

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Alcohol Clin Exp Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 01.

## Published in final edited form as:

Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2014 June ; 38(6): 1550–1558. doi:10.1111/acer.12439.

## Protective Role of CYP2E1 Inhibitor Diallyl Disulfide (DADS) on Alcohol Induced Malondialdehyde-Deoxyguanosine (M1dG) Adduct Formation

### M. Sapkota<sup>2</sup>, T. K. Hottor<sup>2</sup>, J. M. DeVasure<sup>3</sup>, T. A. Wyatt<sup>1,2</sup>, and M. L. McCaskill<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>VA Nebraska Western Iowa Health Care System, Omaha, NE 68105 and Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep & Allergy Division, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 985910 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5910

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental, Agricultural and Occupational Health, College of Public Health, 985910 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5910

<sup>3</sup>Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep & Allergy Division, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 985910 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5910

## Abstract

**Background**—Alcohol use disorders are often associated with lung disease. Alcohol exposure leads to the production of reactive oxygen species, lipid peroxidation, and formation of malondialdehyde (MDA) as well as induce the expression of cytochrome p450 2E1 (CYP2E1). Likewise, cigarette smoking can lead to lung lipid peroxidation and formation of MDA. MDA can bind to DNA forming MDA deoxyguanosine (M1dG) adducts, which have been implicated in alcohol-related cancers and cardiovascular disease. Because CYP2E1 regulates MDA production, and our previous studies have shown that alcohol and cigarette smoke can lead to MDA formation, we hypothesized that CYP2E1 would modulate M1dG adduct formation and single strand DNA damage in alcohol- and cigarette smoke-exposed lung cells and tissue.

**Methods**—Normal human bronchial epithelial cells (HBEC) were pre-treated with 10  $\mu$ M DADS for 1h, and treated with 80 mM ethanol +/– 5% cigarette smoke extract (CSE) for 3 hrs for comet assay and 6 hrs for CYP2E1, MDA, and M1dG adduct assays. C57BL/6 mice were administered 20% ethanol ad libitum in drinking water for 8 wk and exposed to whole body cigarette smoke for 5 wk. Mice were also fed a CYP2E1 inhibitor, diallyl disulfide (DADS), at 1  $\mu$ M/g of feed in their daily diet for 7 wk. Whole lung tissue homogenate was used for CYP2E1, MDA, and M1dG adduct assays.

**Results**—Ethanol exposure significantly increased HBEC olive tail moment. DADS pretreatment of HBEC attenuated this ethanol effect. Ethanol also induced MDA and M1dG adduct formation, which was also significantly reduced by DADS treatment. CSE +/– ethanol did not enhance these effects. In lung tissue homogenate of 8 wk alcohol-fed mice, MDA and M1dG adduct levels were significantly elevated in comparison to control mice and mice fed DADS while

Address Correspondence to: Todd A. Wyatt, PhD Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep & Allergy Division Department of Internal Medicine University of Nebraska Medical Center 985910 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-5910 twyatt@unmc.edu Fax: 402-559-8210 Phone: 402-559-8834.

consuming alcohol. No increase in MDA and M1dG adduct formation was observed in 5 wk cigarette smoke-exposed mice.

**Conclusions**—These findings suggest that CYP2E1 plays a pivotal role in alcohol-induced M1dG adducts, and the use of DADS as dietary supplement can reverse the effects of alcohol on M1dG formation.

#### Keywords

Ethanol; Malondialdehyde; M1dG; CYP2E1; DADS

#### Introduction

On a daily basis, the lung is exposed to a wide range of oxidants such as dust particles, diesel exhaust, smog, cigarette smoke and alcohol (Gould et al., 2011). Alcohol consumption in humans is a serious health problem that may alter normal function and composition of lipid membranes (Yua et al., 2010) and is often associated with the increased occurrence of lung diseases like pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (Salaspuro and Salaspuro, 2004) and an increased cancer risk, suggesting a role for alcohol and its oxidative metabolites, acetaldehyde and malondialdehyde (MDA) (Kharbanda et al., 2002; Wyatt et al., 2005). Alcohol metabolism via the CYP2E1 metabolic pathway leads to the formation of ROS in addition to acetaldehyde, which reacts with cell membrane lipids leading to lipid peroxidation. This lipid peroxidation leads to the generation of reactive aldehydes such as MDA (Cederbaum, 2001). People who are alcohol dependent are more likely to be smokers than non-drinkers (Bobo and Husten, 2000) and are also more likely to die from smoking-related diseases as opposed to alcohol-based illness (Ellickson et al., 1992). Thousands of carcinogens and free radicals inhaled by cigarette smokers (Lykkesfeldt, 2007; Mermelstein, 2003) attach to the unsaturated fatty acid on cell membranes, leading to a chain reaction that generates lipid hydroperoxides, which finally decompose to MDA (Del Rio et al., 2005). MDA has been shown to be genotoxic and is capable of forming DNA adducts that are involved in tobacco and alcohol-related cancers and cardiovascular disease (Hoberg et al., 2004).

Because chemical carcinogenesis is a multistage process that requires the binding of a chemical carcinogen to DNA, thereby forming DNA adducts (Tang et al., 2013), reducing or preventing the formation of DNA adducts is essential and an important early step in carcinogenesis (Leuratti et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2013). MDA is one of the depurinating adducts that is highly electrophilic and preferentially attacks the most nucleophilic N7 position of guanosine on DNA (Pullman and Pullman, 1981). In spite of all this, MDA production and correlated DNA adduct (M1dG) formation in ethanol-exposed lung and/or derivative pulmonary cells have not been thoroughly investigated.

Diallyl disulfide (DADS) is an oil-soluble organosulfur compound obtained from garlic and is known to be effective against carcinogenesis and chemically induced toxicity in humans (Manivasagam et al., 2005; Song et al., 2009). In addition to this, it has also been shown to inhibit CYP2E1 metabolism (Ehnert et al., 2012; Shimada et al., 2006). Because alcohol metabolism through the CYP2E1 pathway is one of the important steps often involved in the

formation of MDA, it would be promising to study the role of specific inhibitors of CYP2E1 on DNA damage, MDA production, and M1dG adduct formation. Therefore, we hypothesized that the co-exposure of alcohol and cigarette smoke would lead to high amounts of MDA-mediated DNA damage as measured by M1dG adduct levels in HBECs and mouse lung tissue. We also hypothesized that the co-administration of DADS along with alcohol and cigarette smoke would decrease the formation of M1dG adducts.

#### Methods

#### **Cell Culture**

Primary normal HBECs were cultured in bronchial epithelial cell growth medium (BEGM, Lonza, Allendale, NJ) supplemented with 2  $\mu$ g/mL of amphotericin (MP Biomedicals LLC, Solon, OH). Cells were plated on Type I collagen (Vitrogen 100, Collagen Biomaterials, Palo Alto, CA) coated tissue culture dishes at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Cells were passaged once a wk at 1:3. Cells between the 2nd and 5th passage were used for experiments.

#### **Cell Viability**

No significant cell death or cytotoxicity occurred in HBECs treated with 80 mM alcohol, 5 % CSE and 10  $\mu$ M DADS compared to control cells using lactate dehydrogenase [LDH] release assay (Thermo scientific, Rockford, IL)(data not shown). Previous studies have shown no significant cytotoxicity when bronchial epithelial cells are treated with 100 mM ethanol (Simet et al., 2012).

#### **Cigarette Smoke Extract Preparation**

Cigarette smoke extract (CSE) was prepared in accordance with Wyatt et al. 1998 (Wyatt et al., 1998) CSE was freshly prepared immediately before all experimental procedures. The cigarettes used were obtained from the University of Kentucky Tobacco-Health Research Division. A cigarette was connected to a peristaltic pump apparatus (Bentley Laboratories LLC, Edison, NJ), pyrolyzed, and the smoke from one cigarette was bubbled through 25 ml of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4). One cigarette per 25 ml volume was used. The CSE in PBS was then sterile-filtered and diluted to 5% in BEGM (Lonza) as a percentage of the total volume.

#### **Comet Assay**

Comet assay was performed using a comet assay kit (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD) with slight modifications. Briefly, cells were cultured in 6-well plates, treated for 3 h with different conditions, and harvested. The cells were then pelleted and resuspended with cold PBS at  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/ml. The cell suspension (50 µL) was mixed with 450 µl of low melting point agarose, and 50 µl of this mixture was pipetted over a sample area of comet slides. The slides were placed flat in a 4°C humidity chamber for 30 min, and later immersed in cold lysis solution provided in the kit and left overnight at 4°C. The slides were then transferred into alkaline unwinding solution (200 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA, pH 13) and incubated at room temperature for 20–60 min. The slides were then horizontally placed in an electrophoresis apparatus (Life Technologies Inc. Gaithersburg, MD) containing alkaline

electrophoresis solution (200 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA pH 13) and run at 21 volt/cm for 30 min. Then the slides were fixed with 70% ethanol for 5 min, and were washed twice in distilled water, air-dried and stained with SYBR® gold staining solution (provided in the kit) for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were then viewed by epifluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse E800, Melville, NY) and photographed with a digital camera (Nikon Digital Sight) under 4× magnifications. The measurement was performed using a public domain PC-image analysis program, CASP software, to analyze olive tail moment (OTM). An average of 70 cells were scored per sample.

#### Animal Exposure Model

All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Nebraska Medical Center. Female C57BL/6 mice (8–10 wk old) were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine), housed ingroup cages, and fed commercial rodent chow and water *ad libitum* for 1 wk. The mice were randomly assigned to 8 treatment groups (sham, alcohol, smoke, alcohol + smoke, DADS control, alcohol + DADS, smoke + DADS, and alcohol + smoke + DADS). All animals were weighed weekly. Mice receiving DADS diet were fed DADS at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ M/g of their feed and were fed the DADS diet throughout alcohol +/– smoke treatment periods. To ensure that the mice were consuming DADS, their chow was weighed twice weekly for 7 wks.

Alcohol feeding was performed as previously described in Wyatt et al. 2012 (Wyatt et al., 2012). Mice receiving alcohol were given increasing concentrations of alcohol in water over a 1-wk period until the target concentration of 20% was reached using the Meadows-Cook model (Song et al., 2002; Spitzer and Meadows, 1999). Mice in the alcohol group were given 10% ethanol (wt/vol) for 2 days, 15% ethanol (wt/vol) for 5 days, and 20% ethanol (wt/vol) for 7 wk. Mice in the matched control group were given water from the same source without alcohol. Cigarette smoke exposure was performed as previously described in McCaskill et al. 2011 (McCaskill et al., 2011) and Simet et al. 2010 (Simet et al., 2010). Briefly, cages containing C57BL/6 mice were placed in the exposure chamber of a Teague small animal whole body smoke exposure system (Model TE-10; Teague Enterprises, Davis, CA). Animals were exposed to a mixture of mainstream and side stream cigarette smoke via inhalation from 60 R1 reference cigarettes (Lexington, KY) at 150 mg/m<sup>3</sup> total smoking particles for 3 hr/day, 5 days/wk, for up to 5 wk. Mice receiving cigarette smoke were gradually brought to their target exposure over a period of 1 wk. Mice were exposed to smoke from 20 cigarettes for day 1, 30 cigarettes for day 2, 40 cigarettes for day 3, 50 cigarettes for day 4 and 5 and 60 cigarettes from day 5 to 5 wk. Control animals were shamexposed in chambers flowing room air.

#### CYP2E1 ELISA

CYP2E1 protein levels in the lung tissue homogenate were measured using a commercial ELISA kit (My Biosource, San Diego, CA). HBEC CYP2E1 protein was measured using a commercial ELISA (US Biological, Swampscott, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HBECs were pretreated with 10  $\mu$ M DADS for 1 hr and were further treated with ethanol, CSE and the combination of ethanol and CSE for 6 hr. After 6 hr, the

media was removed and cells washed with PBS. The cells were then harvested with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St Louis, MO) diluted (1:10) in lysis buffer (tris-HCl, ethylene glycol, tetra-acetic acid, magnesium chloride pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 233g at 4°C and sonicated (to disrupt cell membranes). Protein concentrations (mg/mL) were measured using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE) to standardize the ELISA results.

#### ELISA for MDA

MDA levels in the lung tissue homogenate and HBEC were measured using a commercial ELISA kit (US Biologicals, Swampscott, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HBECs were pretreated with 10  $\mu$ M DADS for 1 hr after which the cells were further treated with ethanol, CSE and the combination of ethanol and CSE for 6 hr. After 6 hr the media was removed and cells washed with PBS. Cells were then harvested with protease inhibitor cocktail, centrifuged at 4°C, sonicated, and protein measured as above before performing the ELISA.

#### ELISA for M1dG

Confluent HBECs were pretreated with 10 µM DADS for 1 hr and then further treated with ethanol, CSE and the combination of ethanol and CSE for 6 hr. After 6 hr the media was removed and washed with PBS. The cells were then harvested in lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail, centrifuged, and sonicated as described above before conducting the ELISA for M1dG. Briefly, polystyrene 96-well flat-bottomed plates (4 HBX; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) were coated overnight at 4°C with 100 µl with samples (homogenized lung tissue or cell lysates in lysis buffer). Mouse anti-M1dG antibody was a kind gift of Dr. Lawrence Marnett (Vanderbilt University) and was conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) with a Lightning-LinkTM HRP Conjugation Kit (Innova Bioscience, Babraham, UK). Purified M1dG (Also kindly supplied by Dr. Lawrence Marnett) was added to mouse M1dG antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (1:1000 in in PBS) to make final concentration of 40 ng/mL and incubated overnight in 4°C by gentle mixing in a shaker. After 24 hr the M1dG standard-antibody complex was further serially diluted (2 fold) with PBS for the standard curve. The plate, which was incubated overnight with 100 µL of sample, was further washed with buffer (0.5 % PBS tween) and then the serially diluted standard was added to the standard wells in the 96-well plate. Mouse M1dG antibody conjugated with HRP (1:1000 in PBS) was added to the sample wells and incubated for another 3 hrs at RT. After 3 hrs the plate was washed 4 times with wash buffer and developed with 100 µL tetramethylbenzidine substrate (Sigma, St Louis, MO) in the dark at room temperature before halting the color reaction with  $8 M H_2 SO_4$ . Plates were read at 450 nm using a plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) to determine optical density.

#### Statistical analysis

All quantitative experiments were performed in triplicate. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) and represented as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Data were analyzed for statistical significance using one-way ANOVA followed by

Tukey post hoc testing between each condition group. Significance was accepted at the 95% confidence interval (P <0.05).

#### Results

#### Effect of ethanol and cigarette smoke exposure on single strand DNA damage

Alcohol and cigarette smoke-induced single strand DNA damage was measured using COMET assay in primary HBECs (Fig. 1). Hydrogen peroxide was used as a positive control. Single strand DNA damage was measured using olive tail moment (OTM), which is the percentage of DNA in the tail and tail moment length from the center of the head to center of the tail. OTM increased significantly in the ethanol treatment group in comparison to the control group. There was also a significant increase in OTM when the cells were exposed to 5% cigarette smoke extract (CSE). Diallyl disulfide (DADS) treatment significantly reduced OTM when cells were treated with 10  $\mu$ M DADS in combination with 80 mM ethanol. Similar results were also seen when cells were treated with ethanol and 5% CSE in combination with DADS but no such effect was seen when cells were treated with CSE in presence of DADS.

# Effect of ethanol and cigarette smoke exposure on CYP2E1 levels in HBEC and C57BL/6 mice whole lung tissue homogenate

Alcohol is known to induce CYP2E1 levels, therefore we measured the CYP2E1 levels in HBECs and mouse lung tissue homogenate of C57BL/6 mice fed with ethanol *ad libitum* in water and exposed to whole body cigarette smoke. In addition, some mice were fed a DADS diet. We found a significant increase in the CYP2E1 levels in the ethanol exposed HBEC in comparison to control which was not observed in mice exposed to 5% CSE. As expected, there was no significant difference between ethanol only exposed and cigarette smoked and ethanol co-exposed mice on CYP2E1 levels. But when cells were treated with DADS in combination with ethanol, there was a significant reduction in the CYP2E1 level (Fig. 2A). Likewise, we observed a similar result in mice fed ethanol and exposed to cigarette smoke along with DADS diet (Fig. 2B).

# MDA levels in HBECs and lung tissue exposed to ethanol, cigarette smoke, and diallyl disulfide

We measured MDA, a marker of lipid peroxidation in HBECs and mouse lung tissue homogenate of C57BL/6 mice fed with ethanol *ad libitum* in water and exposed to whole body cigarette smoke, using a sandwich ELISA (Fig. 3A). We observed a significant increase in MDA levels when cells were treated with 80 mM ethanol for 6 h. There was no significant increase in MDA levels when cells were exposed to 5% CSE alone. There was a slight upward trend seen in MDA levels when co-exposed with ethanol and CSE, but the increase was not significant. Treatment with 10  $\mu$ M DADS lowered the MDA level significantly in ethanol-treated cells. This reduction was not observed in ethanol and cigarette smoke extract co-treatment. In mouse lung tissue homogenate (Fig. 3B), there was a significant increase in MDA levels in mice fed ethanol. We also observed a significant increase in MDA levels in mice fed ethanol and exposed to cigarette smoke. DADS supplement significantly lowered MDA levels in ethanol-fed mice. A similar effect was

observed in mice fed ethanol and exposed to cigarette smoke when given DADS supplement. No such effect was seen on cigarette smoke only exposed mice.

#### M1dG levels in HBEC and lung tissue exposed to ethanol, cigarette smoke, and DADS

HBECs treated with ethanol exhibited significantly high M1dG adduct level in comparison to control (Fig. 4A). A similar result was observed when cells were treated with ethanol and 5% CSE, but we did not see any synergistic increase in the adduct levels. Interestingly, there was no significant increase in the M1dG adduct levels when cells were exposed to CSE alone. Treatment with 10  $\mu$ M DADS along with ethanol significantly reduced the adduct levels. A similar result was observed when cells were co-exposed to ethanol and 5% CSE along with DADS. In mouse lung tissue homogenate (Fig. 4B) there was a significant increase in adduct level in mice fed ethanol ad libitum in water. We also observed a significant increase in adduct level in mice fed with ethanol and exposed to whole body cigarette smoke. Again, no synergy was observed. However, co-exposed mice fed DADS in their diet had a significant reduction in the adduct level in their lung tissue. A significant reduction in adduct level was also seen when ethanol-fed mice were given DADS in their diet.

### Discussion

In recent years researchers have focused on the effects of alcohol consumption and smoking on the lungs, but few studies have been conducted on the role of different types aldehydes formed in the lung tissue. Alcohol may act as a solvent and enhance the penetration of tobacco-related carcinogenic compounds in the pulmonary epithelium lining environment (Pöschl and Seitz, 2004). Additionally, a "recycling" effect leading to repeated exposure of high local concentrations of alcohol is often observed during alcohol ingestion where alcohol gets vaporized while moving into the conducting airways and gets deposited back into the airway to be released again into the airways during exhalation (Sisson, 2007). Reactive oxygen species has been often suggested as the main contributor to oxidative stress injury on pulmonary health (Cigremis et al., 2004). Alcohol is primarily converted to acetaldehyde by both alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and the CYP2E1 pathway (Tuma and Casey, 2003). Aldehydes such as acetaldehyde and MDA formed as a result of alcohol metabolism have been shown to form adducts to both proteins and nucleotides by many studies. A stable hybrid adduct known as MDA-acetaldehyde (MAA) adduct is formed when acetaldehyde and MDA react together with nucleophilic proteins (Tuma, 2002). Specifically, the by-product of the reaction of MDA and DNA, which has been shown to be mutagenic in bacterial and mammalian cells, is the pyrimido[1,2-a]purin-10-(3H) one nucleoside (M1dG) derived from deoxyguanosine (Wang et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2004). This endogenous DNA adduct plays an important role in the etiology of human cancer and is often derived from oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, or other endogenous processes (Leuratti et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2013). M1dG can lead to mispairing due to apurinic DNA sites when replicated in vitro by multiple different DNA polymerases (Akingbade et al., 2012; Wang and Liehr, 1995).

Co-exposure to both alcohol and cigarette smoke leads to very high concentrations of both acetaldehyde and MDA favoring the formation of MAA-adducted proteins in the lungs of mice (McCaskill et al., 2011). However, in the current study, we propose a model (Fig. 5) in which CYP2E1-mediated metabolism of alcohol alone is the major pathway for the formation of M1dG DNA adducts in the lung. CYP2E1-mediated ethanol metabolism generates ROS, which can further lead to lipid peroxidation generating MDA, which can attach to the guanine base of DNA to form the M1dG adduct. Inhibition of CYP2E1 leads to a reduction in both MDA and M1dG adduct formation. Studies have shown an association of CYP2E1-mediated alcohol metabolism and adduct formation in the liver (Swaminathan et al., 2013), juxtaposed with pulmonary CYP2E1 induction by carcinogens from pyrolyzed tobacco (Osna et al., 2007; French et al., 1997).

Our research showed an increase in the single strand DNA damage in the HBEC when exposed to ethanol, but the highest DNA damage was seen in the co-exposure group. A similar result was observed in human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells (Park et al., 2012) where cigarette smoke also led to a significant increase in DNA damage. Our result is consistent with studies done by Lui et al. in HBECs showing cigarette smoke extractinduced DNA damage (Liu et al., 2005). These findings suggest that a CYP2E1-independent effect on DNA damage occurs in response to cigarette smoke, likely in response to the known levels of oxidants contained in pyrolyzed tobacco. Our study also provides novel information about a specific malondialdehyde-mediated DNA adduct (M1dG) formed in the bronchial epithelial cells and lung tissue. High levels of M1dG adduct was measured in the alcohol-exposed group in comparison the smoke exposed group. This is consistent with results of our previous studies showing high level of MDA in the BALF fluid of alcohol fed mice in comparison to smoke exposed mice (McCaskill et al., 2011). Burning of tobacco resulted in production of high acetaldehyde and less MDA in comparison to alcohol onlyexposed mice (McCaskill et al., 2011). In contrast to previous studies, there was no synergistic effect of alcohol and cigarette smoke on M1dG formation as previously in MAA adducted protein studies. Previous studies have shown that cigarette smoke and alcohol coexposure is required to make sufficient acetaldehyde and MDA concentrations to generate MAA-adducted protein in lung (Wyatt et al., 2012). In our study, we have found that exposure to alcohol singularly results in sufficient MDA concentrations for M1dG adduct formation, suggesting that the pathway for the formation of these two adducts is likely different.

Our study also investigated the role of CYP2E1 in alcohol-mediated DNA damage, MDA and M1dG formation in cells and lung tissue. Consistent with previous studies, ethanol led to significant increase in CYP2E1 levels in both mouse tissue and HBEC (Runge et al., 2001; Shimada et al., 2006). Further inhibition of CYP2E1 with DADS led to the significant decrease in the single strand DNA damage, which was further supported by a decrease in MDA and M1dG adduct formation in both HBECs and mouse lung tissue. This result is in accordance with published results showing decreased oxidative stress and less MDA adduct formation by inhibition of CYP2E1 in human hepatoma VL-17A cell line and human hepatocytes by DADS (Swaminathan et al., 2013; Shimada et al., 2006). These data provide evidence that CYP2E1 plays an important role in alcohol mediated oxidative stress and M1dG adduct formation.

### Conclusion

In summary, alcohol consumption can lead to generation of reactive oxygen species resulting in lipid peroxidation and production of various gene toxic aldehydes such as MDA which can further lead to formation of various DNA (M1dG) adducts in lung tissue through a CYP2E1-mediated pathway. Heavy drinking of alcohol may lead to DNA damage suggesting a pathogenic role of MDA-derived DNA adducts in carcinogenesis, which can be reduced by the dietary consumption of DADS.

#### Acknowledgments

The Authors wish to thank Ms. Lisa Chudomelka for expert editing and manuscript preparation as well as Dr. Lawrence J. Marnett (Vanderbilt University) for his generous gift of M1dG and M1dG antibody and Wan Tin Lin, an exchange student from Taiwan for her help during the development of the M1dG assay.

This material is the result of work supported with resources and the use of facilities at the Omaha VA Medical Center, Omaha, NE (Department of Veterans Affairs [VA Merit Review I01 BX000728] to TAW). This work was supported by NIH-NIAAA (R01AA017993 and R01AA017993-S1) to TAW.

### Abbreviations

MDA	Malondialdehyde
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
M1dG	Malondialdehyde deoxyguanosine
DADS	Diallyl disulfide
CYP2E1	Cytochrome P450 2E1
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
CSE	Cigarette smoke extract
BEGM	Bronchial epithelial cell growth medium
HBECs	Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells
MAA	Malondialdehyde Acetaldehyde
CASP	Comet Assay Software Project
ОТМ	Olive Tail Moment

#### References

- Akingbade D, Kingsley PJ, Shuck SC, Cooper T, Carnahan R, Szekely J, Marnett LJ. Selection of Monoclonal Antibodies Against 6-oxo-M1dG and Their Use in an LC-MS/MS Assay for the Presence of 6-oxo-M1dG in Vivo. Chem Res Toxicol. 2012; 25:454–461. [PubMed: 22211372]
- Bobo JK, Husten C. Sociocultural influences on smoking and drinking. Alcohol Research and Health. 2000; 24:225–232. [PubMed: 15986717]
- Cederbaum AI. Introduction—serial review: alcohol, oxidative stress and cell injury. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2001; 31:1524–1526. [PubMed: 11744324]
- Cigremis Y, Turkoz Y, Akgoz M, Sozmen M. The effects of chronic exposure to ethanol and cigarette smoke on the level of reduced glutathione and malondialdehyde in rat kidney. Urol Res. 2004; 32:213–218. [PubMed: 15205856]

- Del Rio D, Stewart AJ, Pellegrini N. A review of recent studies on malondialdehyde as toxic molecule and biological marker of oxidative stress. Nutrition, metabolism and cardiovascular diseases. 2005; 15:316–328.
- Ehnert S, Braun K, Buchholz A, Freude T, Egana J, Schenck T, Schyschka L, Neumaier M, Döbele S, Stöckle U. Diallyl-disulphide is the effective ingredient of garlic oil that protects primary human osteoblasts from damage due to cigarette smoke. Food Chem. 2012; 132:724–729.
- Ellickson PL, Hays RD, Bell RM. Stepping through the drug use sequence: longitudinal scalogram analysis of initiation and regular use. J Abnorm Psychol. 1992; 101:441–451. [PubMed: 1500601]
- French SW, Morimoto M, Reitz RC, Koop D, Klopfenstein B, Estes K, Clot P, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Albano E. Lipid peroxidation, CYP2E1 and arachidonic acid metabolism in alcoholic liver disease in rats. J Nutr. 1997; 127:907S–911S. [PubMed: 9164261]
- Gould NS, Min E, Gauthier S, Martin RJ, Day BJ. Lung glutathione adaptive responses to cigarette smoke exposure. Respir Res. 2011; 12:133. [PubMed: 21982222]
- Hoberg A, Otteneder M, Marnett LJ, Poulsen HE. Measurement of the malondialdehyde– 2'deoxyguanosine adduct in human urine by immuno-extraction and liquid chromatography/ atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of mass spectrometry. 2004; 39:38–42. [PubMed: 14760611]
- Kharbanda KK, Shubert KA, Wyatt TA, Sorrell MF, Tuma DJ. Effect of malondialdehyde– acetaldehyde–protein adducts on the protein kinase C-dependent secretion of urokinase-type plasminogen activator in hepatic stellate cells. Biochem Pharmacol. 2002; 63:553–562. [PubMed: 11853706]
- Leuratti C, Singh R, Lagneau C, Farmer PB, Plastaras JP, Marnett LJ, Shuker D. Determination of malondialdehyde-induced DNA damage in human tissues using an immunoslot blot assay. Carcinogenesis. 1998; 19:1919–1924. [PubMed: 9855003]
- Liu X, Conner H, Kobayashi T, Kim H, Wen F, Abe S, Fang Q, Wang X, Hashimoto M, Bitterman P, Rennard SI. Cigarette Smoke Extract Induces DNA Damage but Not Apoptosis in Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells. American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology. 2005; 33:121–129. [PubMed: 15845867]
- Lykkesfeldt J. Malondialdehyde as biomarker of oxidative damage to lipids caused by smoking. Clinica chimica acta. 2007; 380:50–58.
- Manivasagam T, Subramanian P, Suthakar G, Essa MM. The chemopreventive effect of diallyl disulphide on N-nitrosodiethylamine induced heptocarcinogenesis. J Appl Biomedicine. 2005; 3:187–191.
- McCaskill ML, Kharbanda KK, Tuma DJ, Reynolds JD, DeVasure JM, Sisson JH, Wyatt TA. Hybrid malondialdehyde and acetaldehyde protein adducts form in the lungs of mice exposed to alcohol and cigarette smoke. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2011; 35:1106–1113.
- Mermelstein R. Teen smoking cessation. Tob Control. 2003; 12:i25-i34. [PubMed: 12773783]
- Osna NA, White RL, Todero S, Mc Vicker BL, Thiele GM, Clemens DL, Tuma DJ, Donohue TM. Ethanol-induced oxidative stress suppresses generation of peptides for antigen presentation by hepatoma cells. Hepatology. 2007; 45:53–61. [PubMed: 17187415]
- Park SC, Lim J, Jeen YT, Keum B, Seo YS, Kim YS, Lee SJ, Lee HS, Chun HJ, Um SH. Ethanolinduced DNA damage and repair-related molecules in human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells. Molecular Medicine Reports. 2012; 5:1027–1032. [PubMed: 22246134]
- Pöschl G, Seitz HK. Alcohol and cancer. Alcohol and alcoholism. 2004; 39:155–165. [PubMed: 15082451]
- Pullman A, Pullman B. Molecular electrostatic potential of the nucleic acids. Q Rev Biophys. 1981; 14:289–380. [PubMed: 7027300]
- Runge DM, Stock TW, Lehmann T, Taege C, Bernauer U, Stolz DB, Hofmann S, Foth H. Expression of cytochrome P450 2E1 in normal human bronchial epithelial cells and activation by ethanol in culture. Arch Toxicol. 2001; 75:335–345. [PubMed: 11570691]
- Salaspuro V, Salaspuro M. Synergistic effect of alcohol drinking and smoking on in vivo acetaldehyde concentration in saliva. International journal of cancer. 2004; 111:480–483.

- Shimada M, Liu L, Nussler N, Jonas S, Langrehr JM, Ogawa T, Kaminishi M, Neuhaus P, Nussler AK. Human hepatocytes are protected from ethanol-induced cytotoxicity by DADS via CYP2E1 inhibition. Toxicol Lett. 2006; 163:242–249. [PubMed: 16356668]
- Simet SM, Sisson JH, Pavlik JA, DeVasure JM, Boyer C, Liu X, Kawasaki S, Sharp JG, Rennard SI, Wyatt TA. Long-term cigarette smoke exposure in a mouse model of ciliated epithelial cell function. American journal of respiratory cell and molecular biology. 2010; 43:635. [PubMed: 20042711]
- Simet S, Wyatt TA, DeVasure J, Yanov D, Allen-Gipson D, Sisson JH. Alcohol Increases the Permeability of Airway Epithelial Tight Junctions in Beas2B and NHBE Cells. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research. 2012; 36:432–442.
- Sisson JH. Alcohol and airways function in health and disease. Alcohol. 2007; 41:293–307. [PubMed: 17764883]
- Song J, Lee SK, Kim KM, Park SE, Park S, Kim KH, Ahn SC, Park YC. Molecular mechanism of diallyl disulfide in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in HCT-116 colon cancer cells. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2009; 23:71–79. [PubMed: 19202565]
- Song K, Coleman RA, Zhu X, Alber C, Ballas ZK, Waldschmidt TJ, Cook RT. Chronic ethanol consumption by mice results in activated splenic T cells. J Leukoc Biol. 2002; 72:1109–1116. [PubMed: 12488491]
- Spitzer JH, Meadows GG. Modulation of perforin, granzyme A, and granzyme B in murine natural killer (NK), IL2 stimulated NK, and lymphokine-activated killer cells by alcohol consumption. Cell Immunol. 1999; 194:205–212. [PubMed: 10383823]
- Sun X, Nair J, Bartsch H. A Modified Immuno-Enriched 32P-Postlabeling Method for Analyzing the Malondialdehyde-Deoxyguanosine Adduct, 3-(2-Deoxy-β-d-erythropentofuranosyl)-pyrimido [1, 2-α] purin-10 (3 H) one in Human Tissue Samples. Chem Res Toxicol. 2004; 17:268–272. [PubMed: 14967015]
- Swaminathan K, Clemens DL, Dey A. Inhibition of CYP2E1 leads to decreased malondialdehydeacetaldehyde adduct formation in VL-17A cells under chronic alcohol exposure. Life Sci. 2013
- Tang Y, Kassie F, Qian X, Ansha B, Turesky RJ. DNA Adduct Formation of 2-Amino-9H-pyrido [2, 3-b] indole and 2-Amino-3, 4-dimethylimidazo [4, 5-f] quinoline in Mouse Liver and Extrahepatic Tissues During a Subchronic Feeding Study. Toxicological Sciences. 2013; 133:248–258. [PubMed: 23535364]
- Tuma DJ. Role of malondialdehyde-acetaldehyde adducts in liver injury. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2002; 32:303–308. [PubMed: 11841919]
- Tuma J, Casey CA. Dangerous byproducts of alcohol breakdown-focus on adducts. Alcohol Research and Health. 2003; 27:285–290. [PubMed: 15540799]
- Wang H, Marnett LJ, Harris TM, Rizzo CJ. A novel synthesis of malondialdehyde adducts of deoxyguanosine, deoxyadenosine, and deoxycytidine. Chem Res Toxicol. 2004; 17:144–149. [PubMed: 14967001]
- Wang, M.; Peng, L.; Jensen, CJ.; Deng, S.; West, BJ. Food Science & Nutrition. 2013. Noni juice reduces lipid peroxidation–derived DNA adducts in heavy smokers.
- Wang M, Liehr JG. Lipid hydroperoxide-induced endogenous DNA adducts in hamsters: possible mechanism of lipid hydroperoxide-mediated carcinogenesis. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1995; 316:38–46. [PubMed: 7840640]
- Wyatt TA, Kharbanda KK, McCaskill ML, Tuma DJ, Yanov D, DeVasure J, Sisson JH. Malondialdehyde–acetaldehyde-adducted protein inhalation causes lung injury. Alcohol. 2012; 46:51–59. [PubMed: 21958604]
- Wyatt TA, Kharbanda KK, Tuma DJ, Sisson JH, Spurzem JR. Malondialdehyde–acetaldehyde adducts decrease bronchial epithelial wound repair. Alcohol. 2005; 36:31–40. [PubMed: 16257351]
- Wyatt TA, Spurzem JR, May K, Sisson JH. Regulation of ciliary beat frequency by both PKA and PKG in bovine airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol. 1998; 275:L827–35. [PubMed: 9755116]
- Yua H, Oyama T, Isse T, Kitagawa K, Pham T, Tanaka M, Kawamoto T. Formation of acetaldehydederived DNA adducts due to alcohol exposure. Chem Biol Interact. 2010; 188:367–375. [PubMed: 20813101]



## Fig. 1. Effect of ethanol exposure on single strand DNA damage measured by comet assay in HBECs

Monolayers of human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) were pretreated for 1 hr with diallyl disulfide (DADS; 10  $\mu$ M) and further treated for 3 hr with ethanol (EtOH; 80 mM), cigarette smoke extract (CSE; 5%) and co-exposure condition. Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>0<sub>2</sub>; 0.1 mM) was used as positive control. Exposure to ethanol caused significant DNA damage, which was reduced significantly by DADS exposure. Values represent mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of (100–150) cells scored. Experiment was performed 3 independent times.



# Fig. 2. Effect of ethanol and cigarette smoke on CYP2E1 levels in HBECs and C57BL/6 mice whole lung tissue homogenate

Human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) lysate and crude homogenates of mouse lung tissue were assayed using a sandwich ELISA. HBECs were pretreated for 1 hr with diallyl disulfide (DADS; 10  $\mu$ M) and further exposed with ethanol (EtOH; 80 mM), cigarette smoke extract (CSE; 5%) and co-exposure condition for 6h and assayed using sandwich ELISA for CYP2E1 levels (A). Mice consumed EtOH *ad libitum* in water and were exposed to whole body cigarette smoke. Exposure to ethanol significantly induced CYP2E1 levels, which were reduced significantly by DADS supplement (B). Values represent mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of n=4 mice per condition and n=3 independent experiments for HBECs.



## Fig. 3. Effect of ethanol and cigarette smoke on MDA levels in HBECs and C57BL/6 mice whole lung tissue homogenate

Lysate of human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) were pretreated for 1 hr with diallyl disulfide (DADS; 10  $\mu$ M) and further exposed to ethanol (EtOH; 80 mM), cigarette smoke extract (CSE; 5%) and co-exposure condition for 6h and crude homogenates of mouse lung tissue were assayed for malondialdehyde (MDA) levels using a sandwich ELISA. Ethanol alone significantly increased MDA levels. Exposure to ethanol and cigarette smoke significantly increased MDA levels in tissue homogenate, which was reduced significantly by DADS supplement. Values represent mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of n=4 mice and n=3 independent experiments for HBECs.



## Fig. 4. Effect of ethanol and cigarette smoke on M1dG levels in HBECs and C57BL/6 mice whole lung tissue homogenate

Lysate of human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) were pretreated for 1 hr with diallyl disulfide (DADS; 10  $\mu$ M) and further exposed to ethanol (EtOH; 80 mM), cigarette smoke extract (CSE; 5%) and co-exposure condition for 6h and crude homogenates of mouse lung tissue were assayed using indirect ELISA for M1dG levels. Ethanol alone and in combination with cigarette smoke significantly increased M1dG levels. Ethanol exposure significantly increased M1dG levels, which were reduced significantly by DADS supplement. Values represent mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. of n=4 mice and of n=3 independent experiments for HBECs.



#### Fig. 5. Proposed model of M1dG adduct formation

CYP2E1 mediated metabolism of alcohol leads to generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS enhances lipid peroxidation leading to the generation of malondialdehyde (MDA), which can adduct to the guanosine base of DNA to form a M1dG adduct. Inhibition of CYP2E1 by diallyl disulfide (DADS) leads to reduction in both MDA levels and M1dG adduct formation.