

Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ bpgoffice@wjgnet.com doi:10.5492/wjccm.v3.i1.34 World J Crit Care Med 2014 February 4; 3(1): 34-41 ISSN 2220-3141 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

META-ANALYSIS

# Ulinastatin for acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Yu-Xin Leng, Shu-Guang Yang, Ya-Han Song, Xi Zhu, Gai-Qi Yao

Yu-Xin Leng, Shu-Guang Yang, Xi Zhu, Gai-Qi Yao, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China

Ya-Han Song, Library of Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China

Author contributions: Leng YX and Yang SG contributed to literature search and study selection; Leng YX and Song YH contributed to data extraction, analysis and interpretation; Yao GQ, Zhu X and Yao GQ contributed to study conception and design; Leng YX drafted the article; Yao GQ revised the article critically for important intellectual content.

Correspondence to: Gai-Qi Yao, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Peking University Third Hospital, A 49 North Garden Rd., Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China. yaogaiqi@yeah.net

 Telephone: +86-10-82267280
 Fax: +86-10-82267281

 Received: June 5, 2013
 Revised: October 5, 2013

 Accepted: November 2, 2013
 Published online: February 4, 2014

# Abstract

**AIM:** To investigate the efficacy and safety of ulinastatin for patients with acute lung injury (ALI) and those with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

**METHODS:** A systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of ulinastatin for ALI/ARDS was conducted. Oxygenation index, mortality rate [intensive care unit (ICU) mortality rate, 28-d mortality rate] and length of ICU stay were compared between ulinastatin group and conventional therapy group. Meta-analysis was performed by using Rev Man 5.1.

**RESULTS:** Twenty-nine RCTs with 1726 participants were totally included, the basic conditions of which were similar. No studies discussed adverse effect. Oxygenation index was reported in twenty-six studies (1552 patients). Ulinastatin had a significant effect in improving oxygenation [standard mean difference (SMD) = 1.85, 95%CI: 1.42-2.29, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 92\%$ ]. ICU

mortality and 28-d mortality were respectively reported in eighteen studies (987 patients) and three studies (196 patients). We found that ulinastatin significantly decreased the ICU mortality [ $I^2 = 0\%$ , RR = 0.48, 95%CI: 0.38-0.59, number needed to treat (NNT) = 5.06, P <0.00001], while the 28-d mortality was not significantly affected ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , RR = 0.78, 95%CI: 0.51-1.19, NNT = 12.66, P = 0.24). The length of ICU stay (six studies, 364 patients) in the ulinastatin group was significantly lower than that in the control group (SMD = -0.97, 95%CI: -1.20--0.75, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 86\%$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** Ulinastatin seems to be effective for ALI and ARDS though most trials included were of poor quality and no information on safety was provided.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.

Key words: Ulinastatin; Acute lung injury; Acute respiratory distress syndrome; Mortality; Oxygenation index

Core tip: Currently, many studies highlight the advantages of ulinastatin in lung protection, which is likely because acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) share a common pathogenesis with sepsis. We tried to provide more specific evidence on this practice by performing a meta-analysis. In our study (29 clinical trials included), we found that though all the studies were of low quality, ulinastatin might improve oxygenation and mortality and be truly effective in patients with ALI/ARDS.

Leng YX, Yang SG, Song YH, Zhu X, Yao GQ. Ulinastatin for acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Crit Care Med* 2014; 3(1): 34-41 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/2220-3141/full/v3/i1/34.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5492/ wjccm.v3.i1.34



## INTRODUCTION

Ulinastatin, also known as human urinary trypsin inhibitor, can be found in urine, plasma and all organs<sup>[1]</sup>. It is a glycoprotein marketed as an experimental medication for acute pancreatitis and septic shock in Asia for its involvement in suppressing the systemic inflammation and proteolytic process<sup>[2-5]</sup>. Currently, many animal studies and clinical trials highlight its advantages in lung protection<sup>[6-38]</sup>, which is likely because acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) share a common pathogenesis with sepsis, which is systemic inflammatory response syndrome. However, it remains uncertain whether ulinastatin can be recommended as a standard medication for ALI and ARDS. Without the support of large-scale, high-quality trials, it is difficult to draw a definite conclusion. Therefore, we perform a systematic review to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ulinastatin for ALI and ARDS to provide more specific evidence.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Search strategy

We searched the published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2006 to 20<sup>th</sup> August 2012) from eight databases including Pubmed, Medline (Ovid SP), The Cochrane Library, Wanfang Database, China Biology Medicine Database, Chinese Periodical Database, China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database and Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with the following search terms: "Ulinastatin" or "Protease-Inhibitors" or "Glycoprotein" and "Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome" or "ARDS" or "Acute Lung Injury" or "ALI". There were no language restrictions on inclusive studies. All potentially relevant papers based on titles and abstracts were retrieved for full text screening. We also collected relevant articles by checking the references of the retrieved papers.

#### Study selection

Both the study selection (Leng YX, Song YF) and data extraction processes (Leng YX, Yang SG) were performed by two authors independently. Disagreements were resolved by group discussion. Figure 1 showed the flow chart of study selection process.

We included the RCT studies comparing ulinastatin plus routine treatment (treatment group) versus routine treatment alone or placebo plus routine treatment (control group) for ALI and ARDS. ALI and ARDS were diagnosed as: acute onset; pulmonary artery wedge pressure  $\leq 18$  mmHg or absence of clinical evidence of left atrial hypertension; bilateral infiltrates on chest radiography; ALI is present if PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio is  $\leq 300$ ; ARDS is present if PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio  $\leq 200$ . Any dose and duration of ulinastatin were permitted. The outcomes included intensive care unit (ICU) mortality rate or PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio.

### Data extraction and quality assessment

The following parameters were extracted from each in-

579 Potentially relevant	
trials were screened for retri	eval
,	+431 Trials excluded as not relevant or not a RCT trial
148 Trials retrieved in	
full text for detailed	
, ,	► 114 Papers were excluded
34 Potentially appropriate	
RCTs to be included	5 Papers were further excluded because:
in the systematic review	data incomplete: 2
	NPPV + ulinastatin $\nu s$ conventional therapy
•	without NPPV: 1
29 RCTs were included	hormone therapy vs ulinastatin alone
Number of trials with	without hormone: 1
usable information on	the abstract and full text were inconsistent: 1
outcomes:	no relevant outcomes: 1
$PaO_2/FiO_2$ : $n = 26$	
ICU mortality: $n = 15$	
28-d mortality rate $n = 3$	
Length of ICU stay $n = 6$	

Figure 1 Flow chart of reviewed articles. RCT: Randomized controlled trial; NPPV: Noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation; ICU: Intensive care unit.

clusive study: (1) first author and year of the publication; (2) patients' characteristics and study design; and (3) clinical outcomes (ICU mortality, 28-d mortality, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio, length of ICU stay and adverse effect). The quality of all selected articles was evaluated according to the Jadad scale<sup>[39]</sup>, which bases on the random assignment, double blinding, and flow of patients. The range of score is 0 (bad) to 5 (good).

#### Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan 5.1 software. For dichotomous variables (ICU mortality, 28-d mortality) we estimated the pooled risk ratios (RRs) and 95%CI. For continuous variables (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio and length of ICU stay), we calculated the estimation of standard mean difference (SMD). Heterogeneity was explored by the  $I^2$  test. If  $I^2 < 50\%$ , the fixed-effect model (Mantel-Haenszel) was employed, otherwise the random-effect model (DerSimonian and Laird) was used. The significance of pooled RR was determined by Z test. P < 0.05was considered statistically significant. Funnel plots were used to detect the potential publication bias if more than ten studies were included. The sensitivity analysis was conducted by taking each single study away from the total and re-analyzing the remainder.

# RESULTS

#### Study characteristics

After full text screening, 34 potentially relevant studies were identified. Among these studies, five were excluded because there were incomplete data (1 study), other interventions besides ulinastatin were included (2 studies), the abstract and full text were inconsistent (1 study), and no relative outcomes were reported (1 study) (Figure 1). Finally, 29 studies involving 1726 participants were included<sup>[10-38]</sup>, the basic conditions of which were similar. The conventional therapy included mechanical ventila-



#### Leng YX et al. A systematic review of ALI and ARDS

Table 1	Quality and	d characteristics of all i	included studies
---------	-------------	----------------------------	------------------

					_		-	_		
Ref.	Yr	Jadad	Design	Sample	Gender	Age	Dosage	Frequency	Duration	Outcomes
		score		size	(male/female)	(yr, mean or range)			(d)	
Chen <i>et al</i> <sup>[10]</sup>	2006	1	NRCT	70	40/30	36.6	200000	bid	2-7	Oxygenation index
Gu et al <sup>[12]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	120	65/55	56.2	100000	tid	5	Oxygenation index
Hu et al	2009	1	NRCT	54	39/15	41.2	300000	tid	7	Oxygenation index
										Length of ICU stay
TT ( 1[13]	0010	1	NIDOT	00	11 /00	40	100000		-	28-d mortality rate
Huang et al	2010	1	NKCI	80	41/39	49	100000	tid	5	Oxygenation index
										Length of ICU stay
T: , 1[14]	2007	1	NIDOT		22/25	50.1	200000	,	<b>F</b> 10	ICU Mortality rate
Jiang et al	2006	1	NKCI	57	32/25	58.1	200000	qd	7-10	Oxygenation index
T · , 1[15]	0011	1	NIDOT	(2)	04/04	20.0	200000	1 . 1	-	ICU Mortality rate
Liang et al.	2011	1	NKCI	62	36/26	38.8	200000	bid	/	Oxygenation index
T · ( 1[16]	2000	1	NIDOT		10/04	57	200000	1 . 1	,	Length of ICU stay
Liang et al.	2008	1	NKCI	76	42/34	5/	200000	bid	6	Oxygenation index
T	2000	1	NDCT	(0	40/10	20.7	E0000	- 1	2	CU Mortality rate
Lu et al. $f$	2008	1	NKCI	60	42/18	39.7	200000	qa	3	Oxygenation index
Ou et al	2008	1	INKCI	36	24/12	63.7	200000-	614	5-7	Oxygenation index
							300000			ICU Mortality rate
D: at al <sup>[19]</sup>	2000	1	NDCT	40	25/15	27	200000	hid	5 7	Incidence of MODS
FI et ut	2009	1	INKCI	40	25/15	57	200000-	biu	3-7	Incluence of MODS
Oian at $al^{[20]}$	2000	1	NPCT	19	25/12	19	200000	aid	6	Overgenation index
Qian et ut	2009	1	INKCI	40	55/15	40	200000	ци	0	Oxygenation index
										Longth of ICU stay
<b>O</b> :n <sup>[21]</sup>	2007	1	NDCT	60	40/20	25	200000	hid	2	Constant of ICU stay
Shang at $al^{[22]}$	2007	1	PCT	60	40/20	55 14 72	200000	010 413	5	Oxygenation index
Shang et ut	2008	2	KC1	00	40/12	14-72	200000	ш	/	ICU Mortality rate
Shi at a1[23]	2011	1	NPCT	50	24/16	50.4	200000	hid	7 10	Overgenation index
5111 01 111	2011	1	INICI	50	34/10	39.4	300000	ыu	7-10	ICU Mortality rate
Wang et al <sup>[24]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	52	32/20	55.4	200000	tid	10	ICU Mortality rate
Wang et al <sup>[25]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	60	32/20 44/16	18-60	200000	tiu hid	5	Ovvgenation index
Xiang et $al^{[26]}$	2011	1	NRCT	72	46/26	46.8	200000	tid	7	Oxygenation index
Xiong <sup>[27]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	50	28/22	35	300000	hid	7	Oxygenation index
Yang et al <sup>[28]</sup>	2000	1	NRCT	40	20/ 22 NA	NA	200000	tid	10	Oxygenation index
Yang et al <sup>[29]</sup>	2011	2	NRCT	80	58/22	14-72	300000	hid	7	Oxygenation index
Tung er m	2000	-	10101	00	00/ ==	11/2	000000	010		ICU Mortality rate
Zhang et $al^{[30]}$	2009	1	NRCT	34	22/12	9-61	200000	tid	10	Oxygenation index
Littling of an	2005	-	111101	01	/ 1	2 01	200000		10	ICU Mortality rate
Zhang et al <sup>[31]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	82	43/39	18-65	200000	bid	7	Oxygenation index
		-								28-d mortality rate
Zhang <sup>[32]</sup>	2010	2	RCT	60	45/15	43.3	300000	bid	7	Oxygenation index
Zhang et al <sup>[33]</sup>	2010	1	RCT	60	30/30	55.7	500000	bid	7	Oxygenation index
8										Length of ICU stav
										28-d mortality rate
Zhang et al <sup>[34]</sup>	2009	1	NRCT	61	54/7	61.9	200000	bid	7	Oxygenation index
Zhao et $al^{[35]}$	2012	2	RCT	56	37/19	46.2	200000	bid	4	Oxygenation index
Zhao et al <sup>[36]</sup>	2007	1	NRCT	37	29/8	42.6	100000	bid	5	Oxygenation index
					.,					ICU Mortality rate
Zheng et al <sup>[37]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	60	42/18	40.2	50000	qd	3	Oxygenation index
0					/					ICU mortality rate
										Length of ICU stav
Zhou et al <sup>[38]</sup>	2011	1	NRCT	40	NA	40.2	600000	qid	5	Oxygenation index
								,		ICU Mortality rate
										5

NA: Not available; NRCT: Non-randomized controlled trial; RCT: Randomized controlled trial; ICU: Intensive care unit.

tion, low dose hormone, nutritional support, treatment of primary diseases, *etc.* Of the included studies, no one discussed the adverse effect of ulinastatin. Oxygenation index was reported in 26 studies (1552 patients). Eighteen studies (987 patients) and three studies (196 patients) analyzed the ICU mortality and 28-d mortality, respectively. The length of ICU stay was reported in six studies (364 patients). Although all the trials announced the randomization, only four studies mentioned the allocation concealment without detailed description of mechanisms. Table 1 displays the quality and characteristics of these studies.

#### Oxygenation index

The basal oxygenation indexes in all studies were similar. After treatment with standard strategy or ulinastatin, the patients' oxygenation indexes were improved in all studies. The effect of ulinastatin was more significant (Figure





Figure 2 Oxygenation indexes of different groups before and after treatment. The horizontal axis, number of references.

2), which was confirmed by the meta-analysis (SMD = 1.85, 95%CI: 1.42-2.29, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 92\%$ , Figure 3A).

#### Mortality rate

Most studies (15/18) reported that the ICU mortality rate was not significantly different between ulinastatin treatment and conventional treatment. The 95%CI crossed 1.00. Nevertheless, the result of meta-analysis indicated that ulinastatin actually reduced the patients' ICU mortality rate, and the pooled RR was 0.48 (95%CI: 0.38-0.59,  $I^2 = 0\%$ , Figure 3B). The number needed to treat (NNT) was 5.06. However, the 28-d mortality was not significantly different between the two groups (RR = 0.78, 95%CI: 0.51-1.19,  $I^2 = 0\%$ , Figure 4A), and the NNT was 12.66.

#### Length of ICU stay

Five of the six studies reporting the length of ICU stay suggested that compared with conventional therapy, ulinastatin significantly decreased the length of ICU stay, which was confirmed by the result of meta-analysis (SMD = -0.97, 95%CI: -1.20--0.75, P < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 86\%$ , Figure 4B).

#### Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Funnel plots of ICU mortality and oxygenation index are shown in Figure 5, which indicated that the publication bias did exist. The language bias may be the main bias because all the inclusive studies were written in Chinese. The sensitivity analysis showed that exclusion of any single study from the meta-analysis did not alter the overall conclusion. Though  $I^2$  of the oxygenation index and ICU stay were larger than 50%, we considered that those heterogeneities were probably related to great difference among studies.

#### DISCUSSION

ARDS is a common severe lung complication with direct and indirect causes in ICU. In the past 20 years, the mortality rate decreased from 40%-70% to 30%-40%. This survival improvement is considered to be partly related with the better understanding and treatment of sepsis<sup>[40]</sup>. Since ulinastatin is marketed as an experimental medication for septic shock, the probable efficacy of ulinastatin for ALI and ARDS gains more and more attention.

It is reported that ulinastatin inhibits pathogenic changes in animal models of ALI/ARDS induced by many factors (including scald, seawater, LPS, phosgene)<sup>[6-9]</sup>. Immunoregulation and the mitigation of excessive inflammatory reaction might be involved. Downregulation of the human major histocompatibility complex class I chain-related antigen A (MICA), mitigation of lipid peroxidation and apoptosis may play important roles. Upregulation of MICA in scald induced lung injury can be ameliorated by ulinastatin<sup>[6]</sup>. Moreover, ulinastatin treatment can reduce the level of cytokines like serum E, P-selectin and VCAM-1, which are considered to be critical in the development of inflammatory responses<sup>[41]</sup>. Nevertheless, the effect of ulinastatin on pulmonary injury and the molecular mechanism(s) by which ulinastatin exerts its organ-protective activity remain obscurely studied. In addition, clinical trials also recommended application of ulinastatin for ALI/ARDS though no high quality evidence was reported. Only one meta-analysis on ulinastatin for ALI/ARDS was reported till now<sup>[42]</sup>, in which only Chinese databases were detected. Accordingly, we yet have no enough evidence to support the recommendation of ulinastatin for ALI/ARDS. We performed this meta-analysis to evaluate the existing clinical trials objectively and to provide more specific evidence for ulinastatin selection for ALI/ARDS.

Our results seem to be inspiring. Compared with routine treatment alone, ulinastatin plus routine treatment significantly improved the oxygenation index (SMD = 1.85, 95%CI: 1.42-2.29, P < 0.00001) and reduced the ICU mortality rate (RR = 0.48, 95%CI: 0.38-0.59, NNT = 5.06, P < 0.00001) and the length of ICU stay (SMD = -0.97, 95%CI: -1.20--0.75, P < 0.00001). Nevertheless, the validity of this meta-analysis to some extent is limited. No studies reported the adverse effect. Most of the clinical trials were of poor quality without description of randomization and allocation mechanisms. Meanwhile, the language bias is introduced in this review, because all the included trials were published in Chinese. Then, how should we interpret these clinical trials and the systematic review based on these trials? Should the clinical



WJCCM | www.wjgnet.com

#### Leng YX et al. A systematic review of ALI and ARDS

Α	Experimental			Control				Std. mean difference	Std. mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95%CI	IV, Random, 95%CI
Chen HD 2006	326	25	35	294	23	35	4.1%	1.32 [0.80, 1.84]	
Gu ZY 2011	364.42	56.21	60	286.56	86.54	60	4.2%	1.06 [0.68, 1.44]	
Hu MH 2009	305.67	18.31	29	276.93	21.23	25	4.0%	1.44 [0.83, 2.04]	_ <b></b>
Huang YP 2010	309	16	40	263	14	40	4.0%	3.03 [2.38, 3.68]	
Jiang XQ 2006	389.59	5.77	29	305.28	4.95	28	1.4%	15.45 [12.46, 18.43]	•
Liang DS 2011	282.08	53.43	31	203.34	60.83	31	4.1%	1.36 [0.80, 1.91]	
Liang QX 2008	378	6.92	39	300	7.82	37	2.5%	10.47 [8.71, 12.24]	•
Lu WH 2011	322.63	56.71	30	267.82	75.66	30	4.1%	0.81 [0.28, 1.34]	
Ou SQ 2008	347.75	48.56	18	272.62	42.77	18	3.8%	1.61 [0.84, 2.37]	
Qian HB 2009	311.9	18.4	24	228.3	29.2	24	3.7%	3.37 [2.47, 4.27]	
Qin XW 2007	327.03	24.98	30	293.28	22.95	30	4.1%	1.39 [0.82, 1.96]	
Shang GJ 2008	303.25	57.64	30	269	68.92	30	4.1%	0.53 [0.02, 1.05]	
Shi QJ 2011	265.78	65.95	25	223.58	57.26	25	4.1%	0.67 [0.10, 1.24]	
Wang KM 2011	303.85	57.6	30	268.98	68.82	30	4.1%	0.54 [0.03, 1.06]	
Xiang JF 2011	256	58	36	214	51	36	4.1%	0.76 [0.28, 1.24]	
Xiong SS 2008	286.15	21.23	25	238.96	26.78	25	3.9%	1.92 [1.24, 2.60]	_+
Yang JB 2011	228.55	67.56	15	198.23	56.78	15	3.9%	0.47 [-0.25, 1.20]	+
Yang K 2006	303.3	57.6	40	269	68.9	40	4.2%	0.53 [0.09, 0.98]	
Zhang BM 2009	266.5	45.7	17	224.4	55.3	17	3.9%	0.81 [0.11, 1.51]	
Zhang CQ 2010	352.1	38.8	42	291.2	31.6	40	4.1%	1.70 [1.19, 2.21]	
Zhang RW 2010	288.1	19.1	30	236.1	23.4	30	4.0%	2.40 [1.73, 3.08]	
Zhang YL 2009	350.2	40.1	31	287.4	32.2	30	4.0%	1.70 [1.11, 2.29]	
Zhao HQ 2012	304.27	53.54	28	259.09	61.72	28	4.1%	0.77 [0.23, 1.32]	
Zhao RG 2007	356.45	10.92	18	327.08	9.57	16	3.6%	2.78 [1.81, 3.76]	<b>_</b>
Zheng JM 2011	362.42	40.57	30	302.25	55.37	30	4.1%	1.22 [0.67, 1.78]	
Zhou MH 2011	206.78	17.68	20	161.06	18.2	20	3.7%	2.50 [1.65, 3.34]	
Total (95%CI)			782			770	100.0%	1.85 [1.42, 2.29]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup>	$r^{2} = 1.13; \chi^{2}$	<sup>2</sup> = 325.2	0, $df = 2$	25 ( <i>P</i> = 0.0	00001); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup>	= 92%		-4	-2 0 2 4

Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 1.13;  $\chi^2$  = 325.20, dF = 25 (P = 0.00001); I<sup>2</sup> = 92% Test for overall effect: Z = 8.36 (P = 0.00001)

В	Experir	mental		Control		Risk ratio	Risk ratio
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI
Hu MH 2009	5	29	6	25	3.5%	0.72 [0.25, 2.07]	
Huang YP 2010	10	40	20	40	10.7%	0.50 [0.27, 0.93]	<b>_</b>
Jiang XQ 2006	7	29	20	28	10.9%	0.34 [0.17, 0.67]	<b>_</b>
Liang DS 2011	2	31	7	31	3.8%	0.29 [0.06, 1.27]	
Liang QX 2008	10	39	22	37	12.1%	0.43 [0.24, 0.78]	<b>_</b> _
Ou SQ 2008	3	18	9	18	4.8%	0.33 [0.11, 1.03]	
Pi Y 2010	3	20	9	20	4.8%	0.33 [0.11, 1.05]	
Qian HB 2009	6	24	8	24	4.3%	0.75 [0.31, 1.83]	
Shang GJ 2008	4	30	11	30	5.9%	0.36 [0.13, 1.01]	
Shi QJ 2011	2	25	8	25	4.3%	0.25 [0.06, 1.06]	
Wang CB 2011	3	26	7	26	3.8%	0.43 [0.12, 1.48]	
Yang K 2006	4	40	11	40	5.9%	0.36 [0.13, 1.05]	
Zhang BM 2009	2	17	5	17	2.7%	0.40 [0.09, 1.78]	
Zhang CG 2011	10	42	12	40	6.6%	0.79 [0.39, 1.63]	
Zhang CQ 2010	11	30	14	30	7.5%	0.79 [0.43, 1.44]	
Zhao RG 2007	5	19	8	18	4.4%	0.59 [0.24, 1.47]	
Zheng JM 2011	1	23	3	22	1.6%	0.32 [0.04, 2.84]	
Zhou MH 2011	2	18	4	16	2.3%	0.44 [0.09, 2.11]	
Total (95%CI)		500		487	100.0%	0.48 [0.38, 0.59]	•
Total events	90		184				
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 1$	10.13, $df = 1$	7 ( <i>P</i> = 0.9	0); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect	: <i>Z</i> = 6.76 ( <i>I</i>	Favours experimental Favours control					

Figure 3 Meta-analysis of patients' oxygenation index (A) and intensive care unit mortality rate (B) after treatment with conventional therapy vs with ulinastatin (random effects). A: Random effects model; B: Fixed effects model.

WJCCM | www.wjgnet.com

Favours control Favours experimental

#### A Experimental Control Risk ratio Risk ratio M-H, Fixed, 95%CI Study or subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95%CI Hu MH 2009 5 29 6 25 19.7% 0.72 [0.25, 2.07] Zhang CG 2011 10 42 12 40 37.5% 0.79 [0.39, 1.63] Zhang CQ 2010 0.79 [0.43, 1.44] 11 30 14 30 42.8% Total (95%CI) 95 100.0% 0.78 [0.51, 1.19] 101 Total events 26 32 Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.03$ , df = 2 (P = 0.99); $I^2 = 0\%$ 0.01 10 100 0.1 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.17 (P = 0.24) Favours experimental Favours control В Std. mean difference Experimenta Control Std. mean difference Study or subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Fixed, 95%CI IV, Fixed, 95%CI Hu MH 2009 5.33 29 -0.96 [-1.53, -0.40] 9.72 15.34 6.21 25 15.6% Huang YP 2010 9 7 40 11 10 40 26.0% -0.23 [-0.67, 0.21] Liang DS 2011 15.3 6.02 31 8.14 31 17.3% -1.13 [-1.67, -0.59] 23.5 Qian HB 2009 8.8 2.2 24 14.3 2 24 8.3% -2.57 [-3.35, -1.79] Zhang CQ 2010 8.4 4.2 30 12 7.6 30 18.8% -0.58 [-1.10, -0.06] Zheng JM 2011 6.7 1.6 30 9.2 1.2 30 14.0% -1.74 [-2.35, -1.14] Total (95%CI) 180 100.0% -0.97 [-1.20, -0.75] 184 Heterogeneity: $\chi^2$ = 36.05, df = 5 (P = 0.00001); $I^2$ = 86% 0 2 -1 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 8.49 (P = 0.00001)Favours experimental Favours control

Leng YX et al. A systematic review of ALI and ARDS

Figure 4 Meta-analysis of 28-d mortality rate (A) and length of intensive care unit stay (B) between treatment with conventional therapy and with ulinastatin. A: Fixed effects model; B: Random effects model.



Figure 5 Funnel plots of intensive care unit mortality (A) and oxygenation index (B). SMD: Standard mean difference.

practitioners consider ulinastatin as a first-line treatment? Obviously, we can not draw a definite conclusion right now. Although ulinastatin seems to be effective for ALI/

ARDS, high-quality RCTs discussing the efficacy and safety are needed in the future.

# COMMENTS

# Background

Ulinastatin is marketed as an experimental medication for septic shock in Asia for its involvement in suppressing the systemic inflammation and proteolytic process. Currently, many studies highlight its advantages in lung protection, which is because acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) share a common pathogenesis with sepsis. However, it remains uncertain whether ulinastatin can be recommended as a standard medication for ALI and ARDS.

# **Research frontiers**

No large-scale randomized controlled trials (RCTs) studies or high quality metaanalysis on ulinastatin for ALI and ARDS were performed till now. Whether the application of ulinastatin in ALI and ARDS is appropriate remains unclear.

# Innovations and breakthroughs

To provide more specific evidence for clinical practice, the authors performed a meta-analysis on ulinastatin for ALI and ARDS.

# Applications

This study indicated that ulinastatin might be truly effective for ALI and ARDS though most RCT studies included were of poor quality.

# Peer review

The authors conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the retrieved studies on the effects of ulinastatin on ALI and ARDS. The paper is essentially well written, and provides some information.

# REFERENCES

- Pugia MJ, Lott JA. Pathophysiology and diagnostic value of urinary trypsin inhibitors. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2005; 43: 1-16 [PMID: 15653436 DOI: 10.1515/CCLM.2005.001]
- 2 **Ohnishi H**, Kosuzume H, Ashida Y, Kato K, Honjo I. Effects of urinary trypsin inhibitor on pancreatic enzymes and experimental acute pancreatitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1984; **29**: 26-32

[PMID: 6363018 DOI: 10.1007/BF01296858]

- 3 Uemura K, Murakami Y, Hayashidani Y, Sudo T, Hashimoto Y, Ohge H, Sueda T. Randomized clinical trial to assess the efficacy of ulinastatin for postoperative pancreatitis following pancreaticoduodenectomy. *J Surg Oncol* 2008; **98**: 309-313 [PMID: 18548482 DOI: 10.1002/jso.21098]
- 4 Cao YZ, Tu YY, Chen X, Wang BL, Zhong YX, Liu MH. Protective effect of Ulinastatin against murine models of sepsis: inhibition of TNF-α and IL-6 and augmentation of IL-10 and IL-13. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* 2012; 64: 543-547 [PMID: 21159497 DOI: 10.1016/j.etp.2010.11.011]
- 5 Inoue K, Takano H. Urinary trypsin inhibitor as a therapeutic option for endotoxin-related inflammatory disorders. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2010; **19**: 513-520 [PMID: 20367192 DOI: 10.1517/13543781003649533]
- 6 Gao C, Liu Y, Ma L, Wang S. Protective effects of ulinastatin on pulmonary damage in rats following scald injury. *Burns* 2012; 38: 1027-1034 [PMID: 22455798 DOI: 10.1016/ j.burns.2012.02.004]
- 7 Shen J, Gan Z, Zhao J, Zhang L, Xu G. Ulinastatin reduces pathogenesis of phosgene-induced acute lung injury in rats. *Toxicol Ind Health* 2012 Oct 16; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 23075575 DOI: 10.1177/0748233712463776]
- 8 Rui M, Duan YY, Zhang XH, Wang HL, Wang DP. Urinary trypsin inhibitor attenuates seawater-induced acute lung injury by influencing the activities of nuclear factor-κB and its related inflammatory mediators. *Respiration* 2012; 83: 335-343 [PMID: 22179035 DOI: 10.1159/00033378]
- 9 Fang Y, Xu P, Gu C, Wang Y, Fu XJ, Yu WR, Yao M. Ulinastatin improves pulmonary function in severe burn-induced acute lung injury by attenuating inflammatory response. J Trauma 2011; 71: 1297-1304 [PMID: 21926648 DOI: 10.1097/ TA.0b013e3182127d48]
- 10 Chen HD, Zhuo Y. The clinical effect of Ulinastatin intravenous for acute lung injury (ALI). *Linchuang Feike Zazhi* 2006; 11: 252-253 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-6663.2006.02.083]
- 11 Gu ZY, Tian HY. The influence of Ulinastatin on plasma NO and endothelin-1 in patients with acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). *Zhonghua Linchuang Yishi Zazhi* 2011; 5: 190-191 [DOI: 10.3877/ema. j.issn.1674-0785.2011.04.06]
- 12 Hu MH, Xu XJ, Jin D, Ji CL, Chen YB, Zhang G. The influence of ulinastatin on endothelial permeability in patients with ARDS. *Quanke Yixue Linchuang Yu Jiaoyu* 2009; 7: 229-231 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-3686.2009.03.009]
- 13 Huang YP, Xu XP, Wang XJ, Li MX, Pan RF. Clinical observation on the treatment of acute lung Injury with protective mechanical ventilation in combination with ulinastatin. *Hebei Yixue* 2010; 16: 783-786 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1006-6233.2010.07.006]
- 14 Jiang XQ, Wang YS, Wang SJ, Hu JC, Song DB. The efficacy of ulinastatin on protective ventilated patients with acute lung injury. *Zhongguo Jijiu Yixue* 2006; 26: 161-163 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-1949.2006.03.001]
- 15 Liang DS, Du ZL, Zeng H. Clinical studies of 31 cases on the treatment of ulinastatin for patients with acute lung injury. *Guangxi Yike Daxue Xuebao* 2011; 28: 125-126 [DOI: 10.3969/ j.issn.1005-930X.2011.01.044]
- 16 Liang QX, Wei GX, Yan XM. The efficacy of ulinastatin with protective ventilation in patients with acute lung injury. *Youjiang Yixue* 2008; **36**: 7-9 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-1383.2008.0 1.003]
- 17 Lu WH, Xu XY, Tang ZZ, Chen ZQ, Cheng Q. The efficacy of ulinastatin in patients with traumatic acute lung injury. *Linchuang Junyi Zazhi* 2008; **36**: 863-865
- 18 Ou SQ, Yu M, Wen YM, Tao Y. Clinical study on treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) with ulinastatin. *Chongqing Yixue* 2008; **37**: 1336-1337 [DOI: 10.3969/ j.issn.1671-8348.2008.12.035]
- 19 **Pi Y**, Gui WF, Gu XL, Dai LX, Xiao ZY. Clinical study on treatment of ALI/ARDS induced by thoracic trauma with

Ulinastatin. Shandong Yiyao 2009; **49**: 98-99 [DOI: 10.3969/ j.issn.1002-266X.2009.46.050]

- 20 **Qian HB**, Zheng ZQ, Lu JH, Guan GH, Pu QH. Clinical study on therapy of ARDS caused by pulmonary contusion with ulinastatin. *Zhongguo Weizhongbing Jijiu Yixue* 2009; **21**: 444-445 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1003-0603.2009.07.021]
- 21 Qin XW. Clinical study of therapy of acute lung injury with ulinastatin. *Zhonghua Neike Zazhi* 2007; **2**: 552-553 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-7768.2007.04.048]
- 22 Shang GJ, Nie ZX, Wang SZ. Clinical studies of 30 cases on the treatment of ulinastatin for patients with acute lung injury. *Zhongwai Yiliao* 2008; **30**: 117-118 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn. 1674-0742.2008.30.099]
- 23 Shi QJ, Yang ZP, Ma SQ. Clinical study on therapy of acute lung injury (ALI) with ulinastatin in XiNing. *Qinghai Yiyao Zazhi* 2011; 41: 5-7
- 24 Wang CB, Tang Y,Li J, Xia CQ. Observation of the efficacy of ulinastatin on acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Zhongguo Yiyao Daobao* 2011; 8: 71-72 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-7210.2011.29.031]
- 25 Wang KM, SunYH, Hou YQ. The clinical observation of ulinastatin for the patients with acute lung injury. *Zhongguo Shiyong Yiyao* 2011; 6: 11-12 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-7555.20 11.15.006]
- 26 Xiang JF, Yang X, Gong JF. The influence of ulinastatin on respiratory mechanics and oxidative stress in ALI/ARDS patients. *Shandong Yiyao* 2011; **51**: 79-80 [DOI: 10.3969/ j.issn.1002-266X.2011.05.050]
- 27 Xiong SS. The efficacy of ulinastatin on patients with acute lung injury. *Shiyong Linchuang Yixue* 2008; 9: 34-37 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-8194.2008.12.014]
- 28 Yang JB, Zhong ZL, Yang JY, Ye CL. The efficacy of ulinastatin on acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress. *Neimenggu Zhongyiyao* 2011; 5: 82-83 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1006 -0979.2011.10.100]
- 29 Yang K, Shen JS, Zhang QS. Clinical study of 40 cases on the treatment of ulinastatin for patients with acute lung injury induced by trauma. *Zhongguo Jijiu Yixue* 2006; 26: 229-230 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-1949.2006.03.030]
- 30 **Zhang BM**, Sun Y, Xu JL, Pan LP. Ulinastatin for treatment of acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome: an analysis of 34 cases. *Bengbu Yixueyuan Xuebao* 2009; **34**: 1108-1110 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1000-2200.2009.12.022]
- 31 **Zhang CG**, Jiang X, Liu SG. The influence of ulinastatin on oxygenation index and mortality rate in patients with ARDS. *Hainan Yixue* 2011; **22**: 8-10 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-6350.20 11.16.003]
- 32 Zhang RW. The effect of ulinastatin and dexamethasone on patients with traumatic acute lung injury. *Zhejiang Chuang-shang Waike* 2010; **15**: 283-284 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-7147. 2010.03.005]
- 33 Zhang CQ, Wang YY, Gao ZZ, Hong F, Nie WQ, Wang LM. The effect of ulinastatin on the prognosis of patiens with ARDS. *Zhongguo Linchuang Shiyong Yixue* 2010; 4: 18-20 [DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn 1673-8799.2010.03.09]
- 34 Zhang YL, Pan LW, Zhuang R, Lin MX, Ying BY, Ruan HY. The influence of ulinastatin on matrix metalloproteinase-2 and c-reactive protein in patients wiith traumatic ARDS. *Zhejiang Chuangshang Waike* 2009; 14: 6-8 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.100 9-7147.2009.01.003]
- 35 Zhao HQ, Lu K, Yuan KW, Dai ZD, Tian JH, Wang CY. The efficacy of ulinastatin on patients with acute cervical spinal cord injury accompanied acute lung injury. *Shiyong Yiyao Zazhi* 2012; 29: 223-224 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-4008.2012.0 3.019]
- 36 Zhao RG, Lin H, Zhang MH. The influence of ulinastatin on the expression of platelet activating factor in patients with acute lung injury (ALI). *Zhejiang Linchuang Yixue* 2007; 9: 439-440 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-7664.2007.04.004]
- 37 **Zheng JM**, Liu DL, Yang LJ. Clinical studies of 30 cases on ulinastatin for patients with acute lung injury. *Shanxi Yixue*



#### Leng YX et al. A systematic review of ALI and ARDS

Zazhi 2011; **40**: 1516-1518 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1000-7377.2011. 11.031]

- 38 Zhou MH, Ren GL, Jiao FF. The clinical study of ulinastatin on patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Binzhou Yixueyuan Xuebao* 2011; 34: 122-124 [DOI: 10.3969/j.issn. 1001- 9510. 2011.02.014]
- 39 Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, McQuay HJ. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996; 17: 1-12 [PMID: 8721797 DOI: 10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4]
- 40 Eloise MH, Michael RP. Acute Respiratory Distress Syn-

drome. Medscape, 2012-03-19. Available from: URL: http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/165139-overview

- 41 Koga Y, Fujita M, Tsuruta R, Koda Y, Nakahara T, Yagi T, Aoki T, Kobayashi C, Izumi T, Kasaoka S, Yuasa M, Maekawa T. Urinary trypsin inhibitor suppresses excessive superoxide anion radical generation in blood, oxidative stress, early inflammation, and endothelial injury in forebrain ischemia/reperfusion rats. *Neurol Res* 2010; **32**: 925-932 [PMID: 20223106 DOI: 10.1179/016164110X12645013515133]
- 42 Wu J, Li P. Ulinastatin for Acute Lung Injury and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Systematic Review. *Herald of Med* 2009; 28: 302-304 [DOI: 10.3870/yydb.2009.03.010]

P- Reviewers: Chen HI, Pappas KT S- Editor: Zhai HH L- Editor: Wang TQ E- Editor: Liu SQ







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza, 315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China Fax: +852-65557188 Telephone: +852-31779906 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com



© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited. All rights reserved.