

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 May 10

Published in final edited form as: Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011 August ; 17(8): 1114–1120. doi:10.1016/j.bbmt.2011.05.007.

Rationale and Design of the Chronic GVHD Cohort Study: Improving Outcomes Assessment in Chronic GVHD

The Chronic GVHD Consortium

Abstract

In 2005, the National Institutes of Health sponsored a Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-vs-Host (GVHD) to achieve consensus about key elements of chronic GVHD research, including definitions for diagnosis, severity scoring, and response measures. To test these proposed definitions, a multi-center prospective cohort study of people with chronic GVHD is ongoing. This study will evaluate the performance of proposed prognostic factors, measures of disease activity and surrogate endpoints for therapeutic response. Data are collected at 6 month intervals in a heterogeneous population of patients reflecting modern transplant techniques and post-transplant clinical management (target enrollment 672 with chronic GVHD from ten transplant centers). This report describes the rationale, design, and methods of the chronic GVHD cohort study, and invites other investigators to collaborate with the Consortium to analyze data or specimens.

Keywords

chronic graft-versus-host disease; allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation; outcomes research; observational clinical study

Introduction

Chronic graft-versus-host disease is a common immune-mediated disorder following allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), occurring in 30–70% patients.(1, 2) Historically, chronic GVHD was distinguished from acute GVHD based on its occurrence beyond day 100 after HCT, and severity was classified as limited or extensive based on target organ involvement.(3) Skin, mouth, eye and liver are historically the most frequently involved organs.(4, 5) Chronic GVHD is associated with worse quality of life,(6–8) prolonged duration of immunosuppressive therapy,(9) and higher non-relapse mortality, but also a lower relapse rate.(10)

^{© 2011} The American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Correspondence: Stephanie J. Lee, MD, MPH, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Ave N, D5-290, Seattle, WA 98109, sjlee@fhcrc.org, (206) 667-5160 – tel, (206) 667-1034 - fax.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The Chronic GVHD Consortium is conducting a multi-center, prospective, longitudinal study designed to validate and refine the recommendations of the NIH Consensus Conference, and to provide improved tools for clinical trials in chronic GVHD. This paper outlines the design and methods of the Chronic GVHD Cohort study, describes the first 546 enrolled patients, and provides information about accessing materials and data from the study.

Methods

Objectives

The study has two major objectives: (1) Evaluate whether prognostic measures at onset of chronic GVHD predict overall and disease-free survival, non-relapse mortality, and functional impairment; (2) Evaluate proposed measures of chronic GVHD disease activity for association with (a) *short term* outcomes, including changes in chronic GVHD medications, clinician grading of chronic GVHD severity and change, and patient self-assessment of chronic GVHD severity and change; and (b) *long-term* outcomes, including overall survival, time to discontinuation of systemic immunosuppressive therapy, and functional impairment. Collection of standardized data prospectively from providers and patients will address the limitations of prior studies that relied on medical chart review.

Population

Allogeneic HCT recipients were eligible for inclusion in this study if they were 2 years or older, had a clinical diagnosis of chronic GVHD (overlap syndrome with concurrent manifestations of both chronic and acute GVHD was allowed), and were receiving systemic treatment for chronic GVHD, defined as any medication or intervention delivered systemically, including extracorporeal photopheresis. Any graft source, donor type, and GVHD prophylaxis was allowed. Prevalent cases (defined as enrollment three or more months after chronic GVHD diagnosis) were within 3 years of stem cell infusion. Incident cases (enrollment less than 3 months after diagnosis) had no limitation on time from transplantation. Patients were excluded if they were unable to comply with study procedures, had evidence of primary disease relapse, or had an anticipated survival less than 6 months due to co-morbid disease. At Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, a limited number of patients with late onset, persistent or recurrent acute GVHD were also enrolled (n=9),(12) as were control patients without chronic GVHD as a comparison group (n=42). In order to be considered a control, participants had no diagnostic criteria for chronic GVHD and did not develop any in the 3 months after enrollment. Controls may still be receiving systemic immune suppressive medications for prophylaxis or treatment of acute GVHD. The protocol is IRB-approved at all participating sites, and all participants provided written informed consent. This study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT00637689. Participating transplant centers and investigators are listed in the appendix.

Study Design

This is a prospective, longitudinal observational study. No therapeutic interventions are mandated by the protocol. Target enrollment is 672 patients at ten transplantation centers. Unfortunately, the Consortium is unable to accept new sites.

At the time of enrollment and every 6 months, providers and patients provide standardized information about organ involvement and symptoms. Incident cases have an additional assessment at 3 months after enrollment. Chart review is used to document objective medical data (including ancillary testing and laboratory results), medical complications, and medication profiles. (Table 1) Serial assessments are performed until recurrent malignancy develops requiring immunotherapy (rapid tapering of immunosuppression or donor lymphocyte infusions) or anti-neoplastic therapy, chronic GVHD resolves for one year, or the end of the study, whichever occurs first. Patients who are not seen at the transplantation center for their semi-annual assessments are sent the patient-reported surveys by mail and outside medical records are reviewed for clinical information, but no provider assessment form is collected.

Data Collection

Data are entered into an electronic database maintained at the Coordinating Center at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center using only a study identification number (ID). Data are cleaned every 3 months using customized programs for range and logic checking, and by random audits comparing entry to source documents.

Provider assessment form—Providers report data as recommended by the NIH Consensus Conference for chronic GVHD individual organ involvement, as well as the presence of specific findings such as bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Providers report a global rating of current disease severity (mild, moderate, or severe; and 0–10) and perceptions of change (a 1–8 scale from "completely resolved" to "very much worse"). Additional items which are collected even though they were not recommended by the NIH Consensus Conference include reasons for medication changes, justification of the global rating score, an overall assessment of response (complete response, partial response, stable, or progression), perceptions of disease activity, and identification of a sentinel organ to judge success of therapy. Providers also complete endpoint measures used in previous chronic GVHD clinical studies such as the Johns Hopkins items for skin, fascia and mouth involvement(17) and the Vienna Skin Score.(18, 19) The battery of provider forms takes approximately 10 minutes to complete once the physical exam and interview are completed. Online training in completion of the provider assessment forms is available on the Web.(20, 21)

Functional assessments—Clinical or study staff perform and record functional status measures. Grip strength is measured three times from the dominant hand using a portable

electronic dynamometer. Three measures of FEV1 are recorded using a portable spirometer. The 2 minute walk test requires the patient to walk a 50 foot course (25 feet each direction), and the total distance covered after 2 minutes is recorded. Finally, bilateral Schirmer's test is performed without anesthesia. Of these tests, the grip strength, walk test and Schirmer's test are recommended by the NIH Consensus Conference. The functional testing takes approximately 20 minutes to collect.

Patient assessment form—Patients report their symptoms, global severity scores, perceptions of change, quality of life and functional status. The FACT-BMT, SF-36, Chronic GVHD Symptom Scale, Human Activities Profile and ASK (pediatrics only) are collected, as recommended by the consensus conference.(14) In addition, patients complete the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI). Scoring follows the recommendations of the developers but generally follows the tenet that a subscale or scale can be scored if more than 50% of the data are present. The battery of patient forms takes approximately 20–30 minutes to complete.

The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Bone Marrow Transplant subscale (FACT-BMT) version 4 is a 49 item self-report questionnaire, which includes a 12 item Bone Marrow Transplant Subscale. Patients respond based on their experience over the preceding seven days. The instrument measures the effect of cancer therapy on multiple QOL domains including physical, functional, social/family, and emotional well being, and BMT specific concerns. Individual domain scores are summarized to give a total FACT-BMT score, with higher scores indicating greater quality of life. Abbreviated scores which can be derived from these data include a FACT-G summary measure that excludes the BMT-specific subscale, and the FACT-TOI (trial outcome index) score which consists of the sum of physical and functional well being and the BMT subscale. The FACT-BMT has been extensively used in investigation of QOL after HCT.(22)

The Medical Outcomes Study Short Form version 2.0 (SF-36) is a 36 item self-report questionnaire which assesses general health and functioning. The instrument examines eight domains of QOL: physical functioning, role functioning-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role functioning-emotional, and mental health. Scores range from 0–100 with higher scores indicating better functioning. Two summary scales from the SF-36 include the physical component score (PCS) and the mental component score (MCS) which are normalized to the general population with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. The SF-36 has been extensively utilized in diverse biomedical research.(23, 24)

The Chronic GVHD Symptom Scale is a 30 item, 7 subscale symptom scale developed to capture the symptom burden of patients with chronic GVHD. The instrument evaluates adverse effects of chronic GVHD on skin, vitality, lung, nutritional status, psychological functioning, eye, and mouth by asking patients to report the degree of bother they experienced in the past month from their chronic GVHD symptoms. Scores range from 0–100 with higher scores indicating greater symptom burden.(25)

The Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) is a 12 item validated scale that captures dry eye symptoms.(28)

The Activities Scale for Kids (ASK) is a 38 item pediatric measure of physical disability intended for children from 5–15 years of age. Questions may be read to non-readers. There are 9 sub-domains: personal care, dressing, eating and drinking, locomotion, stairs, play, transfers, standing skills and miscellaneous items.(29, 30)

Medical records abstraction—Information on patient, donor, and transplantation characteristics, chronic GVHD presentation, and current status is collected from institutional databases or chart review. Immunosuppressive medications at the time of the visit and any given between visits are captured. Co-morbidities are recorded at the onset of chronic GVHD, at the time of enrollment, and when a patient goes off study using items from the Sorror co-morbidity index(31) and the functional co-morbidity index.(32)

Biological samples—At selected institutions, biological samples are also banked in a repository for future studies. For example, at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center plasma, serum, peripheral blood mononuclear cells and urine samples are stored and available by request. Investigators may also access skin biopsy specimens previously collected for clinical purposes. Stanford, University of Minnesota, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Vanderbilt University have repositories; interested investigators should contact individual site Principal Investigators to arrange collaborations.

Biostatistical considerations

This is a longitudinal observational study with one baseline and a variable number of follow-up assessments for each participant. Information from this study will be used to learn how we can most effectively and efficiently assess and document clinical benefit in trials testing new treatment interventions in patients with chronic GVHD. These determinations will be made based on the following considerations: the extent to which each scale provides reliable and unique information about meaningful outcomes; respondent burden (either provider or patient); and costs and resource utilization required to collect the item.

Missing data are inevitable in any clinical study. Each analysis of study data will describe missing data, examine mechanisms of "missingness", and attempt to account for them. These methods include a comparison of those with and without missing data, documentation of sources of missing data, and evaluations of the pattern of missingness. Centralized multiple imputation of selected variables could be carried out in the future.(33, 34)

Collaboration with other investigators

The consortium collaborates with other investigators who wish to access curated data and research samples derived from this study. Before data or samples can be provided, a concept sheet must be discussed by Consortium members and approved by the Consortium Principal Investigator. All ancillary studies require IRB approval or waiver at both the center(s) providing data/samples and the receiving institution(s), as well as a materials transfer agreement or data use agreement if a subcontract is not in place. Approval of the collaboration by the National Cancer Institute may also be required. Data are de-identified, with clinical information and samples designated only by a study ID.

Baseline characteristics of cohort

As of March, 2011, a total of 546 subjects have been enrolled in this prospective cohort study from nine transplantation centers. Patient socio-demographics, chronic GVHD case type, and transplantation variables are summarized in table 2.

Summary and Conclusions

The Chronic GVHD Cohort Study aims to improve outcome assessment in chronic GVHD. This study will evaluate the natural history of chronic GVHD under modern treatment regimens, and evaluate prognostic factors, potential measures of disease activity and surrogate endpoints for therapeutic response.

The study has a number of strengths including its size, prospective and standardized data collection, and the availability of biologic samples from approximately half of participants. Enrollment at many geographically disparate transplantation centers ensures that different management styles and transplantation-related factors are well represented. The study also has a number of weaknesses including that treatment is not standardized and provider-reported data are only as good as the training and experience of the clinician. This study is conducted in the midst of clinical practice, so that patients do not always return when desirable and clinician time and resources limit extensive evaluations.

Investigators interested in the clinical data, research forms, database structure or research samples available from this cohort study should contact the Consortium for procedures on how to apply for access. We welcome collaboration with other investigators involved in chronic GVHD research.

Acknowledgments

Funded by CA 118953. Biologic specimen collection funded by HL094260, AI33484

The investigators wish to thank the project managers, data coordinators, nurses, physician assistants and clinicians for support of the study. Special thanks to participating patients who allow collection and analysis of their data, and to our NCI project officers: Dr. William Merritt and Dr. Roy Wu. Chronic GVHD research by the Consortium is also funded by a U54 grant from the Office of Rare Diseases (AI083028).(35)

References

- Flowers ME, Parker PM, Johnston LJ, et al. Comparison of chronic graft-versus-host disease after transplantation of peripheral blood stem cells versus bone marrow in allogeneic recipients: longterm follow-up of a randomized trial. Blood. 2002; 100:415–419. [PubMed: 12091330]
- Lee SJ, Flowers ME. Recognizing and managing chronic graft-versus-host disease. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2008:134–141. [PubMed: 19074071]
- Shulman HM, Sullivan KM, Weiden PL, et al. Chronic graft-versus-host syndrome in man. A longterm clinicopathologic study of 20 Seattle patients. Am J Med. 1980; 69:204–217. [PubMed: 6996481]
- Arora M, Burns LJ, Davies SM, et al. Chronic graft-versus-host disease: a prospective cohort study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2003; 9:38–45. [PubMed: 12533740]
- Lee SJ, Vogelsang G, Flowers ME. Chronic graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2003; 9:215–233. [PubMed: 12720215]
- Fraser CJ, Bhatia S, Ness K, et al. Impact of chronic graft-versus-host disease on the health status of hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: a report from the Bone Marrow Transplant Survivor Study. Blood. 2006; 108:2867–2873. [PubMed: 16788100]
- Kiss TL, Abdolell M, Jamal N, Minden MD, Lipton JH, Messner HA. Long-term medical outcomes and quality-of-life assessment of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia followed at least 10 years after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 2002; 20:2334–2343. [PubMed: 11981005]
- Pidala J, Kurland B, Chai X, et al. Patient reported quality of life is associated with severity of chronic graft-versus-host disease as measured by NIH criteria: report on baseline data from the Chronic GVHD Consortium. Blood. 2011
- Stewart BL, Storer B, Storek J, et al. Duration of immunosuppressive treatment for chronic graftversus-host disease. Blood. 2004; 104:3501–3506. [PubMed: 15292060]
- 10. Lee SJ, Klein JP, Barrett AJ, et al. Severity of chronic graft-versus-host disease: association with treatment-related mortality and relapse. Blood. 2002; 100:406–414. [PubMed: 12091329]
- Couriel D, Carpenter PA, Cutler C, et al. Ancillary Therapy and Supportive Care of Chronic Graftversus-Host Disease: National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: V. Ancillary Therapy and Supportive Care Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2006; 12:375–396. [PubMed: 16545722]
- Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, et al. National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: I. Diagnosis and staging working group report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2005; 11:945–956. [PubMed: 16338616]
- Martin PJ, Weisdorf D, Przepiorka D, et al. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: VI. Design of Clinical Trials Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2006; 12:491–505. [PubMed: 16635784]
- Pavletic SZ, Martin P, Lee SJ, et al. Measuring Therapeutic Response in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: IV. Response Criteria Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2006; 12:252–266. [PubMed: 16503494]
- Schultz KR, Miklos DB, Fowler D, et al. Toward biomarkers for chronic graft-versus-host disease: National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: III. Biomarker Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2006; 12:126–137. [PubMed: 16443511]
- 16. Shulman HM, Kleiner D, Lee SJ, et al. Histopathologic diagnosis of chronic graft-versus-host disease: National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: II. Pathology Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2006; 12:31–47. [PubMed: 16399567]
- Jacobsohn DA, Chen AR, Zahurak M, et al. Phase II study of pentostatin in patients with corticosteroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25:4255–4261. [PubMed: 17878478]

- Flowers ME, Apperley JF, van Besien K, et al. A multicenter prospective phase 2 randomized study of extracorporeal photopheresis for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2008; 112:2667–2674. [PubMed: 18621929]
- Greinix HT, Pohlreich D, Maalouf J, et al. A single-center pilot validation study of a new chronic GVHD skin scoring system. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2007; 13:715–723. [PubMed: 17531782]
- Carpenter PA. How to Conduct a Comprehensive Chronic GVHD Assessment. http:// www.fhcrc.org/science/clinical/gvhd/.2011
- Pavletic, S.; Cowen, E.; Correa, E.; Mitchell, S.; Thormann, K.; Jacobsohn, D. Evaluating therapeutic response in chronic GVHD. 2010. http://rarediseasesnetwork.epi.usf.edu/training/ confevents.asp?ConfID=6501
- 22. McQuellon RP, Russell GB, Cella DF, et al. Quality of life measurement in bone marrow transplantation: development of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Bone Marrow Transplant (FACT-BMT) scale. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1997; 19:357–368. [PubMed: 9051246]
- 23. Ware, JE.; Kosinski, M.; Keller, SD. SF-36 physical and mental health summary scales: a user's manual. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1994.
- 24. Ware, JE.; Snow, KK.; Kosinski, M.; Gandek, B. SF-36 Health Survey: a manual and interpretation guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1993.
- Lee S, Cook EF, Soiffer R, Antin JH. Development and validation of a scale to measure symptoms of chronic graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2002; 8:444–452. [PubMed: 12234170]
- 26. Daughton DM, Fix AJ, Kass I, Bell CW, Patil KD. Maximum oxygen consumption and the ADAPT quality-of-life scale. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1982; 63:620–622. [PubMed: 7149948]
- Herzberg PY, Heussner P, Mumm FH, et al. Validation of the human activity profile questionnaire in patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2010; 16:1707–1717. [PubMed: 20541028]
- Schiffman RM, Christianson MD, Jacobsen G, Hirsch JD, Reis BL. Reliability and validity of the Ocular Surface Disease Index. Arch Ophthalmol. 2000; 118:615–621. [PubMed: 10815152]
- 29. Young, NL. Activities Scale for Kids. 2006. Manual
- Young NL, Williams JI, Yoshida KK, Wright JG. Measurement properties of the activities scale for kids. J Clin Epidemiol. 2000; 53:125–137. [PubMed: 10729684]
- Sorror ML, Maris MB, Storb R, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)-specific comorbidity index: a new tool for risk assessment before allogeneic HCT. Blood. 2005; 106:2912– 2919. [PubMed: 15994282]
- 32. Groll DL, To T, Bombardier C, Wright JG. The development of a comorbidity index with physical function as the outcome. J Clin Epidemiol. 2005; 58:595–602. [PubMed: 15878473]
- Arnold AM, Kronmal RA. Multiple imputation of baseline data in the cardiovascular health study. Am J Epidemiol. 2003; 157:74–84. [PubMed: 12505893]
- Leisenring WM, Mertens AC, Armstrong GT, et al. Pediatric cancer survivorship research: experience of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:2319–2327. [PubMed: 19364957]
- 35. Chronic GVHD Consortium. http://rarediseasesnetwork.epi.usf.edu/index.htm

Appendix. Participants in the Chronic GVHD Consortium

Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center: Stephanie J. Lee, Mary E.D. Flowers, Paul J. Martin, Paul Carpenter, John Hansen, Xiaoyu Chai, Brenda Kurland, Barry Storer,

Stanford University: Sally Arai, Laura Johnston, David Miklos

University of Minnesota: Daniel Weisdorf, Mukta Arora, Navneet Majhail

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute: Corey Cutler

Medical College of Wisconsin: Jeanne Palmer

Moffitt Cancer Center: Joseph Pidala

Washington University: Peter Westervelt, Iskra Pusic

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center: Sergio Giralt, Jenna Goldberg

University of Michigan: Daniel Couriel, Carrie Kitko

Northwestern University (now at Children's National Medical Center): David Jacobsohn

Other participants: Steven Z. Pavletic (NIH), Georgia B. Vogelsang (Johns Hopkins), Andrew Gilman (Carolinas Medical Center), Kirk Schultz (Vancouver, Canada)



Figure. Location of enrolling centers in the Chronic GVHD Consortium

Table 1

Data collection for the cohort study.

Data element	Data source	Description			
Provider assessment form	Clinical providers	NIH organ scores, Johns Hopkins organ scores, Vienna skin score, NIH response measures, range of motion, overall chronic GVHD severity, sentinel organ, reason for adjusting treatment, rare clinical manifestations, perceptions of change			
Patient assessment form	Patients	FACT-BMT, SF-36, Lee symptom scale, HAP, ODSI, ASK, overall chronic GVHD severity, perceptions of change			
Functional assessments	Patients	FEV1 via portable spirometer, grip strength, 2 minute walk test, Schirmer's test			
Chart review	Institutional database or medical records	Patient, donor and transplant characteristics, immuosuppressive medications, co- morbidity, biopsy dates, number of hospital days, relapse, death, resolution of chronic GVHD			
Biological samples	Limited institutions	Serum, plasma, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, urine			

Abbreviations: GVHD, graft-versus-host disease; FACT-BMT, Functional assessment of cancer therapy – bone marrow transplant version 4.0; SF-36, Short form-36 v2.0; HAP, Human activities profile, ODSI, Ocular disease surface index; ASK, Activities Scale for Kids; FEV1, forced expiratory volume, first second

Table 2

Enrollment socio-demographic, chronic GVHD, and transplantation characteristics (N=546)

	Chronic GVHD (n=495)	Controls, no chronic GVHD (n=42)*	Persistent, recurrent or late acute GVHD (n=9)
Characteristic	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Case type			
Incident	283 (57%)		
Prevalent	212 (43%)		
Adult (18+)	481 (97%)	42 (100%)	9 (100%)
Patient age at registration (years)			
Median (range)	51 (2–79)	51 (22–72)	51 (28–61)
Male patients	288 (58%)	19 (45%)	9 (100%)
Hispanic patients	24 (5%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)
Diagnosis at transplant			
AML	151 (33%)	10 (27%)	2 (22%)
ALL	57 (13%)	5 (13%)	1 (11%)
CML	25 (6%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
CLL	34 (7%)	1 (3%)	1 (11%)
MDS	69 (15%)	7 (19%)	3 (33%)
NHL	66 (14%)	5 (13%)	0 (0%)
HD	16 (3%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)
ММ	21 (5%)	5 (13%)	2 (22%)
AA	5 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Other	15 (3%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Disease status			
Early	149 (33%)	12 (32%)	3 (33%)
Intermediate	196 (43%)	12 (32%)	5 (56%)
Advanced	110 (24%)	13 (36%)	1 (11%)
Transplant source			
Peripheral blood	405 (88%)	29 (78%)	5 (56%)
Bone marrow	34 (7%)	7 (19%)	1 (11%)
Cord blood	22 (5%)	1 (3%)	3 (33%)
Transplant type			
Myeloablative	261 (57%)	20 (54%)	3 (38%)
Non-myeloablative	193 (43%)	17 (46%)	5 (62%)
Patient CMV positive	261 (57%)	15 (41%)	5 (56%)
Donor CMV positive	180 (40%)	15 (41%)	2 (22%)
Donor type			
HLA matched relative	199 (44%)	19 (51%)	3 (33%)
HLA mismatched relative	10 (2%)	5 (14%)	0 (0%)

	Chronic GVHD (n=495)	Controls, no chronic GVHD (n=42)*	Persistent, recurrent or late acute GVHD (n=9)
Characteristic	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Unrelated donor	249 (54%)	13 (35%)	6 (67%)
Site			
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center	229 (46%)	42 (100%)	4 (44%)
University of Minnesota	56 (11%)	0 (0%)	5 (56%)
Dana-Faber Cancer institute	58 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Stanford University Medical Center	68 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Northwestern Children's Hospital	13 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Vanderbilt University Medical Center	39 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Medical College of Wisconsin	16 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Washington University	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Moffitt Cancer Center	13 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Patient race			
White	442 (89%)	40 (96%)	7 (78%)
Black	13 (2%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Asian	26 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1 (1%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multi	6 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Unknown	5 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)
Donor gender			
Female into male	130 (29%)	5 (13%)	1 (11%)
Mixed (cord blood)	9 (2%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Other combinations	317 (69%)	31 (84%)	8 (89%)
Marital status			
Married/Living with partner	314 (77%)	20 (62%)	5 (72%)
Single, never married	33 (8%)	5 (16%)	1 (14%)
Divorced, separated	45 (11%)	5 (16%)	1 (14%)
Widowed	11 (3%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)
Other	4 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Highest education			
Grade school	7 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Some high school	13 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
High school graduate	66 (16%)	2 (6%)	1 (14%)
Some college	121 (29%)	5 (16%)	4 (58%)
College graduate	113 (27%)	15 (49%)	1 (14%)
Post graduate degree	96 (23%)	9 (29%)	1 (14%)
Annual family income			
Under \$15,000	16 (4%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)

	Chronic GVHD (n=495)	Controls, no chronic GVHD (n=42)*	Persistent, recurrent or late acute GVHD (n=9)
Characteristic	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
\$15,000 - \$24,999	27 (7%)	1 (4%)	1 (14%)
\$25,000 - \$49,999	60 (16%)	7 (24%)	1 (14%)
\$50,000 - \$74,999	79 (21%)	5 (17%)	4 (58%)
\$75,000 - \$99,999	62 (16%)	5 (17%)	1 (14%)
\$100,000 or above	137 (36%)	9 (31%)	0 (0%)

^{*}8 patients are awaiting confirmation as controls

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; HD, Hodgkin lymphoma; MM, multiple myeloma; AA, aplastic anemia; CMV, cytomegalovirus; HLA, human leukocyte antigen