Electroconvulsive Therapy on Severe Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Comorbid Depressive Symptoms

Xiaohui Liu^{1*}, Hong Cui^{1*}, Qiang Wei², Ying Wang³, Keyong Wang³, Chen Wang^{2,3}, Chunyan Zhu², and Xinhui Xie^{1,2,3} □

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is not currently used as a first-line treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). However, several related case reports have demonstrated that ECT seems to be effective for severe OCD, especially when first-line therapies have failed. In this study, we describe the courses, detailed parameters, effects, and follow-up information relating to three patients with severe OCD who were treated by modified bifrontal ECT after their first-line anti-OCD treatments pharmacotherapy, behavioral therapy, and cognitive behavioral therapy failed. The number of ECT procedures administered in each case is as follows: Case 1, eight; Case 2, three; and Case 3, four. In all three cases, the patients' depressive symptoms improved considerably after the ECT procedures. In addition, the condition of all three patients' OCD significantly improved and remained stable at regular follow-ups. ECT may play an effective role in Psychiatry Investig 2014;11(2):210-213 treating severe OCD.

Key Words Obsessive-compulsive disorder, Electroconvulsive therapy, Depression.

INTRODUCTION

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) affects numerous people. In fact, approximately 1.9% to 3.3% of the population will experience OCD at some point in their lifetime. In the last decade, behavioral therapy (BT), cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), and medications have been regarded as first-line OCD treatments.² Yet even after conventional treatment, 25% to 40% of patients have persistent symptoms and lasting functional repercussions²; many patients with severe OCD are still suffering from the condition despite treatment. One nonconventional treatment, called electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), has been found effective for treating severe OCD in a few reports.³⁻⁵ Yet, evidence describing the relationship between OCD and ECT is still insufficient.6 In this study, we present a case

Received: April 15, 2013 Revised: July 18, 2013

Accepted: September 7, 2013 Available online: April 11, 2014

☑ Correspondence: Xinhui Xie, MD

Department of Medical Psychology, Chinese PLA General Hospital & Medical School PLA, Beijing, China

Tel: +86 0551 63616124, Fax: +86 0551 63616124

E-mail: xxh.med@gmail.com

@ This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

series of three patients with severe OCD who experienced modified ECT (mECT). All patients met the diagnostic criteria for OCD outlined by the World Health Organization in the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). Informed content was obtained from each patient and their legal guardian after the mECT procedures had been fully explained. The modified bifrontal ECT procedure was administered with a Thymatron System IV Integrated ECT Instrument (Somatics, Inc., Lake Bluff, IL, USA), and the parameters of each mECT procedure are presented in Table 1. During each mECT procedure, anesthetic agents varied but mostly involved propofol, succinylcholine, atropine, and 100% oxygen. Results from all three patients' routine examinations at admission were normal and verified the absence of cerebral organic diseases, chromosomal abnormalities, and family histories of mental illness.

CASE

Case 1

Mr. A, at 27 years old, had suffered from OCD for 10 years. He was admitted to the hospital seven previous times because of obsessions, insomnia, depressive feelings, and self-blaming. His previous hospitalizations resulted in pharmacotherapy in-

¹Department of Medical Psychology, Chinese PLA General Hospital & Medical School PLA, Beijing, China

²Department of Medical Psychology, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China

³Department of Psychiatry, Fourth People's Hospital of Hefei, Hefei, Anhui, China

^{*}These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

Table 1. The parameters of each MECT procedure (pulse width=0.50 msec)

No. ES CD Cur SD Fre SI DI LES ASEI PSI Case 1 1 25 125.2 0.89 7.0 20 1090 220 Not detected 2 25 125.7 0.90 7.0 20 1210 230 76 14159.4 74.6 3 30 150.6 0.90 5.6 30 1270 220 60 12546.4 51.7 4 30 151.7 0.90 5.6 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1170 210 Not detected 8 40 201.8		•					,				
1 25 125.2 0.89 7.0 20 1090 220 Not detected 2 25 125.7 0.90 7.0 20 1210 230 76 14159.4 74.6 3 30 150.6 0.90 5.6 30 1270 220 60 12546.4 51.7 4 30 151.7 0.90 5.6 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1060 190 70 7852.1 85.6 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected	No.	ES	CD	Cur	SD	Fre	SI	DI	LES	ASEI	PSI
2 25 125.7 0.90 7.0 20 1210 230 76 14159.4 74.6 3 30 150.6 0.90 5.6 30 1270 220 60 12546.4 51.7 4 30 151.7 0.90 5.6 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1060 190 70 7852.1 85.6 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 106	Case 1										
3 30 150.6 0.90 5.6 30 1270 220 60 12546.4 51.7 4 30 151.7 0.90 5.6 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1060 190 70 7852.1 85.6 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 7.7 40 1120 230 76 145	1	25	125.2	0.89	7.0	20	1090	220		Not detected	
4 30 151.7 0.90 5.6 30 1100 200 70 13747.8 76.4 5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1060 190 70 7852.1 85.6 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 <td>2</td> <td>25</td> <td>125.7</td> <td>0.90</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>20</td> <td>1210</td> <td>230</td> <td>76</td> <td>14159.4</td> <td>74.6</td>	2	25	125.7	0.90	7.0	20	1210	230	76	14159.4	74.6
5 35 175.8 0.90 6.5 30 1060 190 70 7852.1 85.6 6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected	3	30	150.6	0.90	5.6	30	1270	220	60	12546.4	51.7
6 35 176.0 0.90 6.5 30 1120 200 17 29032.5 59.5 7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24<	4	30	151.7	0.90	5.6	30	1100	200	70	13747.8	76.4
7 40 198.1 0.88 7.5 30 1200 210 Not detected 8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	5	35	175.8	0.90	6.5	30	1060	190	70	7852.1	85.6
8 40 202.5 0.90 7.5 30 1170 210 37 10287.2 33.3 Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	6	35	176.0	0.90	6.5	30	1120	200	17	29032.5	59.5
Case 2 1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	7	40	198.1	0.88	7.5	30	1200	210		Not detected	
1 40 201.8 0.90 7.5 30 1440 240 Not detected 2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	8	40	202.5	0.90	7.5	30	1170	210	37	10287.2	33.3
2 45 232.2 0.92 6.3 40 1100 210 84 10697.2 29.9 3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	Case 2										
3 45 231.0 0.92 6.3 40 1120 230 76 14546.7 66.9 Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	1	40	201.8	0.90	7.5	30	1440	240		Not detected	
Case 3 1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	2	45	232.2	0.92	6.3	40	1100	210	84	10697.2	29.9
1 55 282.7 0.92 7.7 40 740 180 Not detected 2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	3	45	231.0	0.92	6.3	40	1120	230	76	14546.7	66.9
2 55 276.9 0.90 7.7 40 1010 200 26 2890.8 54.7 3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	Case 3										
3 55 287.6 0.93 7.7 40 930 200 24 3452.5 <10	1	55	282.7	0.92	7.7	40	740	180		Not detected	
	2	55	276.9	0.90	7.7	40	1010	200	26	2890.8	54.7
4 55 271.9 0.88 7.7 40 680 180 13 3859.3 80.2	3	55	287.6	0.93	7.7	40	930	200	24	3452.5	<10
	4	55	271.9	0.88	7.7	40	680	180	13	3859.3	80.2

ES: Energy Set (%), CD: Charge Delivered (mC), Cur: Current (A), SD: Stimulus Duration (Sec), Fre: Frequency (Hz), SI: Static Impedance (Ohm), DI: Dynamic Impedance (Ohm), LES: Length of EEG Seizure (Sec), ASEI: Average Seizure Energy Index (μV2), PSI: Postictal Suppression Index (%)

volving clomipramine, venlafaxine, fluoxetine, and sertraline, but these medications were ineffective. Upon current admission, his scores according to the Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD) and the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) were 28 and 29, respectively, and he was administered CBT and pharmacotherapy (fluoxetine, 40 mg/d; paroxetine, 20 mg/d; lamotrigine, 50 mg/d; quetiapine, 300 mg/d; and clonazepam, 1 mg/d). Although we changed his medications during the first four months (we prescribed quetiapine, risperidone, clomipramine, olanzapine, etc.), the OCD hardly improved. Therefore, we recommended mECT. Before administering ECT, his HAMD and Y-BOCS scores were 24 and 28, respectively. For the mECT treatment, the patient was administered eight mECT procedures in conjunction with olanzapine (15 mg/d). After the mECT treatments, the patient was treated with Sertraline (150 mg/d) and olanzapine (10 mg/d), and both his OCD symptoms and depressive mood significantly improved. Three days after the mECT procedures, the patient was discharged from the hospital and his HAMD and Y-BOCS scores were 14 and 16, respectively. Mr. A was on a regular follow-up schedule for two years, and his condition remained stable. Follow-up treatments for the patient included seculin at 150 mg/d and olanzapine at 10 mg/d.

Case 2

Mrs. B, a 41-year-old woman, had previously been admitted

to the hospital three times and was diagnosed with OCD because of obsessions, compulsions, insomnia, and a depressed mood. Treatments during former hospitalizations involved pharmacotherapies, including clonazepam, clomipramine, sertraline, paroxetine, fluoxetine, etc., which were ineffective. Upon current admission, her Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA), HAMD, and Y-BOCS scores were 16, 29, and 27, respectively. After four days of hospitalization, and given the ineffectiveness of her previously administered medications, the patient and her legal guardians requested mECT. During the three mECT procedures, the patient was given paroxetine (40 mg/d) and zopiclone (500 mg/d) as an adjuvant therapy. The patient experienced one adverse reaction (vomiting) after the third procedure and reported posttreatment agitation three days later that was treated with valproate (250 mg/d). Subsequent ECT procedures were canceled because of the adverse reaction. Pharmacotherapy for the patient included fluvoxamine (100 mg/d) and clonazepam (0.75 mg/d) after the mECT treatments. Her OCD symptoms and depressed mood significantly improved after ECT, and her HAMD, HAMA, and Y-BOCS scores were 11, 10, and 13, respectively. At one years' follow-up, the patient's condition remained stable. The patient was prescribed fluvoxamine at 100 mg/d during the follow-up period.

Case 3

Mr. C, at 53 years old, was admitted to the hospital for ob-

sessions, depressive mood, and insomnia that were caused by business failure. The patient began to wake up early and uncontrollably think about the difficult events he had experienced. Upon admission, his HAMD, HAMA, and Y-BOCS scores were 24, 18, and 21, respectively. He was given maprotiline, which was gradually increased to the maximum therapeutic dose of 225 mg/d after his admission, but his OCD symptoms did not improve. He accepted our suggestion of modified bifrontal ECT. Before ECT, his HAMD, HAMA, and Y-BOCS scores were 19, 20, and 18, respectively. The patient underwent four ECT procedures in conjunction with maprotiline (225 mg/d) and clonazepam (1 mg/d) as an assistant therapy. After four ECT trials, the patient's OCD symptoms and depressive mood improved, and his HAMD, HAMA, and Y-BOCS scores were 11, 9, and 11, respectively, after which the patient canceled further ECT procedures. The patient was given maprotiline (225 mg/d) and clonazepam (0.75 mg/d) after mECT treatment. Nearly four years after he was discharged from the hospital, the patient was in good health and remained symptom free. The patient's drug therapy during the follow-up period was maprotiline at 225 mg/d.

DISCUSSION

The patients' accompanying depressive moods in all three cases improved markedly after the ECT procedures. This result was expected because ECT has already been proven to be an effective treatment for major depressive disorders.⁷ However, pharmacotherapy and other first-line therapies for OCD rarely improve the symptoms of severe OCD.2 Fortunately, ECT has been shown to be effective for treating severe OCD in several previously published reports.³⁻⁵ In this article, we presented three patients with severe OCD who were treated with modified bifrontal ECT after first-line OCD treatments failed. Our conservative conclusion is that the three patients' OCD symptoms significantly improved after the mECT procedures. Two patients had no adverse reactions during the mECT treatments, while one patient (Case 2) experienced an adverse reaction and posttreatment agitation.

Many neurological imaging studies on OCD have been presented in the past two decades, showing that some brain regions are closely associated with OCD. These associated brain regions include prefrontal brain regions such as the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). The functional activities in the bilateral, left, or right OFC regions are enhanced in people with OCD,8-10 and these changes are often accompanied by increases in bilateral ACC activation.^{11,12} However, the functional increases in the OFC and ACC are also associated with significant functional decreases in the DLPFC.13 Similar results have also been found in patients with major depressive disorders: studies have shown that, OFC, ACC, and DLPFC dysfunctions and/or structural modifications exist in people with depression. 14-16 In addition, a recent study found that the average global functional connectivity in and around the left DLPFC is considerably decreased after ECT procedures.¹⁷ Consequently, we hypothesized that ECT may play a therapeutic role in treating patients with both OCD and depressive symptoms by influencing the common or related brain regions that are affected by both disorders. Another main hypothesis suggests that ECT partially enhances the transmission of inhibitory neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, such that the active process of inhibiting the seizures caused by ECT is essential to its therapeutic action.¹⁸ Therefore, it is possible that an increase in the transmission of inhibitory neurotransmitters and neuropeptides caused by bifrontal ECT acts to "jumpstart" the brain, which is directly related to the observed improvements in OCD symptoms; however, this hypothesis requires further study.

The American Psychological Association (APA) task force on ECT in 1990 stated that when severe depression is prominent, ECT is an effective treatment option for patients suffering from OCD.¹⁹ However, until now, this opinion received no support in the form of high-quality evidence from randomized controlled studies. Therefore, our study was designed to add to this body of knowledge and help clarify how ECT affects patients with severe OCD.

Acknowledgments.

This research was supported by the National Science & Technology Pillar Program (Grant No. 2013BAI08B03) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31100812). The funders had no role in data collection, decision to publish, or preparation of manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Karno M, Golding JM, Sorenson SB, Burnam MA. The epidemiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder in five US communities. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988;45:1094-1099.
- 2. Pallanti S, Quercioli L. Treatment-refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder: methodological issues, operational definitions and therapeutic lines. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2006;30:400-412.
- 3. Raveendranathan D, Srinivasaraju R, Ratheesh A, Math SB, Reddy YC. Treatment-refractory OCD responding to maintenance electroconvulsive therapy. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2012;24:E16-E17.
- 4. Mellman LA, Gorman JM. Successful treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder with ECT. Am J Psychiatry 1984;141:596-597.
- 5. Cybulska EM. Obsessive-compulsive disorder, the brain and electroconvulsive therapy. Br J Hosp Med (Lond) 2006;67:77-81.
- 6. Dell'Osso B, Altamura AC, Allen A, Hollander E. Brain stimulation techniques in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: current and future directions. CNS Spectr 2005;10:966-979, 983.
- 7. Parker G, Roy K, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Pedic F. Psychotic (delusional) depression: a meta-analysis of physical treatments. J Affect Disord 1992; 24:17-24.
- 8. Alptekin K, Degirmenci B, Kivircik B, Durak H, Yemez B, Derebek E, et al. Tc-99m HMPAO brain perfusion SPECT in drug-free obsessive-

- compulsive patients without depression. Psychiatry Res 2001;107:51-56.
- 9. Swedo SE, Pietrini P, Leonard HL, Schapiro MB, Rettew DC, Goldberger EL, et al. Cerebral glucose metabolism in childhood-onset obsessivecompulsive disorder. Revisualization during pharmacotherapy. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992;49:690-694.
- 10. Lacerda AL, Dalgalarrondo P, Caetano D, Camargo EE, Etchebehere EC, Soares JC, et al. Elevated thalamic and prefrontal regional cerebral blood flow in obsessive-compulsive disorder: a SPECT study. Psychiatry Res 2003;123:125-134.
- 11. Molina V, Montz R, Martin-Loeches M, Jiménez-Vicioso A, Carreras JL, Rubia FJ, et al. Drug therapy and cerebral perfusion in obsessivecompulsive disorder. J Nucl Med 1995;36:2234-2238.
- 12. Perani D, Colombo C, Bressi S, Bonfanti A, Grassi F, Scarone S, et al. [18F]FDG PET study in obsessive-compulsive disorder. A clinical/metabolic correlation study after treatment. Br J Psychiatry 1995;166:244-
- 13. Saxena S, Brody AL, Schwartz JM, Baxter LR. Neuroimaging and frontal-subcortical circuitry in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Br J Psychiatry Suppl 1998:26-37.
- 14. Bremner JD, Vythilingam M, Vermetten E, Nazeer A, Adil J, Khan S, et

- al. Reduced volume of orbitofrontal cortex in major depression. Biol Psychiatry 2002;51:273-279.
- 15. Auer DP, Putz B, Kraft E, Lipinski B, Schill J, Holsboer F. Reduced glutamate in the anterior cingulate cortex in depression: an in vivo proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Biol Psychiatry 2000;47:305-
- 16. Pascual-Leone A, Rubio B, Pallardo F, Catala MD. Rapid-rate transcranial magnetic stimulation of left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in drugresistant depression. Lancet 1996;348:233-237.
- 17. Perrin JS, Merz S, Bennett DM, Currie J, Steele DJ, Reid IC, et al. Electroconvulsive therapy reduces frontal cortical connectivity in severe depressive disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:5464-5468.
- 18. McDonald WM, McCall WV, Epstein CM. Electroconvulsive Therapy: Sixty Years of Progress and a Comparison with Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Vagal Nerve Stimulation. In: Kenneth LD, Dennis CJ, T. Coyle, Charles N, Editors. Neuropsychopharmacology: the Fifth Generation of Progress. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2002, p.1097-1108.
- 19. Frankel FH. The 1978 and 1990 APA Task Force Reports. Convuls Ther 1990;6:79-81.