

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

Review



Cite this article: Mann J, Patterson EM. 2013 Tool use by aquatic animals. Phil Trans R Soc B 368: 20120424. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0424

One contribution of 15 to a Theme Issue 'Tool use as adaptation'.

Subject Areas:

behaviour, cognition, ecology, evolution

Keywords:

tool use, aquatic, benthic, foraging, specialization, cultural transmission

Author for correspondence:

Janet Mann e-mail: mannj2@georgetown.edu



Tool use by aquatic animals

Janet Mann and Eric M. Patterson

Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington DC, 20057-1229, USA

Tool-use research has focused primarily on land-based animals, with less consideration given to aquatic animals and the environmental challenges and conditions they face. Here, we review aquatic tool use and examine the contributing ecological, physiological, cognitive and social factors. Tool use among aquatic animals is rare but taxonomically diverse, occurring in fish, cephalopods, mammals, crabs, urchins and possibly gastropods. While additional research is required, the scarcity of tool use can likely be attributable to the characteristics of aquatic habitats, which are generally not conducive to tool use. Nonetheless, studying tool use by aquatic animals provides insights into the conditions that promote and inhibit tool-use behaviour across biomes. Like land-based tool users, aquatic animals tend to find tools on the substrate and use tools during foraging. However, unlike on land, tool users in water often use other animals (and their products) and water itself as a tool. Among sea otters and dolphins, the two aquatic tool users studied in greatest detail, some individuals specialize in tool use, which is vertically socially transmitted possibly because of their long dependency periods. In all, the contrasts between aquatic- and land-based tool users enlighten our understanding of the adaptive value of tool-use behaviour.

1. Introduction

Of the estimated two million extant marine animal species, only 8% have been described [1], so it is no surprise that we know very little about aquatic animal tool use. Two of the most familiar cases, sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*) and bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) [2–4], stand out partly because tools are brought to the surface; much less is known about tool use that takes place completely underwater. However, to fully understand the proximate and ultimate functions of tool use, we must consider tool-use behaviour in all environments, including where it might be least expected. Accordingly, we review tool-use and tool-use-like behaviour by wild animals whose primary habitat is in the water (e.g. aquatic birds are excluded).

Because most of our knowledge regarding animal tool use comes from land-based systems, care must be taken when defining tool use to ensure the definition is also appropriate for aquatic animals. In this review, we adopt the definition recently proposed by Shumaker et al. [5] with one modification (italicized word): the conditional external employment of an unattached or manipulable attached environmental object to alter more efficiently the form, position, or condition of another object, another organism, or the user itself, when the user holds and directly manipulates the tool during or prior to use and is responsible for the proper and effective orientation of the tool [5, p. 5]. By adding the word conditional, we emphasize that tools must be used in a specific context, 'purposively to achieve a goal' [5, p. 8]. Thus, we do not consider nests, shelters and/or objects that are perpetually worn or carried as tools unless they are manipulated in a specific way when an appropriate context arises (e.g. predator). As in the original definition, we interpret the word 'holds' liberally, because many aquatic organisms have little ability to hold objects, but we do maintain that an object must be directly manipulated for it to be a tool.

Studies of tool use in aquatic systems differ remarkably from those on land. In particular, observational biases play a much larger role because of the challenges associated with underwater research. This is even further exacerbated by the fact that tool use is generally a small proportion of an animal's activity budget,

meaning that many hours of observation are required [6]. Furthermore, research in aquatic systems is primarily restricted to coastal, shallow and surface water habitats more than pelagic, resulting in both environmental and taxon-specific biases (e.g. aquatic mammals must surface to breathe and thus are easier to observe). Additionally, while there is long-standing interest in tool use by birds and primates [7,8], tool use in aquatic fauna has received less attention. Many potential cases of aquatic tool use are not described as such and only offer basic descriptive data. Nonetheless, tool use (or potential tool use) occurs in echinoids, crustaceans, gastropods, cephalopods, marine mammals and both ray-finned and cartilaginous fishes.

2. Aquatic tool users

In our review, we found 30 aquatic species that use tools (table 1). Additional species exhibit tool-use-like behaviour, but more observations are required to establish whether the behaviour qualifies as tool use. In table 1 and below, we summarize these behaviours according to their function.

(a) Protection

Most object manipulation by aquatic invertebrates involves barricading and/or camouflage. Numerous crab species carry or 'wear' objects such as plant debris, shells, rocks, algae, aquatic animals and/or various other objects that may provide a variety of possible benefits, including camouflage and protection from predators, the elements and/or conspecifics [11,12,16,21,22,48, 51,53–55,57]. Several urchin species and gastropods of the family Xenophoridae also decorate themselves, which may provide similar benefits [13,20,52,56,58,59]. While many of these behaviours might be tool use, most descriptions are not sufficiently detailed to determine whether the objects are used conditionally, i.e. purposively manipulated to achieve some goal. However, studies on four species of crabs and three species of urchins provide adequate data to confirm tool use (table 1).

Cephalopods also use tools for protection. Veined octopodes (*Amphioctopus marginatus*) off the coast of Northern Sulawesi and Bali in Indonesia have recently been observed using coconut shells for protection [15]. These octopodes carry shell(s) around in a non-functional form, and then use their tools when threatened by creating an enclosed domelike shelter. This suggests both goal-directed behaviour and implementing the tool only as required. Octopodes, as well as squids and cuttlefishes, also use water as a tool for protection by using jets of water to aid in burrowing for camouflage [14,17–19].

(b) Parental care

Several fish species use water as a tool for parental care (table 1). In fact, hundreds of fish species fan their eggs with water to keep them clean and oxygenated [47], but we have difficulty considering such behaviour tool use, because there is no delineated object separate from the environmental medium. This contrasts with gouramis, which use discrete water jets as tools to place and retrieve their eggs above water [25,26].

Other fishes use objects derived from the substrate for parental care. Whitetail majors (*Stegastes leucorus*) 'sand blast' rock surface nest sites to clean them before laying eggs [24]. Several species of cichlids and at least one species of catfish lay their eggs on detached leaves or loose detritus that can be moved when the eggs are in danger or retrieved if leaves become detached from the nest [24,49,50,61]. While we consider the first case tool use, the second case is ambiguous because leaves could function primarily as substrate for egg attachment (not tool use) rather than as a mode of transportation (tool use).

(c) Foraging

Most aquatic tool use occurs in a foraging context (table 1). Many aquatic animals use water jets to locate and capture prey with two of the best-known cases occurring in archerfish [36,37], but similar behaviour also occurs in gouramis [25,38,39], pufferfish [35], triggerfish [33], rays [28,34], cephalopods [14,31] and perhaps Irrawaddy dolphins (Orcaella brevirostris) [62,63]. Although water jets can be viewed as 'discrete' objects, many cases of water use are less discrete and similar to some parental care behaviours (egg-fanning). Rays and skates fan water to help uncover benthic prey [46], imprints of which can even be found in the geological record [68]. Killer whales (Orcinus orca) create waves, sometimes singly but usually in coordinated groups, to wash prey off ice floes [45]. As with egg-fanning, we have difficulty considering these behaviours tool use, because the water being used is not easily differentiated from the environmental medium.

Other object use is less ambiguous and clearly meets the definition of tool use. For example, octopodes use objects to prop open bivalves, allowing them to eat the soft prey inside [29,30], but probably the most noted example of aquatic tool use occurs in sea otters. When foraging, sea otters often use objects as anvils to smash open prey [2], primarily gastropods and bivalves [69]. In contrast to anvil use by some fish [70], otters directly manipulate their anvils. Otters also use objects as hammers, or use one as a hammer and another as an anvil, and even sometimes wrap crabs in kelp to immobilize them while the otters consume other captured prey. Sea otters also use tools underwater by using rocks or large shells to pry or hammer abalone from the substrate [2].

In addition to water use by killer whales and Irrawaddy dolphins, cetaceans demonstrate a variety of other tool-use, or possible tool-use, behaviour. Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) singly and collectively expel bubbles to create nets that encircle, contain and concentrate schooling prey for easy gulping [27,71]. Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in Florida Bay use a similar netting technique known as mud-ring feeding. One dolphin encircles prey with a mud plume by beating its tail flukes on the substrate, causing fish to jump into the mouths of one or more waiting dolphins [40]. Lone dolphins off the Florida Keys have also been observed creating mud plumes to catch prey [41].

One of best known cases of cetacean tool use involves a subset (approx. 5%) of the population of bottlenose dolphins in Shark Bay, Australia. These dolphins (the spongers) tear basket sponges up from the seabed and wear them over their beaks for protection while foraging (sponging) along the sea-floor [3,4,72]. A similar behaviour has been observed once in a humpback dolphin (*Sousa chinensis*) off the northeast coast of Australia [65], but more observations are required. Sponging is thought to provide access to otherwise inaccessible prey (primarily barred sandperch, *Parapercis nebulosa*) and reduce intraspecific competition [72]. To date, over 50 spongers have

Table 1. Tool use by aquatic animals. All fish names are according to Froese & Pauly [9], while names for all other fauna are from [10]. Numbers in brackets indicate sources.

Dardamus arrosor ^b striated hermit crabDiogenes edwardsir ^b Edward's hermit crabLytechinus variegatusvariegated or green sea urchinLytechinus variegatusvariegated or green sea urchinDotopus vulgariscommon octopusAmphioctopus marginatasveined octopusAmphioctopus marginatasveined octopusPagurus pollicaris ^b grey hermit crabPagurus pollicarisNorth Pacific bobtail squidSepia officinalisnotth Pacific bobtail squidSepia officinalisbobtail squidsSepiola sp.bobtail squidsSepiola sp.camon cuttlefishSepiola sp.bobtail squidsStrongylocentratus droebachiensisgreen sea urchinTarinia cornigera ^b crabStegastes leucoruswhitetail majorTrichogaster chunahoney gouramiTrichogaster ratiusmoonlight gouramiTrichogaster ratiusmoonlight gourami	carry anemones for protection from predators [11] carry anemones for protection from predators [12] hold objects for protection from UV light [13] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [14] use coconut shells for protection [15] carry anemones for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [20] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection	benthic: animal benthic: animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal
Edward's hermit cab variegated or green sea urchin common octopus natas veined octopus natas veined octopus grey hermit cab North Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids cab bobtail squids vebachiensis green sea urchin us vebachiensis green sea urchin us val bobtail squids bobtail squids vebachiensis green sea urchin us vebachiensis green sea urchin us veined sourami us veined sourami dwarf gourami dwarf gourami	carry anemones for protection from predators [12] hold objects for protection from UV light [13] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [14] use coconut shells for protection [15] carry anemones for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection	benthic: animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, plant benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal
s variegated or green sea urchin common octopus natas veined octopus grey hermit crab grey hermit crab north Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids pobtail squids bobtail	hold objects for protection from UV light [13] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [14] use coconut shells for protection from predators [16] carry anemones for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for anouflage from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, plant benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal
common octopus veined octopus grey hermit crab north Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids green sea urchin crab green sea urchin crab sea egg whitetail major honey gourami dwarf gourami moonlight gourami	squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [14] use coconut shells for protection [15] use coconut shells for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection protection protection	benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, plant benthic, animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal
veined octopus grey hermit crab grey hermit crab North Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids bobtail squids green sea urchin crab crab sea egg whitetail major honey gourami dwarf gourami moonlight gourami	use coconut shells for protection [15] carry anemones for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection protection parental care	benthic: plant benthic: animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal
rs ^b grey hermit cab North Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids us <i>droebachiensis</i> green sea urchin d ^b cab tricosus sea egg tricosus sea egg us whitetail major us honey gourami us dwarf gourami crolepis sea otter	carry anemones for protection from predators [16] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection protection parental care	benthic: animal benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal
North Pacific bobtail squid common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids bobtail squids ricotas green sea urchin a ^b crab tricosus sea egg us whitetail major us whitetail major us dwarf gourami us dwarf gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [17] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [18] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic
common cuttlefish bobtail squids bobtail squids us <i>droebachiensis</i> green sea urchin us <i>droebachiensis</i> green sea urchin a ^{ab} cab tricosus sea egg us whitetail major ma honey gourami us dwarf gourami crolepis moonlight gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [18] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection protection parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic abiotic
bobtail squids bobtail squids brus droebachiensis green sea urchin era ^b crab artricosus sea egg ntricosus sea egg nura whitetail major iurna honey gourami dius dwarf gourami scrolepis moonlight gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection protection parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic
bobtail squids otus droebachiensis green sea urchin era ^b crab trtricosus sea egg orus whitetail major urna honey gourami ulus dwarf gourami icrolepis moonlight gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to aid in burrowing [19] hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes yes	protection protection protection parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: algae benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic
otus droebachiensis green sea urchin era ^b crab ntricosus sea egg orus whitetail major nuna honey gourami lius dwarf gourami icrolepis moonlight gourami sea otter	hold objects for protection from waves and UV light [20] carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes	protection protection parental care	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: algae benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic
era ^b crab ntricosus sea egg nura whitetail major urna honey gourami lius dwarf gourami icrolepis moonlight gourami sea otter	carry objects for camouflage from predators [21,22] hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes yes	protection protection parental care	benthic: algae benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic
<i>itricosus</i> sea egg <i>prus</i> whitetail major <i>una</i> honey gourami <i>lius</i> dwarf gourami <i>icrolepis</i> moonlight gourami sea otter	hold objects for protection from UV light [23] blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes yes	protection parental care	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal benthic: abiotic
orus whitetail major uuna honey gourami <i>lius</i> dwarf gourami <i>icrolepis</i> moonlight gourami sea otter	blast rocks with sand prior to egg attachment [24] squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes	parental care	benthic: abiotic
<i>tuna</i> honey gourami <i>litus</i> dwarf gourami <i>icrolepis</i> moonlight gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]			
<i>lius</i> dwarf gourami <i>icrolepis</i> moonlight gourami sea otter		yes	parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
<i>icrolepis</i> moonlight gourami sea otter	squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [25]	yes	parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
sea otter	squirt water jets to attach and retrieve eggs [26]	yes	parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
	immobilize prey with kelp [2]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: algae
Enhydra lutris sea otter	extract prey using objects as hammers and anvils [2]	yes	foraging	benthic: abiotic, animal
Enhydra lutris sea otter	pry and hammer prey to detach them [2]	yes	foraging	benthic: abiotic, animal
<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> humpback whale	encircle prey with bubble nets [27,71]	yes	foraging	surface: air
Myliobatis tenuicaudatus eagle ray	squirt water jets to uncover prey [28]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Octopus sp. octopodes	prop open bivalves with objects during foraging [29,30]	yes	foraging	benthic: abiotic, animal
Octopus vulgaris common octopus	squirt water jets to aid in foraging [31]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Potamotrygon falkneri largespot river stingray	squirt water jets to extract food from tubes [32]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Pseudobalistes fuscus yellow-spotted triggerfish	squirt water jets to flip over prey [33]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Rhinoptera bonasus cownose ray	squirt water jets to uncover prey [34]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Sepia officinalis common cuttlefish	squirt water jets to uncover prey [14]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Tetraodon lineatus globe fish	squirt water jets to extract prey [35]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil Trans R Soc B 368: 20120424

3

(Continued.)	
;-	
Table	

taxa	common name	behaviour	tool use	function	tool type
Toxotes chatareus	spotted archerfish	squirt water jets to stun prey [36]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Toxotes jaculatrix	banded archerfish	squirt water jets to stun prey [37]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Trichogaster chuna	honey gourami	squirt water jets to stun prey [25]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Trichogaster fasciata	banded gourami	squirt water jets to stun prey [25]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Trichogaster lalius	dwarf gourami	squirt water jets to stun prey [25]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Trichogaster trichopterus	three spotted gourami	squirt water jets to stun prey [38,39]	yes	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Tursiops sp.	bottlenose dolphins	wear sponges for protection during foraging [3,4]	yes	foraging	benthic: animal
Tursiops truncatus	bottlenose dolphin	encircle prey with mud plumes [40,41]	yes	foraging	benthic: abiotic
Octopus vulgaris	common octopus	squirt water jets to repel scavenging fish [42]	yes	other	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Octopus vulgaris	common octopus	squirt water jets to move unwanted debris [14]	yes	other	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Octopus vulgaris	common octopus	squirt water jets to deter human experimenters [43]	yes	other	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Enhydra lutris	sea otter	use kelp to maintain buoyancy and location [44]	yes	other	benthic, mid-water, surface: algae
Pagurus pollicaris ^b	grey hermit crab	carry anemones for balance [16]	yes	other	benthic: animal
Orainus orca	killer whale	wash prey off ice floes with waves [45]	TDD	foraging	surface: water
Superorder: Batoidea	rays and skates	fan water to uncover prey [46]	TDD	foraging	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
superfamily: Osteichthyes	bony fishes	many species fan water to care for their eggs [47]	TDD	parental care	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
family: Aethridae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Cichlidae	cichlids	some species lay eggs on mobile leaves [24,49,50]	cu, fu	unclear	benthic: plant
family: Cyclodorippidae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Diogenidae ^a	lefthanded hermit crabs	some species carry objects [12,48,51]	cu, fu	unclear	benthic: animal
family: Dorippidae	sumo crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Dromiidae	sponge crabs	all species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Echinidae	urchins	some species carry objects [52]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal
family: Echinometridae	urchins	some species carry objects [52]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal
family: Epialtidae	crabs	some species carry objects [53,54]	cu, fu	unclear	benthic, mid-water, surface: algae
family: Ethusidae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Hepatidae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Homolidae	carrier crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Inachidae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: animal

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org
Phil Trans R Soc B 368: 20120424

taxa	common name	behaviour	tool use	function	tool type
family: Latreilliidae	longleg crabs	some species carry objects [48]	cu, Fu	undear	benthic: animal
family: Majidae ^a	crabs	some species carry objects [48,55]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: animal
family: Oregoniidae	crabs	some species carry objects [48]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: animal
family: Paguridae ^a	righthanded hermit crabs	some species carry objects [12,51]	CU, FU	unclear	benthic: animal
family: Parechinidae	urchins	some species carry objects [56]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: abiotic, algae, plant, animal
family: Pilumnidae	true crabs	some species carry objects [57]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: abiotic
family: Urechinidae	uchins	some species carry objects [58]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: abiotic, animal, protists
family: Xenophoridae	snails	some species carry objects [59]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: abiotic, animal
lnia geoffrensis	Amazon river dolphin	carry and hold objects [60]	FU	undear	benthic: abiotic, plant
Megalechis thoracata	spotted hoplo	lay eggs on mobile leaves [61]	CU, FU	undear	benthic: plant
Orcaella brevirostris	Irrawaddy dolphin	squirt water jets during foraging [62,63]	FU	undear	benthic, mid-water, surface: water
Sousa chinensis	Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	throw sea shells during social play [64]	FU	undear	benthic: animal
Sousa chinensis	Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	carry marine sponges during foraging [65]	FU	undear	benthic: animal
Tegula brunnea	brown tegula	moves pebbles along foot when inverted [66]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: abiotic
Tegula funebralis	black tegula	moves pebbles along foot when inverted [66]	cu, fu	undear	benthic: abiotic
Tursiops sp.	bottlenose dolphin	play with seagrass, possibly as practice foraging [67]	FU	undear	benthic: plant
^a Indicates that for most species tool u unclear, respectively.	^a Indicates that for most species tool use cannot be determined, but see ^b , and TDD, CU and FU i unclear, respectively.	D, CU and FU indicate that the tool is difficult to differentiate from the environmental medium, conditional use of the tool is unclear, and function is	n the environmental	medium, conditional u	se of the tool is unclear, and function is

Table 1. (Continued.)

5

been identified in each (western and eastern) gulf of Shark Bay [4,73]. Dolphins primarily use sponges of the genus *Echinodic-tyum*, but also *Ircinia* and *Pseudoceratina* [74], and sometimes even non-conical sponges when first learning to sponge, all of which only exist in channel habitat [72,75]. In fact, sponging does not occur in 95% of the eastern gulf that we have surveyed, but we recently identified three new spongers in several previously unexplored channels.

Shark Bay dolphins use objects in several other ways that may also prove to be tool use. Young dolphins occasionally carry and/or play with seagrass, which may be a form of 'practice foraging' [76]. Dolphins have also been observed surfacing with the shells of large dead gastropods on their beaks, at least some of which contain fish prey (E.M.P. 2011 & J.M. 1997–2012, personal observations; [77]). This behaviour was previously referred to as conching with *Turbinella* sp. [77], but the shells used are actually those of the Australian trumpet snail (*Syrinx aruanus*) and the northern bailer (*Melo amphora*; E.M.P. 2011 & J.M. 1997–2012, personal observations; E. Krzyszczyk 2011–2012, personal communication). Considerable ambiguity remains regarding this behaviour and whether it qualifies as tool use.

(d) Other

Several instances of tool-use behaviour by aquatic animals do not clearly fall into any broad functional category. For instance, octopodes use water jets to deter scavenging fish, move unwanted debris and even to shoot human experimenters [14,42,43], whereas gray hermit crabs (Pagurus pollicaris) use sea anemones to aid in balance [16]. Two snail species move bits of sand along their propodium (foot) when inverted, which eventually helps to right the animal, but such behaviour could just be the result of their normal locomotory movement and might not qualify as tool use [66]. Some marine mammals use objects in other contexts. Sea otters sometimes wrap themselves in kelp, which aids in buoyancy and helps maintain their location during rest [44]. Amazon river dolphins (Inia geoffrensis) occasionally carry sticks, rocks, lumps of clay and/or shells, which is hypothesized to be a socio-sexual display [60], and humpback dolphins (S. chinensis) throw shells during social play [64], but for both behaviours, the function of the object use is not currently well understood.

3. Physiological and ecological factors specific to the aquatic environment

Similar to some land-based animals [78–81], several aquatic taxa have specific physiological adaptations or tendencies that predispose them to tool use. For example, archerfish have a modified mouth morphology that aids in water shooting [82], many crabs have specialized hair-like structures (setae) that securely hold objects [48], and sea otters have retractile claws on their forelimbs and object-carrying pouches between their forelimbs and chest [83]. However, most adaptations for life in the water do not promote the use of tools and instead result in an efficient streamlined body plan that generally lacks appendages capable of manipulation. In fact, many characteristics of aquatic environments help explain why tool use is comparatively rare in water, observational biases notwithstanding. For example, buoyancy counteracts gravity, meaning that not only are animals lighter, but so are

potential tools, making them less useful. Furthermore, given the movement and viscosity of water, striking or even controlling objects underwater is more difficult than in air (especially elongated objects, see Gowlett [84]). Finally, aquatic and especially marine habitats are much more three-dimensional and have fewer objects than land-based habitats, where substrates and objects are plentiful. Thus, merely by living in water, aquatic animals have few opportunities and less physical ability to use tools.

Nonetheless, among aquatic organisms benthic animals tend to have the ecological conditions most likely to favour tool use: a hard substrate, available objects, small home ranges, less streamlined morphology and greater manipulative ability. Many non-benthic animals will, in fact, never see the substrate or come into contact with any objects besides floating debris or other pelagic organisms. It is not surprising then that most tools used in water originate from the benthos, even kelp, which sea otters use at the water's surface (table 1). This is very similar to how non-aquatic animals (including birds) tend to use tools on terra firma (St Clair & Rutz [8] and Teschke et al. [85]). In fact, terrestrial living has been proposed as a major factor driving tool use among primates [86]. Unlike arboreal habitats, terrestrial environments offer a larger number of substrates and objects, and terrestrial living allows for tool re-use, opportunities for combining tools and cumulative technology (reviewed in [86]). Thus, both on land and in water, the adaptive value of tool use and its presence depend greatly on the specific ecological conditions animals face [87].

4. Cognition, learning and culture

Like on land, the presence of tool use among some aquatic animals appears to require learning and higher cognition, whereas in others, learning may not be required but may improve tooluse efficacy. The majority of decorating behaviours by crabs and urchins are likely innate and require little learning [57]. In fact, many decorating behaviours are obligate [48], and crabs that have been blindfolded and whose brains have been functionally disconnected from their appendages, still perform the behaviour [53,88]. Among archerfish, learning is probably not required for the tool use, but does allow fish to account for changes in temperature, salinity and the location and size of their prey. Interestingly, fish learn much faster in the presence of trained conspecifics, which suggests social learning [37,89]. Captive largespot river stingrays (Potamotrygon castexi) learn to extract food from plastic tubes using water jets [32], which may illustrate problem-solving abilities that are common among fish, but for most cases of fish tool use, additional studies are required to determine the role of learning.

The bigger-brained tool users, cephalopods, sea otters and cetaceans, show substantial flexibility in whether or not they use tools and in the types of tools they use, evidence that the occurrence of tool use depends on learning. Cuttlefish learn to adjust their behaviour according to prey and use water jets only when necessary [14]. Octopodes demonstrate variable and impressive home construction [90], which, although not classified as tool use, is evident of their great flexibility in object manipulation. Many sea otters have never been observed using tools and instead target soft-bodied prey [69]. Among tool-using otters, variation in tool type and use exists with otters spending 0.3–21% of their time using tools [69],

depending on their prey type (snails may require tools) and sex (females without pups use tools more than females with pups and males [69]). Like archerfish, sea otters also improve their tool use performance with experience [91]. In fact, sea otters appear to learn to use tools primarily through vertical social transmission, with pups adopting the same techniques, tools and diet as their mothers [91–93].

Among cetaceans, there is considerable variation in the occurrence of tool use within and between populations. Humpback whales engage in bubblenet feeding at several sites but not all, and it is currently unclear how widespread the behaviour is in each population [27]. In Florida Bay, only bottlenose dolphins that use shallow sand banks engage in mud-ring feeding [40], and in Shark Bay, many dolphins that inhabit the same channels as spongers do not sponge forage [4]. Those dolphins that do adopt sponging learn the behaviour from their mothers and then almost exclusively forage using sponge tools throughout their lives, showing extensive lifetime learning with a peak in efficiency at mid-adulthood [74,93,94]. However, not all dolphins born to spongers adopt the behaviour even though both sexes are philopatric [95]. Presently, over 90% of daughters born to spongers use sponge tools, compared with only 50% of sons (higher than reported in [4]), which results in a female sex bias [4]. Furthermore, female spongers engage in the behaviour more than males (mean proportion of activity budget \pm s.e.: n = 12, adult females = 0.44 ± 0.05 , n = 4, adult males $= 0.22 \pm 0.02$, z = 2.15, p =0.02, permutation test, 10 000 permutations, 372 h of focal observation) and even use tools more than any other animal, save humans [4]. Sponging also appears to have either been innovated multiple times, or horizontally socially transmitted. Subpopulations of spongers exist in the western [96] and eastern gulfs [4] of Shark Bay, and come from multiple matrilines [96]. In addition, we recently discovered a new group of spongers at a site (30-50 km) between the two gulfs (Point Peron), which may indicate an additional innovation event or provide a missing link between the two gulfs. Yet simulations show that if horizontal transmission and/or multiple innovation events did occur, then they did so at very low frequencies [97], which is not surprising given that female spongers have not been sighted further than approximately 6 km from their channels, except when being consorted by males.

On land, when tool use requires learning, it is often associated with social tolerance, prolonged development and relatively larger brain size or elaborated cognition within taxa ([85,93,98,99] although see Haslam [100]), but because of the scarcity of data in aquatic habitats, systematic phylogenetic comparisons are not yet possible (but see [70]). However, generally more cognitively complex tool use is characterized by tool manufacture [101], tool composites [102], tool re-use [102], cultural transmission [103] and cumulative technologies [86]. Several of these features are present in the aquatic tool users, particularly those with large brains. Humpback whales expel bubbles in a carefully constructed net [45], and bottlenose dolphins stir up mud in a consistent ring shape [40], both of which may be examples of aquatic tool manufacture. Sea otters use tool composites (one rock as an anvil, and another as a hammer) [2], and octopodes sometimes use two halves of a coconut shell to construct their protective shelter [15]. Sea otters, octopodes, crabs, fishes and dolphins all show tool re-use. Sea otters use the same tools to extract multiple prey items [2], and octopodes carry their coconut shells for later deployment [15].

Some crabs re-use the same anemones or objects for protection from multiple threats [12], and whitetail majors probably re-use some of the same sand when cleaning nest sites [24]. Dolphins that sponge often retrieve their tools after each prey capture and use the same sponge for up to several hours (average 59 ± 43 (s.d.) min, min. = 1 min, max. = 3.5 h, n = 266 sponging bouts, updated from that reported in [72]). Only one case of tool use by aquatic animals has thus far been considered 'cultural'-sponging by dolphins. In addition to being socially learned, sponging serves an affiliative function that distinguishes subgroups, or subcultures of spongers and thus meets both requirements for culture [104]. We have recently shown that spongers preferentially associate with other spongers and form strong cliques [104], and thus may horizontally learn about sponging from each other. Thus, while more data are required, some aquatic tool use is indicative of cognitive complexity as it often is for primates and birds [7,93,98].

5. Is aquatic tool use distinct?

Even though tool use is rare in aquatic systems, the types of tools that are used distinguish it from land-based tool use. Aquatic tool users use living animals or their products as tools more than land animals. Many filter-feeding marine animals are sessile and thus 'available' as tools, and aquatic animal products such as shells do not deteriorate quickly. By contrast, land-based animals rarely use other animals or their products as tools, in part, because such objects likely deteriorate quickly, but also because of the ample availability of objects derived from plants [5,8,84,85]. Aquatic animals can also manipulate their surrounding environmental medium (water) more easily than land-based animals. In fact, over half of the cases of tool use by aquatic animals involve the use of water as a tool (table 1). This is not to say that land-based animals cannot manipulate their environmental medium (air) to some degree. For example, honeybees (Apis mellifera) fan air to cool their hives [105] and deter predators [106], and many other animals likely blow or fan air for a variety of functions, but as with fish egg-fanning, the object is unclear and such behaviours are not usually considered tool use.

Among dolphins and sea otters, the aquatic animals whose tool use we know the most about, two additional features stand out. First, although individual-level foraging/ resource specialization, defined as the use of a narrower niche than the population niche [107,108], occurs among many invertebrate and vertebrate taxa both on land and in the water [107,109], individual-level specialization in tool use is rare. To the best of our knowledge, the only documented cases outside of humans occur among sea otters [69] and bottlenose dolphins [4]. Most bottlenose dolphins never use sponge tools, but nearly all that do specialize in the behaviour and spend approximately 96% of their foraging budget using sponge tools [4]. Similarly, many sea otters do not use tools, but those that do, use tools so often that they generalize the behaviour to the occasional prey item that does not require tools [69]. There is even evidence of further specialization within tool-using sea otters as some otters consistently use particular tool sets, tool types or techniques [2,92]. While land-based animals certainly vary in tool-use behaviour seasonally and between individuals [87,110-114]

Numerous factors can drive interindividual variation in foraging behaviour [107,109], but in both sea otters and dolphins tool-use specialization by some individuals is likely driven by intraspecific competition and ecological opportunity [72,115,116]. In Shark Bay, the population density of adult females (approx. two dolphins per km²) is at least twice that of the next densest site, Sarasota Bay, Florida [117], whereas sea otters in resource-limited sites show greater dietary specialization than those at sites where resources are abundant [115]. Thus, in both species, the need to reduce competition and exploit a unique niche may have led some individuals to use tools to forage. In similar scenarios on land, tool-use behaviour often spreads horizontally throughout the population, and little individual-level specialization evolves (e.g. primates [118] and Chappell et al. [119]). In fact, among Bossou chimpanzees, some offspring of non-tool-users do use tools, suggesting that non-vertical social transmission occurs even though the sensitive learning period of 3-5 years (similar to that for dolphin tool use) occurs when they are primarily with their mothers [114,120]. However, in aquatic systems horizontal social transmission is unlikely for several reasons. First, water currents likely wash away tool artefacts and even those heavy enough to remain stationary are eventually buried by sediment. Second, the low cost of travel in water (e.g. [121]), and the vast openness of most aquatic habitats allows for greater dispersion during foraging, making tool use a solitary activity [4,104]. Thus, in aquatic habitats, naive individuals rarely observe others using tools and/or encounter tool products, which is in contrast to some cases of land tool use where individuals regularly visit tool-using sites and forage with tools in groups [87,118,122]. In fact, Fragaszy et al. [114] propose that artefacts and tool-use sites may act as a spatio-temporal extension of social support for promoting tool-use learning in young individuals. It is not surprising then that for at least dolphins and sea otters vertical social transmission seems to be so important (also see discussion of importance of vertical transmission in Meulman et al. [93]). Only offspring of tool-using dolphins and otters are exposed to tool artefacts and have opportunities to repeatedly observe tool-use behaviour during their formative years. It seems then that the dynamic and expansive nature of aquatic habitats hinders the horizontal transmission of tool use, leaving vertical transmission as the primary mode of social learning, which ultimately promotes individual specialization.

Matrilineal transmission of tool-use specialization in both dolphins and sea otters may also explain the observed female sex bias, which is observed in some cases of land-based tool use (e.g. female bias in genus *Pan* [110,123,124]), but not

others (male bias for genus Cebus [125,126]). In Shark Bay, females tend to use and specialize in rare hunting tactics more than males, such as beaching [127] and sponging [4], many of which are maternally socially transmitted to primarily female offspring [94], presumably because foraging specialization is too costly for males [4]. Sponging in the eastern gulf is restricted to an approximately 28 km² area, which is less than half the size of a typical male home range (approx. 76 km²), and a little over one-fourth the size of a combined male alliance range (approx. 96 km²) [128]. Thus, if males were to adopt sponging, then it would severely restrict their ranging and ability to form and maintain their alliances, which are necessary for successful reproduction [129]. In sea otters, a similar situation may exist due to sex segregation [130], but the sex differences in otter tool use have only recently been uncovered [69] and deserve further investigation.

6. Conclusion

While tool use among land-based animals has long been of interest to biologists, anthropologists and psychologists alike, such behaviour in aquatic systems has received little attention. In our review, we found tool use by aquatic animals to be rare, partly due to observational biases, but also because their physiology and ecology are not amenable to tool use. That being said, echinoids, crustaceans, cephalopods, marine mammals, fishes and possibly gastropods demonstrate tool use, primarily in foraging contexts, similar to land-based tool users. We expect tool use to be rare given the different challenges aquatic animals must overcome compared to landbased animals, so the absences of tool use among many aquatic organisms should not be viewed as a lack of ability, but rather a lack of need. For example, although delphinids are largerbrained than primates (save humans [131]) and thus might be expected to engage in substantial tool-use behaviour, they have a highly sophisticated echolocation system and probably have very little need for tools (also see discussion in Meulman et al. [93]). Nonetheless, the tool-use behaviours that do exist among aquatic animals provide insight into the specific conditions that favour tool use and help us understand its adaptive value and evolution across all environments.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Dora Biro and all of the participants in the Royal Society meeting on 'Tool-use as adaption' for stimulating discussions on tool use across different species. Our colleagues on the Dolphins of Shark Bay Research Project, particularly Ewa Krzyszczyk, Quincy Gibson and Brooke Sargeant, contributed data that we have summarized here. We are grateful to Jane Fromont for identifying sponge species.

Funding statement. We also thank the following funding sources for our research: Georgetown University, NSF 0941487, 0918308, and ONR 10230702.

References

- Mora C, Tittensor D, Adl S, Simpson A, Worm B. 2011 How many species are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biol.* 9, e1001127. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pbio.1001127)
- Riedman M, Estes J. 1990 The sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*): behavior, ecology, and natural history. U.S. Fish Wildl. Ser. Biol. Rep. **90**, 1–127.
- Smolker RA, Richards AF, Connor RC, Mann J, Berggren P. 1997 Sponge carrying by dolphins (Delphinidae, *Tursiops* sp.): a foraging specialization involving tool use? *Ethology* **103**, 454–465. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.1997.tb00160.x)
- 4. Mann J, Sargeant BL, Watson-Capps JJ, Gibson QA, Heithaus MR, Connor RC,

Patterson EM. 2008 Why do dolphins carry sponges? *PLoS ONE* **3**, e3868. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0003868)

 Shumaker RW, Walkup KR, Beck BB, Burghardt GM. 2011 Animal tool behavior: the use and manufacture of tools by animals. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

9

- McGrew WC. 1992 Tool-use by free-ranging chimpanzees: the extent of diversity. J. Zool. 228, 689–694. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1992.tb04469.x)
- McGrew WC. 2013 Is primate tool use special? Chimpanzee and New Caledonian crow compared. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120422. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2012.0422)
- St Clair JJH, Rutz C. 2013 New Caledonian crows attend to multiple functional properties of complex tools. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120415. (doi:10. 1098/rstb.2012.0415)
- 9. Froese R, Pauly D. 2012 *FishBase*. See http://www. fishbase.org.
- 10. Appeltans W et al. (eds) 2012 World register of marine species. See http://www.marinespecies.org.
- Ross D, Von Boletzky S. 1979 The association between the pagurid *Dardanus arrosor* and the actinian *Calliactis parasitica*. Recovery of activity in 'inactive' *D. arrosor* in the presence of cephalpods. *Mar. Behav. Physiol.* 6, 175–184. (doi:10.1080/ 10236247909378564)
- Ross D. 1983 Symbiotic relations. In *The biology of Crustacea* (ed. DE Bliss), pp. 163–212. Waltham, MA: Academic Press.
- Millott N. 1956 The covering reaction of seaurchins. I. A preliminary account of covering in the tropical echinoid *Lytechinus variegatus* (Lamarck), and its relation to light. *J. Exp. Biol.* 33, 508–523.
- Mather JA. 1995 Cognition in cephalopods. *Adv. Stud. Behav.* 24, 317–353. (doi:10.1016/S0065-3454(08)60398-8)
- Finn JK, Tregenza T, Norman MD. 2009 Defensive tool use in a coconut-carrying octopus. *Curr. Biol.* 19, R1069–R1070. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.10.052)
- Brooks WR. 1989 Hermit crabs alter sea anemone placement patterns for shell balance and reduced predation. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 132, 109–121. (doi:10.1016/0022-0981(89)90218-9)
- Anderson R, Mather JA, Steele C. 2004 Burying and associated behaviors of *Rossia pacifica* (Cephalopoda: Sepiolidae). *Vie Milieu* 54, 13–19.
- Mather JA. 1986 Sand digging in *Sepia officinalis*: assessment of a Cephalopod mollusc's 'fixed' behavior pattern. *J. Comp. Psychol.* **100**, 315–320. (doi:10.1037//0735-7036.100.3.315)
- Von Boletzky S, Von Boletzky MV. 1970 Das Eingraben in Sand bei *Sepiola* und *Sepietta* (Mollusca, Cephalopoda). *Rev. Suisse Zool.* 77, 536–548.
- Dumont C, Drolet D, Deschenes I, Himmelman J. 2007 Multiple factors explain the covering behaviour in the green sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis. Anim. Behav.* 73, 979–986. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.11.008)
- Thanh PD, Wada K, Sato M, Shirayama Y. 2005 Effects of resource availability, predators, conspecifics and heterospecifics on decorating behaviour by the majid crab *Tiarinia cornigera*. *Mar. Biol.* **147**, 1191–1199. (doi:10.1007/s00227-005-0017-2)
- Thanh P, Wada K. 2003 Decorating behaviour by the majid crab *Tiarinia cornigera* as protection against predators. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 83, 1235–1237. (doi:10.1017/S0025315403008580)

- Lewis J. 1958 The biology of the tropical sea urchin *Tripneustes esculentus* Leske in Barbados, British West Indies. *Can. J. Zool.* 36, 607-621.
- 24. Keenleyside MHA. 1979 *Diversity and adaptation in fish behaviour*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Miller R, Jearld A. 1982 Behavior and phylogeny of fishes of the genus *Colisa* and the family Belontiidae. *Behaviour* 83, 155–185. (doi:10.1163/ 156853982X00076)
- Wimmer RB. 1970 An ethological study of the moonlight gourami, *Trichogaster microlepis* (Gunther), p. 306. PhD thesis, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK.
- Wiley D, Ware C, Bocconcelli A. 2011 Underwater components of humpback whale bubble-net feeding behaviour. *Behaviour* 148, 575–602. (doi:10.1163/000579511X570893)
- Gregory MR, Ballance PF, Gibson GW, Ayling AM. 1979 On how some rays (Elasmobranchia) excavate feeding depressions by jetting water. *J. Sediment. Petrol.* 49, 1125–1129.
- 29. Thorpe WH. 1964 Learning and instinct in animals, 2nd edn. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 30. Lane FW. 1960 *Kingdom of the octopus: the life history of the Cephalopoda*. London, UK: Jarrold.
- Boycott BB. 1954 Learning in Octopus vulgaris and other cephalopods. Mar. Ecol. P S Z N / 25, 67–93.
- Kuba M, Byrne R, Burghardt G. 2010 A new method for studying problem solving and tool use in stingrays (*Potamotrygon castexi*). *Anim. Cogn.* 13, 507-513. (doi:10.1007/s10071-009-0301-5)
- Fricke HW. 1975 Lösen einfacher Probleme bei einem Fisch (Freiwasserversuche an *Balistes fuscus*).
 Z. Tierpsychol. 38, 18–33. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.1975.tb01989.x)
- Sasko DE, Dean MN, Motta PJ, Hueter RE. 2006 Prey capture behavior and kinematics of the Atlantic cownose ray, *Rhinoptera bonasus. Zoology* **109**, 171–181. (doi:10.1016/j.zool.2005.12.005)
- Paśko Ł. 2010 Tool-like behavior in the sixbar wrasse, *Thalassoma hardwicke* (Bennett, 1830). *Zoo Biol.* 29, 767–773. (doi:10.1002/zoo.20307)
- Dill L. 1977 Refraction and the spitting behavior of the archerfish (*Toxotes chatareus*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 2, 169-184. (doi:10.1007/BF00361900)
- Schuster S, Rossel S, Schmidtmann A. 2004 Archer fish learn to compensate for complex optical distortions to determine the absolute size of their aerial prey. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 1565–1568. (doi:10. 1016/j.cub.2004.08.050)
- Kramer D. 1973 Parental behaviour in the blue gourami *Trichogaster trichopterus* (Pisces, Belontiidae) and its induction during exposure to varying numbers of conspecific eggs. *Behaviour* 47, 14-32. (doi:10.1163/156853973X00256)
- Vierke J. 1975 Beiträge zur Ethologie und Phylogenie der Familie Belontiidae (Anabantoidei, Pisces). *Z. Tierpsychol.* 38, 163–199.
- Torres LG, Read AJ. 2009 Where to catch a fish? The influence of foraging tactics on the ecology of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in Florida Bay, Florida. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 25, 797–815. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2009.00297.x)

- Lewis JS, Schroeder WW. 2003 Mud plume feeding, a unique foraging behavior of the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Florida Keys. *Gulf Mex. Sci.* 21, 92–97.
- Mather JA. 1992 Interactions of juvenile Octopus vulgaris with scavenging and territorial fishes. Mar. Freshw. Behav. Physiol. 19, 175–182.
- Dews P. 1959 Some observations on an operant in the octopus. J. Exp. Anal. Behav. 2, 57–63.
- Fisher E. 1939 Habits of the southern sea otter. J. Mammal 20, 21–36.
- Pitman R, Durban J. 2012 Cooperative hunting behavior, prey selectivity and prey handling by pack ice killer whales (*Orcinus orca*), type B, in Antarctic Peninsula waters. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 28, 16–36. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00453.x)
- 46. Motta PJ, Huber DR. 2012 Prey capture behavior and feeding mechanics of elasmobranchs. In *Biology* of sharks and their relatives (eds JC Carrier, JA Musick, MR Heithaus), pp. 153–210. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor and Francis.
- Blumer LS. 1982 A bibliography and categorization of bony fishes exhibiting parental care. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **75**, 1–22. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.1982.tb01939.x)
- Guinot D, Doumenc D, Chintiroglou CC. 1995 A review of the carrying behaviour in brachyuran crabs, with additional information on the symbioses with sea anemones. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 43, 377–416.
- Barlow G. 1974 Contrasts in social behavior between Central American cichlid fishes and coral-reef surgeon fishes. *Am. Zool.* 34, 9–34. (doi:10.1093/ icb/14.1.9)
- 50. Armbrust W. 1958 Haltung und Zucht von Hoplosternum thoracatum. DATZ **11**, 97-100.
- 51. Ross D. 1971 Protection of hermit crabs (*Dardanus* spp.) from octopus by commensal sea anemones (*Calliactis* spp.). *Nature* **230**, 401–402.
- 52. Dix T. 1970 Covering response of the echinoid *Evechinus chloroticus* (Val.). *Pac. Sci.* **24**, 187–194.
- Wicksten MK. 1979 Decorating behavior in *Loxorhynchus* crispatus Stimpson and *Loxorhynchus grandis* Stimpson (Brachyura, Majidae). *Crustaceana* 5(Suppl.), 37–46. (http://www.jstor.org/stable/25027481)
- Mastro E. 1981 Algal preferences for decoration by the californian kelp crab, *Pugettia producta* (Randall). *Crustaceana* 41, 64–70.
- Woods C, Page M. 1999 Sponge masking and related preferences in the spider crab *Thacanophrys filholi* (Brachyura: Majidae). *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 50, 135–143.
- Gamble JC. 1969 Some observations on the behaviour of two regular echinoids. In Symp. Und. Assoc. Malta, London, 29 October 1965 (eds JN Lythgoe, JD Woods), pp. 47–50. Brighton, UK: Underwater Association.
- Wicksten MK. 1993 A review and a model of decorating behavior in spider crabs (Decapoda, Brachyura, Majidae). *Crustaceana* 64, 314–325. (doi:10.1163/156854093X00667)
- Levin LA, Gooday AJ, James DW. 2001 Dressing up for the deep: agglutinated protists adorn an irregular urchin. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 81, 881-882. (doi:10.1017/S0025315401004738)

- Berg C. 1975 Behavior and ecology of conch (superfamily Strombacea) on a deep subtidal algal plain. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 25, 307–317.
- Martin AR, Da Silva VMF, Rothery P. 2008 Object carrying as socio-sexual display in an aquatic mammal. *Biol. Lett.* 4, 243-245. (doi:10.1098/rsbl. 2008.0067)
- Keenleyside MHA, Prince CE. 1976 Spawning-site selection in relation to parental care of eggs in *Aequidens paraguayensis* (Pisces: Cichlidae). *Can. J. Zool.* 54, 2135–2139. (doi:10.1139/z76-247)
- Smith BD, Thant UH, Lwin JM, Shaw CD. 1998 Investigation of cetaceans in the Ayeyarwady River and northern coastal waters of Myanmar. *Asian Mar. Biol.* 14, 173–194.
- Stacey P, Hvenegaard G. 2002 Habitat use and behaviour of Irrawaddy dolphins (*Orcaella brevirostris*) in the Mekong River of Laos. *Aquat. Mamm.* 28.1, 1–13.
- Saayman GS, Tayler CK. 1979 The socioecology of humpback dolphins (*Sousa* sp.). In *Behaviour of marine animals* (eds HE Winn, BL Olla), pp. 165– 226. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Parra GJ. 2007 Observations of an Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin carrying a sponge: object play or tool use? *Mammalia* **71**, 147–149. (doi:10.1515/ MAMM.2007.019)
- Weldon P, Hoffman D. 1975 Unique form of toolusing in two gastropod molluscs (Trochidae). *Nature* 256, 720–721. (doi:10.1038/256720a0)
- Mann J, Sargeant BL. 2003 Like mother, like calf: the ontogeny of foraging traditions in wild Indian Ocean bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.). In *The biology of traditions: models and evidence* (eds D Fragaszy, S Perry), pp. 236–266. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Howard JD, Mayou TV, Heard RW. 1977 Biogenic sedimentary structures formed by rays. J. Sediment. Petrol. 47, 339–346.
- Perry JA. 2012 Variation in the frequency of tool use across and within sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*) populations, p. 55. MS Thesis, Department of Biology, University of Californa Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA.
- 70. Brown C. 2012 Tool use in fishes. *Fish Fish (Oxf.)* 13, 105-115. (doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2011. 00451.x)
- Hain JHW, Carter GR, Kraus SD, Mayo CA, Winn HE. 1982 Feeding behavior of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in the western North Atlantic. *Fish. Bull.* (*Wash. DC*) **80**, 259–268.
- Patterson EM, Mann J. 2011 The ecological conditions that favor tool use and innovation in wild bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.). *PLoS ONE* 6, e22243. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022243)
- Tyne JA, Loneragan NR, Kopps AM, Allen SJ, Krützen M, Bejder L. 2012 Ecological characteristics contribute to sponge distribution and tool use in bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops* sp. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 444, 143–153. (doi:10.3354/meps09410)
- 74. Patterson EM. 2012 Ecological and life history factors influence habitat and tool use in wild bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.), p. 170. PhD

thesis, Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC.

- Sargeant BL, Wirsing AJ, Heithaus MR, Mann J. 2007 Can environmental heterogeneity explain individual foraging variation in wild bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.)? *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 61, 679–688. (doi:10.1007/s00265-006-0296-8)
- Mann J, Smuts B. 1999 Behavioral development in wild bottlenose dolphin newborns (*Tursiops* sp.). *Behaviour* 136, 529–566.
- Allen S, Bejder L, Krützen M. 2010 Why do Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) carry conch shells (*Turbinella* sp.) in Shark Bay, Western Australia? *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 27, 449–454. (doi:10. 1111/j.1748-7692.2010.00409.x)
- Macellini S, Maranesi M, Bonini L, Simone L, Rozzi S, Ferrari PF, Fogassi L. 2012 Individual and social learning processes involved in the acquisition and generalization of tool use in macaques. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 367, 24–36. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2011.0125)
- Tebbich S, Taborsky M, Fessl B, Blomqvist D. 2001 Do woodpecker finches acquire tool-use by social learning? *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 268, 2189–2193. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1738)
- Troscianko J, von Bayern AMP, Chappell J, Rutz C, Martin GR. 2012 Extreme binocular vision and a straight bill facilitate tool use in New Caledonian crows. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1110. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms2111)
- Marzke MW. 2013 Tool making, hand morphology and fossil hominins. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120414. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0414)
- Smith HM. 1936 The archer fish. *Nat. Hist.* 38, 2–11.
- Kenyon KW. 1975 *The sea otter in the eastern Pacific Ocean*. Washington DC: U.S. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.
- Gowlett JAJ. 2013 Elongation as a factor in artefacts of humans and other animals: an Acheulean example in comparative context. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20130114. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0114)
- Teschke I, Wascher CAF, Scriba MF, von Bayern AMP, Huml V, Siemers B, Tebbich S. 2013 Did tool-use evolve with enhanced physical cognitive abilities? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120418. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2012.0418)
- Meulman E, Sanz C. 2012 The role of terrestriality in promoting primate technology. *Evol. Anthropol.* 68, 58-68. (doi:10.1002/evan.21304)
- Sanz CM, Morgan DB. 2013 Ecological and social correlates of chimpanzee tool use. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120416. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2012.0416)
- Minkiewicz R. 1909 The instinct of self-concealment and the choice of colors in the Crustacea. *Annu. Rep. Board Regents Smithson. Inst.* 0, 465–485.
- Schuster S, Wöhl S, Griebsch M, Klostermeier I. 2006 Animal cognition: how archer fish learn to down rapidly moving targets? *Curr. Biol.* 16, 378–383. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.12.037)
- Mather JA. 1994 'Home' choice and modification by juvenile Octopus vulgaris (Mollusca: Cephalopoda):

specialized intelligence and tool use? *J. Zool.* **233**, 359-368. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1994.tb05270.)

- Tinker MT, Mangel M, Estes JA. 2009 Learning to be different: acquired skills, social learning, frequency dependence, and environmental variation can cause behaviourally mediated foraging specializations. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **11**, 841–869. (doi:10.1.1.158.1420)
- Estes JA, Riedman ML, Staedler MM, Tinker MT, Lyon BE. 2003 Individual variation in prey selection by sea otters: patterns, causes and implications. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 72, 144–155. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00690.x)
- Meulman EJM, Seed AM, Mann J. 2013 If at first you don't succeed... Studies of ontogeny shed light on the cognitive demands of habitual tool use. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20130050. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2013.0050)
- Sargeant BL, Mann J. 2009 Developmental evidence for foraging traditions in wild bottlenose dolphins. *Anim. Behav.* **78**, 715–721. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav. 2009.05.037)
- Tsai Y-JJ, Mann J. 2013 Dispersal, philopatry, and the role of fission – fusion dynamics in bottlenose dolphins. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 29, 261–279. (doi:10. 1111/j.1748-7692.2011.00559)
- Bacher K, Allen SJ, Lindholm AK, Bejder L, Krützen M. 2010 Genes or culture: are mitochondrial genes associated with tool use in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.)? *Behav. Genet.* 40, 706–714. (doi:10. 1007/s10519-010-9375-8)
- Kopps AM, Sherwin WB. 2012 Modelling the emergence and stability of a vertically transmitted cultural trait in bottlenose dolphins. *Anim. Behav.* 84, 1347–1362. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.08.029)
- Lefebvre L, Nicolakakis N, Boire D. 2002 Tools and brains in birds. *Behaviour* **139**, 939–973. (doi:10. 1163/156853902320387918)
- van Schaik CP, Deaner RO, Merrill MY. 1999 The conditions for tool use in primates: implications for the evolution of material culture. *J. Hum. Evol.* 36, 719–741. (doi:10.1006/jhev.1999.0304)
- Haslam M. 2013 'Captivity bias' in animal tool use and its implications for the evolution of hominin technology. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120421. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0421)
- 101. Hunt GR. 2000 Human-like, population-level specialization in the manufacture of pandanus tools by New Caledonian crows *Corvus moneduloides*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 267, 403–413. (doi:10.1098/ rspb.2000.1015)
- Carvalho S, Biro D, McGrew W. 2009 Tool-composite reuse in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*): archaeologically invisible steps in the technological evolution of early hominins? *Anim. Cogn.* **12**, S103–S114. (doi:10.1007/s10071-009-0271-7)
- Whiten A, van Schaik CP. 2007 The evolution of animal 'cultures' and social intelligence. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 362, 603–620. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2006.1998)
- Mann J, Stanton MA, Patterson EM, Bienenstock EJ, Singh LO. 2012 Social networks reveal cultural behaviour in tool-using dolphins. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 980. (doi:10.1038/ncomms1983)

- 105. Heinrich B. 1993 The hot-blooded insects: strategies and mechanisms of thermoregulation. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 106. Yang M, Radloff S, Tan K, Hepburn R. 2009 Antipredator fan-blowing in guard bees, *Apis mellifera capensis* Esch. *J. Insect Behav.* 23, 12–18. (doi:10. 1007/s10905-009-9191-2)
- Bolnick DI, Svanbäck R, Fordyce JA, Yang LH, Davis JM, Hulsey CD, Forister ML. 2003 The ecology of individuals: incidence and implications of individual specialization. *Am. Nat.* 161, 1–28. (doi:10.1086/343878)
- Sargeant BL. 2007 Individual foraging specialization: niche width versus niche overlap. *Oikos* **116**, 1431–1437. (doi:10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15833.x)
- 109. Araújo MS, Bolnick DI, Layman CA. 2011 The ecological causes of individual specialisation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 948–958. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011. 01662.x)
- 110. Lonsdorf EV. 2005 Sex differences in the development of termite-fishing skills in the wild chimpanzees, *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*, of Gombe National Park, Tanzania. *Anim. Behav.* **70**, 673–683. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.12.014)
- 111. Yamakoshi G. 1998 Dietary responses to fruit scarcity of wild chimpanzees at Bossou, Guinea: possible implications for ecological importance of tool use. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **106**, 283–295. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199807)106:3 < 283:: AID-AJPA2 > 3.0.C0;2-0)
- Humle T, Matsuzawa T. 2009 Laterality in hand use across four tool-use behaviors among the wild chimpanzees of Bossou, Guinea, West Africa. *Am. J. Primatol.* **71**, 40–48. (doi:10.1002/ajp.20616)
- 113. Spagnoletti N, Visalberghi E, Verderane MP, Ottoni E, Izar P, Fragaszy D. 2012 Stone tool use in wild bearded capuchin monkeys, *Cebus libidinosus*. Is it a strategy to overcome food scarcity? *Anim. Behav.* 83, 1285–1294. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.03.002)
- 114. Fragaszy DM, Biro D, Eshchar Y, Humle T, Izar P, Resende B, Visalberghi E. 2013 The fourth

dimension of tool use: temporally enduring artefacts aid primates learning to use tools. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **368**, 20120410. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2012.0410)

- Tinker MT, Bentall G, Estes JA. 2008 Food limitation leads to behavioral diversification and dietary specialization in sea otters. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 560–565. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0709263105)
- 116. Tinker MT, Guimarães PR, Novak M, Marquitti FMD, Bodkin JL, Staedler M, Bentall G, Estes JA. 2012 Structure and mechanism of diet specialisation: testing models of individual variation in resource use with sea otters. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 475–483. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01760.x)
- 117. Watson-Capps JJ. 2005 Female mating behavior in the context of sexual coercion and female ranging behavior of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) in Shark Bay, Western Australia, p. 195. PhD thesis, Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC.
- van Schaik CP, Pradhan GR. 2003 A model for tool-use traditions in primates: implications for the coevolution of culture and cognition. *J. Hum. Evol.* 44, 645–664. (doi:10.1016/S0047-2484 (03)00041-1)
- 119. Chappell J, Cutting N, Apperly IA, Beck SR. 2013 The development of tool manufacture in humans: what helps young children make innovative tools? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120409. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2012.0409)
- 120. Biro D, Inoue-Nakamura N, Tonooka R, Yamakoshi G, Sousa C, Matsuzawa T. 2003 Cultural innovation and transmission of tool use in wild chimpanzees: evidence from field experiments. *Anim. Cogn.* 6, 213–223. (doi:10.1007/s10071-003-0183-x)
- 121. Williams T, Friedl W, Fong M, Yamada R, Sedivy P, Haun J. 1992 Travel at low energetic cost by swimming and wave-riding bottlenose dolphins. *Nature* 355, 821–823. (doi:10.1038/355821a0)
- 122. Luncz LV, Mundry R, Boesch C. 2012 Evidence for cultural differences between neighboring

chimpanzee communities. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 922–996. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.031)

- Gruber T, Clay Z, Zuberbühler K. 2010 A comparison of bonobo and chimpanzee tool use: evidence for a female bias in the *Pan* lineage. *Anim. Behav.* 80, 1023–1033. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.09.005)
- Pruetz JD, Bertolani P. 2007 Savanna chimpanzees, Pan troglodytes verus, hunt with tools. Curr. Biol.
 17, 412–417. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.042)
- 125. Moura AC, de A, Lee PC. 2010 Wild capuchins show male-biased feeding tool use. *Int. J. Primatol.* **31**, 457–470. (doi:10.1007/s10764-010-9406-6)
- 126. Spagnoletti N, Visalberghi E, Ottoni E, Izar P, Fragaszy D. 2011 Stone tool use by adult wild bearded capuchin monkeys (*Cebus libidinosus*). Frequency, efficiency and tool selectivity. *J. Hum. Evol.* **61**, 97– 107. (doi:10.1016/j.jhevol.2011.02.010)
- 127. Sargeant BL, Mann J, Berggren P, Krützen M. 2005 Specialization and development of beach hunting, a rare foraging behavior, by wild bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.). *Can. J. Zool.* **83**, 1400–1410. (doi:10. 1139/Z05-136)
- 128. Randic S, Connor RC, Sherwin WB, Krützen M. 2012 A novel mammalian social structure in Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.): complex male alliances in an open social network. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279, 3083–3090. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2012.0264)
- Connor RC, Smolker R, Bejder L. 2006 Synchrony, social behaviour and alliance affiliation in Indian Ocean bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops aduncus. Anim. Behav.* 72, 1371–1378. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav. 2006.03.014)
- Jameson R. 1989 Movements, home range, and territories of male sea otters off central California. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 5, 159–172. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.1989.tb00330.x)
- Marino L. 1998 A comparison of encephalization between odontocete cetaceans and anthropoid primates. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **1998**, 230–238. (doi:10.1159/000006540)