

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 February 20.

Published in final edited form as:

AIDS. 2014 February 20; 28(4): 589–598. doi:10.1097/QAD.00000000000143.

Retention in care under universal Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infected Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women ("Option B+") in Malawi

Lyson TENTHANI^{#1,2,3}, Andreas D. HAAS^{#2}, Hannock TWEYA^{2,4,5}, Andreas JAHN^{1,3}, Joep J. van OOSTERHOUT⁶, Frank CHIMBWANDIRA¹, Zengani CHIRWA^{1,3}, Wingston NG'AMBI⁴, Alan BAKALI⁷, Sam PHIRI⁴, Landon MYER⁸, Fabio VALERI², Marcel ZWAHLEN², Gilles WANDELER^{2,9}, and Olivia KEISER^{#2} for the Ministry of Health in Malawi and IeDEA Southern Africa

¹Department of HIV and AIDS, Ministry of Health, Lilongwe, Malawi ²Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland ³International Training and Education Centre for Health / Department for Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, USA ⁴Lighthouse Trust Clinic, Lilongwe, Malawi ⁵The International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Paris, France ⁶Dignitas International, Zomba, Malawi ⁷Baobab Trust, Lilongwe, Malawi ⁸Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Research, School of Public Health & Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa ⁹Department of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Bern, Switzerland

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

OBJECTIVE—To explore the levels and determinants of loss to follow-up (LTF) under universal lifelong antiretroviral therapy (ART) for pregnant and breastfeeding women ("Option B+") in Malawi.

DESIGN, SETTING, and PARTICIPANTS—We examined retention in care, beginning at date of ART initiation and up to six months, for women in the Option B+ program. We analysed nation-wide facility-level data on women who started ART at 540 facilities (n=21 939). The study included individual-level data on patients who started ART at 19 large facilities (n=11 534).

RESULTS—Of the women who started ART under Option B+ (n=21 939), 17% appeared to be LTF six months after start. Most losses occurred in the first 3 months of therapy. Option B+

Competing Interest

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

Corresponding author: Olivia Keiser, PhD, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (ISPM), Finkenhubelweg 11, 3012 Bern, Switzerland, +41 (0)31 631 35 15, okeiser@ispm.unibe.ch.

Author Contributors

LT, AH, GW and OK designed and coordinated the analyses. AH, OK, LT did statistical analyses. MZ advised on statistical analyses. AH, LT, GW, OK wrote the first draft of the paper. AJ, FC, ZC, JJvO, HT, SP assisted in implementation, fieldwork, and data collection at study facilities and contributed to the writing of the article. LM contributed to the writing of the article. AH, HT, LT extracted and managed the data. AB, FV and WN helped with data extraction and management. All authors contributed to the final text.

patients who started therapy during pregnancy were five times more likely than women who started ART in WHO stage 3/4 or with a CD4 cell count 350 cells/µl, to never return after their initial clinic visit (odds ratio 5.0, 95% CI 4.2-6.1). Option B+ patients who started therapy while breastfeeding were twice as likely to miss their first follow-up visit (odds ratio 2.2, 95% CI 1.8-2.8). LTF was highest in pregnant Option B+ patients who began ART at large clinics on the day they were diagnosed with HIV. LTF varied considerably between facilities, ranging from 0% to 58%.

CONCLUSION—Decreasing LTF will improve the effectiveness of the Option B+ approach. Tailored interventions, like community- or family-based PMTCT models could improve its effectiveness.

Keywords

Option B+; Prevention of mother to child transmission / vertical transmission; Antiretroviral therapy; Retention in care; Loss to follow-up

Introduction

Programmes that effectively prevent mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) of HIV can reduce the rate of transmission under five percent and reduce morbidity and mortality in both mothers and children [1]. In 2010, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended lifelong ART for women who were eligible for treatment and who had CD4 counts 350 cells/µl or in WHO clinical stage 3 or 4. For women not yet eligible for treatment, WHO recommended two alternative PMTCT strategies for short-term antiretroviral prophylaxis. Option A started women on antepartum zidovudine (AZT) from 14 weeks after pregnancy, as well as on lamivudine (3TC) and nevirapine (NVP) during labour, followed by an AZT/3TC tail for 7 days, with daily infant nevirapine during breastfeeding. Option B started women on triple-drug prophylaxis 14 weeks after gestation, and continued throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding [2, 3]. These strategies depend on CD4 cell testing to determine women's eligibility for lifelong ART [4]. In a systematic review on sub-Saharan African PMTCT programmes CD4 count testing was identified as a major barrier to PMTCT [5].

In 2011, the Malawian Ministry of Health adopted a pragmatic public health approach to improve the low PMTCT coverage in Malawi and implemented a modified Option B, commonly referred to as Option B+ [6]. Option B+ provides universal lifelong ART for all HIV infected pregnant and breastfeeding women, regardless of CD4 count and/or WHO clinical stage. The policy was designed for the Malawian health care system with its limited laboratory capacity, and population with high HIV prevalence, short birth intervals, and extended breastfeeding. It avoids CD4 count testing and continues ART even after women discontinue breastfeeding [7, 4].

Malawi's initiative has sparked interest and debate among the international public health community [8, 9, 10, 11, 4] and the latest 2013 WHO guidelines recommend lifelong ART for all pregnant and breastfeeding women [12]. Option B+ may improve PMTCT and may also reduce maternal morbidity and mortality [13] as well as transmission between

serodiscordant partners [14]. But concerns about implementation, patient acceptability and retention in care must be addressed [8].

Results of routine monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of the Malawi HIV programme suggest that Option B+ is feasible and acceptable. The new PMTCT guidelines increased antiretroviral coverage among pregnant women from 49% in 2011 to 60% in 2012 [15]. Programmatic data indicate that most women who started ART with an Option B+ indication were still in care after six months (82.6%), and most of them were still in care after 12 months (76.9%) [6, 16]. The exact timing and predictors of loss to follow-up (LTF) across different settings is unknown.

We describe retention in care for women who started ART under Option B+ using routine facility-level M&E data and patient-level data from a subset of facilities with an electronic medical record system (EMRS).

Methods

Two complementary data sources were used to explore facility- and patient-level factors associated with loss to follow-up. We first provide a summary of the Malawi ART/PMTCT programme, and then describe the data sources, eligibility criteria, definitions and statistical analysis separately for facility- and patient-level analyses.

Malawi National ART/PMTCT programme

The scale-up of free ART started in 2004 using a simplified protocol and standard ART regimens. It relied mainly on clinical monitoring for toxicity and treatment failure. ART patients are followed monthly for the first six months and every two to three months thereafter. PMTCT was implemented in 2002: single dose nevirapine was given to the mother at the onset of labour and to the infant after birth. In 2007, the WHO "AZT combination prophylaxis" regimen was rolled out, initially at district hospitals and larger facilities. Similar to "Option A", this regimen provides daily zidovudine (AZT) for the mother during pregnancy with an additional dose of lamivudine and nevirapine during labour and a 1-4 week prophylaxis with AZT-syrup for the infant [2, 17]. When Option B+ was introduced in September 2011, pregnant and breastfeeding women began to receive a simple fixed dose combination of tenofovir, lamivudine and efavirenz [7]. Patients lost to follow-up are not actively traced.

Facility-level data: eligibility, definitions and statistical analysis

Each quarter, representatives from the Ministry of Health (MOH) visit all ART/PMTCT facilities in the country. They review the treatment cards of all patients who started ART between two and three quarters preceding the review, and extract treatment outcomes at 6 and 12 months on therapy. ART outcomes for women who started with an Option B+ indication are aggregated by facility. Routine M&E methods are described in detail in the MOH quarterly HIV Program Reports [6, 18]. We included all Option B+ patients who started ART between October 2011 and March 2012 at 540 facilities (Figure 1). Patients who transferred between facilities were excluded to prevent double-counting.

Our primary outcome was the proportion of patients "lost to follow-up (LTF)" six months after they began ART. In facility-level data, we defined patients who missed an appointment and did not return to care for more than 60 days as LTF. We calculated the proportion of patients LTF in large facilities, which have electronic medical record systems (EMRS), and in smaller ART facilities, which have no EMRS. For facilities where more than ten patients were registered (Figure 1), we calculated the site-specific log odds to get LTF and used random effects meta-regression to explore between-site variability. Results were transformed to odds.

Patient-level data: eligibility, definitions and statistical analysis

At larger facilities, individual-level data are collected with an EMRS during patient consultation. The EMRS system was introduced in 2006. It guides healthcare workers through treatment protocols and stores key data for patient care [19]. Quality checks are implemented in the system and manual checks are performed monthly. We extracted patient-level data from all 20 facilities in Central and Southern Malawi in which EMRS was in place when the Option B+ programme began. Data from five facilities in Northern Malawi were not extracted because they used a different database system with known data quality problems. Of the twenty eligible facilities, one was excluded because of serious data quality problems. Nineteen facilities provided reliable data and were included.

We included all treatment naïve women aged 16-50 years who started ART in the 19 facilities (Figure 1) between September 2011 (the date Option B+ was implemented) and the date of the most recent follow-up visit in a given facility (the closing date). We excluded women whose reason for starting ART was unknown.

Our primary outcomes were "no follow-up visit after ART initiation" and LTF. We defined patients who missed their first follow-up visit and did not return to care for more than 60 days as "no-follow up after ART initiation" on the day of the missed appointment. Patients who missed a subsequent follow-up visit and did not return to care for more than 60 days were classified as LTF. We distinguished between no follow-up and LTF by assuming that a women's failure to return for the first follow-up visit might reflect her resistance to starting ART, whereas we regarded her failure to return thereafter as a problem of retention. Secondary outcomes included the time from HIV diagnosis to ART initiation, death, transfer out, and stopped ART. We compared patient outcomes across three groups, based on the indication for ART: 1) "ART for own health" (non-pregnant female patients in WHO clinical stage 3 or 4 or with CD4 cell count $350/\mu$ L); 2) "B+ pregnant" (pregnant women starting ART regardless of CD4 cell count or clinical stage) or; 3) "B+ breastfeeding" (breastfeeding women who start ART regardless of CD4 cell count or clinical stage).

We plotted cause-specific cumulative incidence functions for all ART outcomes by patient group. To examine factors associated with no follow-up and LTF, we used univariable and multivariable logistic regressions with a random effect for the cohorts. We used competing risk regression to examine variables associated with LTF after the second follow-up visit. This model accounts for the interdependence of LTF and competing events [20, 21]. Models were adjusted for age (<30, 30-39, 40 years) and facility type (health centre, mission, district or central hospital). Patients were followed from initiation of ART to the date of the

outcome of interest, or were censored at database closure or because they had completed six months of follow-up. We collected additional information on service delivery and ART preparation for the 19 EMRS-facilities to explore the association between these factors and no follow-up visit among pregnant Option B+ women. For each facility we assessed the percentage of B+ pregnant women who never came back after starting ART and estimated the underlying mean percentage by combining estimates for facilities in random-effect meta-analysis. Results are shown in a forest plot. Meta regression was used to study facility-level factors associated with no follow-up visit among pregnant Option B+ patients. To show differences in the delay of ART initiation among patients groups, we plotted Kaplan-Meier curves. All analyses were done in Stata version 11.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was granted by the Malawian Institutional Review Board, the National Health Sciences Research Committee (No: 962).

Role of the funding source

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Results

Facility-level data

Included in the analysis were a total of 21 939 patients who started ART under Option B+ at one of 540 facilities from October 2011 to March 2012 and who did not transfer to another facility (n=1358 patients who transferred out were excluded). At 6 months after ART initiation, 17 984 (82.0%) were retained in care; 3 749 (17.1%) were LTF; 151 (0.7%) were known to have died; and 53 (0.2%) were known to have stopped ART. In EMRS sites, LTF was 22% and thus higher than in other, usually smaller, facilities with paper-based records in which 15.6% of all patients were LTF. LTF varied considerably between 0 and 58% at the 368 facilities with more than 10 Option B+ patients registered. Overall 137 (37.2%) facilities performed well (<10% of all patients LTF six month after ART initiation). LTF was moderate (10-19%) at 108 (29.4%) facilities and high (>20%) at 123 (33.4%) facilities.

There was no clear association between facility-level predictors and loss to follow-up. There was however a tendency towards higher LTF in urban facilities than in rural facilities (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.0-2.0), in MOH managed facilities than in faith-based, private and other facilities (1.2, 95% CI 1.0-1.5), in central hospitals than in district hospitals and health centres (2.7, 95% CI 0.9-7.9), and in larger facilities with EMRS than in smaller facilities with paper-based records (OR 1.3, 95% CI 0.9-1.8).

Patient-level data

We analysed ART outcomes for 11 534 women who started ART at the 19 large EMRS facilities since September 2011 (see Table 1 for baseline characteristics). Patients were followed for a total of 3501 person years, for a median (IQR) duration of 3.3 (1.0-5.9) months. We compared across patient groups the patients' risk of not returning to the clinic

after the first visit or getting LTF thereafter. Compared to patients who started ART for their own health, Option B+ patients who started ART while pregnant were five times more likely to fail to return to the clinics after the initial visit (adjusted OR 5.0, 95% CI 4.2-6.1) and patients who started treatment while breastfeeding were twice as likely to miss their first follow-up visit (adjusted OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.8-2.8) (Table 2, Figure 2). A similar pattern was seen for LTF at the second visit, although the level was much lower. Between months three and six, LTF was low and similar across groups (Table 2). Six months after ART initiation, the proportion of women who had no follow-up visit after ART initiation or were LTF reached 23.9% (95% CI 22.6-25.3%) in all Option B+ patients, 29.4% (95% CI 27.6-31.3%) in B+ pregnant women, 16.1% (95% CI 14.3-18.0) in B+ breastfeeding women, and 9.6% (95% CI 8.7-10.6%) in women with a low CD4 cell count or advanced clinical stage.

The proportion of women known to have died or transferred to other clinics at 6 months after ART initiation was higher among women who started ART for their own health (Figure 2) than among those who started under Option B+. Documented mortality among Option B+ patients was low. Mortality over a period of six months was 0.4% (95% CI 0.2-0. 7%) for B+ pregnant women, 0.5% (95% CI 0.2-0.9%) for B+ breastfeeding women, and 3.0% (95% CI 2.6-3.6%) for women who started ART for their own health. After controlling for age and facility type, Option B+ patients who started ART on the day of HIV-testing were almost twice as likely never to return to the clinic (adjusted OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.5-2.4) than were Option B+ patients who started ART later (p<0.001).

Among pregnant Option B+ patients (the group with the highest risk of no follow-up after ART initiation) the proportion of women who had no follow-up visit after ART initiation varied from 1.2% to 42.5% between facilities. Much of this variation could be explained by facility-level factors: facilities with the largest proportions of B+ pregnant women had the largest proportion of these women with no follow-up visits (Figure S1). Facilities that offered additional adherence counselling to that required by national guidelines (e.g. peer counselling by mother mentors) had lower rates of early LTF (Table S1).

An analysis of time from HIV diagnosis to ART initiation included a total of 10 179 patients. Over a third (35%) of all pregnant Option B+ patients with a known HIV testing date began ART on the day they were diagnosed (N=1 072/3032; N=288 missing HIV-testing date). The median (IQR) time from HIV diagnosis to ART initiation was 9 (0-64) days for pregnant Option B+ patients, 141 (16-351) days for breastfeeding Option B+ patients, and 76 (15-480) days for patients who started for their own health (Figure 3). Results were similar when we restricted the analysis to facilities with <5% missing values in HIV testing date (data not shown).

Discussion

Under Option B+, on average, 17% of all women were lost to follow-up six months after ART initiation. In the 19 large facilities with electronic medical record systems (EMRS) and available patient-level data, we found higher loss to follow-up (24%). Compared to women who initiated ART for their own health, women who started ART to prevent MTCT during pregnancy were five times more likely never to return to the clinic after they initiated ART.

Women who began ART while breastfeeding were twice as likely to not return compared to those who started for their own health. More than one-third of all pregnant Option B+ patients initiated ART on the day of diagnosis, and members of this group were almost twice as likely never to return to the facilities after the initial visit as pregnant Option B+ patients who started later. There was extensive variation in the level of LTF between clinics, ranging from 0% to 58% at 6 months after ART initiation. Notably, the risk of loss to follow-up was highest in facilities where the patient cohort contained a large proportion of Option B+ patients.

Our results confirm and extend studies presented earlier this year on retention in care under Option B+ in Malawi. In these studies 17% and 22% of all women who started ART with an Option B+ indication were LTF six and 12 months after ART initiation [6, 16]. The seven percentage point higher rate of LTF that we found in larger facilities with available patientlevel data may be caused by health-system factors affecting retention (e.g. overburdened staff, ability to capture and report data), which may be less favourable in larger ART programmes [22]. A recent study conducted at a large urban maternity unit in Malawi also reported high initial loss to follow-up and a retention rate below the national average [23]. But it is also possible that misclassification of patients (e.g. unaccounted transfers) as LTF is more common in larger facilities: These facilities are located in more urban regions with a mobile population.

There are several possible reasons Option B+ patients are more likely to be LTF than those who start ART for their own health. Some women may find PMTCT intervention coercive [24]. High dropout rates could reflect women's resistance to starting ART. Some early dropouts may never have started ART, or have stopped after the first dose in the health facility. It is also possible that Option B+ women may have been less well-prepared for ART, and thus less likely to adhere to treatment and to attend follow-up visits [25, 26]. Our findings of better retention in care in patients who did not start ART on the day of diagnosis, and in those who received additional counselling may support this idea. However, the reasons and consequences for differences in the timing of ART initiation require further investigation. More time to prepare for ART initiation may also be the reason why patients who started ART while breastfeeding may have had more time to prepare for ART than those who initiated ART before delivery. This may have lowered the former's risk of LTF. Women who start ART immediately do not have the chance to disclose their HIV status to spouses or relatives, and prior disclosure may improve ART adherence [25]. On the other hand, non-disclosure was frequent among pregnant women who feared stigmatisation, divorce and physical violence even before Option B_{+} was implemented [27, 28]; and there is limited empirical evidence to document the advantages of systematically delayed ART [29]. Finally, patients who are LTF may have died or transferred to another facility without EMRS documentation and thus have been misclassified as LTF [30]. Unaccounted transfers are a common problem in Malawi [31], but under-recording of death is unlikely to be common in B+ pregnant women, given the relative good health of HIV-infected pregnant women. High loss to follow-up and poor PMTCT coverage have been described under Option A and B [5]; many of the factors causing poor retention may therefore not be specific to Option B+, but a general problem of PMTCT.

The strength of this analysis is that it combines insights from a large longitudinal patientlevel dataset with those from facility-level data with national coverage. We were able to evaluate differences in LTF across specific categories of women, including Option B+ women who started ART before and after delivery. We analyzed patient-level data and described predictors of LTF during different points after ART initiation. Precise HIV-testing date allowed us to describe time elapsed between HIV testing, ART initiation and clinical outcomes.

The study has also several limitations. We lacked information on date of delivery, parity, gestational age at ART initiation, duration of breastfeeding and relevant health-system indicators. Although we evaluated associations between some facility- and patient-level characteristics and patient outcomes, we could not rely on information about psychosocial and structural determinants of retention in care (e.g. coercion and resistance to starting ART). The short length of follow-up duration and the fact that our data were confined to adult patients on ART prevented us from assessing potential long-term benefits of Option B + like decreased child morbidity and mortality. In Malawi, electronic data entry and monitoring does not start when positive HIV+ status is determined and women become eligible for ART. Instead, data collection begins at time of ART initiation, a condition that limited our ability to assess PMTCT coverage under Option B+. Finally, patients who transferred to another facility-level predictors of LTF may have been biased by the exclusion of these patients because the proportion of transfers varied greatly between facilities.

In summary, in the first months after Option B+ was implemented in Malawi, the number of pregnant and breastfeeding women who started lifelong ART substantially increased, and the time between the first positive HIV test and treatment start decreased. Almost a third of the facilities in Malawi have an excellent retention level. However, high early LTF found in many other facilities is of concern and underlines the pressing need to understand the barriers to retention in care for women who start ART under Option B+. Our findings support the need for innovative service delivery models like community- or family-based approaches and interventions to promote retention such as motivating text messages [32], systematic defaulter tracing or improving confidentiality in PMTCT. Loss to follow-up was particularly high in facilities with a high patient volume and in patients who start ART during pregnancy on the day of HIV diagnosis – when policy makers plan improvements to Option B+, they should therefore pay particular attention to these patients.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank all patients, doctors, and study nurses in the participating facilities, and Lynne Mofenson, M.D. from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development for helpful comments. We thank Kali Tal, PhD from the Institute of Social and Preventative Medicine, University of Bern, for editing the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure

Data management and analysis for this project was supported by Grant Number U01AI069924 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases or the National Institutes of Health. OK was supported by a PROSPER fellowship grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation. LM is supported by the Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation. The Malawi Ministry of Health HIV/AIDS Programme is funded by The Global Fund and President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR).

We received comments from Lynne Mofenson who is working for NICHD. The funders did not influence the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. LT, AH and OK had full access to all the data in the study. AH and LT take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. OK had the final responsibility in deciding to submit for publication.

References

- 1. World Health Organisation. [Accessed 1.5.2013] PMTCT strategic vision 2010-2015. Preventing mother-to-child transmission of HIV to reach the UNGASS and Millennium Development Goals 2010. Available at http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/mtct/strategic_vision/en/
- World Health Organisation. [Accessed 1.5.2013] Antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infants. Recommendations for a public health approach 2010 revision. Available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241599818_eng.pdf
- 3. Mofenson L. Prevention in neglected subpopulations: prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50(Suppl 3):130–148.
- Schouten EJ, Jahn A, Chimbwandira F, Harries AD, Van Damme W. Is Option B+ the best choice? Lancet. Apr 13; 2013 381(9874):1272–3. [PubMed: 23582388]
- Wettstein C, Mugglin C, Egger M, Blaser N, Vizcaya LS, Estill J, et al. Missed opportunities to prevent mother-to-child-transmission: systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS. 2012; 26(18): 2361–73. [PubMed: 22948267]
- Government of Malawi Ministry of Health. [Accessed 1.5.2013] Integrated HIV Program Report July – September. 2012. Available at: http://www.hivunitmohmw.org/uploads/Main/ Quarterly_HIV_Programme_Report_2012_Q3.pdf
- Schouten EJ, Jahn A, Midiani D, Makombe SD, Mnthambala A, Chirwa Z, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV and the health-related Millennium Development Goals: time for a public health approach. Lancet. 2011; 378(9787):282–284. [PubMed: 21763940]
- 8. Coutsoudis A, Goga A, Desmond C, Barron P, Black V, Coovadia H. Is Option B+ the best choice? Lancet. 2013; 381:269–271. [PubMed: 23351797]
- 9. Kellerman S, Jay JS, Quick JD. Is Option B+ the best choice? Lancet. Apr 13.2013 381(9874)
- 10. Goosby EP. Is Option B+ the best choice? Lancet. Apr 13.2013 381(9874):1272. [PubMed: 23582389]
- Hirnschall G, Doherty M, Shaffer N. Is Option B+ the best choice? Lancet. Apr 13; 2013 381(9874):1271–2. [PubMed: 23582387]
- World Health Organisation. [Accessed 13.8.2013] Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection: recommendations for a public health approach. Jun. 2013 Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ 10665/85321/1/9789241505727_eng.pdf
- Hargrove JW, Humphrey JH, ZVITAMBO Study Group. Mortality among HIV-positive postpartum women with high CD4 cell counts in Zimbabwe. AIDS. 2010; 24(3):F 11–14.
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 Infection with Early Antiretroviral Therapy. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011; 365(6):493–505. [PubMed: 21767103]
- WHO. UNICEF. UNAIDS. [Accessed 13.8.2013] Global update on HIV treatment 2013: Results, impact and opportunities. Jun. 2013 Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ 10665/85326/1/9789241505734_eng.pdf
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Impact of an Innovative Approach to Prevent Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV 2013 — Malawi, July 2011–September 2012. MMWR. 2013; 62(08):148–51. [PubMed: 23446514]

- 17. World Health Organisation. [Accessed 1.5.2013] Antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection in adults and adolescents. Recommendations for a public health approach. 2006 revision. Available at http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/adult/en/
- Government of Malawi Ministry of Health. [Accessed 1.5.2013] Integrated HIV Program Report April-June 2012. 2012. Available at http://www.hivunitmohmw.org/uploads/Main/ Quarterly_HIV_Programme_Report_2012_Q2.pdf
- Douglas GP, Gadabu OJ, Joukes S, et al. Using touchscreen electronic medical record systems to support and monitor national scale-up of antiretroviral therapy in Malawi. PLoS medicine. 2010; 7(8)
- Lau B, Cole SR, Gange SJ. Competing risk regression models for epidemiologic data. Am J Epidemiol. 2009; 170(2):244–256. [PubMed: 19494242]
- 21. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional Hazards Model for the Subdistribution of a Competing Risk. J Am Stat Assoc. 1999; 94(446):496–509. 1999.
- Cornell M, Grimsrud A, Fairall L, Fox MP, van Cutsem G, Giddy J, et al. Temporal changes in programme outcomes among adult patients initiating antiretroviral therapy across South Africa, 2002-2007. AIDS. 2010; 24(14):2263–2270. [PubMed: 20683318]
- 23. Speight, C.; Phiri, S.; Hosseinipour, M.; Tweya, H.; Chimbwandira, F.; Chikonda, J.; Phoso, M.; Kalulu, M.; Chauma, A. Implementing Option B+ for prevention of mother to child transmission at Bwaila Maternity Unit, Lilongwe: the first 18 months; Presented at the 7th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- 24. Hardon A, Vernooij E, Bongololo-Mbera G, Cherutich P, Desclaux A, Kyaddondo D, et al. Women's views on consent, counseling and confidentiality in PMTCT: a mixed-methods study in four African countries. BMC Public Health. 2012; 12:26. [PubMed: 22236097]
- 25. Gebrekristos HT, Mlisana KP, Karim Q. Patients' readiness to start highly active antiretroviral treatment for HIV. BMJ. 2005; 331(7519):772–775. [PubMed: 16195299]
- 26. Orrell C. Antiretroviral adherence in a resource-poor setting. Current HIV/AIDS Rep. 2005; 2(4): 171–176.
- Medley A, Garcia-Moreno C, McGill S, Maman S. Rates, barriers and outcomes of HIV serostatus disclosure among women in developing countries: implications for prevention of mother-to-child transmission programmes. Bull World Health Organ. 2004; 82:299–307. [PubMed: 15259260]
- Anglewicz P, Chintsanya J. Disclosure of HIV status between spouses in rural Malawi. AIDS Care. 2011; 23(8):998–1005. [PubMed: 21390889]
- 29. Myer L, Zulliger R, Bekker LG, Abrams E. Systemic delays in the initiation of antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy do not improve outcomes of HIV-positive mothers: a cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012; 12:94. [PubMed: 22963318]
- Brinkhof MW, Spycher BD, Yiannoutsos C, Weigel R, Wood R, Messou E, et al. Adjusting mortality for loss to follow-up: analysis of five ART programmes in sub-Saharan Africa. PloS One. 2010; 5(11):e14149. [PubMed: 21152392]
- Tweya H, Gareta D, Chagwera F, Ben-Smith A, Mwenyemasi J, Chiputula F, et al. Early active follow-up of patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART) who are lost to follow-up: the 'Back-to-Care' project in Lilongwe, Malawi. Trop Med Int Health. 2010; 15(Suppl 1):82–89. [PubMed: 20586965]
- 32. Joseph-Davey, D.; Ponce, W.; Augusto, O.; Traca, D.; Jetha, E.; de Palha de Sousa, C. Improved retention in HIV care following SMS reminders in Mozambique: a randomized controlled trial; Presented at the 7th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.



Figure 1. Inclusion of facilities and patients in different analyses



Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of outcomes on antiretroviral therapy (ART) since the introduction of Option B+ The panels show the cumulative incidence of treatment outcomes over time from the start of antiretroviral therapy in months. Treatment outcomes are compared across three groups based on patients' indication for ART: Option B+ indication and ART start during pregnancy (A), Option B+ indication and ART start after delivery while breastfeeding (B), and WHO stage 3 or 4 and/or CD4 350/µL (C). Estimates are derived from competing risk regression.





Kaplan-Meier functions for the time from HIV testing to initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) among patients who started ART. Separate functions are shown for patient who started ART i) with Option B+ indication during pregnancy ("Option B+ pregnant"), ii) with Option B+ indication after delivery whilst breastfeeding ("Option B+ breastfeeding"), and iii) in WHO clinical stage 3 or 4 and/or CD4 350/µL ("ART for own health).

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of all female patients aged 16-50 years starting antiretroviral therapy (ART) since 01/09/2011 in 19 facilities with electronic medical record systems.

	Total	Non-pregnant women starting ART for their own <u>health</u>	Pregnant women starting ART due to Option B+	Breastfeeding women starting ART due to Option <u>B+</u>
Number of patients	11534	6177	3320	2037
Median (IQR) age (years)	30.4 (25.9-35.8)	33.1 (28.3-38.8)	27.9 (23.8-31.7)	28.4 (24.6-32.5)
< 30 (%)	5 466 (47.4)	2058 (33.3)	2143 (64.6)	1265 (62.1)
30-39 (%)	4658 (40.4)	2835 (45.9)	1109 (33.4)	714 (35.1)
40 (%)	1410 (12.2)	1284 (20.8)	68 (2.1)	58 (2.9)
ART eligibility due to CD4 cell count (%)				
eligible	2853 (24.7)	2731 (44.2)	39 (1.2)	10 (0.5)
not eligible	117 (1.0)	50 (0.8)	112 (3.7)	28 (1.4)
missing ¹	8564 (74.3)	3396 (55.0)	3169 (95.5)	1999 (98.1)
WHO clinical stage (%)				
Stage 1	3072 (26.6)	2052 (33.2)	1020 (30.7)	0 (0.0)
Stage 2	494 (4.3)	434 (7.0)	60 (1.8)	0 (0.0)
Stage 3	3336 (28.9)	3239 (52.4)	97 (2.9)	0 (0.0)
Stage 4	465 (4.0)	452 (7.3)	13 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
missing	4167 (36.1)	0 (0.0)	2130 (64.2)	2037 (100.0)
ART eligible due to CD4 350/µL or WHO stage3/4 (%)				
eligible	6370 (55.2)	6142 (99.4)	218 (6.6)	10 (0.5)
not eligible or missing	5164 (44.8)	35 (0.6)	3102 (93.4)	2027 (99.5)
HIV testing date missing (%)	1355 (11.8)	827 (13.4)	288 (8.7)	240 (11.8)
Year of ART start (%)				
2011	5735 (49.7)	2901 (47.0)	1590 (47.9)	1244 (61.1)
2012	5799 (50.3)	3276 (53.0)	1730 (52.1)	793 (38.9)
Number (%) pregnant	3320 (28.8)	0 (0.0)	3320 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
Most frequent ART regimen (%)				
d4T 3TC NVP	5819 (50.5)	5479 (88.7)	179 (5.4)	161 (7.9)
TDF 3TC EFV	5420 (47.0)	488 (7.9)	3090 (93.1)	1842 (90.4)

IQR: interquartile range; d4T: stavudine; 3TC: lamivudine NVP: nevirapine; TDF: tenofovir; EFV: efavirenz

 I ART eligibility assessment due to CD4 cell count was missing if no CD4 cell count was measured between 3 months before and 1 month after start of antiretroviral therapy.

_
_
~
_
_
-
_
_
- U
~
-
-
-
<u> </u>
_
—
_
_
\sim
0
_
_
<
-
01
CD I
_
-
-
-
<u> </u>
10
0)
-
0
~
-
_
()
0

ç		N
1	C	2
ŀ		-

Predictors of no follow-up visit, lost at second visit and lost during months 3 to 6 after start of antiretroviral therapy (ART)

		Univai	riable analysis						Multivariable a	analysis		
	No follow-up vi ART start ^I	isit after	LTF at second	visit ²	LTF during mo on ART ⁵	nths 3-6 3	No follow-up vi ART start	sit after J	LTF at second	l visit ²	LTF during moi on ART ³	ths 3-6
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	SHR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	aSHR (95% CI)	p-value
Start of ART due												
CD4 350/µL /	Reference	<0.0001	Reference	<0.0001	Reference	0.1793	Reference	<0.0001	Reference	<0.0000	Reference	0.1773
WHO stage3/4												
B+ pregnant	6.71 (5.62-8.00)		2.25 (1.74-2.92)		1.24 (0.90-1.72)		5.04 (4.16-6.10)		1.81 (1.36-2.41)		1.07 (0.75-1.55)	
B+ breastfeeding	2.90 (2.34-3.60)		1.09 (0.77-1.53)		0.84 (0.57-1.24)		2.24 (1.79-2.81)		0.93 (0.65-1.33)		0.73 (0.48-1.09)	
Age (years)												
<30	Reference	<0.0001	Reference	0.0010	Reference	0.0220	Reference	0.0002	Reference	0.0391	Reference	0.0153
30-39	0.56 (0.48-0.65)		0.63 (0.49-0.81)		0.65 (0.48-0.88)		0.76 (0.65-0.88)		0.71 (0.55-0.93)		0.63 (0.46-0.87)	
>40	0.25 (0.18-0.35)		0.69 (0.47-1.00)		0.80 (0.51-1.24)		0.59 (0.42-0.84)		0.92 (0.61-1.41)		0.76 (0.47-1.22)	
Facility type												
Health Centre	Reference	<0.0001	Reference	<0.0000	Reference	0.0138	Reference	0.0907	Reference	0.1589	Reference	0.0129
Mission Hospital	0.33 (0.22-0.50)		0.22 (0.11-0.46)		0.48 (0.19-1.19)		0.43 (0.16-1.18)		0.24 (0.07-0.89)		0.51 (0.21-1.25)	
District Hospital	1.18 (0.95-1.48)		0.77 (0.54-1.08)		1.50 (0.86-2.59)		1.12 (0.50-2.47)		0.70 (0.27-1.84)		1.57 (0.90-2.71)	
Central Hospital	0.47 (0.35-0.63)		0.55 (0.35-0.84)		1.20 (0.65-2.23)		0.74 (0.26-2.10)		0.57 (0.16-2.00)		1.24 (0.66-2.33)	
Multivariable analysis a	adjusted for all vari	ables shown	ו table									
(a)SHR= (adjusted) sub	hazard ratio from c	ompeting rì	isk regression. (a)OF	k= (adjusted	l) odds ratio							
<i>l</i> Patients who missed th	heir first follow-up	visit and die	d not return to care f	or more tha	n 60 days were defi	ined as "no-	follow up after AR	ſ start".				

AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 February 20.

³ Patients who were missed their third or a subsequent follow-up visit and did not return to care for more than 60 days were defined as "LTF during months 3-6 on ART".

² Patients who missed their second follow-up visit and did not return to care for more than 60 days were classified as "LTF at the second visit".