

AUTHOR CORRECTION

Trapping and Identification of Cellular Substrates of the *Staphylococcus aureus* ClpC Chaperone

Justin W. Graham, Mei G. Lei, Chia Y. Lee

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

Volume 195, no. 19, p. 4506–4516, 2013. Page 4507, Table 2: The primers for the two-hybrid test should read as follows.

2h clpC F, 5'-CTGCAGTATGTTATTTGGTAGATT-3'

2h clpC R, 5'-GGATCCCCTGCTTGCATGGTGTTTTAGT-3'

2h ctsR F, 5'-CTGCAGACATGCACAATATGTCT-3'

2h ctsR R, 5'-GGATCCCCGTAATAATTTATAACTGGTAA-3'

2h mecA F, 5'-CTGCAGATATGAGAATAGAA-3'

2h mecA R, 5'-GGATCCCCTTCAGTTGTCTCTGGAAAATA-3'


2h codY F, 5'-CTGCAGTCATGAGCTTATTATCT-3'

2h codY R, 5'-GGATCCCCTTTACTTTTTTCTAATTCATCT-3'

2h rsbW F, 5'-CTGCAGACATGCAATCTAAAGAA-3'

2h rsbW R, 5'-GGATCCCCGCTGATTTCGACTCTTTTCGCC-3'

Page 4512: [Figure 3](#) contains errors that were due to inadvertent selection of false-positive revertants of the host strains in the two-hybrid system. The figure should appear as shown below. The revised results showed that RsbW and MecA interacted directly with ClpC whereas CtsR and CodY did not.



Page 4512, column 2, lines 31 and 32 to page 4513, column 1, line 1 should read as follows. “As shown in [Fig. 3](#), ClpC was found to interact with MecA in *S. aureus*, similar to that in *B. subtilis*, but not with CtsR.”

Page 4513, column 1: Lines 8 and 9 should read as follows. “Using the two-hybrid system, however, we did not detect interaction between CodY and ClpC ([Fig. 3](#)).”

Page 4514, column 2, line 4: “Four” should read “two.”