was calculated as percent of input as previously described (Haring et al., 2007).

Recombinant Protein Purification and EMSA

Mouse NF-YA, NF-YB, and NF-YC recombinant proteins were produced and purified from *Escherichia coli* as described (Liberati et al., 1999, 2001). *Arabidopsis thaliana* NF-YB2 and NF-YC3 expression and purification with pET32 vectors were described by Calvenzani et al. (2012). To obtain NF-YB/ NF-YC dimers, Ni²⁺-purified soluble NF-YB2 and NF-YC3 from inclusion bodies (IB) were mixed in denaturing IB buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCI, 6 M GnCI, and 2 mM DTT) in a 1:4 ratio. Refolding was obtained by 2-h dialysis steps against BC300 buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 300 mM KCI, 10% glycerol, and 1 mM DTT) containing urea at decreasing concentrations (2.5/ 1.25/0.625 M), followed by overnight dialysis against BC100 (BC300 containing 100 mM KCI). Unfolded insoluble proteins were removed by centrifugation (18,000g \times 20'), and the soluble fraction containing refolded dimers was recovered. Protein concentration was determined by Bradford protein assay.

For nonradioactive EMSAs (Figure 2B), 5' Cy5-labeled doublestranded DNA oligo Cy5-CCAAT probe (20 nM) (Sigma-Aldrich; see Supplemental Table 1 for sequence) was incubated with NF-YB/NF-YC refolded dimers (240 nM) the presence or absence of NF-YA. NF-YA was added at 80 nM (lanes 2 and 3), 120 nM (lane 14), or 240 nM (lanes 4 to 13). Reactions were incubated in NF-Y binding buffer (12 mM Tris, pH 8, 50 mM KCl, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 12% glycerol, and 0.2 mg/mL BSA) including 0.5 µg polydA:dT (P0883; Sigma-Aldrich) per reaction, and unlabeled competitor oligos, or TE, as indicated. Unlabeled competitor was present in molar excess with respect to the labeled probe (20 nM) as follows: 2X, 5X, and 20X for CCAAT; 5X and 20X for CCAATmut and CORE1/2 (lanes 5 to 7, 8 and 9, 10 and 11, and 12 and 13, respectively). Binding reactions were incubated for 30 min at 25°C and then separated by electrophoresis on 4% acrylamide gels in $0.25 \times$ TBE. Fluorescence gel images were obtained with a Typhoon 8610 Variable Mode Imager (Molecular Dynamics).

3C-qPCR

3C experiments were performed according to the method described for maize (*Zea mays*) with minor alterations (Louwers et al., 2009a). In short, leaves from 2-week-old LD-grown *Arabidopsis* were cross-linked using formaldehyde and nuclei were isolated. Isolated chromatin was digested using *Dpn*II (New England Biolabs) followed by ligation (T4 DNA ligase; Fermentas) in a large volume and reverse cross-linking overnight. Ligated DNA was phenol-chloroform extracted and precipitated with 1 volume sterile MilliQ water, 1 volume 7.5 M NH4OAc, 40 μ L glycogen (20 mg/mL), and 4 volumes 100% ethanol at -80° C overnight. Real-time PCR quantification of ligation products was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR system using JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) and dual-labeled oligonucleotides (5' 6-FAM and 3' TAMRA) probes (Sigma-Aldrich). Primer and probe sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1. PCR program was 2 min at 95°C, 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C.

To correct for differences in quality and quantity of the template, an internal control was required. To this end, the ligation product of an unrelated locus was used in the normalization of the qPCR data. EF-1 α was identified to fulfill the required criteria (Czechowski et al., 2005; Louwers et al., 2009a). To control for differences in primer set efficiency during PCR amplification, a control template was required that contains all possible ligation products of the loci of interest (FT and $EF1\alpha$) in equimolar amounts. The FT template was obtained using the above-mentioned FT construct (6.5-kb FT promoter plus FT genomic coding region in pDONR207). Five micrograms of pFT:FT construct was digested for 6 h with DpnII (New England Biolabs), extracted with phenol-chloroform, and precipitated. Isolated DNA was ligated for 1 h at room temperature, followed by 5 h at 16°C (Fermentas; 100 μ L 10 \times ligation buffer, 5 μ L ligase [5 units/ μ L], and 895 μ L water). The ligated DNA was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction, precipitated, washed, and dissolved in water. The EF1 α control template was amplified from one of our 3C samples using primers EF1a-F and EF1a-3C-R2 (see Supplemental Table 1 for primers). The amplified fragment was gel purified and subjected to digestion, ligation, extraction, and precipitation as with pFT:FT. Serial dilutions of the resulting EF1a DNA was used to obtain standard curves that covered the same range of qPCR signals as obtained with the concentrations of the ligation products in the 3C samples, and then the standard curves were used to calculate fold difference of 3C samples according to different primer pairs. Data were normalized to interaction frequencies measured at the EF1 α locus using the relative standard curve method (Cao et al., 2011). For each 3C experiment, qPCR was performed with three biological replicates each containing 40 to 50 plants. For each normalized data point, the mean and sE were calculated using the Prism software package. Each experiment was replicated at least two times.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: AT1G65480 (FT), AT5G15840 (CO), At5g47640 (NF-YB2), At4g14540 (NF-YB3), At1g54830 (NF-YC3), At5g63470 (NF-YC4), At1g08970 (NF-YC9), and At5g60390 (EF-1 α).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure 1. Additional ChIP Assays for NF-Y Binding at *pFT*.

Supplemental Figure 2. The Triple Mutant *nf-yc3 nf-yc4 nf-yc9* Was Similarly Affected in Chromatin Loop Formation as the *nf-yb2 nf-yb3* Double Mutant.

Supplemental Table 1. Primers, Oligos, and Probes Used in the Course of Study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B.F.H.'s lab is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant IOS-1149822. The National Science Foundation had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the article. This research was also supported in part by a grant from the Research Council of the University of Oklahoma, Norman Campus. R.M.'s lab is supported by Nepente Grant from Regione Lombardia. We thank Takashi Araki (Division of Integrated Life Science, Kyoto University, Japan) for the *gFT:GUS* construct, Tsuyoshi Nakagawa (Research Institute of Molecular Genetics, Shimane University, Japan) for multisite Gateway destination vectors, and Chongyuan Luo (Department of Plant Biology and Pathology, Rutgers University, New Jersey) for constructive suggestions on ChIP experiments.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B.F.H., S.C., and R.W.K. principally conceived and designed experiments. B.F.H. and R.M. supervised the study. S.C., R.W.K., N.G., and A.M.C. performed experiments. B.F.H. wrote the article with assistance from all of the authors.

Received November 4, 2013; revised January 28, 2014; accepted February 10, 2014; published March 7, 2014.

REFERENCES

- Adrian, J., Farrona, S., Reimer, J.J., Albani, M.C., Coupland, G., and Turck, F. (2010). cis-Regulatory elements and chromatin state coordinately control temporal and spatial expression of FLOWERING LOCUS T in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 22: 1425–1440.
- Ben-Naim, O., Eshed, R., Parnis, A., Teper-Bamnolker, P., Shalit, A., Coupland, G., Samach, A., and Lifschitz, E. (2006). The CCAAT binding factor can mediate interactions between CONSTANS-like proteins and DNA. Plant J. 46: 462–476.
- Blackman, B.K., and Michaels, S.D. (2010). Does CONSTANS act as a transcription factor or as a co-activator? The answer may be—yes. New Phytol. **187**: 1–3.
- Calvenzani, V., Testoni, B., Gusmaroli, G., Lorenzo, M., Gnesutta, N., Petroni, K., Mantovani, R., and Tonelli, C. (2012). Interactions and CCAAT-binding of Arabidopsis thaliana NF-Y subunits. PLoS ONE 7: e42902.
- Cao, S., Kumimoto, R.W., Siriwardana, C.L., Risinger, J.R., and Holt, B.F., III., (2011). Identification and characterization of NF-Y transcription factor families in the monocot model plant *Brachypodium distachyon*. PLoS ONE 6: e21805.
- Castillejo, C., and Pelaz, S. (2008). The balance between CONSTANS and TEMPRANILLO activities determines FT expression to trigger flowering. Curr. Biol. 18: 1338–1343.
- **Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F.** (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. **16**: 735–743.
- Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S., Fornara, F., Fan, Q., Searle, I., Giakountis, A., Farrona, S., Gissot, L., Turnbull, C., and Coupland, G. (2007). FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. Science **316**: 1030–1033.
- Czechowski, T., Stitt, M., Altmann, T., Udvardi, M.K., and Scheible,
 W.R. (2005). Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 139: 5–17.

- **Dolfini, D., Gatta, R., and Mantovani, R.** (2012). NF-Y and the transcriptional activation of CCAAT promoters. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. **47:** 29–49.
- Donati, G., Gatta, R., Dolfini, D., Fossati, A., Ceribelli, M., and Mantovani, R. (2008). An NF-Y-dependent switch of positive and negative histone methyl marks on CCAAT promoters. PLoS ONE 3: e2066.
- Fleming, J.D., Pavesi, G., Benatti, P., Imbriano, C., Mantovani, R., and Struhl, K. (2013). NF-Y coassociates with FOS at promoters, enhancers, repetitive elements, and inactive chromatin regions, and is stereo-positioned with growth-controlling transcription factors. Genome Res. 23: 1195–1209.
- Hagège, H., Klous, P., Braem, C., Splinter, E., Dekker, J., Cathala,
 G., de Laat, W., and Forné, T. (2007). Quantitative analysis of chromosome conformation capture assays (3C-qPCR). Nat. Protoc.
 2: 1722–1733.
- Haring, M., Offermann, S., Danker, T., Horst, I., Peterhansel, C., and Stam, M. (2007). Chromatin immunoprecipitation: optimization, quantitative analysis and data normalization. Plant Methods 3: 11.
- Harmston, N., and Lenhard, B. (2013). Chromatin and epigenetic features of long-range gene regulation. Nucleic Acids Res. 41: 7185–7199.
- Imaizumi, T., and Kay, S.A. (2006). Photoperiodic control of flowering: Not only by coincidence. Trends Plant Sci. 11: 550–558.
- Jaeger, K.E., and Wigge, P.A. (2007). FT protein acts as a long-range signal in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 17: 1050–1054.
- Jang, S., Marchal, V., Panigrahi, K.C., Wenkel, S., Soppe, W., Deng, X.W., Valverde, F., and Coupland, G. (2008). Arabidopsis COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring a photoperiodic flowering response. EMBO J. 27: 1277–1288.
- Kobayashi, D.A., Kaya, H., Goto, K., Iwabuchi, M., and Araki, T. (1999). A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals. Science 286: 160–162.
- Koch, W., Benoist, C., and Mathis, D. (1989). Anatomy of a new B-cell-specific enhancer. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 303–311.
- Koncz, C., and Schell, J. (1986). The promoter of TL-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel type of Agrobacterium binary vector. Mol. Gen. Genet. 204: 383–396.
- Kumimoto, R.W., Adam, L., Hymus, G.J., Repetti, P.P., Reuber, T.L., Marion, C.M., Hempel, F.D., and Ratcliffe, O.J. (2008). The Nuclear Factor Y subunits NF-YB2 and NF-YB3 play additive roles in the promotion of flowering by inductive long-day photoperiods in Arabidopsis. Planta 228: 709–723.
- Kumimoto, R.W., Siriwardana, C.L., Gayler, K.K., Risinger, J.R., Siefers, N., and Holt, B.F., III., (2013). NUCLEAR FACTOR Y transcription factors have both opposing and additive roles in ABAmediated seed germination. PLoS ONE 8: e59481.
- Kumimoto, R.W., Zhang, Y., Siefers, N., and Holt, B.F., III., (2010). NF-YC3, NF-YC4 and NF-YC9 are required for CONSTANS-mediated, photoperiod-dependent flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 63: 379–391.
- Laloum, T., De Mita, S., Gamas, P., Baudin, M., and Niebel, A. (2013). CCAAT-box binding transcription factors in plants: Y so many? Trends Plant Sci. 18: 157–166.
- Laubinger, S., Marchal, V., Le Gourrierec, J., Wenkel, S., Adrian, J., Jang, S., Kulajta, C., Braun, H., Coupland, G., and Hoecker, U. (2006). Arabidopsis SPA proteins regulate photoperiodic flowering and interact with the floral inducer CONSTANS to regulate its stability. Development 133: 3213–3222. Erratum. Development 133: 4608.
- Li, C., Distelfeld, A., Comis, A., and Dubcovsky, J. (2011). Wheat flowering repressor VRN2 and promoter CO2 compete for interactions with NUCLEAR FACTOR-Y complexes. Plant J. 67: 763–773.

- Liberati, C., Cera, M.R., Secco, P., Santoro, C., Mantovani, R., Ottolenghi, S., and Ronchi, A. (2001). Cooperation and competition between the binding of COUP-TFII and NF-Y on human epsilon- and gamma-globin gene promoters. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 41700–41709.
- Liberati, C., di Silvio, A., Ottolenghi, S., and Mantovani, R. (1999). NF-Y binding to twin CCAAT boxes: Role of Q-rich domains and histone fold helices. J. Mol. Biol. 285: 1441–1455.
- Liu, H., Yu, X., Li, K., Klejnot, J., Yang, H., Lisiero, D., and Lin, C. (2008). Photoexcited CRY2 interacts with CIB1 to regulate transcription and floral initiation in Arabidopsis. Science **322**: 1535–1539.
- Liu, J.X., and Howell, S.H. (2010). bZIP28 and NF-Y transcription factors are activated by ER stress and assemble into a transcriptional complex to regulate stress response genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 22: 782– 796.
- Liu, L.J., Zhang, Y.C., Li, Q.H., Sang, Y., Mao, J., Lian, H.L., Wang, L., and Yang, H.Q. (2008). COP1-mediated ubiquitination of CONSTANS is implicated in cryptochrome regulation of flowering in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **20**: 292–306.
- Liu, Y., Li, X., Li, K., Liu, H., and Lin, C. (2013). Multiple bHLH proteins form heterodimers to mediate CRY2-dependent regulation of flowering-time in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003861.
- Louwers, M., Bader, R., Haring, M., van Driel, R., de Laat, W., and Stam, M. (2009b). Tissue- and expression level-specific chromatin looping at maize b1 epialleles. Plant Cell 21: 832–842.
- Louwers, M., Splinter, E., van Driel, R., de Laat, W., and Stam, M. (2009a). Studying physical chromatin interactions in plants using Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C). Nat. Protoc. 4: 1216– 1229.
- Mathieu, J., Warthmann, N., Küttner, F., and Schmid, M. (2007). Export of FT protein from phloem companion cells is sufficient for floral induction in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol. 17: 1055–1060.
- Nakamura, S., Mano, S., Tanaka, Y., Ohnishi, M., Nakamori, C., Araki, M., Niwa, T., Nishimura, M., Kaminaka, H., Nakagawa, T., Sato, Y., and Ishiguro, S. (2010). Gateway binary vectors with the bialaphos resistance gene, bar, as a selection marker for plant transformation. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 74: 1315–1319.
- Nardini, M., Gnesutta, N., Donati, G., Gatta, R., Forni, C., Fossati, A., Vonrhein, C., Moras, D., Romier, C., Bolognesi, M., and Mantovani, R. (2013). Sequence-specific transcription factor NF-Y displays histone-like DNA binding and H2B-like ubiquitination. Cell 152: 132–143.
- Notaguchi, M., Abe, M., Kimura, T., Daimon, Y., Kobayashi, T., Yamaguchi, A., Tomita, Y., Dohi, K., Mori, M., and Araki, T. (2008). Long-distance, graft-transmissible action of Arabidopsis FLOWERING LOCUS T protein to promote flowering. Plant Cell Physiol. **49:** 1645–1658.
- Osnato, M., Castillejo, C., Matías-Hernández, L., and Pelaz, S. (2012). TEMPRANILLO genes link photoperiod and gibberellin pathways to control flowering in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 3: 808.
- Petroni, K., Kumimoto, R.W., Gnesutta, N., Calvenzani, V., Fornari, M., Tonelli, C., Holt, B.F., III., and Mantovani, R. (2012). The promiscuous life of plant NUCLEAR FACTOR Y transcription factors. Plant Cell **24**: 4777–4792.

- Pin, P.A., and Nilsson, O. (2012). The multifaceted roles of FLOWERING LOCUS T in plant development. Plant Cell Environ. 35: 1742–1755.
- Putterill, J., Robson, F., Lee, K., Simon, R., and Coupland, G. (1995). The CONSTANS gene of Arabidopsis promotes flowering and encodes a protein showing similarities to zinc finger transcription factors. Cell 80: 847–857.
- Samach, A., Onouchi, H., Gold, S.E., Ditta, G.S., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Yanofsky, M.F., and Coupland, G. (2000). Distinct roles of CONSTANS target genes in reproductive development of Arabidopsis. Science 288: 1613–1616.
- Siefers, N., Dang, K.K., Kumimoto, R.W., Bynum, W.E., IV., Tayrose, G., and Holt, B.F., III., (2009). Tissue-specific expression patterns of Arabidopsis NF-Y transcription factors suggest potential for extensive combinatorial complexity. Plant Physiol. 149: 625–641.
- Song, Y.H., Smith, R.W., To, B.J., Millar, A.J., and Imaizumi, T. (2012). FKF1 conveys timing information for CONSTANS stabilization in photoperiodic flowering. Science **336**: 1045–1049.
- Suárez-López, P., Wheatley, K., Robson, F., Onouchi, H., Valverde, F., and Coupland, G. (2001). CONSTANS mediates between the circadian clock and the control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Nature 410: 1116–1120.
- Tamaki, S., Matsuo, S., Wong, H.L., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2007). Hd3a protein is a mobile flowering signal in rice. Science 316: 1033–1036.
- Tiwari, S.B., et al. (2012). The EDLL motif: A potent plant transcriptional activation domain from AP2/ERF transcription factors. Plant J. 70: 855–865.
- Tiwari, S.B., et al. (2010). The flowering time regulator CONSTANS is recruited to the FLOWERING LOCUS T promoter via a unique ciselement. New Phytol. 187: 57–66.
- Valverde, F., Mouradov, A., Soppe, W., Ravenscroft, D., Samach, A., and Coupland, G. (2004). Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. Science **303**: 1003–1006.
- Wenkel, S., Turck, F., Singer, K., Gissot, L., Le Gourrierec, J., Samach, A., and Coupland, G. (2006). CONSTANS and the CCAAT box binding complex share a functionally important domain and interact to regulate flowering of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **18**: 2971–2984.
- Wigge, P.A., Kim, M.C., Jaeger, K.E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J.U., and Weigel, D. (2005). Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis. Science 309: 1056–1059.
- Xing, Y., Fikes, J.D., and Guarente, L. (1993). Mutations in yeast HAP2/HAP3 define a hybrid CCAAT box binding domain. EMBO J. 12: 4647–4655.
- Yamamoto, A., Kagaya, Y., Toyoshima, R., Kagaya, M., Takeda, S., and Hattori, T. (2009). Arabidopsis NF-YB subunits LEC1 and LEC1-LIKE activate transcription by interacting with seed-specific ABRE-binding factors. Plant J. 58: 843–856.
- Yoo, S.K., Chung, K.S., Kim, J., Lee, J.H., Hong, S.M., Yoo, S.J., Yoo, S.Y., Lee, J.S., and Ahn, J.H. (2005). CONSTANS activates SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 through FLOWERING LOCUS T to promote flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 139: 770–778.