Quantitative Assessment of Transcriptome Differences Between Brain Territories

Michel de Chaldée,¹ Marie-Claude Gaillard,¹ Nicolas Bizat,² Jean-Marie Buhler,¹ Olivier Manzoni,³ Joël Bockaert,³ Philippe Hantraye,² Emmanuel Brouillet,² and Jean-Marc Elalouf1,4

¹ Service de Biochimie et de Génétique Moléculaire, Département de Biologie Joliot-Curie, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique *(CEA) Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France; ² Unite´ de Recherche Associe´e CEA—Centre National de la Recherche* Scientifique 2210, Service Hospitalier Frédéric Joliot, Département de Recherche Médicale, Orsay, France;

Transcriptome analysis of mammalian brain structures is a potentially powerful approach in addressing the diversity of cerebral functions. Here, we used a microassay for serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) to generate quantitative mRNA expression profiles of normal adult mouse striatum, nucleus accumbens, and somatosensory cortex. Comparison of these profiles revealed 135 transcripts heterogeneously distributed in the brain. Among them, a majority (78), although matching a registered sequence, are novel regional markers. To improve the anatomical resolution of our analysis, we performed in situ hybridization and observed unique expression patterns in discrete brain regions for a number of candidates. We assessed the distribution of the new markers in peripheral tissues using quantitative RT–PCR, Northern hybridization, and published SAGE data. In most cases, expression was higher in the brain than in peripheral tissues. Because the markers were selected according to their expression level, without reference to prior knowledge, our studies provide an unbiased, comprehensive molecular signature for various mammalian brain structures that can be used to investigate their plasticity under a variety of circumstances.

[Supplemental material is available online at www.genome.org. SAGE data will be available at GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession nos. GSM4871, GSM4873, GSM4874, GSM4875 and GSM4876, which correspond to the whole brain, lateral striatum, medial striatum, nucleus accumbens and somatosensory cortex raw SAGE data.]

The mammalian brain is subdivided into distinct territories defined by anatomical and functional characteristics. A unique gene expression pattern in each brain region is expected to convey a large part of its functional features. Great progress in the understanding of cerebral functions will therefore be achieved by deciphering brain transcriptomes. Comparison of expression patterns between different brain structures was first undertaken on a modest number of genes by use of subtractive hybridization (Usui et al. 1994), and differential mRNA display (Nagano et al. 1998; Mizushima et al. 2000). In contrast, global approaches currently allow largescale comparative analyses. So far, they have been used mainly to detect molecular alterations in neurodegenerative (Luthi-Carter et al. 2000; Napolitano et al. 2002) and neuropsychiatric (Mirnics et al. 2000; Sun et al. 2001) conditions. Curiously, relatively few studies have addressed the regional diversity of gene expression within the normal adult brain (Sandberg et al. 2000; Pavlidis and Noble 2001; Siu et al. 2001; Zirlinger et al. 2001; Bonaventure et al. 2002), and many regions remain to be explored.

In the present work, we used a microadaptation (Virlon et al. 1999) of the serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE)

³ Present address: CNRS Unité Propre de Recherche (UPR) 9023, **Montpellier, France.**

4Corresponding author. E-MAIL elalouf@dsvidf.cea.fr; FAX 33-1-69-08-47-12.

Article and publication are at http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/ gr.1173403.

method (Velculescu et al. 1995) to determine mRNA expression profiles in adult mouse whole brain, striatum, nucleus accumbens, and somatosensory cortex. SAGE provides permanent, potentially exhaustive and quantitative data, and makes possible the discovery of new genes (Velculescu et al. 1995). Among a total of 35,732 distinct transcripts, 135 are differentially expressed from one brain region to another. We further analyzed a sample of these transcripts, and studied their distribution within the brain and in peripheral tissues using a combination of in situ hybridization, real-time quantitative RT–PCR, and Northern hybridization. The majority of the differentially expressed transcripts are novel regional markers and provide molecular tools to study the physiology of different brain structures.

RESULTS

Brain Transcriptomes

The SAGE method relies on the sequencing of 10-nucleotide tags isolated from a defined region of each polyadenylated transcript. Tag abundance provides a quantitative measure of mRNA level, and high throughput is achieved by concatenating several tags into a single DNA molecule. We generated 5 SAGE libraries, from which we sequenced 118,622 tags, 26,053 from the whole brain, 22,401 from the lateral striatum, 23,648 from the medial striatum, 21,960 from the somatosensory cortex, and 24,560 from the nucleus accumbens.

We detected a total of 35,732 different tags, corresponding to an average number of 11,178 tags in each structure.

In all of the regions investigated and in the whole brain, we observed a high expression level of the mitochondrial genome, reflecting the energy demand of the neural tissue; 15.9% of the tags sequenced in the entire project are derived from mitochondrial transcripts. The 50 most prevalent tags of nuclear origin reach abundances ranging from 0.5% to 0.1% of the tags sequenced (Supplemental Table A, available online at www.genome.org). This list of tags corresponds to transcripts encoding both brain-specific and ubiquitous proteins. Overall, the identity of the most abundant transcripts agrees with previous data published for the mouse brain (Chrast et al. 2000). The regional marker showing the highest expression level, namely the striatum-specific phosphodiesterase 1B $(Ca^{2+}$ -calmodulin-dependent, 63 kD), is the 48th most abundant nuclear transcript in the project.

Region-Specific Transcripts

We identified transcripts specifically expressed in each brain region through a two-step procedure. First, we considered only the tags significantly more frequent in a given library than in the whole brain library (Monte-Carlo simulations; *P* < 0.05). Then, to further guarantee biological relevance and regional specificity, we selected the tags exhibiting a fivefold enrichment as compared with two libraries. According to these criteria, we detected 35 to 45 markers in each region investigated (Table 1). The total number of markers was 135, due to overlapping between libraries. Among the 125 markers matching registered cDNAs or ESTs, we considered that 78 are novel, as the literature provides no clue as to their abundance in the region in which we found them. Of these 78 novel markers, 48 have no demonstrated function in the brain.

As an illustration, the most abundant striatal markers are displayed in Table 2. Some have been described specifically as striatum enriched, for example, phosphodiesterase 1B, Ca2+ calmodulin-dependent, 63 kD (Polli and Kincaid 1994), proenkephalin (Tang et al. 1983), cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein, 21 kD (Ehrlich and Greengard 1991), striatumspecific G-protein coupled receptor 88 (Mizushima et al. 2000), striatum-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase, 61 kD (Sharma et al. 1995), Ras homolog enriched in striatum (Usui et al. 1994; Falk et al. 1999), preprotachykinin A (Goedert and Hunt 1987), dopamine and cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein, 32 kD (Kurihara et al. 1988), regulator of G-protein signaling 9, isoform 2 (Rahman et al. 1999), adenylyl cyclase 5 (Mons and Cooper 1994), G-protein, 7 subunit (Watson et al. 1994), and dopamine receptor 2 (Bunzow et al. 1988). Expression in the striatum has also been reported for calcineurin catalytic subunit, α isoform (Polli et al. 1991), Kv channelinteracting protein 2 (An et al. 2000), focal adhesion kinase 2 (Xiong et al. 1998), synaptoporin (Ovtscharoff et al. 1993),

hippocalcin (Grant et al. 1996), and $Ca²⁺$ -independent receptor of α -latrotoxin (Krasnoperov et al. 1997). The ability to detect such known markers validates our selection procedure. In addition, comparison with SAGE data from mouse kidney and total embryo revealed that most of the markers are not significantly expressed in either tissue, suggesting that they might be brain restricted. A noticeable exception concerns dopamine and cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein 32 kD, also present in the kidney (Meister et al. 1989). Strikingly, a number of striatum-enriched transcripts take part in information processing. They encode neuropeptides (proenkephalin, preprotachykinin A), receptors (G-protein coupled receptor 88, dopamine receptor 2 , Ca^{2+} -independent receptor of --latrotoxin), or proteins involved in signal transduction (phosphodiesterase 1B Ca2+-calmodulin-dependent 63 kD, cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein 21 kD, calcineurin, striatum-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase 61 kD, Rap1 GT-Pase-activating protein, Ras homolog enriched in striatum, dopamine and cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein 32 kD, regulator of G-protein signaling 9 isoform 2, adenylyl cyclase 5, G-protein γ 7 subunit). Transcripts specific to the other brain regions investigated are displayed in Supplemental Table B.

Expression Pattern of Novel Markers

We further analyzed the regional specificity of novel markers by in situ hybridization using radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes (Fig. 1) and real-time quantitative RT–PCR (Fig. 2). Hybridization patterns and RT–PCR results were consistent with the SAGE data for 26 of the 42 novel markers that we tested. Particularly good agreement was observed for 20 of them, shown in bold in Table 2 and Supplemental Table B. Incompleteness of the cDNA databases, resulting in tag misassignment, may account for some of the nonvalidated candidates. Expression levels of the confirmed markers ranged from high to moderate. For instance, we could validate the regional specificity of cortical marker CxM42, which we found only five times among the 21,960 tags sequenced in the somatosensory cortex library. In situ hybridization provided additional information on the distribution of the markers within the brain. In particular, it allowed intra-regional heterogeneity to be observed: CxM3 and CxM11 are expressed mostly in cortical layer VI, and CxM20 is absent from cortical layer V. Hybridization, especially to sagittal brain sections, also provided a means of exploring cerebral structures not investigated by SAGE. For instance, nucleus accumbens marker AcM7 is also a relevant marker of the cingulate cortex, and CxM20 is expressed in the hippocampus and the thalamus. Using a probe against CxM3, we observed an intense and specific staining in the hippocampus (CA1, part of CA3, and dentate gyrus) and the granular layer of the cerebellar cortex, in addition to the cortex and the thalamus.

Table 1. Number of Markers Detected in Each Brain Region

Table 2. Most Abundant Striatal Markers

Markers are displayed in decreasing order of the corresponding tag count in both striatal libraries, from *n* = 93 to *n* = 10. These 10 nucleotide tags are located immediately downstream the most 3' S*au*3AI site of each polyadenylated transcript. Novel markers validated by in situ hybridization or real-time quantitative RT–PCR are shown in bold. Fulfillment of the criteria for region specificity is indicated by an asterisk. Data on mouse kidney and embryo are from Virlon et al. (1999) and Zakin et al. (2000).

^aMore than one tag matched the same gene.
^bPutative identification, not supported by in situ hybridizaton or quantitative RT–PCR.

1648 Genome Research

www.genome.org

Figure 1 Expression of new regional markers in the brain visualized by in situ hybridization. (*A*) A probe against proenkephalin messenger (*left*) was used as a positive control. A mutated proenkephalin probe with preserved thermodynamic characteristics (*right*) provided a negative control. (*B–H*) In situ hybridizations to coronal (*left*) and sagittal (*right*) sections are shown for StM3 (*B*), StM6 (*C*), StM23 (*D*), AcM7 (*E*), CxM3 (*F*), CxM11 (*G*), and CxM20 (*H*). (Ac) Nucleus accumbens; (Cb) cerebellum; (Cg) cingulate cortex; (Cx) cortex; (Hp) hippocampus; (Ot) olfactory tubercles; (St) striatum; (Th) thalamus.

Most of the validated markers match either recently identified mRNAs without known function (striatal markers StM11, StM23, StM26, StM39, and markers AcM8, CxM11,

CxM20, CxM27, CxM34, CxM42, CxM45), or ESTs (StM3, StM6, StM20, CxM3, CxM26). Mu-crystallin (AcM7) was characterized initially in marsupials as a major lens protein (Kim et al. 1992). It has been identified as an NADP-regulated thyroid hormone-binding protein in the kidney (Vié et al. 1997), but its role in the brain remains unknown. Chemokine CCL27 (CxM33) has two splice variants. One is chiefly expressed in the skin and codes for a secreted protein that may act as a chemoattractant for cutaneous T-cells. The other is expressed mostly in testis and brain. It lacks a signal peptide, and its function has not been determined yet (Baird et al. 1999).

We then studied the tissue distribution of the validated markers. Data were available from the literature for a number of them (Isobe et al. 1991; Yoshida et al. 1995; Lee et al. 2000; Yayoshi-Yamamoto et al. 2000; Perera et al. 2001; Sugita et al. 2002). For the remaining markers, we used Northern hybridization (Fig. 3) and real-time quantitative RT–PCR on total brain and peripheral tissues. We systematically performed Northern hybridizations for ESTs (StM3, StM6, StM20, CxM3, CxM26) to gain information on the size of the transcripts and any splice variants. Although the markers were selected for their heterogeneous distribution within the brain, we could detect a signal in total brain mRNA extracts in all cases. Except for StM3, which proved to be more abundant in the skeletal muscle, expression was stronger in the brain than in peripheral tissues.

DISCUSSION

Using a microadaptation of the SAGE method, we found an average of 40 region-specific transcripts in each of 4 territories of the mouse brain. We set the depth of our analysis to detect the most abundant transcripts, and we selected and sorted the regional markers on the basis of their expression level. Each marker fulfills two criteria. First, its frequency is higher in one brain region than in the whole brain. Different tests have been developed to perform such comparisons, but they have been shown to give equivalent results (Ruijter et al. 2002). Second, each marker is five times more frequent in one library than in any two others. This enrichment criterion is essential to discard ubiquitous transcripts. Because of their regional specificity and relative abundance, the markers that we found may be functionally significant for the regions investigated. Furthermore, their combination can be considered as a molecular signature of a given structure, both allowing its identification and providing a standard for future comparisons. However, because tag identification remains a critical step in the SAGE method, experimental validations are recommended before planning functional studies. Here, we provided confirmatory experiments for 20 novel markers, which are now ready to undergo further characterization.

Because our selection procedure does not rely on previous knowledge, it is not surprising that many markers that we detected were so far unknown as such. The proportion of new markers is highest in the nucleus accumbens and lowest in the lateral striatum, reflecting extensive study of this structure. The lateral and medial parts of the striatum share 17 markers in common, in agreement with the lack of a clear functional specialization of striatal subregions. With only six markers shared with the striatum, the nucleus accumbens displays a more distinct molecular profile. We observed a reliable difference between both parts of the striatum for the ventromedial marker AcM7, which is expressed in the nucleus ac-

Figure 2 Expression of StM26, CxM26, and CxM42 within the brain and in peripheral tissues assessed using real-time quantitative RT–PCR. mRNA levels were calculated relative to the tissue sample in which expression is maximum (i.e., the striatum for StM26, and the somatosensory cortex for CxM26 and CxM42). For each tissue, the same cDNA sample was used to study the expression of the different markers. (S. cortex) Somatosensory cortex.

cumbens and the medial striatum, but not significantly in the lateral striatum. This expression pattern is reminiscent of calbindin distribution (Gerfen et al. 1987). Known markers that we detected in the somatosensory cortex, such as oxysterolbinding protein-related protein 1 (Laitinen et al. 1999) and olfactomedin 1 (Nagano et al. 1998), are also expressed in other parts of the cortex. Likewise, in situ hybridization experiments did not reveal transcripts restricted to the somatosensory area. Future comparison to other cortical regions will be needed to highlight such area-specific markers. For this purpose, we could rely on the classical divisions of the brain, and generate libraries, for instance, from the motor and cingulate cortices. This approach is supported by recent microarray analyses of the amygdala (Zirlinger et al. 2001) and the hippocampus (Zhao et al. 2001), which provided a molecular confirmation for the anatomical boundaries between the subregions of each structure. The alternative is to divide the brain into cubes, and build an unbiased expression map from the expression profile of each cube. The relevance of this strategy was demonstrated recently (Brown et al. 2002).

Transcriptome analysis allowed us to identify a large number of mRNAs expressed predominantly in different brain regions. Some of them display a very specific expression

profile, and may therefore serve as markers for a variety of applications. For instance, the expression level of StM3 is comparable with that of proenkephalin, but unlike proenkephalin, it is absent from the nucleus accumbens. Such highly discriminative markers are most interesting for cell typing or purification. Novel markers may also shed new light on the functions of the cerebral regions in which they are expressed. In particular, screening their expression levels under various pathophysiological conditions should allow the characterization of a unique set of constitutively expressed and regulated genes, and thus provide appropriate diagnostic or prognostic candidates.

METHODS

Tissue Samples

Experiments were performed on 8–12-week-old male C57BL/6 mice (Iffa Credo). After anesthesia (sodium pentobarbital, 140 µg/g of body weight), the animals were decapitated and the brain was removed. The somatosensory cortex and the striatum were scalpel dissected from 1-mm brain sections cut in a coronal matrix (Pelco International). In each hemisphere, the striatum was divided into a lateral and a medial part. A mouse brain atlas (Franklin and Paxinos 1997) was used to delimit the somatosensory area of the cortex. The nucleus accumbens was punched from 1-mm parasagittal brain sections using 1.1–1.2-mm internal diameter glass capillaries (Propper Manufacturing Co. Inc.).

SAGE Libraries

Approximately 15 mg of each structure, sampled from three to seven animals, were homogenized in a 1-mL Dounce containing 600 µL Lysis and Binding Buffer from Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT kit (Dynal Biotech) supplemented with 60 µg glycogen. For the whole brain, an amount of lysate corresponding to 60 mg of fresh tissue was used. Poly(A) mRNAs were purified directly through hybridization to oligo(dT)₂₅ covalently bound to magnetic beads. SAGE libraries were then constructed as described by Virlon et al. (1999), using *Sau*3A I as anchoring enzyme. Sequencing reactions were carried out on DNA minipreps, either in our laboratory or by Genome Express, using automated DNA sequencers.

Data Analysis

Sequence files were all checked to control for base calling, then analyzed using SAGE 2000 software. Tags corresponding to linker sequences were discarded, and duplicate ditags were counted only once (Velculescu et al. 1995). Region specificity was conferred by a two-step procedure. In each library, the tags that were significantly more frequent than in the wholebrain library were selected (*P* < 0.05 using Monte-Carlo simulations). Then, only the tags that were at least five times more frequent than in any other two libraries were retained (when a tag was missing from a library, the default tag count was set to one). Tag identification was performed using SAGEmap resource (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SAGE/), and BLASTN algorithm (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Multiple tags matching the same gene were mentioned (e.g., StM5), unless they discriminate between proven splice variants (e.g., CxM43). Non-neuronal references were provided by SAGE libraries generated from mouse 6.5 days post coitum whole embryos (Zakin et al. 2000) (24,271 tags) and adult mouse kidney (27,003 tags) (Virlon et al. 1999).

In Situ Hybridization

Brain fixation was performed by intraventricular perfusion of 15 mL of a 4% paraformaldehyde solution. After removal, brains were fixed for 3 h more at 4°C, and cryoprotection was

Figure 3 Tissue distribution of novel markers assessed using Northern hybridization. The size of the hybridizing fragments is shown on the *left*.

achieved after two nights in a 20% sucrose solution at 4°C. Brains were frozen in isopentane at -60° C, and 20-µm sections were cut in a cryostat and mounted onto SuperFrost Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser). After thawing, sections were postfixed for 5 min in a 4% paraformaldehyde solution at $\overline{4}^{\circ}C$, then rinsed twice in $1 \times$ PBS for 15 min at 4°C. Dehydration was

achieved through a series of ethanol baths of increasing concentration, followed by air drying. Sections were then overlaid with the hybridization mix, containing 50% Rapid-hyb buffer (Amersham Biosciences), 40% formamide, 0.5 µg/µL poly(A), 100 mM DTT, and 100 fmole/ μ L of 3'-end $\left[\right]$ ³⁵S $\left|\right|$ dATP antisense oligonucleotide probe. Probe size ranged from 32 to 37 nucleotides. Thermoresistant parafilm or HybriWell hybridization chambers (Grace Bio-Labs) were used to prevent evaporation. Hybridization was carried out overnight at 48°C in a humid chamber or at 53°C in an SM30 device (Grant Boekel). Slides were submitted to conventional β -autoradiography. The list of probes used for in situ and Northern hybridizations is available in Supplemental Table C.

Real-Time Quantitative RT–PCR

Total RNAs were extracted from mouse brain structures and mouse tissues using either a standard guanidium thiocyanatebased method or Trizol LS reagent (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative RT–PCR was performed in triplicate using 0.5% of random-primed cDNAs generated from 200 ng of total RNA. Targets were amplified in a 25-µL reaction volume containing 400 nM of sense and antisense primers (see Suppl. Table C), $0.1 \times$ SYBR Green I (BMA), and Platinum quantitative PCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen). PCR, carried out in an iCycler (BioRad), consisted of a uracil DNA glycosylase activation step (55°C, 5 min), followed by a denaturation step (95°C, 5 min), and 40 amplification cycles (95°C, 20 sec; 60°C, 1 min). The amplification rate of each target (range, 1.91– 2.00) was evaluated from the cycle threshold (Ct) numbers obtained for serial cDNA dilutions. For a given target, differences among tissue samples were calculated using the amplification rate and the Ct difference, then expressed as relative mRNA level. RT–PCR conditions were considered appropriate only if a large difference (\geq 7 Ct, corresponding to \geq 100-fold difference in initial DNA amounts) was obtained for reactions carried out in the absence and presence of reverse transcriptase, and if agarose gel electrophoresis of the PCR product revealed a single band of the expected size.

Northern Blotting

Five micrograms of poly(A)⁺ RNAs purified using oligo(dT)₂₅ paramagnetic beads (Dynal Biotech) were denaturated in a glyoxal-dimethylsulfoxide solution, electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel, then transferred onto a Hybond N^+ nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences). Final concentrations of the hybridization buffer were $5 \times$ SSC, 0.2% SDS, $5 \times$ Denhardt's, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 10% Dextran, 100 µg/mL poly(A), 200 µg/mL salmon testis DNA, and 4.10^6 cpm/mL (250 fmole/mL) of 3'-end $\left[\frac{32P}{dATP}\right]$ antisense oligonucleotide probe. Hybridization was carried out overnight at 50°C. The membrane was washed at 60°C down to $0.5 \times$ SSC, 0.05% SDS prior to autoradiography.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ms. E. Billon and Mrs. V. Berthonaud for DNA sequencing, Mr. L. Wiart and Dr. M.-H. Montané for their contribution in generating two libraries, and Mrs. F. Conde´ for histological analysis. We also wish to thank Dr. A. Sentenac for his constant enthusiasm about this work.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

REFERENCES

An, W.F., Bowlby, M.R., Betty, M., Cao, J., Ling, H.-P., Mendoza, G., Hinson, J.W., Mattsson, K.I., Strassle, B.W., Trimmer, J.S., et al. 2000. Modulation of A-type potassium channels by a family of calcium sensors. *Nature* **403:** 553–556.

Baird, J.W., Nibbs, R.J.B., Komai-Koma, M., Connolly, J.A., Ottersbach, K., Clark-Lewis, I., Liew, F.Y., and Graham, G.J. 1999. ESkine, a novel β -chemokine, is differentially spliced to produce secretable and nuclear targeted isoforms. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274:** 33496–33503.

Bonaventure, P., Guo, H., Tian, B., Liu, X., Bittner, A., Roland, B., Salunga, R., Ma, X.-J., Kamme, F., Meurers, B., et al. 2002. Nuclei and subnuclei gene expression profiling in mammalian brain. *Brain Res.* **943:** 38–47.

Brown, V.M., Ossadtchi, A., Khan, A.H., Cherry, S.R., Leahy, R.M., and Smith, D.J. 2002. High-throughput imaging of brain gene expression. *Genome Res.* **12:** 244–254.

Bunzow, J.R., Van Tol, H.H., Grandy, D.K., Albert, P., Salon, J., Christie, M., Machida, C.A., Neve, K.A., and Civelli, O. 1988. Cloning and expression of a rat D2 dopamine receptor cDNA. *Nature* **336:** 783–787.

Chrast, R., Scott, H.S., Papasavvas, M.P., Rossier, C., Antonarakis, E.S., Barras, C., Davisson, M.T., Schmidt, C., Estivill, X., Dierssen, M., et al. 2000. The mouse brain transcriptome by SAGE: Differences in gene expression between P30 brains of the partial trisomy 16 mouse model of Down syndrome (Ts65Dn) and normals. *Genome Res.* **10:** 2006–2021.

Ehrlich, M.E. and Greengard, P. 1991. Characterization of rat

ARPP-21 mRNA: Sequence analysis, tissue distribution, and
regulation. *J. Neurochem.* **57:** 1985–1991.
Falk, J.D., Vargiu, P., Foye, P.E., Usui, H., Perez, J., Danielson, P.E.,
Lerner, D.L., Bernal, J., and Sutcliffe, J.G. striatal-specific Ras homolog related to Dexras1. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **57:** 782–788.

Franklin, K.B.J. and Paxinos, G. 1997. *The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

Gerfen, C.R., Baimbridge, K.G., and Thibault, J. 1987. The neostriatal mosaic: III. Biochemical and developmental dissociation of patch-matrix mesostriatal systems. *J. Neurosci.* **7:** 3935–3944.

Goedert, M. and Hunt, S.P. 1987. The cellular localization of preprotachykinin A messenger RNA in the bovine nervous system. *Neuroscience* **22:** 983–992.

Grant, A.L., Jones, A., Thomas, K.L., and Wisden, W. 1996. Characterization of the rat hippocalcin gene: the 5' flanking region directs expression to the hippocampus. *Neuroscience* **75:** 1099–1115.

Isobe, T., Ichimura, T., Sunaya, T., Okuyama, T., Takahashi, N., Kuwano, R., and Takahashi, Y. 1991. Distinct forms of the protein kinase-dependent activator of tyrosine and tryptophan hydroxylases. *J. Mol. Biol.* **217:** 125–132.

Kim, R.Y., Gasser, R., and Wistow, G.J. 1992. µ-crystallin is a mammalian homologue of *Agrobacterium* ornithine cyclodeaminase and is expressed in human retina. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89:** 9292–9296.

Krasnoperov, V.G., Bittner, M.A., Beavis, R., Kuang, Y., Salnikow, K.V., Chepurny, O.G., Little, A.R., Plotnikov, A.N., Wu, D., Holz, $R.W.,$ et al. 1997. α -latrotoxin stimulates exocytosis by the interaction with a neuronal G-protein coupled receptor. *Neuron* **18:** 925–937.

Kurihara, T., Lewis, R.M., Eisler, J., and Greengard, P. 1988. Cloning of cDNA for DARPP-32, a dopamine- and cyclic AMP-regulated neuronal phosphoprotein. *J. Neurosci.* **8:** 508–517.

Laitinen, S., Olkkonen, V.M., Ehnholm, C., and Ikonen, E. 1999. Family of human oxysterol binding protein (OSBP) homologues: A novel member implicated in brain sterol metabolism. *J. Lipid Res.* **40:** 2204–2211.

Lee, D.-S., Tomita, S., Kirino, Y., and Suzuki, T. 2000. Regulation of X11L-dependent amyloid precursor protein metabolism by XB51,

a novel X11L-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275:** 23134–23138. Luthi-Carter, R., Strand, A., Peters, N.L., Solano, S.M., Hollingsworth, Z.R., Menon, A.S., Frey, A.S., Spektor, B.S., Penney, E.B., Schilling, G., et al. 2000. Decreased expression of striatal signaling genes in a mouse model of Huntington's disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9:** 1259–1271.

Meister, B., Fryckstedt, J., Schalling, M., Cortés, R., Hökfelt, T.,
Aperia, A., Hemmings Jr., H.C., Nairn, A.C., Ehrlich, M., and Greengard, P. 1989. Dopamine- and cAMP-regulated
phosphoprotein (DARPP-32) and dopamine DA₁ agonist-sensitive Na⁺ ,K+ -ATPase in renal tubule cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **86:** 8068–8072.

Mirnics, K., Middleton, F.A., Marquez, A., Lewis, D.A., and Levitt, P. 2000. Molecular characterization of schizophrenia viewed by microarray analysis of gene expression in prefrontal cortex. *Neuron* **28:** 53–67.

Mizushima, K., Miyamoto, Y., Tsukahara, F., Hirai, M., Sakaki, Y.,

and Ito, T. 2000. A novel G-protein coupled receptor gene expressed in striatum. *Genomics* **69:** 314–321.

Mons, N. and Cooper, D.M.F. 1994. Selective expression of one Ca2+-inhibitable adenylyl cyclase in dopaminergically innervated rat brain regions. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* **22:** 236–244.

Nagano, T., Nakamura, A., Mori, Y., Maeda, M., Takami, T., Shiosaka, S., Takagi, H., and Sato, M. 1998. Differentially expressed olfactomedin-related glycoproteins (pancortins) in the brain. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* **53:** 13–23.

- Napolitano, M., Centonze, D., Calce, A., Picconi, B., Spiezia, S., Gulino, A., Bernardi, G., and Calabresi, P. 2002. Experimental parkinsonism modulates multiple genes involved in the transduction of dopaminergic signals in the striatum. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **10:** 387–395.
- Ovtscharoff, W., Bergmann, M., Marqueze-Pouey, B., Knaus, P., Betz, H., Grabs, D., Reisert, I., and Gratzl, M. 1993. Ontogeny of synaptophysin and synaptoporin in the central nervous system: Differential expression in striatal neurons and their afferents

during development. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* **72:** 219–225. Pavlidis, P. and Noble, W.S. 2001. Analysis of strain and regional variation in gene expression in mouse brain. *Genome Biol.* **2:** Research0042.

Perera, E.M., Martin, H., Seeherunvong, T., Kos, L., Hughes, I.A.,
Hawkins, J.R., and Berkovitz, G.D. 2001. Tescalcin, a novel gene
encoding a putative EF-hand Ca²⁺-binding protein, Col9a3, and renin are expressed in the mouse testis during the early stages of gonadal differentiation. *Endocrinology* **142:** 455–463.

Polli, J.W. and Kincaid, R.L. 1994. Expression of a calmodulin-dependent phosphodiesterase isoform (PDE1B1) correlates with brain regions having extensive dopaminergic innervation. *J. Neurosci.* **14:** 1251–1261.

Polli, J.W., Billingsley, M.L., and Kincaid, R.L. 1991. Expression of the calmodulin-dependent protein phosphatase, calcineurin, in rat brain: Developmental patterns and the role of nigrostriatal innervation. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* **63:** 105–119.

Rahman, Z., Gold, S.J., Potenza, M.N., Cowan, C.W., Ni, Y.G., He, W., Wensel, T.G., and Nestler, E.J. 1999. Cloning and characterization of RGS9-2: A striatal-enriched alternatively spliced product of the RGS9 gene. *J. Neurosci.* **19:** 2016–2026.

Ruijter, J.M., van Kampen, A.H., and Baas, F. 2002. Statistical evaluation of SAGE libraries: Consequences for experimental design. *Physiol Genomics* **11:** 37–44.

Sandberg, R., Yasuda, R., Pankratz, D.G., Carter, T.A., Del Rio, J.A., Wodicka, L., Mayford, M., Lockhart, D.J., and Barlow, C. 2000. Regional and strain-specific gene expression mapping in the adult mouse brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97:** 11038–11043.

Sharma, E., Zhao, F., Bult, A., and Lombroso, P.J. 1995. Identification of two alternatively spliced transcripts of STEP: A subfamily of brain-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatases. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* **32:** 87–93.

Siu, I.-M., Lal, A., and Riggins, G.J. 2001. A database for regional gene expression in the human brain. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **1:** 33–38.

Sugita, S., Ho, A., and Sudhof, T.C. 2002. NECABs: A family of neuronal Ca²⁺-binding proteins with an unusual domain structure and a restricted expression pattern. *Neuroscience* **112:** 51–63.

Sun, Y., Zhang, L., Johnston, N.L., Torrey, E.F., and Yolken, R.H. 2001. Serial analysis of gene expression in the frontal cortex of patients with bipolar disorder. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **178:** s137–s141.

Tang, F., Costa, E., and Schwartz, J.P. 1983. Increase of proenkephalin mRNA and enkephalin content of rat striatum after daily injection of haloperidol for 2 to 3 weeks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **80:** 3841–3844.

Usui, H., Falk, J.D., Dopazo, A., de Lecea, L., Erlander, M.G., and Sutcliffe, J.G. 1994. Isolation of clones of rat striatum-specific mRNAs by directional tag PCR subtraction. *J. Neurosci.* **14:** 4915–4926.

Velculescu, V.E., Zhang, L., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. 1995. Serial analysis of gene expression. *Science* **270:** 484–487.

Vié, M.-P., Evrard, C., Osty, J., Breton-Gilet, A., Blanchet, P.,
Pomérance, M., Rouget, P., Francon, J., and Blondeau, J.-P. 1997.
Purification, molecular cloning, and functional expression of the
human nicodinamide-adeni thyroid hormone-binding protein. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **11:** 1728–1736.

Virlon, B., Cheval, L., Buhler, J.-M., Billon, E., Doucet, A., and Elalouf, J.-M. 1999. Serial microanalysis of renal transcriptomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci./ITL* **96:** 15286–15291.

Watson, J.B., Coulter, P.M.N., Margulies, J.E., de Lecea, L.,

Danielson, P.E., Erlander, M.G., and Sutcliffe, J.G. 1994. G-protein γ 7 subunit is selectively expressed in medium-sized neurons and dendrites of the rat neostriatum. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **39:** 108–116.

- Xiong, W.-C., Macklem, M., and Parsons, J.T. 1998. Expression and characterization of splice variants of PYK2, a focal adhesion kinase-related protein. *J. Cell Sci.* **111:** 1981–1991.
- Yayoshi-Yamamoto, S., Taniuchi, I., and Watanabe, T. 2000. FRL, a novel formin-related protein, binds to Rac and regulates cell motility and survival of macrophages. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20:** 6872–6881.
- Yoshida, Y., Kojima, N., Kurosawa, N., Hamamoto, T., and Tsuji, S. 1995. Molecular cloning of Siaα2,3Galβ1,4GlcNAc -2,8-sialyltransferase from mouse brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270:** 14628–14633.
- Zakin, L., Reversade, B., Virlon, B., Rusniok, C., Glaser, P., Elalouf, J.-M., and Brulet, P. 2000. Gene expression profiles in normal and *Otx2*-/- early gastrulating mouse embryos. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97:** 14388–14393.
- Zhao, X., Lein, E.S., He, A., Smith, S.C., Aston, C., and Gage, F.H. 2001. Transcriptional profiling reveals strict boundaries between hippocampal subregions. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **441:** 187–196.
- Zirlinger, M., Kreiman, G., and Anderson, D.J. 2001. Amygdala-enriched genes identified by microarray technology are restricted to specific amygdaloid subnuclei. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98:** 5270–5275.

WEB SITE REFERENCES

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/; National Center for
- Biotechnology Information. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SAGE/; National Center for Biotechnology Information.

Received January 15, 2003; accepted in revised form March 26, 2003.