



Published in final edited form as:

Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2012 August ; 109(2): 93–98. doi:10.1016/j.anai.2011.12.014.

Farming-Associated Environmental Exposures and Atopic Diseases

Jill A. Poole, MD

Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep & Allergy Division, Department of Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 985300 The Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5300

Abstract

Objective—To review important farming related environmental factors and host immunologic features with resulting impact on atopic and non-atopic upper and lower respiratory diseases.

Data Sources—PubMed databases were searched for relevant articles pertaining to farming practices, organic dust exposure, immunology, atopy, rhinitis, asthma, bronchitis and respiratory disease.

Study Selections—Articles were selected based on relevance to the topic of the review.

Results—Overall, farming exposures, particularly in children, appears protective against developing IgE-mediated diseases. However, upper and lower respiratory diseases, which tend to be non-allergic marked by enhanced neutrophilic influx, are prevalent in the farming community. These environments are complex and heterogeneous and a large number of environmental factors including dust particles, Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria components, peptidoglycans, endotoxins, fungi, gases, pesticides, and allergens are important mediators. Pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-like receptors and nucleotide oligomerization domain-like receptors play key roles in the host defense response. An allergy evaluation tailored to the subjects' environmental history remains important. Therapeutic interventions are limited and attempts to reduce personnel exposure are recommended.

Conclusion—Upper and lower respiratory adverse health effects, particularly non-IgE mediated, are common to agriculture work and represent a substantial concern for farmers, workers, and their families. Regional and international differences in farming practice in addition to type, timing, duration, and intensity of the exposure are important considerations in the evaluation of symptomatic subjects.

Keywords

Farm; Organic dust; rhinitis; asthma; allergy; pattern recognition receptor

Introduction

Farming is a major industry for the United States and many countries worldwide, and the potential for adverse health effects from chronic exposure to various farming practices are often respiratory-related. These diseases include rhinitis, sinusitis, asthma, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Moreover, individuals with established allergic respiratory disorders will experience worsening symptoms following various types of farming exposures¹. However, it is now recognized that farming exposures are also often associated with protection against the development of allergic, IgE-mediated diseases². This interesting paradigm of high rates of farming exposure-associated non-allergic upper and lower respiratory diseases, but yet lower rates of allergic-mediated diseases provide an opportunity to review recent advances in our understanding of how farming exposures may modulate atopic diseases.

Exposure agents within farming environments are inherently complex and heterogeneous, and it is increasingly appreciated that exposure to the diversity of microbial-rich components as opposed to one single agent in the farming environment is likely responsible for the immunologic response. Whereas endotoxin remains an important factor, peptidoglycans, Gram-positive bacteria cell wall components, $\beta(1\rightarrow3)$ -glucan, and fungi are all emerging as critical players. With focus on the innate immune response to these agents, studies have also yielded important roles for host genetic factors and pattern recognition receptors and sensors. This review will highlight these advances in our understanding of how farming exposures broadly impact allergic and non-allergic respiratory disease manifestations.

Epidemiological Studies

It was proposed by Strachan in 1989 that limited exposure to bacterial and viral pathogens during early childhood results in a predisposition to allergy³. Subsequently, numerous studies have repeatedly found that environmental exposures to microbes are inversely related to various atopic diseases with many of these studies focused on the protective effect of farm living in children². Namely, data from several European cohort studies that focus on the health of farm children and allergy and asthma outcomes including the European Allergy and Endotoxin (ALEX) the Prevention of Allergy Risk Factors of Sensitization in Children Related to Farming and Anthroposophic Lifestyle (PARSIFAL), and the multidisciplinary study to identify the genetic and environmental causes of asthma in the European community (GABRIEL) studies largely support that children living on farms have significantly lower prevalence of doctor-diagnosed⁴⁻⁶. However, the difference between children living on farms and the reference groups is more striking for atopy as defined by specific IgE antibody measurements⁴. However, even within these cohorts, there can be substantial heterogeneity across study regions and farming practices⁷. It also appears that the protective effect of childhood contact to farm animals will continue into adulthood, but with the caveat that self-selection out of farming or under-reporting of symptoms may occur⁸. Interestingly, initiating exposure to farm animals as an adult may actually increase the risk of allergic sensitization⁸.

In contrast to these European cohorts, others have not found that farming is protective against the development of atopic respiratory disorders^{9, 10}. In a United States (US) Iowan cohort (Keokuk County Rural Health Study), there was a high prevalence of asthma health outcomes among children living on farms that raise swine (~44% prevalence)¹¹. Interestingly, if antibiotics were added to the swine feed, the prevalence for childhood asthma was even higher (~56%)¹¹. These findings were demonstrated despite lower rates of atopy and personal histories of allergy¹¹. It has also been reported that farm living increases the risk of reporting allergic disease (i.e. rhinitis, hayfever, asthma, and wheeze) in New Zealand, but rates of skin prick test positivity were not increased¹². These studies highlight that regional and international differences in farm practices are important.

Although farming exposures appear protective against developing IgE-mediated diseases, upper and lower respiratory diseases represent a substantial health problem for the farming community. Although children are affected, respiratory disorders have been best described in adults, which might be explained by chronicity, intensity, and duration of exposure. The prevalence of airways disease, particularly chronic bronchitis ranges from 6 to 32% in adult farmers¹. Moreover, adult farmers with atopy appear more susceptible to develop farming-related COPD¹ and wheeze¹³. The types of farming and regional differences in farming practices are also important considerations. Animal farming practices (i.e. livestock, dairy, sheep, goats, swine, poultry) have been associated with the greatest risk of developing airways disease as compared to crop farmers in Europe¹. Similar findings were found in the US Agricultural Health Study (AHS) in that animals and animal-related exposures were important triggers for wheeze among adult farmers¹³. The importance of animal farming exposures, particularly in the US, might be attributable to the rise in large-scale, concentrated, closed, animal-feeding operations that can generate significant amounts of organic dust.

Although rhinitis has long been recognized as the most common respiratory disorder associated with agricultural work, there is a scarcity of recent studies and/or reliable estimates as to the true prevalence of rhinitis or sinusitis related to farming. Nasal symptoms have been reported in up to 64% of grain handlers, whereas the prevalence of sensitization to grain dust antigens ranges from 2-18% in earlier studies¹⁴. In the Agricultural Health Study, approximately two-thirds of all farmers reported rhinitis symptoms¹⁵. Others have found that breeding and handling of livestock, dairy production, swine barn exposures, and grain farming all increased nasal inflammation and symptoms^{14, 16}.

Environmental Agents in Farming Practices

There are a large number of environmental factors in farming practices that could lead to adverse respiratory effects, and include dust particles, Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria cell components, fungi/mold, archeobacteria, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, quaternary ammonium compounds, pesticides, and allergens. In agriculture studies, the exposure environment and respiratory health effects are best described with swine confinement farming. Endotoxin (cell wall component of Gram-negative bacteria; lipopolysaccharide/LPS) is a well-characterized inflammatory agent routinely measured and often linked to respiratory outcomes in agriculture workers¹⁷. Moreover, earlier work from a

cross-sectional survey of rural areas of Austria, Germany, and Switzerland found that higher endotoxin exposure was associated with an increased risk of non-atopic wheeze in children⁵. However, in agriculture-associated adult respiratory disease, a universal dose-response relationship has not been established for endotoxin since studies have reported high exposure without symptoms or lower exposures with a possible dose-response relationship^{18, 19}.

To understand the nature of airborne microorganisms in farming environments, applied molecular approaches and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) methods have been recently employed. In the bioaerosols of swine confinement facilities, phylogenetic analysis has revealed large number of sequences (>90%) related to Gram-positive anaerobic bacteria²⁰ and methanogenic archaea²¹. Mass spectrometry methods revealed high concentration of muramic acid, a marker of peptidoglycan (PGN) derived predominately from Gram-positive bacteria (i.e.~85% of cells wall), but also Gram-negative bacteria (i.e.~5% of cell wall), in settled dust samples from swine confinement facilities and dairy barns^{22, 23}. Levels of muramic acid/PGN have been associated with respiratory inflammatory outcomes in humans following swine barn exposure in Europe²⁴.

Fungi, which are ubiquitous in soil, are also important exposure agents because mold (and bacteria) can reach high levels in hay, grain, or straw, particularly in high humidity storage conditions. The relative concentration of ergosterol, a marker of fungi, is greatly increased in grain elevators as compared to swine and dairy barns in Colorado and Nebraska²². Importantly, fungi (and bacteria) inhalation in agricultural environments can result in a hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) commonly referred to as Farmer's lung because the first cases of HP were associated with moldy grain and hay handling in farmers²⁵.

Pesticides are commonly used in various farming practices, and may be important contributors to the risk of respiratory disease. As recently reviewed by Hernandez, et al, many pesticides are sensitizers or irritants capable of directly damaging the bronchial mucosa, resulting in an airway environment that may be sensitive to allergens or other stimuli²⁶. Data from the Agriculture Health Study found that pesticide use is associated with wheeze and atopic and non-atopic asthma among farmers^{13, 27}. Further studies from this cohort found that pesticides may contribute to atopic asthma among farm females (wives of farmers)²⁸. Specific pesticides may also contribute to rhinitis in US farmers, particularly petroleum oil, malathion, permethrin and the herbicides glyphosate and metolachlor¹⁵. Thus, pesticide exposure may be playing an important contributory role to asthma and rhinitis risk among adult farmers. Data in children is lacking.

Farming Exposure-Induced Inflammatory Response

As opposed to an eosinophilic and Th2-cytokine response, exposure to farming environments is commonly associated with a neutrophilic response in both the upper and lower airway. For example, naïve persons exposed once to swine barns (i.e. three hour exposure) demonstrate a marked increase in systemic and airway inflammation. The systemic inflammatory response is marked by fevers and increases in serum TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β and increased bronchial hyper-responsiveness to methacholine¹⁷. There is also

evidence neutrophil influx, and IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and/or nasal lavage fluid compared to non-exposed persons¹⁷. While less is known about the inflammatory response with chronic exposures, there is evidence of increased concentration of total cells, granulocytes, albumin, fibronectin, and hyaluronan in the lavage fluid of swine workers as compared to non-exposed persons^{17, 29}. Symptomatic swine confinement workers also have epithelial basement membrane thickening by bronchial biopsy¹⁷. Interestingly, repetitive farming exposure results in a “chronic inflammatory adaptation response.” This response describes the well-recognized observation that there is significant attenuation of the initial, robust inflammatory response following repetitive exposures, but yet, up to one-third of exposed workers will develop chronic lung disease and significant decline in lung function over time^{30, 31}. Animal models have been recently described to study this chronic inflammatory adaptation response^{32, 33}.

The mechanisms understanding this adaptation response are not entirely clear, but may also be important in understanding the protective effect against allergic development. Studies reveal that repetitive swine facility organic dust exposures impair macrophage responses and prevent full maturation of dendritic cells *in vitro*^{34, 35}. Specifically, when monocytes are differentiated into macrophages in the presence of dust extract or its components (i.e. endotoxin, peptidoglycan, endotoxin-depleted extract), cell-surface marker expression (HLA-DR, CD80, CD86), phagocytosis, intracellular bacterial killing, and cytokine responsiveness are significantly diminished³⁵. Moreover, the organic dust-induced responses *in vitro* appear best paralleled to peptidoglycan plus endotoxin as compared to each agent alone³⁵, highlighting potential synergist activity amongst components. Although the phenotype of the infiltrating leukocytes is not clear, there is a suggestion of a Th17-polarized response in adults because exposure to swine confinement organic dust environments increases IL-17A in lavage fluid cells, mainly in lymphocytes³⁶. Finally, earlier work demonstrated that swine workers have increased levels of soluble L-selectin, which may serve as a protective role against atopy because soluble L-selectin is associated with decreases in inflammatory cell migration³⁷.

Role of Innate Immune Pattern Recognition Receptors and Sensors

Since farming environments are microbial-rich, studies have focused on the role of specific host pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and sensors that could be responsible for mediating immune responses to farming environment. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are one family of PRRs responsible for recognizing highly conserved microbial motifs. Overall, gene expression of several TLRs and CD14 tend to be increased in farm children^{2, 38}. Of the 11 human TLRs, TLR4 forms a complex with CD14 and LPS-binding protein (LBP) to recognize endotoxin and elicit inflammatory responses. Whereas corn dust-induced airway inflammation can be profoundly reduced in endotoxin-resistant mice³⁹, animal farming dust-induced airway inflammation is not completely dependent on the TLR4 pathway⁴⁰. In humans, those with the TLR4 variant (299/399) demonstrated a decrease in cross-shift change in lung function (FEV₁) following a high endotoxin swine barn exposure challenge, but no difference was observed after a low endotoxin swine barn exposure challenge⁴¹. Moreover, in a cohort study (Iowa Keokuk county), CD14 polymorphisms (CD14/-159T and CD14/-1610G) were associated with increased prevalence of wheezing among

farmers⁴². In general, polymorphisms in TLR4 and CD14 have been associated with various allergy phenotypes⁴³. These studies highlight the important role of endotoxin and its TLR4 signaling pathway in mediating respiratory consequences.

Since Gram-positive bacteria appear highly abundant in animal farming environments, several recent studies have focused on the TLR2 pathway because TLR2 recognizes peptidoglycans, lipoteichoic acid, and lipoproteins from Gram-positive bacteria. Indeed, airway epithelial cell TLR2 increases following swine confinement facility dust extract exposure⁴⁴, and dust-induced proinflammatory cytokine release from epithelial cells is reduced when TLR2 is blocked *in vitro*⁴⁵. In addition, airway neutrophil influx, cytokine release, and lung parenchymal inflammation is significantly reduced, but not completely abrogated, in TLR2 deficient mice following organic dust extract intranasal inhalation challenges⁴⁶. However, as observed with TLR4 deficient mice⁴⁰, TLR2 deficient animals retained their airway hyper-responsiveness following dust exposures³². Lastly, there may be a role for TLR2 gene variants because children of farmers with polymorphism in TLR2/-16934 were less likely to have asthma and allergic symptoms compared to children of non-farmers with same polymorphism⁴⁷. The importance of TLR2 genetic polymorphisms in agriculture workers is not known.

Another innate immune PRR group that senses microbial motifs is the NACHT-LRR protein family. Of this large family of intracellular proteins, nucleotide oligomerization domain 1 (NOD1) senses peptidoglycan containing D-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelic acid (iE-DAP) predominately from Gram-negative bacteria and NOD2 senses muramyl dipeptide that is a component of virtually all types of bacterial-derived peptidoglycan. Dust extracts from swine barns as well as endotoxin and peptidoglycan products alone upregulate NOD2 expression in mononuclear phagocytes⁴⁸. The loss of NOD2 resulted in an enhancement of inflammatory outcomes following swine barn dust extract⁴⁸ and peptidoglycan challenges⁴⁹, suggestive of a negative regulatory role. In contrast, others have found that in the absence of NOD2, pro-inflammatory cytokine production is reduced after stimulation with various TLR agonists⁵⁰, favoring a positive regulatory role. Thus, there may be an important regulatory role for NOD2, but further studies are needed. Although genetic variants in NOD2 have not been described in agricultural workers, NOD2 polymorphisms have been implicated in atopic diseases⁵¹. NOD1 has been associated in several studies with asthma susceptibility and development⁵¹. Furthermore, children of farmers with NOD1 variant (CARD4/-21596) were strongly protected from allergy and asthma⁵². Thus, understanding the role of these intracellular protein sensors is necessary as they may be important modifiers in farming exposure-induced respiratory diseases.

Allergens

While the protective effect of farm life on atopy has received considerable attention in recent years, allergens remain important players and should not be overlooked in the evaluation of agriculture workers and their family members with respiratory disease. Although rates of allergic sensitization appear lower as compared to non-farm subjects, farm subjects and their children can manifest significant IgE-mediated disease. The prevalence of skin prick test (SPT) reactions to common allergens (i.e. grass, tree, weed, dust mite, mold,

cat, and dog) has been reported as high as 25-30% in farm subjects^{11, 12}. Skin testing to all the appropriate allergens is also an important consideration. For example, Remes, et al found that the proportion of non-farmers' children with one positive SPT reaction to common allergens was 13.4% as compared to 10.1% in farmers' children⁵³. However, they found no difference in SPT positive rates when testing for "other" allergens that included cockroach, horse, storage mite, and cow⁵³. There may also be limitations in our current commercially available skin test reagents because Heutelbeck et al reported that approximately 60% of German cattle farmers reported both asthma and rhinitis when working with cattle, but yet positive reactivity to commercially available cow allergen extracts was low⁵⁴. Moreover, the same group found that supplementing skin tests using extracts of the hair of the farmers' own cattle improved positive responses⁵⁵. Diet may also play a role in interpreting studies as obese farm subjects may have a greater prevalence of allergic sensitization as compared to non-obese farm subjects and thus, obesity may negate the protective effect of farming exposures⁵⁶. Another important factor is gender because case series have reported new onset occupational asthma and atopy in female workers in the swine industry⁵⁷. Thus, complete history evaluations with testing to environmental allergens tailored to the subject's environment is recommended.

Preventative and therapeutic options

For individuals exposed to agricultural environments experiencing adverse respiratory health outcomes, the therapeutic options are somewhat limited. At present, there is a paucity of studies to strongly recommend specific therapies for the treatment of rhinitis in farmers or farm children. Nonetheless, clinicians should follow best practice guidelines for the general treatment of allergic and non-allergic rhinitis. There is evidence that intranasal fluticasone propionate may be beneficial because when it was administered prior to swine barn exposure, plasma protein leakage, IL-8, and TNF- α response was reduced⁵⁸.

There are several studies that have investigated the effect of various pharmacologic interventions and their effect on agricultural-based organic dust-induced airway inflammation. Inhaled sodium cromoglycate has been shown to reduce neutrophilic influx and pro-inflammatory indices in bronchoalveolar fluid following exposure to dust in pig farms⁵⁹. Pre-treatment with inhaled corticosteroids results in a mild dampening of pro-inflammatory indices, but no effect on bronchial responsiveness⁵⁸{ { } }. The benefit of adding long-acting beta agonist like salmeterol is less clear as early work found no protection against bronchial hyper-responsiveness induced by pig barn exposure⁶⁰, but yet the same group later found that salmeterol offered partial protection alone⁶¹. There does not appear to be a role for ibuprofen or anti-leukotriene modifiers (i.e. 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors)^{61, 62}.

Non-pharmacologic interventions are an attractive alternative given the relative low success rate with pharmacologic interventions to date. Of these non-pharmacologic interventions, the use of N-95 disposable respirators is recommended. Studies show that these respirators can dampen the acute adverse respiratory health effects in individuals, but unfortunately the routine and regular use of dust masks is low⁶³. Efforts to reduce dust levels by sprinkling canola oil in swine barn did find lower mean shift changes in pulmonary function, lower

white blood cell counts, lower total cells in nasal lavage fluid, and improvement in bronchial-hyper-responsiveness⁶⁴. Finally, the use of robot (high-pressure) pre-cleaning of swine barns resulted in a reduction in bronchial responsiveness and nasal lavage cellularity, neutrophils, and IL-8 levels as compared to pre-cleaning without a robot⁶⁵.

CONCLUSION

It is now well appreciated that there is a protective effect of growing up on the farm from the subsequent development of IgE-mediated allergic disorders. However, upper and lower respiratory adverse health effects, particularly non-IgE mediated, are common to agriculture work and represent a substantial concern for farmers, workers, and their families. It is important to understand that the farming environmental exposure is heterogeneous and complex and that regional and international variation in farming practice should be considered. Exposure to the wide diversity of microbial rich components, which include Gram-positive peptidoglycans, Gram-negative endotoxins and fungi, are all potent and potential activators of host defense response. TLRs and intracellular sensors (i.e. NODs) are important pathways involved in mediating disease. The timing, type, duration and intensity of the farming environmental exposures plus host genetic factors are likely responsible for determining the development of non-allergic respiratory diseases or protection against allergy. Pesticides, chemicals, gases, dust particles, and allergens are also important in the evaluation of these subjects. In general, there is a paucity of rhinitis and sinusitis studies in this population to make definitive conclusions on the magnitude of disease or recommendations for preventative and therapeutic strategies. Lower respiratory disease such as asthma and bronchitis are also prevalent and future studies are needed to address the primary non-allergic nature of these disease in children and adults exposed or working on the farm.

Acknowledgments

Disclosure of Funding National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (K08: ES015522-01 and ARRA: ES015522-03S1 and R01: ES019325; JAP),

References

1. Eduard W, Pearce N, Douwes J. Chronic bronchitis, COPD, and lung function in farmers: the role of biological agents. *Chest*. 2009; 136:716–25. [PubMed: 19318669]
2. von Mutius E, Radon K. Living on a farm: impact on asthma induction and clinical course. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2008; 28:631–47. ix–x. [PubMed: 18572111]
3. Strachan DP. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. *BMJ*. 1989; 299:1259–1260. [PubMed: 2513902]
4. Ege MJ, Mayer M, Normand AC, et al. Exposure to environmental microorganisms and childhood asthma. *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 364:701–709. [PubMed: 21345099]
5. Braun-Fahrlander C, Riedler J, Herz U, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. *N Engl J Med*. 2002; 347:869–877. [PubMed: 12239255]
6. Riedler J, Braun-Fahrlander C, Eder W, et al. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet*. 2001; 358:1129–1133. [PubMed: 11597666]
7. Ege MJ, Frei R, Bieli C, et al. Not all farming environments protect against the development of asthma and wheeze in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2007; 119:1140–1147. [PubMed: 17349684]

8. Radon K, Schulze A, Nowak D. Inverse association between farm animal contact and respiratory allergies in adulthood: protection, underreporting or selection? *Allergy*. 2006; 61:443–446. [PubMed: 16512806]
9. Salam MT, Li YF, Langholz B, Gilliland FD. Children's Health Study. Early-life environmental risk factors for asthma: findings from the Children's Health Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2004; 112:760–765. [PubMed: 15121522]
10. Chrischilles E, Ahrens R, Kuehl A, et al. Asthma prevalence and morbidity among rural Iowa schoolchildren. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2004; 113:66–71. [PubMed: 14713909]
11. Merchant JA, Naleway AL, Svendsen ER, et al. Asthma and farm exposures in a cohort of rural Iowa children. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005; 113:350–356. [PubMed: 15743727]
12. Wickens K, Lane JM, Fitzharris P, et al. Farm residence and exposures and the risk of allergic diseases in New Zealand children. *Allergy*. 2002; 57:1171–1179. [PubMed: 12464046]
13. Hoppin JA, Umbach DM, London SJ, Alavanja MC, Sandler DP. Animal production and wheeze in the Agricultural Health Study: interactions with atopy, asthma, and smoking. *Occup Environ Med*. 2003; 60:e3. [PubMed: 12883030]
14. DoPico GA, Reddan W, Flaherty D, et al. Respiratory abnormalities among grain handlers: a clinical, physiologic, and immunologic study. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1977; 115:915–927. [PubMed: 262104]
15. Slager RE, Simpson SL, Levan TD, Poole JA, Sandler DP, Hoppin JA. Rhinitis associated with pesticide use among private pesticide applicators in the agricultural health study. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. 2010; 73:1382–1393. [PubMed: 20818537]
16. Monso E, Schenker M, Radon K, et al. Region-related risk factors for respiratory symptoms in European and Californian farmers. *Eur Respir J*. 2003; 21:323–331. [PubMed: 12608449]
17. Schwartz DA, Landas SK, Lassise DL, Burmeister LF, Hunninghake GW, Merchant JA. Airway injury in swine confinement workers. *Ann Intern Med*. 1992; 116:630–5. [PubMed: 1546862]
18. Rask-Andersen A, Malmberg P, Lundholm M. Endotoxin levels in farming: absence of symptoms despite high exposure levels. *Br J Ind Med*. 1989; 46:412–6. [PubMed: 2818976]
19. Senthilselvan A, Beach J, Feddes J, Cherry N, Wenger I. A prospective evaluation of air quality and workers' health in broiler and layer operations. *Occup Environ Med*. 2011; 68:102–107. [PubMed: 20935293]
20. Nehme B, Letourneau V, Forster RJ, Veillette M, Duchaine C. Culture-independent approach of the bacterial bioaerosol diversity in the standard swine confinement buildings, and assessment of the seasonal effect. *Environ Microbiol*. 2008; 10:665–675. [PubMed: 18237302]
21. Nehme B, Gilbert Y, Letourneau V, et al. Culture-independent characterization of archaeal biodiversity in swine confinement building bioaerosols. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009; 75:5445–5450. [PubMed: 19561186]
22. Poole JA, Dooley GP, Saito R, et al. Muramic acid, endotoxin, 3-hydroxy fatty acids, and ergosterol content explain monocyte and epithelial cell inflammatory responses to agricultural dusts. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. 2010; 73:684–700. [PubMed: 20391112]
23. Szponar B, Larsson L. Use of mass spectrometry for characterising microbial communities in bioaerosols. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2001; 8:111–7. [PubMed: 11748866]
24. Zhiping W, Malmberg P, Larsson BM, Larsson K, Larsson L, Saraf A. Exposure to bacteria in swine-house dust and acute inflammatory reactions in humans. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1996; 154:1261–6. [PubMed: 8912733]
25. Girard M, Cormier Y. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010; 10:99–103. [PubMed: 20093932]
26. Hernandez AF, Parron T, Alarcon R. Pesticides and asthma. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011; 11:90–96. [PubMed: 21368619]
27. Hoppin JA, Umbach DM, London SJ, et al. Pesticide use and adult-onset asthma among male farmers in the Agricultural Health Study. *Eur Respir J*. 2009; 34:1296–1303. [PubMed: 19541724]
28. Hoppin JA, Umbach DM, London SJ, et al. Pesticides and atopic and nonatopic asthma among farm women in the Agricultural Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008; 177:11–18. [PubMed: 17932376]

29. Larsson K, Eklund A, Malmberg P, Belin L. Alterations in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid but not in lung function and bronchial responsiveness in swine confinement workers. *Chest*. 1992; 101:767–74. [PubMed: 1541145]
30. Von Essen S, Romberger D. The respiratory inflammatory response to the swine confinement building environment: the adaptation to respiratory exposures in the chronically exposed worker. *J Agric Saf Health*. 2003; 9:185–96. [PubMed: 12970949]
31. Sundblad BM, von Scheele I, Palmberg L, Olsson M, Larsson K. Repeated exposure to organic material alters inflammatory and physiological airway responses. *Eur Respir J*. 2009; 34:80–8. [PubMed: 19213791]
32. Poole JA, Wyatt TA, Oldenburg PJ, et al. Intranasal Organic Dust Exposure-Induced Airway Adaptation Response Marked By Persistent Lung Inflammation and Pathology in Mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2009
33. Charavaryamath C, Janardhan KS, Townsend HG, Willson P, Singh B. Multiple exposures to swine barn air induce lung inflammation and airway hyper-responsiveness. *Respir Res*. 2005; 6:50. [PubMed: 15932644]
34. Poole JA, Thiele GM, Alexis NE, Burrell AM, Parks C, Romberger DJ. Organic Dust Exposure Alters Monocyte-Derived Dendritic Cell Differentiation and Maturation. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2009
35. Poole JA, Alexis NE, Parks C, et al. Repetitive organic dust exposure in vitro impairs macrophage differentiation and function. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008; 122:375–82. 382 e1–4. [PubMed: 18585769]
36. Ivanov S, Palmberg L, Venge P, Larsson K, Linden A. Interleukin-17A mRNA and protein expression within cells from the human bronchoalveolar space after exposure to organic dust. *Respir Res*. 2005; 6:44. [PubMed: 15916703]
37. Israel-Assayag E, Cormier Y. Adaptation to organic dust exposure: a potential role of L-selectin shedding? *Eur Respir J*. 2002; 19:833–837. [PubMed: 12030721]
38. Roduit C, Wohlgensinger J, Frei R, et al. Prenatal animal contact and gene expression of innate immunity receptors at birth are associated with atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011; 127:179–85. 185.e1. [PubMed: 21112617]
39. George CL, Jin H, Wohlford-Lenane CL, et al. Endotoxin responsiveness and subchronic grain dust-induced airway disease. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2001; 280:L203–13. [PubMed: 11158998]
40. Charavaryamath C, Juneau V, Suri SS, Janardhan KS, Townsend H, Singh B. Role of Toll-like receptor 4 in lung inflammation following exposure to swine barn air. *Exp Lung Res*. 2008; 34:19–35. [PubMed: 18205075]
41. Senthilselvan A, Dosman JA, Chenard L, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 variants reduce airway response in human subjects at high endotoxin levels in a swine facility. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2009; 123:1034–40. 1040.e1–2. [PubMed: 19368968]
42. LeVan TD, Von Essen S, Romberger DJ, et al. Polymorphisms in the CD14 gene associated with pulmonary function in farmers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005; 171:773–9. [PubMed: 15591473]
43. Tesse R, Pandey RC, Kabesch M. Genetic variations in toll-like receptor pathway genes influence asthma and atopy. *Allergy*. 2011; 66:307–316. [PubMed: 21039600]
44. Bailey KL, Poole JA, Mathisen TL, Wyatt TA, Von Essen SG, Romberger DJ. Toll-like receptor 2 is upregulated by hog confinement dust in an IL-6-dependent manner in the airway epithelium. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2008; 294:L1049–54. [PubMed: 18359883]
45. von Scheele I, Larsson K, Palmberg L. Budesonide enhances Toll-like receptor 2 expression in activated bronchial epithelial cells. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2010; 22:493–499. [PubMed: 20388003]
46. Poole JA, Wyatt TA, Kielian T, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) Regulates Organic Dust-Induced Airway Inflammation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. 2011
47. Eder W, Klimecki W, Yu L, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 as a major gene for asthma in children of European farmers. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2004; 113:482–488. [PubMed: 15007351]

48. Poole JA, Kielian T, Wyatt TA, et al. Organic Dust Augments Nucleotide-Binding Oligomerization Domain (NOD2) Expression via an NF- κ B Pathway to Negatively Regulate Inflammatory Responses. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2011
49. Watanabe T, Kitani A, Murray PJ, Strober W. NOD2 is a negative regulator of Toll-like receptor 2-mediated T helper type 1 responses. *Nat Immunol*. 2004; 5:800–8. [PubMed: 15220916]
50. Kim YG, Park JH, Daignault S, Fukase K, Nunez G. Cross-tolerization between Nod1 and Nod2 signaling results in reduced refractoriness to bacterial infection in Nod2-deficient macrophages. *J Immunol*. 2008; 181:4340–4346. [PubMed: 18768892]
51. Reijmerink NE, Bottema RW, Kerkhof M, et al. TLR-related pathway analysis: novel gene-gene interactions in the development of asthma and atopy. *Allergy*. 2010; 65:199–207. [PubMed: 19968634]
52. Eder W, Klimecki W, Yu L, et al. Association between exposure to farming, allergies and genetic variation in CARD4/NOD1. *Allergy*. 2006; 61:1117–1124. [PubMed: 16918516]
53. Remes ST, Koskela HO, Iivanainen K, Pekkanen J. Allergen-specific sensitization in asthma and allergic diseases in children: the study on farmers' and non-farmers' children. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2005; 35:160–166. [PubMed: 15725186]
54. Heutelbeck AR, Janicke N, Hilgers R, et al. German cattle allergy study (CAS): public health relevance of cattle-allergic farmers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2007; 81:201–208. [PubMed: 17636318]
55. Heutelbeck AR, Junghans C, Esselmann H, Hallier E, Schulz TG. Exposure to allergens of different cattle breeds and their relevance in occupational allergy. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2009; 82:1123–1131. [PubMed: 19238424]
56. Radon K, Schulze A. Adult obesity, farm childhood, and their effect on allergic sensitization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2006; 118:1279–1283. [PubMed: 17157657]
57. Dosman JA, Lawson JA, Kirychuk SP, Cormier Y, Biem J, Koehncke N. Occupational asthma in newly employed workers in intensive swine confinement facilities. *Eur Respir J*. 2004; 24:698–702. [PubMed: 15459151]
58. Ek A, Palmberg L, Larsson K. The effect of fluticasone on the airway inflammatory response to organic dust. *Eur Respir J*. 2004; 24:587–593. [PubMed: 15459137]
59. Larsson K, Larsson BM, Sandstrom T, Sundblad BM, Palmberg L. Sodium cromoglycate attenuates pulmonary inflammation without influencing bronchial responsiveness in healthy subjects exposed to organic dust. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2001; 31:1356–1368. [PubMed: 11591185]
60. Ek A, Palmberg L, Sundblad BM, Larsson K. Salmeterol does not alter increased bronchial responsiveness caused by organic dust exposure. *Chest*. 2005; 128:3038–3047. [PubMed: 16236984]
61. Strandberg K, Ek A, Palmberg L, Larsson K. Fluticasone and ibuprofen do not add to the effect of salmeterol on organic dust-induced airway inflammation and bronchial hyper-responsiveness. *J Intern Med*. 2008; 264:83–94. [PubMed: 18298484]
62. Larsson BM, Kumlin M, Sundblad BM, Larsson K, Dahlen SE, Palmberg L. Effects of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor zileuton on airway responses to inhaled swine house dust in healthy subjects. *Respir Med*. 2006; 100:226–237. [PubMed: 15939582]
63. Dosman JA, Senthilselvan A, Kirychuk SP, et al. Positive human health effects of wearing a respirator in a swine barn. *Chest*. 2000; 118:852–860. [PubMed: 10988215]
64. Senthilselvan A, Zhang Y, Dosman JA, et al. Positive human health effects of dust suppression with canola oil in swine barns. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1997; 156:410–417. [PubMed: 9279217]
65. Hiel D, von Scheele I, Sundblad BM, Larsson K, Palmberg L. Evaluation of respiratory effects related to high-pressure cleaning in a piggery with and without robot pre-cleaning. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2009; 35:376–383. [PubMed: 19705041]