

## **NIH Public Access**

**Author Manuscript** 

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Cancer Res. 2014 June 1; 20(11): 3033-3043. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3140.

### KRAS codon 12 and 13 mutations in relation to disease-free survival in BRAF-wild type stage III colon cancers from an adjuvant chemotherapy trial (N0147 Alliance)

Harry H. Yoon<sup>1</sup>, David Tougeron<sup>1</sup>, Qian Shi<sup>2</sup>, Steven R. Alberts<sup>1</sup>, Michelle R. Mahoney<sup>2</sup>, Garth D. Nelson<sup>2</sup>, Suresh G. Nair<sup>3</sup>, Stephen N. Thibodeau<sup>1</sup>, Richard M. Goldberg<sup>4</sup>, Daniel J. Sargent<sup>2</sup>, and Frank A. Sinicrope<sup>1</sup> for the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology <sup>1</sup>Mayo Clinic Rochester, Rochester, MN 55905.

<sup>2</sup>Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905

<sup>3</sup>Lehigh Valley Hospital, Allentown, PA 18103

<sup>4</sup>Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210

#### Abstract

**Purpose**—We examined the prognostic impact of specific *KRAS* mutations in stage III colon adenocarcinoma patients receiving adjuvant FOLFOX alone or combined with cetuximab in a phase III trial (N0147). Analysis was restricted to BRAF-wild type tumors, since BRAF mutation was associated with poor prognosis, and BRAF and KRAS mutations are mutually exclusive.

**Experimental Design**—The seven most common *KRAS* mutations in codon 12 and codon 13 were examined in 2,478 BRAF-wild type tumors. Because KRAS mutations in codon 12 (n=779) or 13 (n=220) were not predictive of adjuvant cetuximab benefit, study arms were pooled for analysis. Disease-free survival (DFS) was evaluated by hazard ratios (HR) using Cox models.

**Results**—*KRAS* mutations in codon 12 (multivariate HR 1.52; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.28–1.80; P<.0001) or codon 13 (multivariate HR 1.36; 95% CI 1.04–1.77; P=.0248) were significantly associated with shorter DFS compared to patients with wild type KRAS/BRAF tumors, independent of covariates. KRAS codon 12 mutations were independently associated with proficient mismatch repair (P<.0001), proximal tumor site (P<.0001), low grade, age, and sex, whereas codon 13 mutations were associated with proximal site (P<.0001).

**Conclusion**—*KRAS* mutations in either codon 12 or 13 are associated with inferior survival in patients with resected stage III colon cancer. These data highlight the importance of accurate molecular characterization and the significant role of KRAS mutations in both codons in the progression of this malignancy in the adjuvant setting.

#### Keywords

KRAS; colon cancer; prognosis; BRAF; survival

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR Frank A. Sinicrope Mayo Clinic 200 First Street SW Rochester, MN 55905 Phone: (507) 284-4857 Fax: (507) 284-1803 sinicrope.frank@mayo.edu.

#### INTRODUCTION

KRAS is a small G protein that acts as a transducer in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway (1). Approximately 40% of colorectal cancers (CRCs) harbor activating mutations in *KRAS*, making it the most commonly mutated gene in the RAS/RAF/ MAPK pathway. *KRAS* mutations are believed to be an early event in colorectal tumorigenesis and lead to constitutive signaling and downstream activation of MAPK- and PI3K-dependent pathways. Most (90%) *KRAS* mutations occur in codons 12 and 13 in the phosphate-binding loop of KRAS (1), and mutations in either codon possess transforming capacity (2, 3). *In vitro* evidence indicates that *KRAS* codon 12 mutations have greater transforming ability characterized by inhibition of apoptosis, enhanced loss of contact inhibition, and increased predisposition to anchorage-independent growth when compared with codon 13 mutations (2-4). The glycine-to-aspartate transition (p.G13D) is the most frequent codon 13 mutation in CRC. *In vitro* and mouse model data have showed that, although p.G12V-mutated CRC were insensitive to cetuximab, p.G13D-mutated cells were sensitive, as were *KRAS* wild type cells (5).

Whereas the ability of most KRAS mutations to predict resistance to anti-EGFR therapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer is widely accepted, including recommendations for KRAS testing in metastatic disease (6), the prognostic impact of KRAS mutations including in stage III disease is uncertain (7-10). Codon 12 mutations have been associated with adverse prognosis in aggregate colorectal cancer populations of diverse disease stages (11, 12). However, recent data suggest that KRAS codon 13 mutations may not represent an aggressive phenotype or confer resistance to anti-EGFR therapy compared to wild type. In metastatic CRC, codon 13 (p.G13D) mutation, in contrast to those in codon 12, was associated with sensitivity to anti-EGFR therapy that was similar to wild type (5, 13), though the literature is inconsistent (14). Furthermore, recent population-based data suggest that patients with KRAS codon 13 mutations may have similarly favorable prognosis as those with KRAS wild type (11). No study to date has demonstrated that KRAS codon 13 mutations are significantly associated with worse patient survival in patients with non-metastatic colon cancer (5, 11-19). Data from randomized clinical trials are summarized in Table 1. These findings suggest that KRAS codon 13 mutations may not be biologically important in the progression of CRC and question the clinical relevance of analyzing these mutations routinely.

Few studies examining the prognostic impact of specific *KRAS* mutations in CRC have controlled for *BRAF* mutation as a confounder. However, the most rigorous approach to isolate the prognostic impact of *KRAS* is to restrict analysis to *BRAF*-wild type tumors, given that *BRAF* and *KRAS* mutations are mutually exclusive (6) and that *BRAF* mutations are associated with adverse prognosis (7, 18, 20-24). It is also important to account for DNA mismatch repair (MMR) status, since the subset of CRCs with deficient MMR (dMMR) and microsatellite instability (MSI) have a relatively low rate of *KRAS* mutations as compared to proficient MMR (pMMR) and microsatellite stable tumors (25).

In this report, we determined the association of the seven most common *KRAS* mutations in codon 12 and 13 with disease-free survival (DFS) in prospectively collected, stage III colon adenocarcinomas from participants of a phase III trial (N0147). Patients were randomized to adjuvant 5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin, and leucovorin (mFOLFOX6) alone or combined with cetuximab, and the addition of cetuximab to FOLFOX failed to improve DFS overall or in patients with wild type *KRAS* tumors (26). The current prognostic analysis was restricted to patients whose tumors were wild type for *BRAF*. In this cohort, we previously reported that *KRAS* (all codons combined) or *BRAF* mutations were each associated with shorter DFS (25). In the current study, we examined *KRAS* mutations in codons 12 and 13 separately, with a focus on determining whether codon 13 mutations are prognostic. Our findings indicate that *KRAS* mutations in both codon 12 and 13 confer a worse prognosis in stage III colon cancers.

#### METHODS

#### Study Population

Subjects with completely resected, stage III colon adenocarcinoma ( $T_{any}N_{1-2}M_0$ ) participated in a phase III randomized trial (North Central Cancer Treatment Group [NCCTG] N0147; 2004 to 2009) of adjuvant mFOLFOX6 alone or combined with cetuximab, which was previously described (26). Prospective and centralized *KRAS* mutation testing was required, although randomization was done irrespective of *KRAS* status in the original trial design. In August 2008, the trial was amended to restrict randomization to patients with *KRAS*-wild type tumors based upon data demonstrating the predictive utility of *KRAS* for anti-EGFR antibody therapy (26). Post-amendment, eligible patients with *KRAS*-mutated tumors (n=332) were treated at investigator discretion (97% received FOLFOX) and followed for disease recurrence. To avoid selection bias, the current analysis includes all randomized study patients and those with *KRAS*-mutated tumors who enrolled post-amendment (n=3,018 total). Tissues were prospectively collected and required for study participation. Central pathology review was performed. Proximal tumor site included the cecum, ascending and transverse colon; distal site included the splenic flexure, descending and sigmoid colon.

Patients initiated chemotherapy within 10 weeks of surgery. After completing protocolspecified treatment, disease recurrence was assessed every 6 months until 5 years postrandomization with a physical examination, computed tomographic scan, and laboratory assessment. Follow-up colonoscopy was recommended at years 1 and 4 post-resection.

The study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the NCCTG (now part of Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology). Patients signed an IRB-approved consent.

#### KRAS and BRAF mutation

Assessment of *KRAS* and *BRAF* (NCBI Entrez Gene 673) mutational status was performed centrally at the Mayo Clinic in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)-compliant laboratory, using appropriate quality control procedures. Both *KRAS* and *BRAF* 

mutation status was determined using DNA extracted from macrodissected formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue.

For *KRAS*, testing was performed with the DxS mutation test kit KR-03/04 (DxS), together with the Light-Cycler 480 (Roche Applied Sciences), which assesses for 7 missense point mutations: six mutations in codons 12 (c.35G>C [p.G12A, GGT>GCT], c.34G>C [p.G12R, GGT>CGT], c.35G>A [p.G12D, GGT>GAT], c.34G>T [p.G12C, GGT>TGT], c.34G>A [p.G12S, GGT>AGT], and c.35G>T [p.G12V, GGT>GTT] and one mutation in codon 13 (c.38G>A [p.G13D, GGC>GAC]). The level of detection was set at 5%. Assessment for the *BRAF* c.1799T>A (p.V600E) mutation was performed using a multiplex allele specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–based assay. The polymerase chain reaction primers used for this assay were fluorescently labeled and included the following (wild type forward NEDTGATTTTGGTCATGCTACAGT]; mutant forward [6-Fam-

CAGTGATTTTGGTCTAGCTTCAGA]; and reverse

[GTTTCTTTCTAGTAACTCAGCAGC]). Following amplification, PCR products were analyzed on an ABI 3130×l instrument (Life Technologies, Applied Biosystems) and scored for the presence or absence of the V600E variant only.

#### **DNA Mismatch Repair Proteins**

MMR protein (MLH1, MSH2 and MSH6) expression was analyzed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor sections using an immunoperoxidase method (27). Monoclonal antibodies included mouse anti-human MLH1 (clone G168-15, Biocare Medical, Concord, CA), anti-human MSH2 (clone FE11, Biocare Medical, Concord, CA), and anti-human MSH6 (clone BC/44; Biocare Medical, Concord, CA). MMR protein loss was defined as the absence of nuclear staining in tumor cells in the presence of positive nuclear staining in normal colonic epithelium and lymphocytes. Tumors were classified as MMR-deficient (*vs* MMR-proficient) if loss of one or more MMR proteins was detected.

#### Statistical Methods

Our primary objective was to compare survival among patients carrying any mutation in codon 12, mutated codon 13, and wild type KRAS. The primary clinical endpoint was DFS, and a secondary endpoint was time to recurrence (TTR). DFS was defined as the time from randomization to first documented recurrence or any-cause death, whichever occurred first. TTR was defined as the time from randomization to first documented recurrence. Survival was evaluated by hazard ratios (HR) using Cox models. Kaplan-Meier methods were used to describe the distributions of DFS and TTR, which were censored at 5 years after randomization. Multivariable Cox models were adjusted for age, gender, T stage, N stage, number of examined nodes, histologic grade, performance status, primary tumor site, mismatch repair status, and treatment. Analysis of KRAS mutations included analysis of codon 12 mutations grouped together and codon 13, as well as each mutation individually. Interactions between KRAS mutation and treatment were assessed. All analyses were based on the study database frozen on Sept. 4, 2012. Two-sided P values, with values <.05 considered statistically significant, and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC). Data collection and statistical analyses were conducted by the Alliance Statistics and Data Center.

#### RESULTS

#### KRAS mutations in colon cancer

The study population comprises patients with completely resected stage III colon cancer (n=3018) who received adjuvant FOLFOX-based chemotherapy in a North American phase III clinical trial (N0147) (Figure 1). *KRAS* and *BRAF* data were available in 93.5% (2822/3018) of patients. Tumors with both *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations (n=1) or *KRAS* mutation in both codon 12 and 13 (n=1) were excluded.

Figure 2a shows the frequencies and types of *KRAS* mutations, which are consistent with prior reports (28), and the corresponding predicted amino acid sequence alterations. *KRAS* codon 12 or 13 (c.38G>A [p.G13D]) mutations were detected in 35.4% (999/2822) of tumors, with 27.6% in codon 12 and 7.8% in codon 13. Within codon 12, most (82%) mutations occurred in the second base position, and the frequency of transversions (G>C, G>T) and transitions (G>A) were similar (45% and 55%, respectively). *BRAF* mutation occurred in 12.2% (344/2822) (Fig 2a).

#### KRAS mutations and clinicopathologic characteristics

Table 2 summarizes the baseline clinicopathologic characteristics of study subjects according to *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status. Compared to wild type, *KRAS* mutations were significantly associated with older age and female sex, primarily due to mutations in codon 12, and did not differ by T stage or number of positive nodes. Compared to *KRAS* wild type, codon 12 and 13 mutations were each associated with proximal (*vs* distal) tumor site within the colon (P<.0001). Codon 12 and 13 mutations were associated with low and high grade histology, respectively, in primary tumors.

A low frequency of *KRAS* mutations was detected in dMMR compared to pMMR tumors (14% [45/318] *vs* 38% [944/2464]; Table 2). Mutations in codon 12 were significantly less frequent in dMMR tumors compared to wild type (3% *vs* 8%; P<.0001; Table 2), and this low frequency was observed across codon 12 mutations (Figure 2b). Deficient MMR showed a strong inverse association with *KRAS* codon 12 mutation (OR 0.28; 95% CI 0.18– 0.44; P<.0001), independent of covariates (Table S1). However, the frequency of dMMR was similar in *KRAS* codon 13 mutations and *KRAS/BRAF*-wild type (9% *vs* 8%; P=.7338; Table 2).

Proximal tumor site, older age, female sex, and low grade were each significantly associated with *KRAS* codon 12 mutation independent of covariates (all P values <.030; Table S1). By contrast, only proximal site (P<.0001) showed an independent association with *KRAS* codon 13 mutation compared to *KRAS/BRAF*-wild type (Table S1).

Similar to *KRAS* mutations, *BRAF* mutation was associated with older age, female sex, proximal site, and dMMR; and unlike *KRAS*, *BRAF* mutation was also associated with higher T and N stage, and higher histologic grade (Table 2), as previously reported (25).

#### KRAS mutation and patient survival in BRAF-wild type cases

To remove the confounding effect of *BRAF* mutation on the prognostic impact of *KRAS* mutation, we analyzed *BRAF*-wild type tumors only (n=2478) when examining patient survival and compared *KRAS*-mutated/*BRAF*-wild type cases with *KRAS*-wild type/*BRAF*-wild type cases (Fig. 1). Among the 687 DFS events, there were 353 deaths during a median follow-up of 43.2 (interquartile range, 31.0–55.3) months and 616 TTR events during a median follow-up of 42.4 (interquartile range, 30.4–55.0) months for censored cases.

As shown in Figure 3a and Table 3 (top panel), patient tumors with *KRAS* codon 13 mutations experienced shorter DFS (univariate HR 1.46; 95% CI 1.13–1.89; P=.0035; multivariate HR 1.36; 95% CI 1.04–1.77; P=.0248), compared with those that were wild type for *KRAS* and *BRAF*, independent of clinicopathologic variables and MMR status. *KRAS* codon 12 mutation was also significantly associated with worse DFS (univariate HR 1.50; 95% CI 1.28–1.76; P<.0001; multivariate HR 1.52; 95% CI 1.28–1.80; P<.0001), compared with patients whose tumors were wild type for *KRAS* and *BRAF*. Results were similar when the full cohort was analyzed adjusting for *BRAF* mutation (codon 13, multivariate HR 1.334 [95% CI 1.003, 1.773], P=.0474; codon 12, multivariate HR 1.584 [95% CI 1.328, 1.890], P<.0001). When TTR was analyzed as the outcome variable in the *BRAF*-wild type subgroup (Figure 3b), results were consistent both for codon 13 (univariate HR 1.46; 95% CI 1.11–1.92; P=.0064; multivariate HR 1.34; 95% CI 1.01–1.78; P=.0446) and for codon 12 (univariate 1.59; 95% CI 1.34–1.88; P<.0001; multivariate HR 1.60; 95% CI 1.34–1.91; P<.0001).

Individual *KRAS* mutations within codon 12 were also examined in relation to patient survival (Table 3, bottom panel). Each mutation was associated with worse DFS compared to *KRAS/BRAF*-wild type (all HR point estimates >1). Five of the 6 *KRAS* codon 12 mutations (c.34G>A [p.G12D], c.35G>T [p.G12V], c.34G>T [p.G12C], c.35G>C [p.G12A], c.34G>C [p.G12R]) demonstrated a statistically significant association with worse DFS in univariate and multivariate analysis. Results were consistent when TTR was analyzed as the outcome (data not shown).

In an exploratory analysis, we examined the prognostic association of *KRAS* codon 12 or 13 mutations (*vs* wild type) among *BRAF*-wild type tumors within various strata, including tumor site, N stage, and MMR status. No significant modifying effect by these variables was observed (all *P* interaction >.18).

The predictive value of *KRAS* status for cetuximab benefit was determined among patients that enrolled prior to August 2008, when both *KRAS*-mutated and -wild type patients were randomized to chemotherapy with or without cetuximab (see *Methods*). *KRAS* codon 12 or 13 mutations were not associated with differential DFS among treatment arms (any *KRAS* mutation *vs* wild type,  $P_{interaction} = .988$ ; codon 12 *vs* codon 13 *KRAS* mutations *vs* wild type,  $P_{interaction} = .628$ ; Figure S1). Individual mutations within codon 12 were also not predictive of cetuximab benefit (Figure S1).

#### DISCUSSION

We analyzed the frequency of KRAS codon 12 and 13 mutations in prospectively collected stage III colon cancers from a clinical trial of adjuvant chemotherapy. KRAS mutations were detected in 35.4% (999/2822) of tumors, with 27.6% detected in codon 12 and 7.8% in codon 13 (c.38G>A [p.G13D]). The specific KRAS mutations identified and their relative frequencies are consistent with other studies across tumor stages (28). We also determined the association of KRAS codon 12 and 13 mutations with clinicopathologic variables and survival. The study arms were combined for analysis since the addition of cetuximab to FOLFOX trial did not improve outcome in the parent trial, and no interaction between treatment and KRAS mutation status was observed. We restricted prognostic analysis to BRAF-wild type tumors so as to control for the confounding effect of BRAF c.1799T>A mutations. We found that KRAS mutations in codons 12 or 13 (c.38G>A) were each significantly associated with worse DFS compared with KRAS-wild type/BRAF-wild type cases. Specifically, patients whose tumors carried KRAS codon 12 or 13 mutations experienced a 52% or 36% higher relative risk, respectively, of colon cancer recurrence or any-cause death that was independent of clinicopathological variables or MMR status. Results were similar when TTR was used as the outcome variable. We emphasize that only the c.38G>A mutation was analyzed in codon 13, whereas multiple mutations within codon 12 were found that showed a consistent association with adverse outcome.

To our knowledge, our data are the first to demonstrate that KRAS codon 13 (c.38G>A) mutations adversely impact survival in non-metastatic colon cancer. In both a populationbased cohort and a meta-analysis using individual patient data of stage I to IV CRCs, codon 13 mutations were not prognostic, in contrast to codon 12 mutations (11, 12). In smaller studies examining CRCs of metastatic or mixed stage, non-significant trends were reported between codon 13 mutations and worse prognosis (13, 15, 17, 29). Furthermore, a study of 160 CRCs of varying tumor stages and treatments found that KRAS codon 13, but not codon 12, mutations were associated with higher S-phase fractions, increased nodal metastases, and adverse outcome compared to wild type (16). A Swedish population-based study of 525 CRCs reported that individuals with KRAS codon 13 (but not codon 12) mutations experienced shorter cancer-specific survival in unadjusted, but not adjusted, analysis (30). Limitations of prior studies include the inconsistent incorporation of patients with BRAF mutations (in the comparison group) and variable patient therapies, which can confound the interpretation of the KRAS prognostic data (31-33). Most prior studies included stage IV patients and had fewer codon 13 mutation patients. Of note, the adverse impact of KRAS codon 13 mutations on survival in our study appeared to be attenuated compared to codon 12 mutations (36% vs 52%, respectively, higher risk of DFS). Consistent with this finding are laboratory data showing that KRAS codon 12 mutations display greater transforming ability, enhanced anchorage-independent growth, and an increased ability to suppress apoptosis when compared with codon 13 mutants (2-4). Computational analysis of the structural implications of KRAS mutations suggests that codon 12 mutation (c.35G>A, p.G12D) may impair hydrolysis of GTP, leaving KRAS in an active GTP-bound state, to a greater degree than codon 13 mutation (c.38G>A, p.G13D) or wild type KRAS (34). In metastatic CRCs codon 13 mutations (p.G13D), but not codon 12 mutations, were associated

with sensitivity to anti-EGFR therapy that was similar to wild type tumors (5, 13), However, cetuximab was ineffective in our study and, therefore, *KRAS* mutations including those in codon 13 did not predict outcomes from adjuvant cetuximab treatment.

Within KRAS codon 12, each of the six individual mutations showed an association with shorter DFS compared to wild type KRAS/BRAF. Although c.35G>A (p.G12D) was most common, four other mutations (c.35G>T [p.G12V], c.34G>C [p.G12R], c.34G>T [p.G12C], c.35G>C [p.G12A]) also demonstrated a significant association with adverse outcome that was independent of covariates and sometimes appeared to be stronger. The c.34G>A [p.G12S] mutation showed the weakest association. Codon 12 RAS mutations encoding valine (p.G12V) or arginine (p.G12R) have been reported to demonstrate stronger transforming ability and a more aggressive tumorigenic phenotype than other codon 12 mutations (35-37) and to be associated with shorter patient survival compared to wild type (11, 12). Interestingly, c.34G>C [p.G12R] demonstrated the strongest association with poor survival in both our study (HR >5 for DFS) and in a population-based cohort (HR > 3 for cancer-specific death), suggesting that this codon 12 mutation is particularly aggressive despite being rare (<1%). Our findings confirm the adverse prognostic impact of c.35G>T (p.G12V) and, consistent with prior studies, suggest that c.34G>C (p.G12R) mutations are also adverse. In addition, our findings suggest the adverse impact of lower frequency mutations within codon 12 (c.34G>T [p.G12C], c.35G>C [p.G12A]) and c.35G>A (p.G12D) that has not been previously reported in non-metastatic colon cancers.

In our study, tumors with KRAS codon 12 mutations had a lower frequency of deficient MMR compared to tumors with codon 13 mutation or wild type, consistent with findings from a smaller report (38). Admittedly, this difference may be related to smaller size of the codon 13 subgroup, yet the frequency of deficient MMR was consistently low across all KRAS codon 12 mutations. In addition, codon 12 mutations were associated with low-grade histology whereas cancers with codon 13 mutations were more likely to show high-grade histology. These findings are consistent with evidence indicating that KRAS mutations may arise in unique molecular and clinical contexts, as the mutational spectrum can depend on the nature of the underlying genetic instability (38, 39). Epidemiologically, colorectal cancers with codon 12 and 13 mutations have been associated with different dietary intake patterns (40, 41). Furthermore, laboratory studies have shown that codon 12 mutations demonstrate increased PI3K pathway activation (2) and a distinct metabolic phenotype that promotes resistance to apoptosis (42) compared to codon 13 mutations. We found that KRAS mutations showed a higher frequency in proximal (vs distal) colon tumors, independent of other variables (43, 44). The distribution of KRAS codon 12 vs 13 mutations did not differ considerably by tumor subsite (data not shown). Proximal colon tumors are more likely than distal tumors to be KRAS-, BRAF-, and hypermutated, hypermethylated, and MMR-deficient (45). The explanation for why KRAS mutations show a predilection for the proximal tumor is unknown except to invoke molecular differences based on midgut and hindgut embryology. As expected, BRAF c.1799T>A mutations were enriched in tumors with dMMR and showed clinicopathologic features in common that included proximal tumor predominance, high-grade histology, older age, and female sex (46). In the N0147 study

cohort and other reports, *BRAF* mutations are associated with shorter patient survival rates (9, 18, 21, 25).

This study is the largest to evaluate the prognostic impact of specific *KRAS* codons 12 and 13 in stage III colon cancer. Other strengths of this study include prospective collection of tissue specimens from a large clinical trial with meticulous collection of survival data. Systemic treatment consisted of a modern chemotherapy regimen (FOLFOX) generalizable to most stage III patients in the world. *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status was determined in a CLIA-certified laboratory. Limitations of the study include the fact that overall survival data have not yet matured; however, the reliability of DFS as a surrogate for OS in a stage III colon cancer population has been demonstrated by our group and others (47). We await biomarker results from PETACC-8, a phase 3 trial of colon cancer patients in which the addition of cetuximab to FOLFOX did not improve DFS or OS (48). We did not examine other less common mutations in *KRAS*, *NRAS*, or *HRAS*; recent data suggest that 17%-18% of patients with metastatic CRC that are wild type for *KRAS* codon 12 or 13 harbor additional *RAS* activating mutations that predict a lack of response to panitumumab (49, 50).

In conclusion, we found that *KRAS* mutations in codon 12 and 13 were each significantly associated with shorter DFS, compared to tumors with wild type *KRAS/BRAF*. In contrast to prior reports, our data establish codon 13 mutations as being adversely associated with outcome in stage III colon cancers. *KRAS* mutations were significantly more frequent in proximal tumors, and codon 12 mutations were less frequent in tumors with deficient *vs* proficient MMR. Our findings support testing for *KRAS* mutations in codons 12 and 13 in stage III colon cancers as these results provide important prognostic information.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT:** Supported by a National Cancer Institute Senior Scientist Award (K05CA-142885 to F.A.S) and the North Central Cancer Treatment Group Biospecimen Resource Grant (CA-114740) from the National Institutes of Health. Support for correlative studies was also provided by unrestricted funds from Bristol-Myers Squibb, ImClone Systems, Sanofi-Aventis, and Pfizer. The study was conducted as a collaborative trial of the North Central Cancer Treatment Group, Mayo Clinic and was supported in part by Public Health Service grants CA-25224 and CA37404 from the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services. The study was also supported, in part, by grants from the National Cancer Institute (CA31946) to the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology and to the Alliance Statistics and Data Center (CA33601). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of the National Cancer Institute or the National Institute of Health.

#### REFERENCES

- Colussi D, Brandi G, Bazzoli F, Ricciardiello L. Molecular pathways involved in colorectal cancer: implications for disease behavior and prevention. Int J Mol Sci. 2013; 14:16365–85. [PubMed: 23965959]
- Guerrero S, Casanova I, Farre L, Mazo A, Capella G, Mangues R. K-ras codon 12 mutation induces higher level of resistance to apoptosis and predisposition to anchorage-independent growth than codon 13 mutation or proto-oncogene overexpression. Cancer Res. 2000; 60:6750–6. [PubMed: 11118062]

- Smith G, Bounds R, Wolf H, Steele RJC, Carey FA, Wolf CR. Activating K-Ras mutations outwith 'hotspot' codons in sporadic colorectal tumours - implications for personalised cancer medicine. Br J Cancer. 2010; 102:693–703. [PubMed: 20147967]
- Guerrero S, Figueras A, Casanova I, Farre L, Lloveras B, Capella G, et al. Codon 12 and codon 13 mutations at the K-ras gene induce different soft tissue sarcoma types in nude mice. FASEB J. 2002; 16:1642–4. [PubMed: 12207005]
- 5. De Roock W, Jonker DJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tu D, Siena S, et al. Association of KRAS p.G13D mutation with outcome in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2010; 304:1812–20. [PubMed: 20978259]
- Febbo PG, Ladanyi M, Aldape KD, De Marzo AM, Hammond ME, Hayes DF, et al. NCCN Task Force report: Evaluating the clinical utility of tumor markers in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2011; 9(Suppl 5):S1–32. quiz S3.
- Richman SD, Seymour MT, Chambers P, Elliott F, Daly CL, Meade AM, et al. KRAS and BRAF Mutations in Advanced Colorectal Cancer Are Associated With Poor Prognosis but Do Not Preclude Benefit From Oxaliplatin or Irinotecan: Results From the MRC FOCUS Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:5931–7. [PubMed: 19884549]
- Ogino S, Meyerhardt JA, Irahara N, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Saltz LB, et al. KRAS Mutation in Stage III Colon Cancer and Clinical Outcome Following Intergroup Trial CALGB 89803. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15:7322–9. [PubMed: 19934290]
- Gavin PG, Colangelo LH, Fumagalli D, Tanaka N, Remillard MY, Yothers G, et al. Mutation profiling and microsatellite instability in stage II and III colon cancer: an assessment of their prognostic and oxaliplatin predictive value. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2012; 18:6531–41. [PubMed: 23045248]
- Hutchins G, Southward K, Handley K, Magill L, Beaumont C, Stahlschmidt J, et al. Value of Mismatch Repair, KRAS, and BRAF Mutations in Predicting Recurrence and Benefits From Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:1261–70. [PubMed: 21383284]
- Imamura Y, Morikawa T, Liao X, Lochhead P, Kuchiba A, Yamauchi M, et al. Specific mutations in KRAS codons 12 and 13, and patient prognosis in 1075 BRAF wild-type colorectal cancers. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2012; 18:4753–63. [PubMed: 22753589]
- Andreyev HJN, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates J, Dix BR, Iacopetta BJ, et al. Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the 'RASCAL II' study. Br J Cancer. 2001; 85:692–6. [PubMed: 11531254]
- Tejpar S, Celik I, Schlichting M, Sartorius U, Bokemeyer C, Van Cutsem E. Association of KRAS G13D Tumor Mutations With Outcome in Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Treated With First-Line Chemotherapy With or Without Cetuximab. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30:3570–7. [PubMed: 22734028]
- Peeters M, Douillard JY, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Zhang K, Williams R, et al. Mutant KRAS Codon 12 and 13 Alleles in Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Assessment As Prognostic and Predictive Biomarkers of Response to Panitumumab. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31:759– 65. [PubMed: 23182985]
- Samowitz WS, Curtin K, Schaffer D, Robertson M, Leppert M, Slattery ML. Relationship of Kiras mutations in colon cancers to tumor location, stage, and survival: A population-based study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2000; 9:1193–7.
- 16. Bazan V, Migliavacca M, Zanna I, Tubiolo C, Grassi N, Latteri MA, et al. Specific codon 13 K-ras mutations are predictive of clinical outcome in colorectal cancer patients, whereas codon 12 K-ras mutations are associated with mucinous histotype. Ann Oncol. 2002; 13:1438–46. [PubMed: 12196370]
- Yokota T, Ura T, Shibata N, Takahari D, Shitara K, Nomura M, et al. BRAF mutation is a powerful prognostic factor in advanced and recurrent colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2011; 104:856–62. [PubMed: 21285991]
- 18. Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, et al. Prognostic Role of KRAS and BRAF in Stage II and III Resected Colon Cancer: Results of the Translational Study on the

PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:466–74. [PubMed: 20008640]

- Zlobec I, Kovac M, Erzberger P, Molinari F, Bihl MP, Rufle A, et al. Combined analysis of specific KRAS mutation, BRAF and microsatellite instability identifies prognostic subgroups of sporadic and hereditary colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer. 2010; 127:2569–75. [PubMed: 20162668]
- 20. Phipps AI, Buchanan DD, Makar KW, Burnett-Hartman AN, Coghill AE, Passarelli MN, et al. BRAF Mutation Status and Survival after Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis According to Patient and Tumor Characteristics. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2012; 21:1792–8.
- 21. Ogino S, Shima K, Meyerhardt JA, McCleary NJ, Ng K, Hollis D, et al. Predictive and Prognostic Roles of BRAF Mutation in Stage III Colon Cancer: Results from Intergroup Trial CALGB 89803. Clin Cancer Res. 2012; 18:890–900. [PubMed: 22147942]
- 22. Samowitz WS, Sweeney C, Herrick J, Albertsen H, Levin TR, Murtaugh MA, et al. Poor survival associated with the BRAF V600E mutation in microsatellite-stable colon cancers. Cancer Res. 2005; 65:6063–9. [PubMed: 16024606]
- 23. Van Cutsem E, Kohne CH, Lang I, Folprecht G, Nowacki MP, Cascinu S, et al. Cetuximab Plus Irinotecan, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin As First-Line Treatment for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Updated Analysis of Overall Survival According to Tumor KRAS and BRAF Mutation Status. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:2011–9. [PubMed: 21502544]
- 24. Price TJ, Hardingham JE, Lee CK, Weickhardt A, Townsend AR, Wrin JW, et al. Impact of KRAS and BRAF Gene Mutation Status on Outcomes From the Phase III AGITG MAX Trial of Capecitabine Alone or in Combination With Bevacizumab and Mitomycin in Advanced Colorectal Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:2675–82. [PubMed: 21646616]
- 25. Sinicrope FA, Mahoney MR, Smyrk TC, Thibodeau SN, Warren RS, Bertagnolli MM, et al. Prognostic Impact of Deficient DNA Mismatch Repair in Patients With Stage III Colon Cancer From a Randomized Trial of FOLFOX-Based Adjuvant Chemotherapy. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2013; 31:3664–72. [PubMed: 24019539]
- 26. Alberts SR, Sargent DJ, Nair S, Mahoney MR, Mooney M, Thibodeau SN, et al. Effect of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin with or without cetuximab on survival among patients with resected stage III colon cancer: a randomized trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2012; 307:1383–93. [PubMed: 22474202]
- Sinicrope FA, Rego RL, Garrity-Park MM, Foster NR, Sargent DJ, Goldberg RM, et al. Alterations in cell proliferation and apoptosis in colon cancers with microsatellite instability. Int J Cancer. 2007; 120:1232–8. [PubMed: 17187355]
- Chen J, Ye Y, Sun HZ, Shi GM. Association between KRAS codon 13 mutations and clinical response to anti-EGFR treatment in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: results from a metaanalysis. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2013; 71:265–72. [PubMed: 23090619]
- De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilas G, et al. Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. The lancet oncology. 2010; 11:753–62. [PubMed: 20619739]
- 30. Wangefjord S, Sundstrom M, Zendehrokh N, Lindquist KE, Nodin B, Jirstrom K, et al. Sex differences in the prognostic significance of KRAS codons 12 and 13, and BRAF mutations in colorectal cancer: a cohort study. Biol Sex Differ. 2013; 4:17. [PubMed: 24020794]
- 31. Smakman N, van den Wollenberg DJ, Elias SG, Sasazuki T, Shirasawa S, Hoeben RC, et al. KRAS(D13) Promotes apoptosis of human colorectal tumor cells by ReovirusT3D and oxaliplatin but not by tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:5403–8. [PubMed: 16707468]
- 32. Basso M, Strippoli A, Orlandi A, Martini M, Calegari MA, Schinzari G, et al. KRAS mutational status affects oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy independently from basal mRNA ERCC-1 expression in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Br J Cancer. 2013; 108:115–20. [PubMed: 23175150]
- 33. Lin YL, Liau JY, Yu SC, Ou DL, Lin LI, Tseng LH, et al. KRAS mutation is a predictor of oxaliplatin sensitivity in colon cancer cells. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e50701. [PubMed: 23209813]

- 34. Chen CC, Er TK, Liu YY, Hwang JK, Barrio MJ, Rodrigo M, et al. Computational analysis of KRAS mutations: implications for different effects on the KRAS p.G12D and p.G13D mutations. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e55793. [PubMed: 23437064]
- Fasano O, Aldrich T, Tamanoi F, Taparowsky E, Furth M, Wigler M. Analysis of the transforming potential of the human H-ras gene by random mutagenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1984; 81:4008–12. [PubMed: 6330729]
- 36. Cespedes MV, Sancho FJ, Guerrero S, Parreno M, Casanova I, Pavon MA, et al. K-ras Asp12 mutant neither interacts with Raf, nor signals through Erk and is less tumorigenic than K-ras Val12. Carcinogenesis. 2006; 27:2190–200. [PubMed: 16679305]
- Seeburg PH, Colby WW, Capon DJ, Goeddel DV, Levinson AD. Biological properties of human c-Ha-ras1 genes mutated at codon 12. Nature. 1984; 312:71–5. [PubMed: 6092966]
- Oliveira C, Westra JL, Arango D, Ollikainen M, Domingo E, Ferreira A, et al. Distinct patterns of KRAS mutations in colorectal carcinomas according to germline mismatch repair defects and hMLH1 methylation status. Hum Mol Genet. 2004; 13:2303–11. [PubMed: 15294875]
- Rajagopalan H, Bardelli A, Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE. Tumorigenesis: RAF/RAS oncogenes and mismatch-repair status. Nature. 2002; 418:934. [PubMed: 12198537]
- Slattery ML, Curtin K, Anderson K, Ma KN, Edwards S, Leppert M, et al. Associations between dietary intake and Ki-ras mutations in colon tumors: A population-based study. Cancer Res. 2000; 60:6935–41. [PubMed: 11156393]
- Kampman E, Voskuil DW, van Kraats AA, Balder HF, van Muijen GNP, Goldbohm RA, et al. Animal products and K-ras codon 12 and 13 mutations in colon carcinomas. Carcinogenesis. 2000; 21:307–9. [PubMed: 10657973]
- 42. Vizan P, Boros LG, Figueras A, Capella G, Mangues R, Bassilian S, et al. K-ras codon-specific mutations produce distinctive metabolic phenotypes in NIH3T3 mice [corrected] fibroblasts. Cancer Res. 2005; 65:5512–5. [PubMed: 15994921]
- 43. Rosty C, Young JP, Walsh MD, Clendenning M, Walters RJ, Pearson S, et al. Colorectal carcinomas with KRAS mutation are associated with distinctive morphological and molecular features. Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc. 2013
- 44. Yamauchi M, Morikawa T, Kuchiba A, Imamura Y, Qian ZR, Nishihara R, et al. Assessment of colorectal cancer molecular features along bowel subsites challenges the conception of distinct dichotomy of proximal versus distal colorectum. Gut. 2012; 61:847–54. [PubMed: 22427238]
- Network TCGA. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature. 2012; 487:330–7. [PubMed: 22810696]
- 46. Sinicrope FA. DNA mismatch repair and adjuvant chemotherapy in sporadic colon cancer. Nature reviews Clinical oncology. 2010; 7:174–7.
- 47. Sargent DJ, Wieand HS, Haller DG, Gray R, Benedetti JK, Buyse M, et al. Disease-free survival versus overall survival as a primary end point for adjuvant colon cancer studies: Individual patient data from 20,898 patients on 18 randomized trials. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23:8664–70. [PubMed: 16260700]
- 48. Taïeb J, Tabernero J, Mini E, Subtil F, Folprecht G, Van Laethem J-L, et al. Subgroup analyses results of the PETACC8 phase III trial comparing adjuvant FOLFOX4 with or without cetuximab (CTX) in resected stage III colon cancer (CC). J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31(suppl) abstr 3525.
- Douillard JY, Oliner KS, Siena S, Tabernero J, Burkes R, Barugel M, et al. Panitumumab-FOLFOX4 treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2013; 369:1023–34. [PubMed: 24024839]
- 50. Peeters M, Oliner KS, Price TJ, Cervantes A, Sobrero AF, Ducreux M, et al. Analysis of KRAS/ NRAS mutations in phase 3 study 20050181 of panitumumab (pmab) plus FOLFIRI versus FOLFIRI for second-line treatment (tx) of metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32 abstr LBA387.

#### STATEMENT OF TRANSLATIONAL RELEVANCE

The most common mutations in the EGFR pathway in colorectal cancers occur in *KRAS* codons 12 and 13. However, recent data suggests that codon 13 mutations may not represent an aggressive phenotype. We examined the prognostic impact of the seven most common *KRAS* mutations in codon 12 and 13 in stage III colon adenocarcinomas from a phase III adjuvant trial of FOLFOX with or without cetuximab. To minimize confounding, analysis was restricted to 2,478 *BRAF*-wild type tumors. *KRAS* mutations, including those in codon 13 only, were prognostic, showing a significant association with shorter disease-free survival compared to wild type *KRAS/BRAF*. These data demonstrate for the first time that *KRAS* codon 13 mutations are associated with inferior survival in patients with non-metastatic colon cancer, and highlight the important role of both codon 12 and 13 mutations in the progression of this malignancy in the adjuvant setting.



#### Figure 1. Study profile

*BRAF*-mutated cases were excluded to assess the prognostic role of *KRAS* mutation in *BRAF*-wild type tumors. \* Includes patients with *KRAS*-mutated tumors (n=332) enrolled post-study modification (see *Methods*), of whom 97% received FOLFOX.



\* P <.05 compared to KRAS Wildtype

### Figure 2. $\mathit{KRAS}$ (codon 12 and 13) and $\mathit{BRAF}$ mutation frequencies in 2,904 stage III colon adenocarcinomas

(a) Frequencies of *KRAS* mutations and corresponding amino acid sequence alterations are shown. (b) Frequency of deficient mismatch repair (MMR) among *KRAS*-mutated and *BRAF*-wild type tumors are shown (numbers differ slightly from [a] due to missing MMR data). Asterisks (\*) denote statistically significant differences compared to *KRAS/BRAF* wild type (P < .05).





*KRAS* mutations in codon 12 and 13, compared to wild type *BRAF* and *KRAS*, are shown in relation to (*a*) disease free-survival and (*b*) time to recurrence.

## Table 1

Randomized clinical trials examining the prognostic impact of KRAS codon 12 and 13 mutations in colorectal cancer

						Findings	
Cohort	No. of Tumors Total (Codon 12 / 13)	% of Total Cohort	Tumor, Stage	Treatment	Multivar for KRAS 1	iate HRs mutations	Reference
					Codon 12	Codon 13	Group "
Co.17, BOND, MABEL, EMR202600, EVEREST, BABEL, SALVAGE (5)	579 (~260 / 45)		CRC IV	BSC +/- cetuximab; Cetuximab +/- chemotherapy		c.38G>A HR 1.82 (p =.053) for overall survival $b$	BRAF/KRAS wild type or BRAF mutated
OPUS, CRYSTAL (13)	1378 (125 / 83)	%06	CRC IV	FOLFIRI or FOLFOX +/- cetuximab	c.35G>T, HR 1.11 (p =.53) for overall survival <sup>c</sup>	c.38G>A, HR 1.39 (p=.079) for overall survival <sup>c</sup>	BRAF/KRAS wild type or BRAF mutated
NSABP C07, C08 (9)	2299 ( - / - )	48%	Colon II—III	5FU +/- oxaliplatin, FOLFOX +/- bevacizumab	c.35G>T, HR 1.22 (p=.16) for time to recurrence $d$		BRAF/KRAS wild type or BRAF mutated
PETACC-3 (18)	1321 (368 / 102)	40%	Colon II—III	5FU +/- irinotecan	c.35G>A, HR 0.98 ( $p = .91$ ) c.35G>C, HR 0.97 ( $p = .92$ ) c.35G>T, HR 1.09 ( $p = .64$ ) c.34G>T, HR 1.40 ( $p = .15$ ) c.34G>A, HR 0.99 ( $p = .97$ ) for relapse-free survival $d$	c.38G>A, HR 0.99 (p =.97) for relapse-free survival $d$	BRAF/KRAS wild type or BRAF mutated
CALGB 89803 (21)	506 (123 / 53)	40%	Colon III	5FU +/- irinotecan	Any Codon 12, HR 1.09 (NS) for disease-free survival <sup>d</sup>	c.38G>A, HR 0.82 (NS) for disease-free survival <sup>d</sup>	BRAF/KRAS wild type or BRAF mutated
NCCTG N0147 (Alliance); Current Study	2478 (779 / 220) BRAF wild type only	82%	Colon III	FOLFOX +/- cetuximab	Any Codon 12, HR 1.52 ( $p < .0001$ ) for disease- free survival $d$	c.38G>A, HR 1.36 (p =.025) for disease-free survival $d$	BRAF/KRAS wild type only
BSC, best supportive	e care; CRC, colorectal;	HR, hazarc	l ratio; 5FU	l, fluorouracil; NS	, not statistically significant		

Clin Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 01.

 $^{\mathcal{C}}$  Chemotherapy-alone arms across both trials

 $b_{
m BSC-alone\ arm}$ 

dData pooled across both arms

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

ΩÌ	
64	
<u>C</u> I	
$\infty$	
ີ	
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{I}$	
-	
4	
$\sim$	
~	
2	
ćb.	
õ	
<u>ج</u>	
H	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
0	
=	
ĸ	
0	
Ι	
Π	
d)	
50	
ಷ್	
5	
Ś	
_	
H	
• –	
$\sim$	
Ű	
· Ē	
1	
-11-	
<u> </u>	
e	
÷	
S, S	
a	
H	
9	
д.	
0	
• •	
=	
- 5	
- X	
<u> </u>	
O.	
Ĕ	
ъ	
Ċ	
ਲ	
0	
· -	
ວມ	
0	
-	
0	
4	
·+	
G (C)	
d	
0	
<u>र</u>	
Ľ.	
-=	
J	
$\sim$	
Ľ	
-	
n	
õ	
· Ħ	
Ē	
atic	
slatio	
relatio	
relatio	
n relatio	
in relation	
s in relation	
ns in relation	
ons in relation	
ions in relation	
tions in relation	
ations in relation	
itations in relation	
utations in relation	
nutations in relation	
mutations in relation	
3 mutations in relation	
3 mutations in relation	
13 mutations in relation	
1 13 mutations in relation	
nd 13 mutations in relation	
nd 13 mutations in relation	
and 13 mutations in relation	
2 and 13 mutations in relation	
2 and 13 mutations in relation	
12 and 13 mutations in relation	
n 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
on 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
lon 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
don 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
odon 12 and 13 mutations in relati	
codon 12 and 13 mutations in relati	
codon 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
S codon 12 and 13 mutations in relati	
4S codon 12 and 13 mutations in relati	
PAS codon 12 and 13 mutations in relation	
RAS codon 12 and 13 mutations in relati	

	Wild type	Any KRAS I	nutation	Sp	ecific KRA	S Mutation			
Variable	tor KKA5 and BRAF (n=1479)	in Codon 1 (n=99	2 or 13 9)	Codon 12 (n=77	t only 9)	Codon 13 (n=22	only 0)	BKAF MI (n=34	4)
	N (%)	N (%)	<i>p</i> d	N (%)	p d	N (%)	ьď	(%) N	<i>в</i>
Age, <i>years</i> Median (range)	56 (19-84)	58 (22-85)	0.0008	58 (22-85)	.0002	57 (22-82)	.6052	65 (31-86)	<.0001
Gender Female (n=1336) Male (n=1486)	630 (43) 849 (57)	484 (48) 515 (52)	0.0041	387 (50) 392 (50)	.0013	97 (44) 123 (56)	.6759	222 (65) 122 (35)	<.0001
T stage T1-2 (n=423) T3-4 (n=2398) missing	238 (16) 1241 (84) 0	149 (15) 849 (85) 1	0.4346	111 (14) 667 (86) 1	.2545	38 (17) 182 (83) 0	.6578	36 (11) 308 (89) 0	.0085
Grade Low (n=2116) High (n=706)	1145 (77) 334 (23)	792 (79) 207 (21)	0.2710	639 (82) 140 (18)	.0105	153 (70) 67 (30)	.0103	179 (52) 165 (48)	<.0001
No. positive nodes 1-3 (n=1650) 4 or more (n=1172)	871 (59) 608 (41)	610 (61) 389 (39)	0.2799	487 (63) 292 (37)	.0944	123 (56) 97 (44)	.4023	169 (49) 175 (51)	.0010
Tumor Site Proximal (n=1407) Distal (n=1370) Missing	545 (37) 914 (63) 20	<i>577 (59)</i> 402 (41) 20	<.0001	443 (58) 321 (42) 15	<.0001	134 (62) 81 (38) 5	<.0001	285 (84) 54 (16) 5	<.0001
Mismatch Repair Deficient (n=318) Proficient (n=2464) Missing	124 (8) 1331 (92) 24	45 (5) 944 (95) 10	0.0001	25 (3) 747 (97) 7	<.0001	20 (9) 197 (91) 3	.7338	149 (44) 189 (56) 6	<.0001

# Table 3

Cox proportional hazards models examining association of KRAS mutation status with disease-free survival in 2,478 BRAF-wild type colon cancer patients

		3-year	Univariate		Multivariate	e a
KRAS status	N (Events)	disease-free survival rate (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	4	HR (95% CI)	Ч
Model 1						
Any codon 12 mutation	779 (256)	68% (64%-71%)	1.50 (1.28, 1.76)	<.0001	1.52 (1.28, 1.80)	<.0001
Codon 13 mutation	220 (71)	67% (60%-73%)	1.46 (1.13, 1.89)	0.0035	1.36 (1.04, 1.77)	0.0248
Wild type <i>b</i>	1479 (360)	77% (75%-80%)	reference		Reference	
Model 2						
Individual codon 12 mutations						
c.35G>A (p.G12D)	378 (122)	68% (63%-73%)	1.51 (1.23, 1.85)	<.0001	1.53 (1.23, 1.89)	0.0001
c.35G>T (p.G12V)	213 (68)	70% (63%-76%)	1.38 (1.07, 1.79)	0.0145	1.40 (1.07, 1.82)	0.0139
c.34G>T (p.G12C)	82 (30)	61% (50%-73%)	1.66 (1.14, 2.41)	0.0078	1.63 (1.11, 2.41)	0.0128
c.35G>C (p.G12A)	49 (19)	63% (49%-77%)	1.78 (1.12, 2.82)	0.0148	1.75 (1.10, 2.79)	0.0178
c.34G>A (p.G12S)	52 (14)	72% (59%-85%)	1.28 (0.75, 2.19)	0.3624	1.37 (0.80, 2.35)	0.2485
c.34G>C (p.G12R)	5 (3)	50% (1%-99%)	3.81 (1.23, 11.87)	0.0209	5.30 (1.69, 16.64)	0.0043
Codon 13 mutation						
c.38G>A (p.G13D)	220 (71)	67% (60%-73%)	1.46 (1.13, 1.89)	0.0035	1.36 (1.04, 1.77)	0.0246
Wild type $b$	1479 (360)	77% (75%-80%)	reference		reference	

Clin Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 01.

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, T stage, N stage, no. examined nodes, grade, performance status, tumor site, mismatch repair status, treatment.

 $b_{KRAS}$  and BRAF wild type.