



Published in final edited form as:

Pain. 2014 June ; 155(6): 1055–1058. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.12.007.

Placebo analgesia: Clinical applications

Regine Klinger^{1,*}, Luana Colloca², Ulrike Bingel³, and Herta Flor⁴

¹University of Hamburg, Department of Psychology, Psychotherapeutic University Outpatient Clinic for Behavior Therapy, Von-Melle-Park 5, D-20146 Hamburg

²National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM), National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) and Clinical Center, Department of Bioethics, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, USA

³Department of Neurology, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany

⁴Department of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, Central Institute of Mental Health / Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, J5, D-68159 Mannheim

Introduction

Over the past 15 years research into analgesic placebo effects has been intense and several psychological and neurobiological mechanisms have been discovered [10]. Placebo analgesia occurs when alterations in pain perception appear that exceed the specific effect of the pain treatment, be it pharmacological, psychological or physical. Neurobiological studies have revealed great similarity between the molecular basis of drug action and the related placebo response suggesting that a placebo can partially replace the verum and enhance its effects as detailed in our previous review on neurobiological mechanisms [10]. Core psychological mechanisms include expectancy [[33],[39]] and learning such as classical conditioning and social learning [[6], [11], [32]], and these processes closely interact [[7], [27]] with emotions and motivations (e.g. anxiety, desire for relief), somatic focus or cognitions (e.g. attitudes towards the treatment) [[8],[17],[15],[32],[33],[35],[37],[39]]. In line with these findings, Benedetti [4] suggested that the effect of analgesic medication is composed of two components: a pharmacological and a psychological component. In this sense, the analgesic placebo effect can be considered to be “additive”, supplementing pain management and enhancing analgesic medication beyond its purely pharmacological effect within ethical borders. However, placebo effects not only occur in pharmacological

© 2013 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Address correspondence to: Regine Klinger, PhD, University of Hamburg, Faculty of Education, Psychology and Kinesiology, Department of Psychology, Psychotherapeutic University Outpatient Clinic for Behavioural Therapy, Von-Melle-Park 5, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany Tel.: +4940428385374; Fax: +4940428386072; rklinger@uni-hamburg.de.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Disclosure: The opinions expressed by L.C. are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the National Institutes of Health, the Public Health Service, or the Department of Health and Human Services.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

interventions but are part of any analgesic treatment and thus also of psychological interventions, physical therapy or alternative medicine applications.

A number of meta-analyses have demonstrated the efficacy of placebo analgesia [[37],[38]], however, high variance is apparent in different study designs [[20],[21],[37],[38]]. Furthermore, long-lasting efficacy of placebos has been demonstrated for psychological treatments [e.g. [16]] or acupuncture [[19]]. The modifiability of the analgesic placebo response led to proposals to make better use of it in clinical practice [[15],[26],[32]] to optimize treatment outcome and to provide patients with an additional placebo-based benefit. Here we propose several approaches how to exploit placebo mechanisms to improve pharmacological and non-pharmacological pain interventions in a more systematic manner than what naturally occurs in clinical settings.

Enhancing expectancy

The placebo effect can be produced by instructions and the anticipatory expectancy of pain relief [25] in the context of the interaction and communication between health practioners and patients [[29], [23]]. The strength and certainty of positive expectancies will influence the magnitude of the placebo effect by increasing self-control beliefs and attention to positive effects, which can in turn reduce anxiety and stress [36].

Placebo effects in pain treatment can be enhanced by informing the patients about placebo mechanisms and by explaining their effects to them. Such an educational informative approach ought to explain the placebo effect based on the models of classical conditioning and expectancy, but also its neurobiological bases without overstraining the patient [31] (e.g. the therapist can inform about pharmacological and psychological effects of analgesics, emphasize learning and the fact that placebos alter neurobiological processes such as opioid release). The analgesic placebo effect can be usefully applied to enhance the patient's knowledge and self-management competence. For example, if patients understand the basic principles of the placebo effect, they can attempt to shape the context of taking medication to optimize its administration (e.g. taking medication attentively with focus on taste, smell, look of the drug rather than inattentively). They can examine their own expectancies towards the drug and seek out additional information in order to improve their attitude to the drug. In addition, health care providers can shape the context in which therapeutic interventions are given and can thus influences the outcome via maximizing expectancy. Kaptchuk et al. [23] showed that placebo acupuncture combined with a positive therapeutic relationship was more effective than a placebo treatment with minimal, business-like therapist contact, underlining the importance of the therapeutic interaction in the efficacy of placebo effects. Negative expectancy about the effect of an analgesic can reduce its efficacy and increase side effects and induce a nocebo effect. Here, the information provided along with the treatment is again clinically relevant. When analgesics are administered. nocebo effects can be induced when the information about the medication is focused on adverse events. Balancing information on positive and negative effects and emphasizing potential benefits despite of negative effects can enhance positive expectancies. It is also important to determine the patient's pre-existing attitude to the treatment. Potentially negative attitudes can be addressed and corrected but side effects should not be downplayed. It is conceivable

that the presence of side effects will in fact enhance the attribution of a positive effect, because it increases the credibility of the substance.

The cost or the perceived invasiveness of a treatment also influences the analgesic effect since more costly or more invasive interventions are associated with higher expectancies [[29; 40],[22]]. This suggests that enhancing the value of treatment by highlighting its special assets and efficacy through better information and perceptible (e.g. optical, tactile, gustatory or olfactory) appreciation.

It is also important that the therapists themselves believe in the efficacy of a certain treatment. It was previously shown that therapists who were told that a drug would be less effective also achieved lower placebo effects [[18]] compared to those who believed in a high efficacy of the drug. Table 1 lists some possible placebo interventions based on expectancy.

Enhancing learning

From a learning point of view, an originally neutral stimulus such as the sight, taste or smell of a medication when associated with the pharmacological effect of a drug, elicit the analgesic effect on its own. Analgesic interventions can have an additional positive effect based on their association with previously experienced successful treatments. This experience does not have to be direct but can also be acquired by social learning [[9]].

Moreover, overtly administered analgesics – whereby patients are fully aware of taking the drug – have a better effect than analgesics given in a covert fashion (e.g. through a computer-controlled infusion pump or as part of a cocktail of drugs) [[2],[11]]. The better the administration of a drug is perceived (e.g. sight, smell, taste, touch and information), the more the placebo effect can be exploited. In outpatient and especially in in-patient practice, unintentionally hidden administration of treatment is frequent. Most of the patients cannot identify their pain medication in the complex hospital context and in their unlabeled pillbox. This most likely dramatically reduces the efficacy of the medications. It would be important to direct the patient's attention towards the drug, the infusion or the injection in order to enhance the contextual value of the treatments to optimize pain management. This point especially applies to medication in nursing homes. Here open medication should involve not only the use of labels but also of colors, descriptions of the effects of the drugs that are given and positive social interaction around the drug. This might be particularly relevant for patients with dementia or Alzheimer's disease. In these patients a loss of the efficacy of placebo responses was observed that correlated with reduced connectivity of the frontal lobes and the rest of the brain [3]. This altered connectivity was related to short attention span, poor working short term memory and therefore a reduced capacity to acquire and maintain explicit expectancies in the form of declarative or explicit memory. By contrast, non-declarative or implicit memory was intact in these patients so that conditioning related to placebo effects might be more effective than verbal instructions [5].

Learning studies suggest that previous experience of analgesia and hyperalgesia is remembered, thus creating a memory of successful and unsuccessful treatment effectiveness. Every new experience occurs on the basis of this learning history and is influenced by it

[24]. Extending pioneering clinical work of Laska and Sunshine [30] to an experimental setting, Colloca and Benedetti [6] found that the prior experience of a beneficial effect of a drug led to a higher placebo response than the experience that a drug had been ineffective, and these effects last several days.

Klinger et al. [27] showed that such learning experiences are more relevant in patients than healthy controls. Here, experimental pain stimuli were reduced during the administration of a placebo (conditioning) thus giving the participants the experience of actual pain relief. In the patients, the placebo effect was only present in this conditioning condition, expectancy alone only worked in the controls. Patients depend on medication for pain relief and their desire for help is high. Therefore they could be more tuned to their bodily sensations and might thus expect more immediate relief from medications. For some patients verbal instructions alone might not be sufficient to augment placebo analgesia in a clinical setting. In these patients the induction of expectancies that are not followed by the experience of analgesia might even produce disappointment. Therefore, overstatements or false promises of placebo efficacy and analgesia should be avoided in clinical practices. This topic requires further investigation.

Placebo analgesia has also been associated with reward. For example, Scott et al. [35] and Schweinhardt et al. [34] showed that there are person-related differences in activation in the dopaminergic mesolimbic reward pathway that predict not only the response to reward but also a large proportion of the variance in the placebo response. Thus reward processing and placebo analgesia may share common pathways. Maximizing the chance to activate the reward system may thus also improve placebo analgesia, for example, by enhancing motivation in the patients by rewarding interactions.

In addition to the use of conditioning principles verbal instructions can be employed to have patients recall and reactivate previously learned associations that are otherwise implicit and not accessible by verbal report [25]. The reactivation of prior experiences can have both positive and negative consequences. Reactivating positive associations could channel the experiences with a new analgesic in a positive direction. Questions about earlier experiences with analgesics could bring out such acquired positive associations. However, this procedure could also reveal negative associations such as previously experienced insufficient analgesia or side effects which could then be distinguished from the new drug during the dialogue with the patient (e.g. by emphasizing that although prior negative experiences with a certain medication are present, the new medication will have different effects and be more beneficial).

Prior positive effects as “pre-conditioning” of pain management lead to positive expectancy towards subsequent pain management and can maximize the placebo aspects of this treatment [[12],[13]]. Similarly, effective pain management can confirm and maintain existing (placebo) expectancy [27]. In both cases, the previous experience of pain relief modulates the size of the placebo effect, i.e. a highly effective analgesic can potentially also generate a higher degree of additional placebo effectiveness. This effect could be used with drugs, which are likely to be discontinued due to strong side effects. Along these lines the alternating administration of an active drug and a placebo and the corresponding lowering of

the (pharmacological) dose may reduce the side effects while maintaining the analgesic (placebo) effect [[14],[1]]. This principle can also be viewed as an “intermittent amplification” of the placebo effect. A study on psoriasis showed that the intermittent partial-dose of the verum (here a corticosteroid) and placebo was as effective as continuous medication in reducing the frequency of relapse [1]. Table 1 lists potential learning-based uses of the placebo effect in clinical settings.

Outlook

Our knowledge about the underlying mechanisms of placebo analgesic responses has grown substantially over the past decades. Knowing these principles and mechanisms behind placebo analgesia allows to increase the placebo component inherent in any active treatment. However, more research in clinical settings is needed to determine the practical value of the use of placebos. For example, a comparison of the efficacy of health care personnel trained in the explicit use of placebo principles as compared to those who provide care as usual might be fruitful. Nevertheless, some recommendation for incorporating placebo effects in clinical practice can already be made. Although many clinicians may employ the placebo effect in an intuitive manner, we propose to systematically exploit these effects as part of any analgesic treatment to optimize treatment outcomes. This implies a wide range of applications [also cf. [15]], that can and should be incorporated in everyday clinical practice as, for example, suggested by the German guidelines for the treatment of acute perioperative and posttraumatic pain [[26],[28]]. Following these principles could facilitate pain management and clinician - patient interaction.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by intramural NCCAM and NIMH (L.C.); grants by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FOR 1328/1) to R.K. (KI 1350/3-1), H.F. (FI 156/33-1) and U.B. (BI 789/2-1) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (01GQ0808) (U.B.); and by intramural grant NCCAM and NIMH (L.C.).

References

1. Ader R, Mercurio MG, Walton J, James D, Davis M, Ojha V, Kimball AB, Fiorentino D. Conditioned pharmacotherapeutic effects: a preliminary study. *Psychosom Med.* 2010; 72(2):192–197. [PubMed: 20028830]
2. Benedetti F. Placebo analgesia. *Neurol Sci.* 2006; 27 (Suppl 2):S100–102. [PubMed: 16688609]
3. Benedetti F, Arduino C, Costa S, Vighetti S, Tarenzi L, Rainero I, Asteggiano G. Loss of expectation-related mechanisms in Alzheimer's disease makes analgesic therapies less effective. *Pain.* 2006; 121(1–2):133–144. [PubMed: 16473462]
4. Benedetti F, Pollo A, Lopiano L, Lanotte M, Vighetti S, Rainero I. Conscious expectation and unconscious conditioning in analgesic, motor, and hormonal placebo/nocebo responses. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 2003; 23(10):4315–4323. [PubMed: 12764120]
5. Bingel U, Colloca L, Vase L. Mechanisms and clinical implications of the placebo effect: is there a potential for the elderly? A mini-review. *Gerontology.* 2011; 57(4):354–363. [PubMed: 20975261]
6. Colloca L, Benedetti F. Placebos and painkillers: is mind as real as matter? *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2005; 6(7):545–552. [PubMed: 15995725]
7. Colloca L, Benedetti F. How prior experience shapes placebo analgesia. *Pain.* 2006; 124(1–2):126–133. [PubMed: 16701952]

8. Colloca L, Benedetti F. Nocebo hyperalgesia: how anxiety is turned into pain. *Current opinion in anaesthesiology*. 2007; 20(5):435–439. [PubMed: 17873596]
9. Colloca L, Benedetti F. Placebo analgesia induced by social observational learning. *Pain*. 2009; 144(1–2):28–34. [PubMed: 19278785]
10. Colloca L, Klinger R, Flor H, Bingel U. Placebo analgesia: psychological and neurobiological mechanisms. *Pain*. 2013; 154(4):511–514. [PubMed: 23473783]
11. Colloca L, Lopiano L, Lanotte M, Benedetti F. Overt versus covert treatment for pain, anxiety, and Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2004; 3(11):679–684. [PubMed: 15488461]
12. Colloca L, Sigauco M, Benedetti F. The role of learning in nocebo and placebo effects. *Pain*. 2008; 136(1–2):211–218. [PubMed: 18372113]
13. Colloca L, Tinazzi M, Recchia S, Le Pera D, Fiaschi A, Benedetti F, Valeriani M. Learning potentiates neurophysiological and behavioral placebo analgesic responses. *Pain*. 2008; 139(2):306–314. [PubMed: 18538928]
14. Doering BK, Rief W. Utilizing placebo mechanisms for dose reduction in pharmacotherapy. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*. 2012; 33(3):165–172. [PubMed: 22284159]
15. Finniss DG, Benedetti F. Mechanisms of the placebo response and their impact on clinical trials and clinical practice. *Pain*. 2005; 114(1–2):3–6. [PubMed: 15733625]
16. Flor H, Haag G, Turk DC, Köhler H. Efficacy of EMG biofeedback, pseudotherapy, and conventional medical treatment for chronic rheumatic back pain. *Pain*. 1983; 17(1):21–31. [PubMed: 6226915]
17. Geers AL, Helfer SG, Weiland PE, Kosbab K. Expectations and placebo response: a laboratory investigation into the role of somatic focus. *Journal of behavioral medicine*. 2006; 29(2):171–178. [PubMed: 16374671]
18. Gracely RH, Dubner R, Deeter WR, Wolskee PJ. Clinicians' expectations influence placebo analgesia. *Lancet*. 1985; 1(8419):43. [PubMed: 2856960]
19. Haake M, Müller HH, Schade-Brittinger C, Basler HD, Schäfer H, Maier C, Endres HG, Trampisch HJ, Molsberger A. German Acupuncture Trials (GERAC) for chronic low back pain: randomized, multicenter, blinded, parallel-group trial with 3 groups. *Arch Intern Med*. 2007; 167(17):1892–1898. [PubMed: 17893311]
20. Hrobjartsson A, Gotzsche PC. Unreliable analysis of placebo analgesia in trials of placebo pain mechanisms. *Pain*. 2003; 104(3):714–715. author reply 715–716. [PubMed: 12927646]
21. Hrobjartsson A, Gotzsche PC. Is the placebo powerless? Update of a systematic review with 52 new randomized trials comparing placebo with no treatment. *J Intern Med*. 2004; 256(2):91–100. [PubMed: 15257721]
22. Johnson AG. Surgery as a placebo. *Lancet*. 1994; 344(8930):1140–1142. [PubMed: 7934500]
23. Kaptchuk TJ, Kelley JM, Conboy LA, Davis RB, Kerr CE, Jacobson EE, Kirsch I, Schyner RN, Nam BH, Nguyen LT, Park M, Rivers AL, McManus C, Kokkotou E, Drossman DA, Goldman P, Lembo AJ. Components of placebo effect: randomised controlled trial in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *BMJ*. 2008; 336(7651):999–1003. [PubMed: 18390493]
24. Kessner S, Wiech K, Forkmann K, Ploner M, Bingel U. The Effect of Treatment History on Therapeutic Outcome: An Experimental Approach. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2013:1–2.
25. Kirsch I, Lynn SJ, Vigorito M, Miller RR. The role of cognition in classical and operant conditioning. *J Clin Psychol*. 2004; 60(4):369–392. [PubMed: 15022268]
26. Klinger R. Das Potenzial des analgetischen Placeboeffektes: S3-Leitlinien-Empfehlung zur Behandlung akuter und perioperativer Schmerzen [The potential of the analgetic placebo effect - S3-guideline recommendation on the clinical use for acute and perioperative pain management]. *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther*. 2010; 45(1):22–29. [PubMed: 20091473]
27. Klinger R, Soost S, Flor H, Worm M. Classical conditioning and expectancy in placebo hypoalgesia: a randomized controlled study in patients with atopic dermatitis and persons with healthy skin. *Pain*. 2007; 128(1–2):31–39. [PubMed: 17030095]
28. Klinger, R.; Thomm, M.; Bryant, M.; Becker, M. Patienteninformation und -aufklärung [patient information and -education]. In: Laubenthal, H.; Becker, M.; Sauerland, S.; Neugebauer, E., editors. *Deutsche interdisziplinäre Vereinigung für Schmerztherapie (DIVS) [German Interdisciplinary Association of Pain Treatment]*. Köln, Germany: Deutscher Ärzte-Verlag; 2008.

- p. 19-22.S3- Leitlinie Behandlung akuter und perioperativer posttraumatischer Schmerzen [S3-Guideline „Treatment of acute perioperative and posttraumatic pain“]AWMF-Reg.-Nr. 041/001, <http://www.awmf.org>
29. Lang EV, Hatsiopoulou O, Koch T, Berbaum K, Lutgendorf S, Kettenmann E, Logan H, Kaptchuk TJ. Can words hurt? Patient-provider interactions during invasive procedures. *Pain*. 2005; 114(1-2):303-309. [PubMed: 15733657]
 30. Laska E, Sunshine A. Anticipation of analgesia. A placebo effect. *Headache*. 1973; 13(1):1-11. [PubMed: 4572208]
 31. Moseley GL, Nicholas MK, Hodges PW. A randomized controlled trial of intensive neurophysiology education in chronic low back pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2004; 20(5):324-330. [PubMed: 15322439]
 32. Price DD, Finniss DG, Benedetti F. A comprehensive review of the placebo effect: recent advances and current thought. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2008; 59:565-590. [PubMed: 17550344]
 33. Price DD, Milling LS, Kirsch I, Duff A, Montgomery GH, Nicholls SS. An analysis of factors that contribute to the magnitude of placebo analgesia in an experimental paradigm. *Pain*. 1999; 83(2): 147-156. [PubMed: 10534585]
 34. Schweinhardt P, Seminowicz DA, Jaeger E, Duncan GH, Bushnell MC. The anatomy of the mesolimbic reward system: a link between personality and the placebo analgesic response. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009; 29(15):4882-4887. [PubMed: 19369556]
 35. Scott DJ, Stohler CS, Egnatuk CM, Wang H, Koeppe RA, Zubieta JK. Individual differences in reward responding explain placebo-induced expectations and effects. *Neuron*. 2007; 55(2):325-336. [PubMed: 17640532]
 36. Turner JA, Deyo RA, Loeser JD, Von Korff M, Fordyce WE. The importance of placebo effects in pain treatment and research. *JAMA*. 1994; 271(20):1609-1614. [PubMed: 7880221]
 37. Vase L, Petersen GL, Riley JL 3rd, Price DD. Factors contributing to large analgesic effects in placebo mechanism studies conducted between 2002 and 2007. *Pain*. 2009; 145(1-2):36-44. [PubMed: 19559529]
 38. Vase L, Riley JL 3rd, Price DD. A comparison of placebo effects in clinical analgesic trials versus studies of placebo analgesia. *Pain*. 2002; 99(3):443-452. [PubMed: 12406519]
 39. Vase L, Robinson ME, Verne GN, Price DD. The contributions of suggestion, desire, and expectation to placebo effects in irritable bowel syndrome patients. An empirical investigation. *Pain*. 2003; 105(1-2):17-25. [PubMed: 14499416]
 40. Waber RL, Shiv B, Carmon Z, Ariely D. Commercial features of placebo and therapeutic efficacy. *JAMA*. 2008; 299(9):1016-1017. [PubMed: 18319411]

Table 1

Clinical applications of the placebo effect

Techniques of negotiations for explaining or applying an acute pain medication, e.g. during consult for acute (postoperative) pain management in the hospital or when prescribing new medication for chronic pain management.

Enhancement of expectations

- Emphasis on positive drug effects, avoidance of overemphasis on side effects
- Explanation of the effects of the drugs and the mechanism of drug action
- Personal interaction rather than only written materials
- Explanation of the course of drug action, avoidance of unrealistic promises

Enhancement of learning components:

- Applications of analgesics in an open manner including many sensory aspects
 - Association of analgesic medication with positive internal states and in positive external conditions
 - Combination of the intake of analgesics with other pain-relieving techniques
 - Time- rather than pain contingent analgesic medication on an intermittent schedule
 - Exploration of analgesic-associated experiences and attitudes and reinforcement of positive and devaluation of negative experiences
-