Loss of the tyrosine phosphatase PTPRD leads to aberrant STAT3 activation and promotes gliomagenesis

Berenice Ortiz^{a,b}, Armida W. M. Fabius^b, Wei H. Wu^b, Alicia Pedraza^b, Cameron W. Brennan^c, Nikolaus Schultz^d, Kenneth L. Pitter^e, Jacqueline F. Bromberg^f, Jason T. Huse^g, Eric C. Holland^{h,i}, and Timothy A. Chan^{b.j.1}

^cDepartment of Neurosurgery, ^dDepartment of Computational Biology, ^fDepartment of Medicine, ^gDepartment of Pathology, and ^jDepartment of Radiation Oncology, ^bHuman Oncology and Pathogenesis Program, ^aGerstner Sloan-Kettering Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Memorial Sloan-Kettering
Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065; ^eTri-Institutional MD/PhD Program, Wei Solid Tumor Translational Research, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109; and ⁱ Department of Neurosurgery and Alvord Brain Tumor Center, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Edited by Bert Vogelstein, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, and approved April 21, 2014 (received for review February 3, 2014)

PTPRD, which encodes the protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor-δ, is one of the most frequently inactivated genes across human cancers, including glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). PTPRD undergoes both deletion and mutation in cancers, with copy number loss comprising the primary mode of inactivation in GBM. However, it is unknown whether loss of PTPRD promotes tumorigenesis in vivo, and the mechanistic basis of PTPRD function in tumors is unclear. Here, using genomic analysis and a glioma mouse model, we demonstrate that loss of Ptprd accelerates tumor formation and define the oncogenic context in which Ptprd loss acts. Specifically, we show that in human GBMs, heterozygous loss of PTPRD is the predominant type of lesion and that loss of PTPRD and the CDKN2A/p16^{INK4A} tumor suppressor frequently co-occur. Accordingly, heterozygous loss of Ptprd cooperates with $p16$ deletion to drive gliomagenesis in mice. Moreover, loss of the Ptprd phosphatase resulted in phospho-Stat3 accumulation and constitutive activation of Stat3-driven genetic programs. Surprisingly, the consequences of Ptprd loss are maximal in the heterozygous state, demonstrating a tight dependence on gene dosage. Ptprd loss did not increase cell proliferation but rather altered pathways governing the macrophage response. In total, we reveal that PTPRD is a bona fide tumor suppressor, pinpoint PTPRD loss as a cause of aberrant STAT3 activation in gliomas, and establish PTPRD loss, in the setting of CDKN2A/p16^{INK4A} deletion, as a driver of glioma progression.

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a devastating disease. It is the most common and aggressive type of glioma and outcomes remain poor despite current treatments (1). To increase our understanding of the genetic basis of this malignancy, several mutational survey studies examining GBM have been completed and provide a detailed view of the molecular changes underlying this cancer (2–4). Because GBM is a highly heterogeneous tumor, a challenge remains to determine which molecular alterations drive tumorigenesis and to understand the underlying mechanisms of action. Recent work by our group and others have identified inactivation of protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor-δ (PTPRD) as a frequent alteration in GBM and other tumors, and showed that PTPRD copy number loss correlates with poor prognosis (5–10). Despite the high prevalence of PTPRD inactivation in human tumors, it is not known whether loss of PTPRD can promote tumorigenesis. Furthermore, the mechanisms of action and the oncogenic context in which PTPRD acts remain obscure.

PTPRD belongs to a family of protein-tyrosine phosphatases that collectively have been implicated in functions, including the regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases, growth, cell migration, and angiogenesis (11). Previously, we demonstrated that phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3) is a substrate of PTPRD and that cancer-specific mutations in PTPRD abrogate the ability of the phosphatase to dephosphorylate STAT3 (5). Interestingly, accumulation of phosphorylated STAT3 and STAT3 hyperactivation are frequent events in solid tumors like GBM, yet the genetic basis of aberrant STAT3 activation is poorly understood. p-STAT3 has been implicated in a number of tumor-promoting processes, including blocking differentiation, maintaining the stem cell pool, promoting growth and angiogenesis, and regulating the immune response and tumor microenvironment (12–14). In this study, we show that allelic loss of Ptprd results in p-Stat3 accumulation and Stat3 hyperactivation, elucidating one genetic root cause for aberrant STAT3 activation in GBM.

CrossMark

Chromosome 9p, a region frequently lost in gliomas, contains the genes encoding PTPRD and the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A). The CDKN2A locus produces the p16^{INK4A} and p14/p1 \widehat{P}^{ART} tumor suppressors by alternate splicing (15). We and others have shown that selective pressure exists for inactivation of both PTPRD and CDKN2A, on chromosome 9p, in many types of cancer (5, 6, 10, 16). Both genes are frequently deleted or mutated. In this study, we develop a murine tumor model in which we inactivate both genes to model the genetic events that occur on 9p. We demonstrate that Ptprd loss cooperates with Cdkn2a deletion to promote tumorigenesis.

We define the cooperative effects of PTPRD and CDKN2A by using Ptprd knockout and Cdkn2a/p16 Ink4a knockout mice in conjunction with the replication-competent avian sarcoma-leukosis virus long terminal repeat with splice acceptor retrovirus (RCAS) PDGFB/Nestin-tvA glioma mouse model. In this well-established RCAS model, the PDGFB oncogene drives glioma formation. PDGFB is specifically introduced into Nestin-expressing glial progenitor cells via infection of the avian RCAS virus into mice that express the avian tvA receptor under the Nestin promoter

Significance

Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor-δ (PTPRD) is a frequently inactivated tumor-suppressor gene. We demonstrate that Ptprd inactivation in the context of Cdkn2a/p16^{Ink4a} deletion is sufficient to promote tumorigenesis in vivo. Our mouse model closely recapitulates the genetic events on chromosome 9p that occur in cancer. We demonstrate that PTPRD is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor and provide a rationale for the high frequency of heterozygous loss of PTPRD in human glioblastoma. Finally, this study establishes loss of PTPRD, a STAT3 phosphatase, as a cause of STAT3 hyperactivation in gliomas.

Author contributions: B.O., A.W.M.F., J.F.B., and T.A.C. designed research; B.O., A.W.M.F., W.H.W., A.P., N.S., and K.L.P. performed research; B.O., C.W.B., N.S., K.L.P., and E.C.H. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; B.O., A.W.M.F., C.W.B., N.S., and J.T.H. analyzed data; B.O., A.W.M.F., and T.A.C. wrote the paper; and J.T.H. conducted pathology tumor grade analysis.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (accession no. [GSE55061\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE55061).

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [chant@mskcc.org.](mailto:chant@mskcc.org)

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental).

(17–19). Intracranial gliomas generated by the RCAS PDGFB/ Nestin tvA mouse model reflect the histology of human GBM (20). Furthermore, as opposed to traditional genetically engineered mouse models, genes can be introduced into adult somatic cells of mice with excellent temporal specificity (19). Here, we show that *Ptprd* is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor that cooperates with deletion of $Cdkn2a|p16^{Ink4a}$ to promote glioma progression.

Results

Genetic Patterns of PTPRD Loss in Human GBM. Previously, we showed that the CDKN2A and PTPRD genes are both commonly inactivated regions on chromosome 9p with distinct focal deletions at each locus, indicating that each gene is a minimal commonly deleted region. Furthermore, both genes are subject to somatic mutation and hypermethylation, and are hypothesized to be cancer driver genes. We set out to define GBM alterations that co-occur most frequently with PTPRD loss. Fig. 1A illustrates the co-occurrence of select GBM alterations with PTPRD loss in GBM tumors from the The Cancer Genome Atlas dataset. CDKN2A/ CDKN2B deletions co-occurred most frequently with PTPRD loss ($P < 0.05$) [\(Table S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1). Importantly, the vast majority of tumors that lose PTPRD also lose CDKN2A. Interestingly, 87% of the tumors with PTPRD deletion only lost one copy (Fig. 1B).

Heterozygous Loss of Ptprd Cooperates with Cdkn2a/p16^{Ink4a} Deletion to Promote Gliomagenesis. To investigate the functional significance of concurrent Ptprd and Cdkn2a loss in tumorigenesis, we used the RCAS PDGFB/Nestin-tvA proneural glioma mouse model. As shown in [Table S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2), PTPRD loss occurs in tumors of all GBM subgroups, including the proneural transcriptional subclass, which is characterized by PDGF activity. We crossed Ptprd knockout mice with $p16^{Ink4a}$ knockout; Nestin-tvA (N-tvA) mice to generate *Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-};N-tvA*, *Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-};N-tvA*, and Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-};N-tvA mice. We then injected neonatal mice intracranially with DF-1 chicken cells expressing RCAS PDGFB virus. Because our glioma tumor model is dependent on Nestin expression, we first measured Nestin expression in uninjected neonatal mice by flow cytometry analysis and confirmed that Nestin is unaltered by *Ptprd* loss [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)). Symptom-free survival was measured by observing the mice for the onset of brain tumor symptoms, including hydrocephalus, seizure, or general malaise. Interestingly, $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ mice showed significantly worse survival than *Ptprd*^{+/+}p16^{-/-} mice (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2A). In contrast, *Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/-}* mice only showed a trend toward worse survival (Fig. 2A), consistent with a somewhat weaker phenotype. To our knowledge, these are the first data to show that *Ptprd* loss promotes tumorigenesis and that heterozygous loss is sufficient to do so in the context of $p16^{lnk4a}$ deletion.

To confirm that *Ptprd* was heterozygous in the tumors, tumor cells were sorted from RCAS PDGF GFP-injected Ptprd heterozygous mice and PCR genotyped for *Ptprd*. As illustrated in Fig. 2B, tumors from Ptprd heterozygous mice retain one intact wild-type allele. H&E-stained tumors were graded according to criteria set by the World Health Organization (21). Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 2C, there were no significant differences in tumor grade between the genotypes, suggesting that Ptprd may affect other processes that regulate glioma initiation or progression.

Intriguingly, when mice with *Ptprd* loss but wild-type $p16^{Ink4a}$ were injected with RCAS PDGFB virus, the mice had significantly better symptom-free survival than $Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{+/+}$ mice ([Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A). Although survival and incidence were affected by Ptprd loss, there were no significant differences in tumor grade among the genotypes (Fig. $S2B$). In an effort to determine whether increased cell death could explain why $Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{+/+}$ and $Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{+/+}$ mice had better survival, we stained the tumors for cell death by TUNEL staining. As shown in [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)C, no significant differences in TUNEL staining were observed between the genotypes. Nevertheless, our data suggest that loss of $p16^{Ink4a}$ is required in the context of *Ptprd* loss for enhanced tumorigenesis. These results may also help explain why PTPRD is almost never lost alone but nearly always with CDKN2A.

Heterozygous Loss of Ptprd Results in p-Stat3 Accumulation and Activation of Stat3-Dependent Transcription. Using in vitro methods, we previously identified p-STAT3 as a candidate substrate of PTPRD (5). It is well-known that p-STAT3 functions as a transcription factor for genes involved in the tumorigenic process (12, 14). We performed p-Stat3 immunohistochemistry (IHC) on glioma tumors from $Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-}$, $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$, and $Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-}$ mice. Interestingly, p-Stat3 was significantly elevated in only the *Ptprd*^{+/−}p16^{-/−} mice (Fig. 3 A and B). Total Stat3 levels remained at similar levels between the genotypes, suggesting that the main effect is on the phosphorylation status of Stat3 (Fig. 3A). To determine if the increased p-Stat3 was inducing transcription of its gene targets, we measured geneexpression changes in the *Ptprd*^{+/+}p16^{-/-}, *Ptprd*^{+/-}p16^{-/-}, and *Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-}* tumors. Glioma tumor cells were purified by flow sorting and expression microarray analysis was performed. Consistent with the IHC results, microarray analysis of the tumor cells showed increased expression of known p-Stat3 gene targets in only the *Ptprd*^{+/−}p16^{-/−} tumors (Fig. 3C and [Table S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3)). To determine whether the changes in the phosphorylation status of STAT3 are also present in human GBM, we determined the relative levels of p-STAT3 in human tumors with varying PTPRD status. p-STAT3/STAT3 protein expression was measured by Western blot analysis in $PTPRD^{+/+}CDKN2A^{-/-}$ and $PTPRD^{+/-}CDKN2A^{-/-}$ tumors. p-STAT3 was significantly increased in $PTPRD^{+/−}$ </sup> $CDKN2A^{-/-}$ tumors (Fig. 3D). Because of the low frequency of homozygous deletion of PTPRD in human GBM, $PTPRD^{-1}$ $CDKN2A^{-/-}$ tumors were not available for quantification. These data show that heterozygous loss of PTPRD and deletion of $CDKN2A/p16^{INK4A}$ is sufficient for accumulation of nuclear p-STAT3 and the induction of p-STAT3 gene targets.

Fig. 1. Genetic context of PTPRD loss in human GBM. (A) PTPRD loss co-occurs most frequently with deletion of CDKN2A and CDKN2B. OncoPrint of PTPRD with common GBM alterations (The Cancer Genome Atlas dataset, cBio Cancer Genomics Portal). Type of alterations are as labeled in the color legend. (B) Frequency of heterozygous or homozygous loss of PTPRD in tumors with PTPRD loss.

Fig. 2. Ptprd loss cooperates with $Cdkn2a/p16^{lnk4a}$ deletion to promote gliomagenesis. (A) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of mice injected intracranially with DF-1–expressing RCAS-PDGFB at postnatal days 1–3 and followed for 16 wk. Ptprd^{+/+}p16⁻⁷⁻ (n = 33), Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 29), and Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 25) mice. *Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} vs. Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-} P < 0.05. (B) PCR genotyping of tumor and normal tissue for Ptprd demonstrate that tumors $\frac{1}{2}$ from Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} mice retain an intact wild-type allele. Mice were injected with RCAS PDGFB-GFP, and DNA was extracted from DAPI[−] GFP⁺ tumor cells. Matched ear samples were taken for normal tissue DNA extraction. Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} (H), Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/−} (W), Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/−} (K). (C) Tumor grade of gliomas from RCAS mice. H&E-stained tumors were graded according to World Health Organization standards. Genotypes of the mice are as indicated.

Ptprd Loss Does Not Increase the Rate of Cell Proliferation or Expand the Glial Progenitor Pool. We first evaluated whether Ptprd loss affected tumor size by generating a separate cohort of $Ptprd^{+/+}$ $p16^{-/-}$, Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−}, and Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/−} mice that were stereotactically injected with DF-1 cells expressing RCAS PDGFB virus. Stereotactic injection allows the precise measurement of tumor size. At a defined time-point before the development of symptoms, we performed MRI to measure the volume of the gliomas in all genotypes. As expected, there was substantial heterogeneity across the gliomas because of differences in tumor penetrance, as frequently occurs for this cancer type. Interestingly, $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ mice showed a strong trend toward having the greatest tumor volume, suggesting that Ptprd loss is associated with larger tumor size (Fig. $4 \text{ } A$ and B).

We next determined whether loss of Ptprd was increasing the rate of cell proliferation, decreasing the rate of cell death, expanding the glial progenitor pool, or promoting angiogenesis. We used flow cytometry to measure the frequency of K_i 67 in GFP⁺ tumor cells (RCAS-infected cells coexpress GFP). Surprisingly, no significant differences in K_i67 were found among the genotypes (Fig. 4C). We also measured cell death in the tumors by TUNEL IHC. As shown in Fig. 4D, there were no significant differences in the levels of TUNEL staining between the genotypes. To determine the differentiation status of the tumor cells, we performed IHC to stain tumors from $Ptprd^{+/+}$ $p16^{-/-}$, Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−}, and Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/−} mice for oligodendrocytes (Olig2), astrocytes (Gfap), and glial progenitors (Nestin). No differences were found in the quantity or intensity of staining between mice of the different genotypes ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)A). To examine

the glial progenitor pool, we also performed side population analysis of the tumors, as previously described (22). No differences in the amount of side population cells for each genotype were evident, suggesting that *Ptprd* loss does not expand the glial progenitor pool (Fig. $S3 \, B$ and C). Finally, we performed IHC to examine endothelial cells (CD34) and to determine if Ptprd loss affects angiogenesis. No differences in the quantity or intensity of staining were evident ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the effects of Ptprd loss and resultant Stat3 activation do not promote tumorigenesis by altering cellular proliferation, cellular death, cellular differentiation, or vascular density.

Ptprd Loss Activates Pathways That Regulate the Immune Response and Tumor Microenvironment. To evaluate the nature of the geneexpression changes induced by *Ptprd* loss in our glioma model, we performed gene-expression analysis of sorted GFP⁺ tumor cells from $Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-}$, $Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-}$, and $Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-}$ mice. Principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering demonstrated that the transcriptome of $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ tumors is significantly different from those of $Ptprd^{-/+}p16^{-/-}$ and $Ptprd^{-/-}$ $p16^{-/-}$ tumors ([Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4) A and B). To determine if other tyrosine phosphatases were compensating for loss of Ptprd in the tumors at the transcriptional level, we analyzed gene expression of other tyrosine phosphatases. As shown in [Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)C and [Table S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST4) no significant differences between the genotypes were observed.

Pathway analysis of the differentially expressed genes in the Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} vs. Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/−} tumor cells showed statistically significant enrichment in pathways governing the immune re-sponse and macrophage behavior (Fig. 5A and [Table S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST5)). A fascinating pattern emerged when we reviewed the expression levels of all known cytokines and chemokines. Tumor cells from Ptprd heterozygotes, but not wild-type or homozygotes, had a concerted and significant increase in the expression of chemokines CCL2, CCL6, CCL12, and CXCL14 (Fig. 5B). All four chemokines promote M2 protumor polarization of macrophages (23–26). Thus, our gene-expression analysis suggests that loss of Ptprd in the tumor cells might lead to the activation of genetic programs that affect the immune response, and in particular macrophages.

There is substantial evidence that the immune response (including macrophage activity) influences tumor pathogenicity (27–29). Pyonteck et al. showed that protumor macrophages in RCAS PDGF gliomas increase tumor aggressiveness (30). To determine whether macrophages were present in the tumors from our mice, we stained $Ptpr\overline{d}^{+/+}p16^{-/-}$, $Ptpr\overline{d}^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$, and $Ptpr\overline{d}^{-/-}$ $p16^{-/-}$ tumors with the Iba1 macrophage marker. Although the quantity of $Iba1⁺$ cells was similar for all tumors, we noted that tumors from $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ tended to have amoeboid macrophage morphology, which is associated with a protumorigenic phenotype (25, 31, 32) (Fig. 5C); this was concentrated in the larger tumors. p-Stat3 is a marker of M2 protumor polarized macrophages (28, 33). To determine whether macrophages in our tumors might be M2 polarized, we performed immunofluorescence for Iba1 and p-Stat3 and quantified the number of cells that were Iba1⁺ and p-Stat3⁺. Tumors in the *Ptprd*^{+/−}p16^{-/−} group had greater numbers of double-positive Iba1 and p-Stat3 cells than the other genotypes (Fig. $5 D$ and E). These were again concentrated in the larger tumors. We also determined whether glial cells in tumors with heterozygous loss of Ptprd also expressed p-Stat3. We performed immunofluorescence for Gfap and p-Stat3. Tumors in the $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ group had cells that were both p-Stat3⁺ and Gfap⁺, as well as cells that were p-Stat3⁺ and Gfap[−] [\(Fig. S5\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5). Taken together, our data suggest that heterozygous loss of Ptprd activates genetic programs regulating immune response and promotes the expression of chemokines that influence immune cell behavior and macrophage biology.

Discussion

Our results describe a number of important, novel observations. To begin with, and to our knowledge, we provide the first evidence that Ptprd loss, in the setting of $Cdkn2a/p16^{lnk4a}$ deletion and PDGFB overexpression, can promote better growth of tumors in vivo. Second, we show that Ptprd heterozygous loss and Cdkn2a/ $p16^{Ink4a}$ deletion is sufficient to promote tumorigenesis, which supports a rationale for the patterns of PTPRD loss observed in human GBM and other tumor types. Third, our data indicate that heterozygous loss of Ptprd, a phosphatase targeting Stat3, causes p-Stat3 accumulation and Stat3 activation in murine tumors, a finding that was validated in human GBMs. This finding places PTPRD in the growing list of tumor suppressors that display haploinsufficiency. Finally, we show that Ptprd loss promotes

tumor growth via a noncanonical means, perhaps by altering the immune response.

Our findings show that heterozygous loss of PTPRD most commonly co-occurs with homozygous deletion of CDKN2A/ $p16^{INKA}$ in human GBM. We generated an in vivo glioma model of *Ptprd* and $Cdkn2a/p16^{lnk4a}$ deletion to study the contribution of each chromosome 9p gene to gliomagenesis. Importantly, mice
with *Ptprd* loss and $p16^{lnk4a}$ deletion had worse survival than mice with $p\hat{1}6^{Ink4a}$ deletion alone. These observations demonstrate that loss of these two 9p tumor suppressors cooperate and influence tumorigenesis in a context-dependent fashion. Interestingly, Ptprd loss in the context of wild-type $pl6^{Ink4a}$ demonstrated better survival. Staining for TUNEL, a marker for cell death, showed that increased cell death does not explain the better survival. Nevertheless, it is clear that in the context of our mouse model, $p16^{lnk4a}$

Fig. 4. Ptprd loss does not promote increased cell proliferation. (A) Representative MRI images of Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-} (n = 6), Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 10), and Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/−} (n = 9) tumors from mice that were stereotactically injected with DF-1 cells expressing RCAS-PDGFB. The presence of a tumor was confirmed by histology. (B) Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} mice show a trend toward having larger tumors (defined as >0.7 mm³). Quantification of tumor size from MRI images. (C) Ptprd loss does not increase levels of cell proliferation in gliomas. No significant differences in the levels of K_i67 were observed within the tumor cells of Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-} (n = 7), Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 6), and Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 6) mice. K_i67 flow cytometry analysis was performed on GFP⁺ tumor cells from RCAS PDGFB-GFP tumors. (D) Ptprd loss does not alter the levels of cell death in gliomas. Quantification of immunohistochemistry results show that no significant differences in the levels of TUNEL staining were observed in the tumors of stereotactically injected $Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-}$ (n = 5), $Ptprd^{+/-}$ $p16^{-/-}$ (n = 10), and Pt $prd^{-/-}p16^{-/-}$ (n = 9) mice.

Fig. 5. Heterozygous loss of Ptprd activates immune programs and influences the macrophage response. (A) Immune response gene-expression pathways are activated in Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{−/−} tumors. Gene-expression microarray analysis was performed on GFP⁺ tumor cells from Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{−/−} (n = 2), Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{−/−} (n = 2), and Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 3) mice. A selection of the top activated pathways enriched in the differentially expressed genes of Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-} vs. Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-}
and Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-} (n = 3) mice. A selection of tumors are shown, false-discovery rate P < 0.05. (B) Expression of chemokines that promote M2 polarization of macrophages is increased in Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} tumors. Fold-change of chemokine expression normalized to mean of Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-} expression from microarray analysis. Error bars = 1 SD. Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/-} (n = 2), Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/-} (n = 2), and Ptprd^{-/−}p16^{-/−} (n = 3). *Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} vs. Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{-/−} P < 0.05. (C) Examples of tumors stained with the Iba1 macrophage marker. Arrows indicate locations of amoeboid macrophages. Amoeboid morphology is enriched in large tumors and ramified macrophages mainly occur in smaller tumors (large tumors defined as >0.7 mm³ tumor volume). Examples from Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{−/−} mice (amoeboid) and Ptprd^{+/+}p16^{−/−} mice (ramified). (Scale bars, 100 μm.) (D) Representative images of Iba1 and p-Stat3 immunofluorescence on tumors from indicated genotypes. Green, Iba1; blue, DAPI; red, p-Stat3. (Large image scale bars, 20 μm.) White arrows indicate cells with nuclear p-Stat3 and cytoplasmic Iba1 and are enlarged (Insets) (Scale bars, 10 μm.) (E) Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} large tumors have greater p-Stat3 expression in Iba1⁺ macrophages. Metamorph quantification of Iba1⁺ and p-Stat3⁺ cells, *Ptprd^{+/−}p16^{-/−} vs. Ptprd^{-/-}p16^{-/-} (large tumors) $P < 0.05$.

loss is required for enhancing tumorigenesis. These results are consistent with the high level of concordance between genetic events targeting the two genes in human GBM.

Perhaps most interesting were our observations pertaining to Ptprd gene dosage: poorer survival, altered gene expression, increased p-Stat3, and increased production of chemokines all occurred to a greater extent when Ptprd was heterozygous. This finding suggests that heterozygous loss of PTPRD is sufficient to promote tumorigenesis in the setting of CDKN2A deletion. However, our findings were somewhat unexpected, because a classic haploinsufficient tumor suppressor typically produces at least equivalent (or more severe) functional impact in the homozygous setting. In contrast, complete abrogation of Ptprd resulted in a paradoxical decrease in intensity of observable phenotypes, which suggests that PTPRD dosage is critical. It is possible that complete abrogation of PTPRD ultimately leads to activation of a negative-feedback loop in STAT3 signaling, which is what down-regulates the pathway. Indeed, negative feedback is well established in STAT3 signaling and can exist at many levels. In our mouse models, we may be observing the consequences of negative-feedback inhibition when tonic Stat3 hyperactivation exceeds a threshold, as previously observed (34–36). Furthermore, STAT3 signaling pathways are subject to significant crosstalk. It is possible that when both alleles of PTPRD are deleted, other partially redundant phosphatases are induced and bring p-STAT3 levels down to below that found in PTPRD heterozygous tumors (37). However, we measured the gene-expression levels of other tyrosine phosphatases in our microarray to determine if these changes were occurring at the transcriptional level. No significant differences were observed between the

genotypes, suggesting that compensation by other tyrosine phosphatases may be occurring at the posttranslational level. Additional work will need to be done to elucidate the intricacies of these signaling pathways. Nevertheless, our data provide one potential explanation of why the vast majority of PTPRD genetic alterations (both somatic mutation and copy number loss) in human cancers are heterozygous.

Another intriguing and novel aspect of our study was that PTPRD loss appears to act not primarily by promoting cell division or blocking differentiation, but by altering the tumor microenvironment. Our gene-expression analysis of the tumor cells demonstrated that activation of genetic programs governing immune response and macrophage response were at play. More specifically, $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ tumors had activated genes involved in up-regulation of several chemokines, all of which promote M2 polarization (23–26). Macrophages can enhance tumor cell survival by promoting tumor growth, invasion, or immunosuppression (27–29, 38–40). In addition, recent work by Pyonteck et al. showed that RCAS PDGFB gliomas have tumor-associated macrophages, and that inhibiting their polarization state can significantly improve survival (30). Several studies demonstrate that p-Stat3 within macrophages polarizes them to a M2 tumorpromoting state (28, 33). We show that $Ptprd^{+/-}p16^{-/-}$ tumors had higher levels of p-Stat3 in macrophages, demonstrating that Ptprd loss can affect p-Stat3 accumulation in the macrophages and may alter the macrophage state.

In summary, we show that Ptprd loss with Cdkn2a/p16 Ink4a deletion can promote gliomagenesis. These findings have substantial implications for our understanding of a commonly mutated tumor suppressor gene as well as for our comprehension of the novel mechanisms that can be used to promote gliomagenesis.

Materials and Methods

See [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1401952111/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201401952SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT) for full methods.

Mouse Model. P16^{Ink4a−/−};Nestin-tvA mice were kindly provided by Eric Holland (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY) (41, 42). Ptprd^{+/-} mice were generously provided by Michael Tremblay (McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada) (43). All mice experiments were performed under Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval.

Sorted GFP⁺ tumor cells from mice injected with RCAS PDGF-GFP were extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen). PCR was performed with the following Ptprd genotyping primers: 5′-GGTGAAGTGTG-ACCAGTATTGGCC3′, 5′CTGGAATTGTCTCACTTTCCTC-3′, and 5′-GACTGCCTT-GGGAAAAGCGCCTCC-3′. Standard PCR procedures were performed with the following reaction buffer: 1 M (NH₄)2SO₄, 2 M Tris, pH 8.8, 1 M MgCl₂, and 14.4 M B-mercaptoethanol.

Cell Culture and RCAS Virus. RCAS retrovirus was propagated in chicken DF-1 cells (ATCC, CRL-12203). The transfection of DF-1 cells with RCAS vectors were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). Intracranial injec-

- 1. Stupp R, et al.; European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumor and Radiotherapy Groups; National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group (2005) Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 352(10):987–996.
- 2. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2008) Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. Nature 455(7216): 1061–1068.
- 3. Verhaak RG, et al.; Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2010) Integrated genomic analysis identifies clinically relevant subtypes of glioblastoma characterized by abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1. Cancer Cell 17(1):98–110.
- 4. Parsons DW, et al. (2008) An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. Science 321(5897):1807–1812.
- 5. Veeriah S, et al. (2009) The tyrosine phosphatase PTPRD is a tumor suppressor that is frequently inactivated and mutated in glioblastoma and other human cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(23):9435–9440.
- 6. Solomon DA, et al. (2008) Mutational inactivation of PTPRD in glioblastoma multiforme and malignant melanoma. Cancer Res 68(24):10300–10306.
- 7. Boeva V, et al. (2013) Breakpoint features of genomic rearrangements in neuroblastoma with unbalanced translocations and chromothripsis. PLoS ONE 8(8): e72182.
- 8. Du Y, et al. (2013) Polymorphism in protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor delta is associated with the risk of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Gene 512(1):64–69.
- 9. Meehan M, et al. (2012) Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor delta acts as a neuroblastoma tumor suppressor by destabilizing the aurora kinase A oncogene. Mol Cancer 11:6.
- 10. Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2012) Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 490(7418):61–70.
- 11. Ostman A, Hellberg C, Böhmer FD (2006) Protein-tyrosine phosphatases and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 6(4):307–320.
- 12. Brantley EC, Benveniste EN (2008) Signal transducer and activator of transcription-3: A molecular hub for signaling pathways in gliomas. Mol Cancer Res 6(5): 675–684.
- 13. Carro MS, et al. (2010) The transcriptional network for mesenchymal transformation of brain tumours. Nature 463(7279):318–325.
- 14. Bournazou E, Bromberg J (2013) Targeting the tumor microenvironment: JAK-STAT3 signaling. JAK-STAT 2(2):e23828.
- 15. Sherr CJ (2001) The INK4a/ARF network in tumour suppression. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2(10):731–737.
- 16. Beroukhim R, et al. (2010) The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. Nature 463(7283):899–905.
- 17. Uhrbom L, Holland EC (2001) Modeling gliomagenesis with somatic cell gene transfer using retroviral vectors. J Neurooncol 53(3):297–305.
- 18. Hambardzumyan D, Amankulor NM, Helmy KY, Becher OJ, Holland EC (2009) Modeling adult gliomas using RCAS/t-va technology. Transl Oncol 2(2):89–95.
- 19. Orsulic S (2002) An RCAS-TVA-based approach to designer mouse models. Mamm Genome 13(10):543–547.
- 20. Dai C, et al. (2001) PDGF autocrine stimulation dedifferentiates cultured astrocytes and induces oligodendrogliomas and oligoastrocytomas from neural progenitors and astrocytes in vivo. Genes Dev 15(15):1913–1925.
- 21. Louis DN, et al. (2007) The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol 114(2):97–109.
- 22. Bleau AM, et al. (2009) PTEN/PI3K/Akt pathway regulates the side population phenotype and ABCG2 activity in glioma tumor stem-like cells. Cell Stem Cell 4(3): 226–235.

tions into neonatal mice were used to introduce DF-1 cells expressing RCAS virus as described previously (44). RCAS-PDGF-HA and RCAS-PDGF-GFP viral expression plasmids were a gift from Eric Holland (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY) and have been previously described (20, 45). Mice were monitored daily and killed upon demonstration of brain tumor symptoms (hydrocephalus, hunched, or seizure) or at 16 wk of age.

Stereotactic injections of DF-1 cells propagating RCAS-PDGF-HA virus was performed in adult mice 7–10 wk old. Injections into the subventricular zone were performed as described previously (18). The following coordinates for the subventricular zone were used: Bregma 0 mm, lateral right of midline −0.5 mm, and depth of 1.5 mm from the dural surface. Mice were monitored daily and killed upon demonstration of brain tumor symptoms or at 23-wk postinjection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Daniel Ciznadija and Sevin Turcan for helpful advice; Afsar Barlas, Dmitry Yarilin, Sho Fujisawa, and Ke Xu of the Molecular Cytology Core Facility at MSKCC for immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence staining and analysis; and the MSKCC Comparative Pathology Core Facility, the MSKCC Research Animal Resource Center, the MSKCC Small Imaging Core Facility, the MSKCC Genomics Core Facility, and the MSKCC Flow Cytometry Sorting Core Facility for excellent technical assistance. This project was supported by Grants F31CA171566 (to B.O.) and R01CA154767 (to T.A.C.) from the National Institutes of Health. Partial support was provided by the Molecular Cytology Core Facility Grant P30CA008748.

- 23. Roca H, et al. (2009) CCL2 and interleukin-6 promote survival of human CD11b+ peripheral blood mononuclear cells and induce M2-type macrophage polarization. J Biol Chem 284(49):34342–34354.
- 24. Murray PJ, Wynn TA (2011) Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol 11(11):723–737.
- 25. Gabrusiewicz K, et al. (2011) Characteristics of the alternative phenotype of microglia/ macrophages and its modulation in experimental gliomas. PLoS ONE 6(8):e23902.
- 26. Movahedi K, et al. (2010) Different tumor microenvironments contain functionally distinct subsets of macrophages derived from Ly6C(high) monocytes. Cancer Res 70(14): 5728–5739.
- 27. da Fonseca AC, Badie B (2013) Microglia and macrophages in malignant gliomas: Recent discoveries and implications for promising therapies. Clin Dev Immunol 2013: 264124.
- 28. Li W, Graeber MB (2012) The molecular profile of microglia under the influence of glioma. Neuro-oncol 14(8):958–978.
- 29. Hao NB, et al. (2012) Macrophages in tumor microenvironments and the progression of tumors. Clin Dev Immunol 2012:948098.
- 30. Pyonteck SM, et al. (2013) CSF-1R inhibition alters macrophage polarization and blocks glioma progression. Nat Med 19(10):1264–1272.
- 31. Sliwa M, et al. (2007) The invasion promoting effect of microglia on glioblastoma cells is inhibited by cyclosporin A. Brain 130(Pt 2):476–489.
- 32. Hanisch UK, Kettenmann H (2007) Microglia: Active sensor and versatile effector cells in the normal and pathologic brain. Nat Neurosci 10(11):1387–1394.
- 33. Zhang L, et al. (2009) Stat3 inhibition activates tumor macrophages and abrogates glioma growth in mice. Glia 57(13):1458–1467.
- 34. Ernst MB, et al. (2009) Enhanced Stat3 activation in POMC neurons provokes negative feedback inhibition of leptin and insulin signaling in obesity. J Neurosci 29(37):11582– 11593.
- 35. Trilling M, et al. (2014) "Activated" STAT proteins: A paradoxical consequence of inhibited JAK-STAT signaling in cytomegalovirus-infected cells. J Immunol 192(1):447–458.
- 36. Nichane M, Ren X, Bellefroid EJ (2010) Self-regulation of Stat3 activity coordinates cell-cycle progression and neural crest specification. EMBO J 29(1):55–67.
- 37. Zhang X, et al. (2007) Identification of STAT3 as a substrate of receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase T. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104(10):4060–4064.
- 38. Daginakatte GC, Gutmann DH (2007) Neurofibromatosis-1 (Nf1) heterozygous brain microglia elaborate paracrine factors that promote Nf1-deficient astrocyte and glioma growth. Hum Mol Genet 16(9):1098–1112.
- 39. Simmons GW, et al. (2011) Neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygosity increases microglia in a spatially and temporally restricted pattern relevant to mouse optic glioma formation and growth. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 70(1):51–62.
- 40. Pong WW, Higer SB, Gianino SM, Emnett RJ, Gutmann DH (2013) Reduced microglial CX3CR1 expression delays neurofibromatosis-1 glioma formation. Ann Neurol 73(2): 303–308.
- 41. Uhrbom L, Hesselager G, Nistér M, Westermark B (1998) Induction of brain tumors in mice using a recombinant platelet-derived growth factor B-chain retrovirus. Cancer Res 58(23):5275–5279.
- 42. Tchougounova E, et al. (2007) Loss of Arf causes tumor progression of PDGFB-induced oligodendroglioma. Oncogene 26(43):6289–6296.
- 43. Uetani N, et al. (2000) Impaired learning with enhanced hippocampal long-term potentiation in PTPdelta-deficient mice. EMBO J 19(12):2775–2785.
- 44. Liu Y, et al. (2007) Somatic cell type specific gene transfer reveals a tumor-promoting function for p21(Waf1/Cip1). EMBO J 26(22):4683–4693.
- 45. Becher OJ, et al. (2008) Gli activity correlates with tumor grade in platelet-derived growth factor-induced gliomas. Cancer Res 68(7):2241–2249.