

Insects Represent a Link between Food Animal Farms and the Urban Environment for Antibiotic Resistance Traits

Ludek Zurek,a,b Anuradha Ghosh^a

Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine,^a and Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture,^b Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

Antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections result in higher patient mortality rates, prolonged hospitalizations, and increased health care costs. Extensive use of antibiotics as growth promoters in the animal industry represents great pressure for evolution and selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria on farms. Despite growing evidence showing that antibiotic use and bacterial resistance in food animals correlate with resistance in human pathogens, the proof for direct transmission of antibiotic resistance is difficult to provide. In this review, we make a case that insects commonly associated with food animals likely represent a direct and important link between animal farms and urban communities for antibiotic resistance traits. Houseflies and cockroaches have been shown to carry multidrug-resistant clonal lineages of bacteria identical to those found in animal manure. Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated proliferation of bacteria and horizontal transfer of resistance genes in the insect digestive tract as well as transmission of resistant bacteria by insects to new substrates. We propose that insect management should be an integral part of pre- and postharvest food safety strategies to minimize spread of zoonotic pathogens and antibiotic resistance traits from animal farms. Furthermore, the insect link between the agricultural and urban environment presents an additional argument for adopting prudent use of antibiotics in the food animal industry.

Antibiotic resistance has become a serious global public health problem; reduced effectiveness of antibiotics results in higher patient mortality rates, prolonged hospitalizations, and increased health care costs $(1-4)$ $(1-4)$ $(1-4)$. The annual cost to the U.S. health care system from antibiotic-resistant infections is estimated to be between \$21 and \$34 billion, which includes more than 8 million additional hospital days [\(5\)](#page-3-3). In a recent report entitled *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States*, published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [\(6\)](#page-3-4), it was estimated that 2 million people become infected with bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics and at least 23,000 people die as a direct result of these infections in the United States each year. This problem has been recognized in the clinical community, and efforts for more prudent use of antibiotics are under way [\(7,](#page-3-5) [8\)](#page-3-6).

ANTIBIOTICS AND ANIMAL AGRICULTURE

Antibiotic-producing and antibiotic-resistant bacteria are commonly found in various soil environments [\(9\)](#page-3-7). However, extensive use of antibiotics, especially as growth promoters, in the animal industry has resulted in great pressure for evolution and selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the food animal environment [\(10](#page-3-8)[–](#page-3-9)[15\)](#page-3-10). As a result, food animals and animal production environments have become reservoirs for antibiotic-resistant strains that are released to the environment in animal feces and then potentially spread to surrounding habitats [\(16](#page-3-11)[–](#page-3-12)[23\)](#page-3-13). Despite a growing body of evidence that antibiotic use in animals correlates with resistance in human pathogens [\(24](#page-3-14)[–](#page-4-0)[30\)](#page-4-1), direct proof for movement of antibiotic resistance traits between agricultural and urban environments is difficult to provide. Nonetheless, all countries in the European Union adopted the precautionary principle and banned the use of all antibiotics as growth promoters in animal agriculture in 2006 [\(31\)](#page-4-2). Although the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has recently taken the first step to reduce the use of medically important antibiotics for enhancing animal growth [\(32\)](#page-4-3), with one notable exception (a ban on use of fluoroquinolones in poultry in 2005) [\(33\)](#page-4-4), no such policy has been implemented in the United States yet, partly because of the argument made by the food animal industry pointing to the lack of data that demonstrate a direct connection between animal farms and public health for antibiotic-resistant strains.

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE AND INSECTS

While insects are numerous and diverse in many environments, their potential to play a role in the ecology of antibiotic resistance traits has not been recognized, with a few exceptions. Tian et al. [\(34\)](#page-4-5) used a metagenomic approach to screen for antibiotic resistance in bacteria from the gut of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) and showed an accumulation of mobile genes coding for resistance to tetracycline and oxytetracyline that were closely related to genes from strains pathogenic to humans. Allen et al. [\(35\)](#page-4-6) reported several antibiotic resistance determinants from the midgut bacteria of gypsy moth larvae (*Lymantria dispar* L.), and Lowe and Romney [\(36\)](#page-4-7) authored a highly publicized but rather limited study where they isolated vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from five human bedbugs (*Cimex lectularius* L.) in Vancouver, Canada. Antibiotic-resistant enterococci were also isolated from stored-product beetles collected from a feed mill, a grain storage silo, and a retail store [\(37\)](#page-4-8). A few other studies showed that Mexican fruit flies (*Anastrepha ludens* L.) from laboratory-reared colonies [\(38\)](#page-4-9), oil fly (*Helaeomyia petrolei* L.) larvae from asphalt

Published ahead of print 4 April 2014

Editor: V. Müller

Address correspondence to Ludek Zurek, lzurek@ksu.edu.

This is contribution 14-332-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station. Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. [doi:10.1128/AEM.00600-14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00600-14)

seeps [\(39\)](#page-4-10), and cockroaches (*Periplaneta americana* L. and *Blattella germanica* L.) from food-handling facilities, households, and a hospital [\(40,](#page-4-11) [41\)](#page-4-12) are carriers of antibiotic resistance traits.

Livestock insects and food-borne pathogens. With continuing urban expansion into agriculturally zoned areas, the concern in the public health community about insect pests, such as flies and cockroaches, associated with animal production has increased because of the capacity of these insects to spread zoonotic foodborne pathogens (reviewed in references [42](#page-4-13) and [43\)](#page-4-14). For example, in Japan, houseflies (*Musca domestica* L.) were implicated in transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from reservoir animals to other animals and humans [\(44\)](#page-4-15). Houseflies and blowflies collected from dumpsters of urban restaurants were shown to carry *Cronobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes* [\(45\)](#page-4-16). Alam and Zurek [\(46\)](#page-4-17) reported *E. coli* O157:H7 from the digestive tract of houseflies collected in a cattle feedlot from feed bunks and cattle feed storage, and they suggested that houseflies in cattle farms play a role in the dissemination of this food-borne pathogen. In the same study, those authors also showed that 95% of houseflies sampled were positive for fecal coliforms in their gut at levels ranging from 3.0 \times 10¹ to 3.0 \times 10⁶ CFU/fly. The large number of fecal coliforms in houseflies indicates a potential to harbor other zoonotic pathogens. In a subsequent study, calves were individually exposed for 48 h to houseflies that were orally inoculated with a mixture of four strains of nalidixic acid-resistant *E. coli* O157:H7 [\(47\)](#page-4-18). Rectal sampling of fresh cattle feces showed the presence of nalidixic acid-resistant *E. coli* O157:H7 strains until the end of the study (11 days after fly exposure), with a concentration as high as 10^6 CFU/g, demonstrating the capability of houseflies not only to carry this pathogen but actually transmit *E. coli* O157:H7 to the cattle digestive tract through contamination of feed and water and/or direct contact with animals [\(47\)](#page-4-18).

Livestock insects as carriers of antibiotic resistance traits. (i) Food animal environments. Many antibiotics used as growth promoters are poorly absorbed in the animal digestive tract and are therefore released to the environment in animal feces [\(19,](#page-3-15) [20,](#page-3-16) [22\)](#page-3-12). At the same time, organic waste in and around animal production facilities provides an excellent habitat for the development of insects such as houseflies and stable flies (*Stomoxys calcitrans* L.). In addition, some animal facilities (e.g., confined swine production facilities) provide a new and ideal habitat for insects that are typically considered urban pests, particularly German cockroaches (*Blattella germanica* L.) [\(48\)](#page-4-19). As a consequence, the likelihood that the livestock insect pests acquire and carry bacteria with antibiotic resistance traits is high [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). Insects such as houseflies and German cockroaches have a great potential to disseminate fecal bacteria because of their developmental habitat, unrestricted movement, mode of feeding, strong attraction to human food, and synanthropic nature [\(42,](#page-4-13) [43\)](#page-4-14).

The first report on the potential of flies to acquire antibioticresistant *Escherichia coli* from food animals (swine and cattle) was published in 1990 by Marshall et al. [\(49\)](#page-4-20). The Australian bush fly (*Musca vetustissima*) was reported to be a carrier of multidrugresistant *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. on a cattle farm and in urban areas in Australia [\(50\)](#page-4-21). Literak et al. [\(51\)](#page-4-22) found that houseflies from two swine operations in the Czech Republic carried *E. coli* with the same antibiotic resistance patterns and genotypic profiles as those from swine manure. The same group isolated *E. coli* organisms with the same antibiotic resistance phenotypes and genetic backgrounds from both flies and manure from a dairy farm [\(52\)](#page-4-23). Usui et al. [\(53\)](#page-4-24) sampled flies (houseflies and false stable flies) and cattle feces from a cattle farm in Japan and found 14.3% (13/91) of houseflies, 10.3% (7/68) of false stable flies, and 7.5% (7/93) of cattle feces were positive for strains of *E. coli* that were resistant to a third-generation cephalosporin and that contained transferable plasmids carrying the *bla*_{CTX-M-15} gene. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)-based genotypic analysis indicated that the flies carried the same *E. coli* clones that were detected in cattle feces. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *E. coli* was also isolated from houseflies and blowflies from two poultry farms in Netherlands, and the genetic background of these isolates was identical to that of ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates from the chicken manure [\(54\)](#page-4-25). In a study from poultry farms in the United States, houseflies collected at and near confined chicken operations carried antibiotic-resistant enterococci that matched genotypically and phenotypically those from poultry litter [\(55\)](#page-4-26).

Our research team has focused on the association of insects and antibiotic-resistant enterococci in several studies. We compared enterococci from houseflies, German cockroaches, and pig feces from two commercial swine operations in Kansas and North Car-olina [\(56\)](#page-4-27). Enterococci were detected in the majority ($>89\%$) of all samples, and multidrug-resistant (mainly to tetracycline and erythromycin) enterococci were common from all three sources. Genotypic PFGE analysis of selected *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates demonstrated that cockroaches and houseflies shared the same enterococcal clones that were detected in the swine manure, indicating that insects acquired enterococci from swine manure [\(56\)](#page-4-27). The above studies demonstrated that insects on farms commonly carry the same clonal lineages of multidrugresistant bacteria that are found in animal feces.

(ii) Urban environments. Previous studies using fly traps and multilocus DNA fingerprinting reported random dispersal (up to 125 km) of houseflies from poultry and cattle farms [\(57,](#page-4-28) [58\)](#page-4-29). We screened the digestive tracts of houseflies collected at five fast food restaurants in a town in northeastern Kansas and found that antibiotic-resistant enterococci were common [\(59\)](#page-4-30). The majority (97%) of flies were positive for enterococci, with a mean CFU of 10³ per fly. *Enterococcus faecalis* was the most abundant species (88.2%) and harbored resistance to tetracycline (66.3% of isolates), erythromycin (23.8%), streptomycin (11.6%), ciprofloxacin (9.9%), and kanamycin (8.3%). In addition, the conjugative transposon Tn*916* and members of the Tn*916/*Tn*1545* family, which are frequently involved in the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance traits during bacterial conjugation, were common and detected in 30.2% and 34.6% of the identified isolates, respectively [\(59\)](#page-4-30). Our subsequent study showed that ready-to-eat food from the same restaurants was commonly contaminated with antibiotic-resistant enterococci [\(60\)](#page-4-31). Overall, the concentration of enterococci throughout the year averaged \sim 10³ CFU/g, with a greater prevalence during the summer than the winter. The higher prevalence of enterococcal contamination among food samples in summer correlated with housefly activity. Enterococci from summer samples were resistant to tetracycline (22.8% of isolates), erythromycin (22.1%) , and kanamycin (13.0%) [\(60\)](#page-4-31). These studies implied that food served in restaurants is commonly contaminated with antibiotic-resistant enterococci and that houseflies may play a role in this contamination.

Most recently, we assessed the prevalence of enterococci in houseflies collected from four municipal wastewater treatment

Insect	Bacterial species	Antibiotic resistance profile ^a	Environment(s)	Reference
Cockroaches (Dictyoptera) German cockroach (Blattella germanica)	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus hirae, Enterococcus casseliflavus	AMP, CHL, CIP, ERY, KAN, STR, TET	Swine farms	56
Flies (Diptera) Housefly (Musca domestica)	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus casseliflavus	CIP, ERY, KAN, STR, TET	Fast-food restaurants	59
Housefly (Musca domestica) Blowfly (Lucilia spp.) Bottle fly (Phaenicia spp.)	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus spp.	CLN, ERY, PEN, SYN, TET	Poultry farms	55
Housefly (Musca domestica)	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus hirae, Enterococcus casseliflavus	AMP, CHL, CIP, ERY, KAN, STR, TET	Swine farms	56
Housefly (Musca domestica)	Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium	DOX, ERY, GEN, STR, TET	Wastewater treatment facilities	61
Housefly (Musca domestica)	Escherichia coli O157:H7	AMP, CER, CTE, GEN, NEO, OXY, SPC, SXT	Cattle farm	46
Housefly (Musca domestica)	Escherichia coli	AMP, STR, SUL, TET	Swine farms	51
Housefly (Musca domestica) Stable fly (Stomoxys calcitrans)	Escherichia coli	AMP, AMX, CHL, CEP, CIP, GEN, NAL, SUL, STR, SXT, TET	Dairy cattle farm	52
Housefly (Musca domestica) False stable fly (Muscina stabulans)	Escherichia coli	AMP, CED, CEZ, STR, TET, TRM	Cattle farm	53
Housefly (Musca domestica) Blowfly (Lucilia spp.)	Escherichia coli	CAZ, CEF	Poultry farms	54
Australian bush fly (Musca vetustissima)	Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Shigella spp.	AMX, CLR, ROX	Cattle farm, urban area, outdoor eateries	50

TABLE 1 Insects with antibiotic-resistant bacteria from food animal production farms and surrounding urban environments

^a AMP, ampicillin; AMX, amoxicillin; CAZ, ceftazidime; CED, cefpodoxime; CEF, cefotaxime; CEP, cephalotin; CER, ceftiofur; CEZ, cefazolin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CLN, clindamycin; CLR, cefaclor; CTE, chlortetracycline; DOX, doxycycline; ERY, erythromycin; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; NEO, neomycin; OXY, oxytetracycline; PEN, penicillin; ROX, roxythromycin; SPC, spectinomycin; STR, streptomycin; SUL, sulfonamides; SXT, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; SYN, quinupristin-dalfopristin; TET, tetracycline; TRM, trimethoprim.

facilities (WWTF), as these sites are another potential source of antibiotic-resistant strains. Interestingly, the highest prevalence of multidrug-resistant enterococci was detected from a WWTF (sludge and associated houseflies) that processed the waste from a nearby sausage factory, pointing again to animal agriculture as a source of these bacteria [\(61\)](#page-5-0). Genotypic analysis (PFGE) revealed the same clones of *E. faecalis* present in the waste and the housefly digestive tract. Doud et al. [\(61\)](#page-5-0) also collected houseflies from residential environments (restaurant, apartment complex, mobile homes) close to (0.7 to 2.0 km) one of the WWTF and found similar antibiotic resistance profiles in *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, although at a lower prevalence and with no clonal matches to enterococci isolated directly from the WWTF environment.

Bacterial proliferation in the insect digestive tract and transmission of bacteria by insects. Bacterial proliferation and transfer during insect feeding has been demonstrated previously in houseflies for *E. coli* [\(62,](#page-5-1) [63\)](#page-5-2). We used green fluorescent protein-labeled *E. faecalis* OG1RF:pMV158 to track the fate of this bacterium in the digestive tract of houseflies and to assess the vector potential of this insect for *E. faecalis* [\(64\)](#page-5-3). Analysis of viable fluorescing cells within various gut components across several time points revealed the highest bacterial count in the midgut in the first few hours (1 to 4 h) after feeding and this count declined gradually, while the CFU peaked in the fly foregut (crop) after 48 h and remained high until the end (96 h) of the experiment. This suggested that *E. faecalis* was digested in the midgut but proliferated in the fly crop (64) . Bacterial proliferation in the housefly crop and digestion in the midgut have also been reported for *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [\(65,](#page-5-4) [66\)](#page-5-5). This is important because the content of the crop, including associated bacteria, is typically re-

leased on a food source via housefly regurgitation during feeding [\(42,](#page-4-13) [67\)](#page-5-6). Both drinking water and feed (flaked corn) sampled at the end of the assay were contaminated by fluorescing *E. faecalis*, demonstrating that the flies disseminated *E. faecalis* to their surroundings [\(64\)](#page-5-3). Furthermore, we also directly assessed the ability of houseflies to contaminate ready-to-eat food with enterococci under laboratory conditions [\(68\)](#page-5-7). Within 30 min, exposure of as few as five flies collected from a cattle feedlot resulted in an average \sim 10³ CFU/g of enterococcal deposit on the food (beef patty from a hamburger) [\(68\)](#page-5-7). These studies further support the notion that houseflies can act not only as a mechanical but also as a bioenhanced vector for bacteria, and they have great potential to contaminate substrates with microbes during feeding and by defecation.

Livestock insects and horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance traits. In addition to bacterial proliferation in the digestive tract of houseflies, the potential for horizontal transfer of genes coding for toxins and antibiotic resistance among bacteria was also evaluated. Petridis et al. [\(69\)](#page-5-8) observed relatively frequent transfer $(10^{-3}$ to 10^{-2} transconjugants per donor) of genes for chloramphenicol resistance and the Shiga toxin among strains of *E. coli* in both the midgut and crop of houseflies 1 h postfeeding. Our study showed that the tetracycline resistance gene [*tet*(M)] on a pheromone-responsive plasmid, pCF10, was frequently transferred between *E. faecalis* strains in the housefly mouth parts and digestive tract [\(70\)](#page-5-9). The transfer occurred within 24 h after exposure, with a transconjugant/donor rate from 8.6 \times 10⁻⁵ to 4.5 \times 10¹. The implications of these studies are significant to public and animal health, as they point to the ability of bacteria to actively share toxins and antibiotic resistance genes within the housefly gut beyond what is consumed initially by the fly and beyond simple bacterial proliferation.

CONCLUSIONS

The above studies demonstrate the following: (i) the association of multidrug-resistant bacterial strains of food animal origin with flies and cockroaches, (ii) bacterial proliferation and horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in the insect digestive tract, and (iii) the potential of these insects to transmit multidrug-resistant bacteria from food animals to the urban environment. We propose that integrated pest management should be incorporated into pre- and postharvest food safety programs to minimize spread of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains. In addition, the insect link between agricultural and urban environments presents another reason for implementation of prudent use of antibiotics in the food animal industry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank David Margolies for comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Hall BG.** 2004. Predicting the evolution of antibiotic resistance genes. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **2:**430 – 435. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro888.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro888)
- 2. **Arias CA, Murray BE.** 2009. Antibiotic-resistant bugs in the 21st century: a clinical super-challenge. N. Engl. J. Med. **360:**439 – 443. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp0804651) [/10.1056/NEJMp0804651.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp0804651)
- 3. **Roberts RR, Hota B, Ahmad I, Scott RD, Foster SD, Abbasi F, Schabowski S, Kampe LM, Ciavarella GG, Supino M, Naples J, Cordell R, Levy SB, Weinstein RA.** 2009. Hospital and societal costs of antimicrobial-resistant infections in a Chicago teaching hospital: implications for antibiotic stewardship. Clin. Infect. Dis. **49:**1175–1184. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/605630) [.org/10.1086/605630.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/605630)
- 4. **Udwadia ZF, Amale RA, Ajbani KK, Rodrigues C.** 2012. Totally drugresistant tuberculosis in India. Clin. Infect. Dis. **54:**579 –581. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir889) [.org/10.1093/cid/cir889.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir889)
- 5. **Spellberg B, Blaser M, Guidos RJ, Boucher HW, Bradley JS, Eisenstein BI, Gerding D, Lynfield R, Reller LB, Rex J, Schwartz D, Septimus E, Tenover FC, Gilbert DN.** 2011. Combating antimicrobial resistance: policy recommendations to save lives. Clin. Infect. Dis. **52:**S397–S428. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir153.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir153)
- 6. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.** 2013. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2013. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. [http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2](http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2013/pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf) [013/pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf.](http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2013/pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf)
- 7. **Rice LB.** 2009. The clinical consequences of antimicrobial resistance. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **12:**476–481. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2009.08.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2009.08.001)
- 8. **Carlet J, Jarlier V, Harbarth S, Voss A, Goossens H, Pittet D, the Participants of the 3rd World Healthcare-Associated Infections Forum.** 2012. Ready for a world without antibiotics? The Pensières antibiotic resistance call to action. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control **1:**11. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-1-11) [.doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-1-11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-1-11)
- 9. **Dantas G, Sommer MO, Oluwasegun RD, Church GM.** 2008. Bacteria subsisting on antibiotics. Science **4:**100 –103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1155157) [/science.1155157.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1155157)
- 10. **Cohen ML.** 2000. Changing patterns of infectious disease. Nature **406:** 762–767. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35021206.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35021206)
- 11. **van den Bogaard AE, Stobberingh EE.** 2000. Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics: links between animals and humans. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents **14:**327–335. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579\(00\)00145-X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579(00)00145-X)
- 12. **Levy SB.** 2002. The 2000 Garrod Lecture. Factors impacting on the problem of antibiotic resistance. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **49:**25–30. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/49.1.25) [//dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/49.1.25.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/49.1.25)
- 13. **Kümmerer K.** 2004. Resistance in the environment. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **54:**311–320. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh325.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh325)
- 14. **Aarestrup FM, Wegener HC, Collignon P.** 2008. Resistance in bacteria of the food chain: epidemiology and control strategies. Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. **6:**733–750. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14787210.6.5.733.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14787210.6.5.733)
- 15. **Marshall BM, Levy SB.** 2011. Food animals and antimicrobials: impacts on human health. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. **24:**718 –733. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00002-11) [.1128/CMR.00002-11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00002-11)
- 16. **Aarestrup FM, Agerso Y, Gerner-Smidt P, Madsen M, Jensen LB.** 2000. Comparison of antimicrobial resistance phenotypes and resistance genes in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* from humans in the community, broilers, and pigs in Denmark. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. **37:** 127–137. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0732-8893\(00\)00130-9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0732-8893(00)00130-9)
- 17. **Garcia-Migura L, Pleydell E, Barnes S, Davies RH, Liebana E.** 2005. Characterization of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from broiler poultry and pig farms in England and Wales. J. Clin. Microbiol. **43:**3283–3279. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.7.3283-3289.2005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.7.3283-3289.2005)
- 18. **Aarestrup FM (ed).** 2006. The origin, evolution, and local and global dissemination of antimicrobial resistance, p 339 –360. *In* Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria of animal origin. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 19. **Binh CTT, Heuer H, Kaupenjohann M, Smalla K.** 2008. Piggery manure used for soil fertilization is a reservoir for transferable antibiotic resistance plasmids. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **66:**25–37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00526.x) [.1574-6941.2008.00526.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00526.x)
- 20. **Looft T, Johnson TA, Allen HK, Bayles DO, Alt DP, Stedtfeld RD.** 2012. In-feed antibiotic effects on the swine intestinal microbiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **109:**1691–1696. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120238109) [.1073/pnas.1120238109.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120238109)
- 21. **Zheng H, Zeng Z, Chen S, Liu Y, Yao Q, Deng Y, Chen X, Lv L, Zhuo C, Chen Z, Liu JH.** 2012. Prevalence and characterisation of CTX-M -lactamases amongst *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy food animals in China. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents **39:**305–310. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.12.001) [.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.12.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.12.001)
- 22. **Zhu YG, Johnson TA, Su JQ, Qiao M, Guo GX, Stedtfeld RD, Hashsham SA, Tiedje JM.** 2013. Diverse and abundant antibiotic resistance genes in Chinese swine farms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **110:**3435– 3440. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222743110.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222743110)
- 23. **Novais C, Freitas AR, Silveira E, Antunes P, Silva R, Coque TM, Peixe L.** 2013. Spread of multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus* to animals and humans: an underestimated role for the pig farm environment. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **68:**2746 –2754. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt289.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt289)
- 24. **Winokur PL, Vonstein DL, Hoffman LJ, Uhlenhopp EK, Doern GV.** 2001. Evidence for transfer of CMY-2 AmpC beta-lactamase plasmids

between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from food animals and humans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **45:**2716 –2722. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.10.2716-2722.2001) [.org/10.1128/AAC.45.10.2716-2722.2001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.10.2716-2722.2001)

- 25. **Donabedian SM, Thal LA, Hershberger E, Perri MB, Chow JW, Bartlett P, Jones R, Joyce K, Rossiter S, Gay K, Johnson J, Mackinson C, Debess E, Madden J, Angulo F, Zervos MJ.** 2003. Molecular characterization of gentamicin-resistant enterococci in the United States: evidence of spread from animals to humans through food. J. Clin. Microbiol. **41:**1109 –1113. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.3.1109-1113.2003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.3.1109-1113.2003)
- 26. **Lee JH.** 2003. Methicillin (oxacillin)-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from major food animals and their potential transmission to humans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **69:**6489 – 6494. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.11.6489-6494.2003) [/10.1128/AEM.69.11.6489-6494.2003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.11.6489-6494.2003)
- 27. **Johnson JR, Sannes MR, Croy C, Johnston B, Clabots C, Kuskowski MA, Bender J, Smith KE, Winokur PL, Belongia EA.** 2007. Antimicrobial drug-resistant *Escherichia coli* from humans and poultry products, Minnesota and Wisconsin, 2002–2004. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **13:**838 – 846. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1306.061576.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1306.061576)
- 28. **Hammerum AM, Lester CH, Heuer OE.** 2010. Antimicrobial-resistant enterococci in animals and meat: a human health hazard? Foodborne Pathog. Dis. **7:**1137–1146. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0552.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0552)
- 29. **Spoor LE, McAdam PR, Weinert LA, Rambaut A, Hasman H, Aarestrup FM, Kearns AM, Larsen AR, Skov RL, Fitzgerald JR.** 2013. Livestock origin for a human pandemic clone of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. mBio **4**(4):e00356-13. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00356-13) [//dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00356-13.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00356-13)
- 30. **Collignon P, Aarestrup FM, Irwin R, McEwen S.** 2013. Human deaths and third-generation cephalosporin use in poultry, Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **19:**1339 –1340. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid.1908.120681.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid.1908.120681)
- 31. **European Commission.** 2005. Ban on antibiotics as growth promoters in animal feed enters into effect. European Commission document IP/05/ 1687. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium. [http://europa.eu/rapid](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-05-1687_en.htm) [/press-release_IP-05-1687_en.htm.](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-05-1687_en.htm)
- 32. **Kuehn BM.** 2014. FDA moves to curb antibiotic use in livestock. JAMA **311:**347–348. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.285704.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.285704)
- 33. **US Food and Drug Administration.** 2005. Final decision of the FDA commissioner. Withdrawal of approval of the new animal drug application for enrofloxacin in poultry. Docket 2000N-1571. Center for Veterinary Medicine, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD. [http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnounc](http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/2005/ucm108467.htm) [ements/2005/ucm108467.htm.](http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/2005/ucm108467.htm)
- 34. **Tian B, Fadhil NH, Powell JE, Kwong WK, Moran NA.** 2012. Long-term exposure to antibiotics has caused accumulation of resistance determinants in the gut microbiota of honeybees. mBio **3**(6):e00377-12. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00377-12) [.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00377-12.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00377-12)
- 35. **Allen HK, Cloud-Hansen KA, Wolinski JM, Guan C, Greene S, Lu S, Boeyink M, Broderick NA, Raffa KF, Handelsman J.** 2009. Resident microbiota of the gypsy moth midgut harbors antibiotic resistance determinants. DNA Cell Biol. **28:**109 –117. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dna.2008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dna.2008.0812) [.0812.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dna.2008.0812)
- 36. **Lowe CF, Romney MG.** 2011. Bedbugs as vectors for drug-resistant bacteria. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **17:**1132–1134. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1706.101978) [/eid1706.101978.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1706.101978)
- 37. **Channaiah LH, Subramanyam B, McKinney LJ, Zurek L.** 2010. Storedproduct insects carry antibiotic-resistant and potentially virulent enterococci. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **74:**464 – 471. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00949.x) [.1574-6941.2010.00949.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00949.x)
- 38. **Kuzina LV, Peloquin JJ, Vacek DC, Miller TA.** 2001. Isolation and identification of bacteria associated with adult laboratory Mexican fruit flies, *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Curr. Microbiol. **42:**290 – 294. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002840110219.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002840110219)
- 39. **Kadavy DR, Hornby JM, Haverkost T, Nickerson KW.** 2000. Natural antibiotic resistance of bacteria isolated from larvae of the oil fly, *Helaeomyia petrolei*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**4615– 4619. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.11.4615-4619.2000) [/10.1128/AEM.66.11.4615-4619.2000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.11.4615-4619.2000)
- 40. **Wannigama DL, Dwivedi R, Zahraei-Ramazani A.** 2014. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria species isolated from *Periplaneta americana* and *Blattella germanica* in Varanasi, India. J. Arthropod Borne Dis. **8:**10 –20. [http://jad.tums.ac.ir/index.php/jad](http://jad.tums.ac.ir/index.php/jad/article/view/317/144) [/article/view/317/144.](http://jad.tums.ac.ir/index.php/jad/article/view/317/144)
- 41. **Tetteh-Quarcoo PB, Donkor ES, Attah SK, Duedu KO, Afutu E, Boamah I, Olu-Taiwo M, Anim-Baidoo I, Ayeh-Kumi PF.** 2013. Micro-

bial carriage of cockroaches at a tertiary care hospital in Ghana. Environ. Health Insights **7:**59 – 66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4137/EHI.S12820.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4137/EHI.S12820)

- 42. **Graczyk TK, Knight R, Gilman RH, Cranfield MR.** 2001. The role of non-biting flies in the epidemiology of human infectious diseases. Microbes Infect. **3:**231–235. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579\(01\)01371-5.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01371-5)
- 43. **Zurek L, Gorham JR.** 2008. Insects as vectors of foodborne pathogens, p 1–16. *In* Voeller JG (ed), Wiley handbook of science and technology for homeland security. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.
- 44. **Moriya K, Fujibayashi T, Yoshihara T, Matsuda A, Sumi N, Umezaki N, Kurahashi H, Agui N, Wada A, Watanabe H.** 1999. Verotoxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 carried by the housefly in Japan. Med. Vet. Entomol. **13:**214 –216. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.1999](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.1999.00161.x) [.00161.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.1999.00161.x)
- 45. **Pava-Ripoll M, Pearson RE, Miller AK, Ziobro GC.** 2012. Prevalence and relative risk of *Cronobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes* associated with the body surfaces and guts of individual filth flies. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **78:**7891–7902. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02195-12) [.02195-12.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02195-12)
- 46. **Alam MJ, Zurek L.** 2004. Association of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with houseflies (*Musca domestica*) on a cattle farm. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70:**7578 –7580. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7578-7580.2004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7578-7580.2004)
- 47. **Ahmad A, Nagaraja TG, Zurek L.** 2007. Transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to cattle by house flies. Prev. Vet. Med. **80:**74 – 81. [http://dx.doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2007.01.006) [.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2007.01.006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2007.01.006)
- 48. **Gore JC, Zurek L, Santangelo RG, Stringham SM, Watson DM, Schal C.** 2004. Water solutions of boric acid and sugar for management of German cockroach populations in livestock production systems. J. Econ. Entomol. **97:**715–720. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-97.2.715.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-97.2.715)
- 49. **Marshall BM, Petrowski D, Levy SB.** 1990. Inter and intraspecies spread of *E. coli* in a farm environment in the absence of antibiotic usage. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **87:**6609 – 6613.
- 50. **Vriesekoop F, Shaw R.** 2010. The Australian bush fly (*Musca vetustissima*) as a potential vector in the transmission of foodborne pathogens at outdoor eateries. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. **7:**275–279. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0366) [.1089/fpd.2009.0366.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0366)
- 51. **Literak I, Dolejska M, Rybarikova J, Cizek A, Strejckova P, Vyskocilova M, Friedman M, Klimes J.** 2009. Highly variable patterns of antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* isolates from pigs, sympatric rodents, and flies. Microb. Drug Resist. **15:**229 –237. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2009.0913) [.1089/mdr.2009.0913.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2009.0913)
- 52. **Rybarikova J, Dolejska M, Materna D, Literak I, Cizek A.** 2010. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from symbovine flies, cattle and sympatric insectivorous house martins from a farm in the Czech Republic (2006 –2007). Res. Vet. Sci. **89:**179 –183. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.02.016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.02.016)
- 53. **Usui M, Iwasa T, Fukuda A, Sato T, Okubo T, Tamura Y.** 2013. The role of flies in spreading the extended-spectrum β -lactamase gene from cattle. Microb. Drug Resist. **19:**415– 420. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2012.0251) [.0251.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2012.0251)
- 54. **Blaak H, Hamidjaja RA, van Hoek AH, de Heer L, de Roda Husman AM, Schets FM.** 2014. Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli* on flies at poultry farms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **80:**239 –246. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02616-13.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02616-13)
- 55. **Graham JP, Price LB, Evans SL, Graczyk TK, Silbergeld EK.** 2009. Antibiotic resistant enterococci and staphylococci isolated from flies collected near confined poultry feeding operations. Sci. Total Environ. **407:** 2701–2710. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.11.056.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.11.056)
- 56. **Ahmad A, Ghosh A, Schal C, Zurek L.** 2011. Insects in confined swine operations carry a large antibiotic resistant and potentially virulent enterococcal community. BMC Microbiol. **11:**23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-23) [/1471-2180-11-23.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-23)
- 57. **Winpisinger KA, Ferketich AK, Berry RL, Moeschberger ML.** 2005. Spread of *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae), from two caged layer facilities to neighboring residences in rural Ohio. J. Med. Entomol. **42:**732–738. [http://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2005)042[0732:SOMDDM]2.0.CO;2) [.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585\(2005\)042\[0732:SOMDDM\]2.0.CO;2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2005)042[0732:SOMDDM]2.0.CO;2)
- 58. **Chakrabarti S, Kambhampati S, Zurek L.** 2010. Assessment of house fly dispersal between rural and urban habitats in Kansas, USA. J. Kans. Entomol. Soc. **83:**172–188. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2317/JKES0809.15.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2317/JKES0809.15.1)
- 59. **Macovei L, Zurek L.** 2006. Ecology of antibiotic resistance genes: characterization of enterococci from houseflies collected in food settings. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **72:**4028 – 4035. [http://dx.doi.org/10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00034-06) [.1128/AEM.00034-06.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00034-06)
- 60. **Macovei L, Zurek L.** 2007. Influx of enterococci and associated antibiotic

resistance and virulence genes from ready-to-eat food to the human digestive tract. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73:**6740 – 6747. [http://dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01444-07) [/10.1128/AEM.01444-07.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01444-07)

- 61. **Doud CW, Scott MH, Zurek L.** 2014. Role of house flies in the ecology of *Enterococcus faecalis* from wastewater treatment facilities. Microb. Ecol. **67:**380 –391. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0337-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0337-6)
- 62. **Kobayashi M, Sasaki T, Saito N, Tamura K, Suzuki K, Watanabe H, Agui N.** 1999. Houseflies: not simple mechanical vectors of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. **61:**625– 629.
- 63. **De Jesús AJ, Olsen AR, Bryce JR, Whiting RC.** 2004. Quantitative contamination and transfer of *Escherichia coli* from foods by houseflies, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae). Int. J. Food Microbiol. **93:**259 – 262. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.12.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.12.003)
- 64. **Doud CW, Zurek L.** 2012. *Enterococcus faecalis* OG1RF:pMV158 survives and proliferates in the house fly digestive tract. J. Med. Entomol. **49:**150 – 155. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/ME11167.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/ME11167)
- 65. **McGaughey J, Nayduch D.** 2009. Temporal and spatial fate of GFPexpressing motile and nonmotile *Aeromonas hydrophila* in the house fly digestive tract. J. Med. Entomol. **46:**123–130. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0116) [33.046.0116.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0116)
- 66. **Joyner C, Mills MK, Nayduch D.** 2013. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in *Musca domestica* L.: temporospatial examination of bacteria population dynamics and house fly antimicrobial responses. PLoS One **8**(11):e79224. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079224) [//dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079224.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079224)
- 67. **Greenberg B.** 1973. Flies and disease, vol II. Biology and disease transmission. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 68. **Macovei L, Miles B, Zurek L.** 2008. Potential of houseflies to contaminate ready-to-eat food with antibiotic-resistant enterococci. J. Food Prot. **71:** 435– 439. [http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iafp/jfp/2008/000000](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iafp/jfp/2008/00000071/00000002/art00031) [71/00000002/art00031.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iafp/jfp/2008/00000071/00000002/art00031)
- 69. **Petridis M, Bagdasarian M, Waldor MK, Walker E.** 2006. Horizontal transfer of Shiga toxin and antibiotic resistance genes among *Escherichia coli* strains in house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) gut. J. Med. Entomol. **43:**288 – 295. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585\(2006\)043\[0288:HTOSTA\]2.0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2006)043[0288:HTOSTA]2.0.CO;2) [.CO;2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2006)043[0288:HTOSTA]2.0.CO;2)
- 70. **Akhtar M, Hirt H, Zurek L.** 2009. Horizontal transfer of the tetracycline resistance gene *tetM* mediated by pCF10 among *Enterococcus faecalis*in the house fly (*Musca domestica* L.) alimentary canal. Microb. Ecol. **58:**509 – 518. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-009-9533-9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-009-9533-9)

Ludek Zurek is a professor of microbial ecology with a dual appointment in the Department of Entomology and the Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology at Kansas State University. He received a B.S. degree from Mendel University and a Ph.D. from the University of Alberta. He was a postdoctoral fellow at North Carolina State University from 1999 to 2002. His interests and expertise are in the ecology of antibiotic resistance traits in clinical and nonclinical environments, the microbial ecol-

ogy and homeostasis of the insect digestive tract, and the role of insect gut microbial communities in vector competence for animal and zoonotic pathogens.

Anuradha Ghosh is a research assistant professor in the Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, Kansas State University. She obtained her B.S. (2000) and M.S. (2002) degrees from the University of Calcutta and her Ph.D. in environmental microbiology/microbial diversity from the Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh, India. She joined Dr. Zurek's laboratory in 2008 as a postdoctoral research fellow, where her major projects focused on insects as vectors of antibiotic-resistant en-

terococci in agricultural and urban environments. Furthermore, she is interested in the epidemiology of antibiotic-resistant enterococci in small-animal veterinary hospitals, in the gut of pet animals, and in wildlife. Her current research pursuit also involves the risk assessment and mitigation of Shiga toxin-carrying *Escherichia coli* in the beef chain.