Application of the Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System in thyroid ultrasonography interpretation by less experienced physicians

Su Yeon Ko^{1, 2}, Hye Sun Lee³, Eun-Kyung Kim¹, Jin Young Kwak¹

¹Department of Radiology, Severance Hospital, Research Institute of Radiological Science, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²Department of Radiology, Jeju National University Hospital, Jeju National School of Medicine, Jeju; ³Biostatistics Collaboration Unit, Medical Research Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: To verify the usefulness of the Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System (TI-RADS) for thyroid nodule diagnosis by less experienced physicians.

Methods: From March 2012 to May 2012, ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration was performed in 204 thyroid nodules in 195 consecutive patients by four less experienced radiologists (<1 year in thyroid imaging). The number of suspicious ultrasonography features and the total risk score of each thyroid nodule were calculated according to the previous two models suggested by Kwak et al. The Delong method was used to compare the areas under the curve (AUCs) of the two models. Associations between the two models and the risk of malignancy were analyzed using penalized B-splines and the Cochran-Armitage trend test.

Results: Among 204 thyroid nodules, 65 were malignant and 139 were benign. The probability of malignancy tended to increase as the number of suspicious ultrasonography features, and the sum of risk scores increased. There was no significant difference in the AUCs of the two models (P=0.673). The Cochran-Armitage trend test demonstrated an increased risk of malignancy as the number of suspicious ultrasonography features and the total risk score increased (P=0.001). **Conclusion:** Both the number of suspicious ultrasonography features and the total risk score are applicable and show comparable results in the risk stratification of thyroid nodules by less experienced radiologists in thyroid imaging.

Keywords: Thyroid; Ultrasonography; Thyroid neoplasms



CrossMark

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

http://dx.doi.org/10.14366/usg.13016 pISSN: 2288-5919 • eISSN: 2288-5943 Ultrasonography 2014;33:49-57

Received: October 5, 2013 Revised: October 16, 2013 Accepted: December 9, 2013

Correspondence to:

Jin Young Kwak, MD, Department of Radiology, Research Institute of Radiological Science, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-752, Korea

Tel. +82-2-2228-7413 Fax. +82-2-393-3035 E-mail: docjin@yuhs.ac

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2014 Korean Society of Ultrasound in Medicine (KSUM)



How to cite this article:

Ko SY, Lee HS, Kim EK, Kwak JY. Application of the Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System in thyroid ultrasonography interpretation by less experienced physicians. Ultrasonography. 2014 Jan;33(1):49-57.

Introduction

The number of diagnosed nonpalpable thyroid nodules is increasing as a consequence of the widespread use of ultrasonography (US) in health surveilance and an increase in the use of US-guided fine needle aspiration (US-FNA) [1]. Thyroid nodules are found in up to 67% of adults by

ULTRASONOGRAPHY

US [2]. However, fewer than 5.0%–6.5% of incidently discovered thyroid nodules are malignant [3]. Thus, it is important that criteria be established for selecting thyroid nodules for FNA according to malignancy risk.

US is an important diagnostic tool in predicting thyroid maligancy and selecting thyroid nodules that should be assessed by FNA [4-6]. Known suspicious US features include marked hypoechogenicity, microlobulated or irregular margins, microcalcifications, and a tallerthan-wide shape; a combination of these features is known to provide better diagnostic accuracy than a single feature alone [4,7,8]. Many organizations have recommended guidelines for the selection of thyroid nodules for biopsy using size criteria or suspicious US features [9-12]. However, various terms such as probably benign, indeterminate, low suspicion, and suspicious and different criteria hinder effective communication between reporting radiologists and clinicians. In breast imaging, the Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) is widely used to assess the probability of malignancy and need for biopsy [13]. Based on this system, breast nodules can be established with a certain malignancy rate for each category. Similar to BI-RADS, the Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System (TI-RADS) was developed for risk stratification of thyroid nodules using US features [14–16].

Although several studies have suggested that TI-RADS helps avoid confusion among physicians and patients and reduces unnecessary benign cytologic results, applying this approach is difficult in daily practice because of its complexity. In a study looking for novel approaches to overcome the complexity of TI-RADS, Kwak et al. [17] recently reported that as the number of suspicious US features increased, the fitted probability and risk of malignancy also increased. They also demonstrated that the following US features showed a significant association with malignancy: solid component, hypoechogenicity, marked hypoechogenicity, microlobulated or irregular margins, microcalcifications, and taller-than-wide shape [17]. However, this study was limited, in that each suspicious US feature was regarded with the same weight of malignancy probability. Thereafter, a diagnostic prediction model derived from the total risk score was proposed that reflected the different probablities of malignancy from each suspicious US feature, and the resulting model showed that US risk scores could predict thyroid malignancy well [18]. However, radiologists involved in these previous studies had more than 5 years of experience in thyroid imaging. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to verify the usefulness of TI-RADS among less experienced physicians with less than 1 year experience in thyroid imaging.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and required neither patient approval nor informed consent for review of patients' images and medical records. However, written informed consent was obtained from all patients for US-FNA prior to each procedure according to our hospital's regular policy. From March 2012 to May 2012, 259 consecutive thyroid nodules of 248 patients were imaged with gray-scale US and US-FNA was performed by 4 less experienced radiologists. Of these, 55 thyroid nodules including indeterminate (n=15) or nondiagnostic results (n=40) at cytologic evaluation were excluded because they did not undergo surgery or repeat US-FNA. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) thyroid nodules in which thyroid surgery was performed (n=63); (2) benign or malignant results at cytologic evaluation (n=139); and (3) benign or malignant results at US-FNA or thyroid surgery after nondiagnostic cytologic results (n=2). Finally, 204 thyroid nodules in 195 patients (26 men and 169 women; mean age, 51 years; age range, 16 to 88 years) were enrolled in this study. Among 204 thyroid nodules, 65 nodules (32%) were malignant and 139 (68%) were benign. Pathologic results confirmed after operation are shown in Table 1.

Real-time Gray-scale US

Real-time gray-scale US was independently performed by one of four board-certified less experienced radiologists (<1 year experience in thyroid imaging), who were assigned arbitrarily according to the hospital's daily schedule, using a 6- to 14-MHz linear array transducer (EUB-7500, Hitachi Medical, Tokyo, Japan) or a 5- to 12-MHz linear array transducer (iU 22, Philips Medical Systems, Bothell, WA, USA). Before this study, each radiologist had experience performing thyroid US for 8, 9, 7, and 7 months, respectively, during resident practice in different hospitals. During this study period, all four radiologists had undergone fellowship training in thyroid

	•
Variable	Nodule
Benign (n=3)	
Adenomatous hyperplasia	3
Malignant (n=60)	
Papillary carcinoma	
Conventional	52
Follicular variant	6
Follicular carcionoma	
Subtype not described	2

ULTRASONOGRAPHY

imaging for 1 month in the same hospital. Of 204 thyroid nodules in this study, 15, 39, 55, and 95 thyroid nodules were imaged by physicians 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

The US features of all of the thyroid nodules were prospectively recorded for clinical use according to the internal component, echogenicity, margins, calcifications, shape, and final assessment by the radiologists who had performed US. The internal component was classified as complete solid, cystic portion greater than 50%, or cystic portion less than or equal to 50%. Echogenicity was classified as hyperechogenicity, isoechogenicity, or hypoechogenicity (with respect to normal thyroid parenchyma), or marked hypoechogenicity (defined as lower echogenicity than the strap muscle). Margins were classified as well-defined, microlobulated, or irregular. Calcifications were classified as microcalcification (less than or equal to 1 mm in diameter; tiny, punctuate, hyperechoic foci, either with or without acoustic shadows), macrocalcification, or no calcification. Shape was defined as taller-than-wide (ratio of the anteroposterior diameter to the transverse diameter \geq 1) or wider-than-tall. Suspicious features of thyroid nodules on US included marked hypoechogenicity, lack of well-defined margins, microcalcifications, and taller-than-



Fig. 1. Ultrasonography (US) images in a 40-year-old woman who underwent a routine check-up.

A 18-mm thyroid mass in the left thyroid gland shows mixed isoechogenicity, a well-defined margin, and parallel orientation without suspicious features on US (A, transverse image; B, longitudinal image). Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System category 3 and a total risk score of 0 were assigned. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as negative for malignancy on cytologic examination and showed no change at follow-up US.



A

Fig. 2. Ultrasonography (US) images in a 58-year-old woman undergoing a routine examination.

A 14-mm thyroid mass in the right thyroid gland shows two suspicious US features: complete solid and taller-than-wide shape on US (A, transverse image; B, longitudinal image). Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System category 4b and a total risk score of 1 were assigned. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as malignant on cytological examination and proved to be papillary thyroid cancer on surgery.

wide shape. All of the radiologists classified the thyroid nodules into two categories, as either positive for malignancy or negative for malignancy [4,7]. When thyroid nodules showed any of the suspicious features, they were classified as positive for malignancy. When thyroid nodules showed none of the suspicious features, they were classified as negative for malignancy.

US-FNA was performed on thyroid nodules with suspicious US features or the largest of thyroid nodules in patients with multiple thyroid nodules that did not have suspicious US features [4,7]. US-FNA was performed with a 23-gauge needle attached to a 2-mL disposable plastic syringe, and each lesion was aspirated at least twice with the freehand technique. Samples obtained were expelled on glass slides, smeared, and placed immediately in 95% alcohol for Papanicolaou staining. The remaining material in the syringe was rinsed in saline for cell block processing [19]. Cytopathologists were not on site during the aspiration procedure, and the cytology slides were interpreted by an experienced pathologist to confirm the cytologic diagnosis. Based on the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid cytology, FNA cytology results were classified as nondiagnostic, benign, atypia of undetermined significance/ follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS), suspicious for follicular neoplasm or suspicious for a Hurthle cell neoplasm, suspicious for malignancy, and malignant [20].

The number of suspicious US features of each thyroid nodule was counted using our prospectively recorded US data. Based on the results of a previous study, a solid component, hypoechogenicity, marked hypoechogenicity, microlobulated or irregular margins, microcalcifications, and a taller-than-wide shape were counted as suspicious US features [17]. We applied TI-RADS category 3 (probably benign) to nodules with no suspicious US feature (Fig. 1), category 4a (low suspicion for malignancy) to nodules with one suspicious US feature, category 4b (intermediate concern for malignancy) to nodules with two suspicous US features, category 4c (moderate concern but not classic for malignancy) to nodules with three or four suspicous US features (Fig. 2), and category 5 (highly suggestive of malignancy) to nodules with five suspicious US features (Fig. 3), respectively, according to the results of a previous study [17].

Another study proposed a new prediction model derived from the total risk score that took into consideration the different risk scores of each suspicious US feature [18]. They estimated the risk score for each suspicious US feature by calculating the odds ratios of thyroid malignancy. Based on this recent study by Kwak et al. [18], we applied a score of 2 to hypoechogenicity, a score of 6 to marked

Table 2. Demographics of patients and thyroid nodules inclu-	ded
in this study	

Variable	Malignant (n= 65)	Benign (n=139)	P-value
Mean age (yr)	49.2±13.7	51.2±14.4	0.350 ^{a)}
Gender			
Women (n=169)	58 (89.2)	118 (84.9)	0.514 ^{b)}
Men (n=26)	7 (10.8)	21 (15.1)	
Nodule size (mm)	12.6±11.2	17.9±11.6	0.003 ^{a)}

Values are presented as mean±SD or number (%).

 $^{\rm a)}$ P-value by using independent two-sample t-test. $^{\rm b)}$ P-value by using chi-squared test.





А

Fig. 3. Ultrasonography (US) images in a 25-year-old woman who underwent a routine check-up.

A 10-mm thyroid mass in the right thyroid gland is seen to be a complete solid with hypoechogenicity, an irregular margin, and a taller-thanwide shape on US (A. transverse image; B. longitudinal image). Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System category 5 and a total risk score of 10 were assigned. This thyroid nodule was diagnosed as malignant on cytological examination and proved to be papillary thyroid cancer on surgery. hypoechogenicity, a score of 1 to a taller-than-wide shape, a score of 5 to microlobulated or irregular margins, and a score of 2 to microcalcifications. Then, the total risk score of each thyroid nodule was calculated by summing the scores of each suspicious US feature, and the percentage chance of malignancy was obtained according to the total risk score.

Statistical Analysis

To determine the association between malignancy and patient age and nodule size, an independent two-sample t-test was used. Patient gender was compared between benign and malignant nodules using the chi-squared test. The rate of malignancy in thyroid nodules according to the TI-RADS category were also calculated. The ROC analysis was performed to assess the accuracy of two models predicting thyroid malignancy derived from the number of suspicious US features and total risk score. The Delong method was used to compare the AUCs of the two prediction models. The associations between the two models and the risk of malignancy were determined using penalized B-splines and the Cochran-Armitage trend test. Analysis was performed with SAS ver. 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Statistical significance was assumed when the P-value was less than 0.05. All reported P-values are 2-sided.

Results

Table 2 shows the patient demographics. The mean size of the

	Percentage of malignancy according to the number of				
TI-RADS category	Physician 1 (n=15)	Physician 2 (n=39)	Physician 3 (n=55)	Physician 4 (n=95)	All
3. Probably benign (no suspicious US features)	0 (0/0)	0 (0/7)	0 (0/10)	16.7 (1/6)	4 (1/23)
4. a. Low suspicion for malignancy (one suspicious US feature)	0 (0/6)	0 (0/9)	0 (0/7)	0 (0/21)	0 (0/43)
b. Intermediate concern for malignancy (two suspicious US features)	0 (0/3)	0 (0/7)	8.3 (1/12)	26.7 (4/15)	13.5 (5/37)
c. Moderate concern but not classic for malignancy (three or four suspicious US features)	83.3 (5/6)	71.4 (10/14)	38.4 (5/13)	53.1 (26/49)	56.1 (46/82)
5. Highly suggestive of malignancy (five suspicious US features)	0 (0/0)	100 (2/2)	69.2 (9/13)	50 (2/4)	68.4 (13/19)

Table 3. Percentage of malignancy according to the no. of suspicious US features

US, ultrasonography; TI-RAS, Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System.

^{a)} Values are percentages of maligant cases with the given TI-RADS category. The number of cases used to calculate the percentages are in parentheses.





А

Fig. 4. Ultrasonography (US) images in a 47-year-old woman who underwent a routine check-up.

A 36-mm thyroid mass in the right thyroid gland shows the features of predominantly solid, isoechogenicity, a well-defined margin, and a parallel orientation on US (A. transverse image; B. longitudinal image). Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System category 3 and a total risk score of 0 were assigned. This nodule was assessed as negative for malignancy on US. However, this thyroid nodule was diagnosed as suspicious for malignancy on cytologic examination and proved to be conventional papillary thyroid carcinoma on surgery.

R

thyroid nodules was 16.2±11.6 mm (range, 2 to 65 mm). The malignant nodules were significantly smaller than the benign nodules (mean size, 12.6±11.2 mm vs. 17.9±11.6 mm, respectively; P=0.003). Gender did not differ significantly between the malignant and benign nodules (P=0.514), nor did age (P=0.350).

Of the 204 thyroid nodules, 4% (1/23), 0% (0/43), 13.5% (5/37), 56.1% (46/82), and 68.4% (13/19) of the carcinomas were classified into TI-RADS categories 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, and 5, respectively (Table 3). Of the cases of 65 thyroid cancer, 1 (2%), 5 (7%), 46 (71%), and 13 (20%) nodules were subgrouped into TI-RADS categories 3, 4b, 4c, and 5, respectively (Table 3). The percentage of malignancy according to the sum of risk scores for each thyroid nodule is shown in Table 4. The malignancy rate was 2.2% (1/46) in the thyroid nodules with the sum of risk scores presenting as zero (Fig. 4). The

Table 4. Percentage of	f malignancy	according to the	sum of the risk scores
j.	·	J	

Course of winds a second b)	Percentage of malignancy according to the sum of risk scores ^{a)}				
Sum of risk scores	Physician 1 (n=15)	Physician 2 (n=39)	Physician 3 (n=55)	Physician 4 (n=95)	All
0	0 (0/6)	0 (0/14)	0 (0/14)	8.3 (1/12)	2.2 (1/46)
1	0	0 (0/1)	0 (0/6)	0 (0/1)	0 (0/8)
2	0 (0/2)	0 (0/7)	14.3 (1/7)	13.3 (4/30)	10.9 (5/46)
3	0	100 (2/2)	25.0 (1/4)	0 (0/2)	37.5 (3/8)
4	0	0 (0/2)	0	75.0 (3/4)	50.0 (3/6)
5	0 (0/1)	50 (1/2)	0 (0/1)	33.3 (1/3)	28.6 (2/7)
б	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	66.7 (2/3)	0 (0/2)	70.0 (7/10)	60 (9/15)
8	75.0 (3/4)	100 (3/3)	33.3 (1/3)	60.0 (12/20)	63.3 (19/30)
9	100 (1/1)	66.7 (2/3)	0 (0/2)	33.3 (3/9)	40 (6/15)
10	0	100 (2/2)	62.5 (5/8)	50.0 (2/4)	64.3 (9/14)
11	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	100 (3/3)	0	100 (3/3)
13	100 (1/1)	0	0	0	100 (1/1)
14	0	0	80.0 (4/5)	0	80.0 (4/5)

^{a)}Values are percentages of maligant cases with the given total risk score obtained by summing the score of suspicious ultrasonography features. The number of cases used to calculate the percentages are in parentheses.^{b)} Sum of all scores of individual items.



A

Fig. 5. Penalizd B-splines for the two models of Thyroid Imaging Reporting and Data System.

The risk of malignancy tends to increase according to the number of suspicious ultrasonography features (A) and the total risk score of each thyroid nodule (B).

predictive power (Az=0.827) of the model using the number of suspicious US features of each thyroid nodule was not significantly superior to that (Az=0.833) of the model using the total risk score of each thyroid nodule (P=0.673). Penalized B-splines demonstrated that the risk of malignancy tended to increase as the number of suspicious US features and the total risk score increased in each thyroid nodule (Fig. 5). According to the Cochran-Armitage trend test, as the number of suspicious US features and the total risk score of each thyroid nodule increased, the risk of malignancy increased (P<0.001).

Discussion

Although multiple guidelines suggest that suspicious US features and nodule size should be considered when selecting thyroid nodules to be biopsied [4,6,9-12], a standardized lexicon for the characterization of thyroid nodules and guidelines for the selection of thyroid nodules needing FNA is still required to avoid unnecessary US and repeated FNA, and to reduce confusion among physicians and patients. Multiple studies have developed TI-RADS into a standardized US categorial system for predicting thyroid maligancy [14-18]. Horvath et al. [15] demonstrated 10 US patterns that encompass all types of thyroid nodules and retrospectively validated TI-RADS. However, it can be ambiguous and lack efficiency to select a specific category among 10 descriptive US patterns in everyday clinical practice. Park et al. [14] proposed an equation for predicting thyroid malignancy using a multiple logistic regression analysis. For using this method, calculation using a regression equation itself is difficult to apply in any circumstance due to the complicated equation and 12 variables of US findings. To overcome these limitation of previous studies, Kwak et al. [17] used the number of suspicious US features and calculated the fitted probability of malignancy. Nevertheless, there was a limitation to their approach in that each suspicious US feature was weighted identically [17]. Thereafter, they established a TI-RADS model applying a different risk score to each suspicious US feature [18].

Though US is noninvasive, a major limitation is its user dependency. Several studies have reported relatively good interobserver agreement in the final assessment for thyroid nodules [21,22]. However, diagnostic performance of US can greatly improve with the experience of the physician [23–25]. Therefore, experience can be a critical factor affecting the diagnostic accuracy of US. Most radiologists involved in previous studies about TI-RADS had more than 5 years of experience in thyroid imaging. Therefore, we wanted to determine whether these approaches were comparable when physicians were less experienced in thyroid imaging. Our study used two previous approaches in which the risk of malignancy increased

proportionally to the number of suspicious malignant US features, where the predicted probability of malignancy had a tendency to increase along with the total risk score. We found that the results were comparable to previous studies even when the examinations were performed by less experienced physicians. The percentage of malignancy according to the number of suspicious US features is shown in Table 3. We found that as the number of suspicious US features increased, the risk of malignancy also increased (Fig. 5A). This result was comparable to a previous study by Kwak et al. [17] and also supported the conclusion reached by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, which noted that the coexistence of two or more suspicious US features indicates a much higher risk of thyroid cancer than does a single suspicious US feature [9]. Of 23 thyroid nodules assigned to TI-RADS 3, 17 nodules were examined by three physicians (physician 1,2, and 3) and there was no cancer. The remaining 6 nodules were examined by physician 4 and one nodule (16.7%, 1/6) was malignant (Fig. 4). Overall, the risk of malignancy was 4% (1/23) in nodules classified as TI-RADS 3; this rate was in range of previous results (0%–9.6%) [14,16,17,26]. Of nineteen thyroid nodules assigned to TI-RADS 5, 2 malignant nodules (100%, 2/2), 9 malignant nodules (9/13, 69.2%), and 2 malignant nodules (2/4, 50%) were examined by physician 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Overall, the risk of malignancy was 68.4% (13/19) in nodules classified as TI-RADS 5 (Table 3). Table 4 shows the percentage of malignancy according to the sum of risk scores based on a previous study [18]. The risk of malignancy tended to increase as the risk score increased in our data and was again comparable to a previous study (Fig. 5B) [18]. The risk of malignancy in nodules with a total score of 14 was 80.1% (4 nodules of 5), which was comparable to the result of a previous study (90%) [18]. The risk of malignancy was 2.2% among nodules, with the sum of risk scores presenting as zero in this study, and previous studies reported a broad range of malignancy rates from 4.3% to 31.1% in nodules, which corresponds to those with a risk score of zero [14-16,18]. We calculated the area under the curve to assess the accuracy of two models predicting thyroid malignancy derived from the number of suspicious US features and total risk score, and the values were 0.827 and 0.833, respectively. These results were similar to those of Kwak et al. [18] (0.872) and Hambly et al. [16] (0.794-0.904).

TI-RADS may improve management and the cost-effectiveness of follow-up by unifying the language and codes among radiologists. In addition, the two approaches tested in our study are very easy to apply in clinical practice.

There are several potential limitations of our study. First, we did not determine interobserver variability or intraobserver reproducibility among the 4 radiologists since each radiologist prospectively recorded US features independently. Though interobserver variability

ULTRASONOGRAPHY

was not assessed, our study results demonstrated the reproducibility of two previously proposed models. Second, the reference standard was cytologic results in some thyroid nodules with benign results, and false-negative cytologic results may have existed for these nodules without surgical confirmation [27].

In conclusion, both the number of suspicious US features and the total risk score are applicable and show comparable results in the risk stratification of thyroid nodules by radiologists less experienced in thyroid imaging.

ORCID: Su Yeon Ko: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1405-3958; Hye Sun Lee: http:// orcid.org/0000-0001-6328-6948; Eun-Kyung Kim: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3368-5013; Jin Young Kwak: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6212-1495

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

- 1. Renshaw AA. Papillary carcinoma of the thyroid <or=1.0 cm: rarely incidental or occult any more. Cancer 2005;105:217-219.
- 2. Tan GH, Gharib H. Thyroid incidentalomas: management approaches to nonpalpable nodules discovered incidentally on thyroid imaging. Ann Intern Med 1997;126:226-231.
- Kim KW, Kim EK, Kwak JY, Kim MJ. Detection and management of thyroid incidentalomas. J Korean Soc Ultrasound Med 2008;27:111-117.
- Kim EK, Park CS, Chung WY, Oh KK, Kim DI, Lee JT, et al. New sonographic criteria for recommending fine-needle aspiration biopsy of nonpalpable solid nodules of the thyroid. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002;178:687-691.
- Peccin S, de Castsro JA, Furlanetto TW, Furtado AP, Brasil BA, Czepielewski MA. Ultrasonography: is it useful in the diagnosis of cancer in thyroid nodules? J Endocrinol Invest 2002;25:39-43.
- Chan BK, Desser TS, McDougall IR, Weigel RJ, Jeffrey RB Jr. Common and uncommon sonographic features of papillary thyroid carcinoma. J Ultrasound Med 2003;22:1083-1090.
- Moon HJ, Kwak JY, Kim MJ, Son EJ, Kim EK. Can vascularity at power Doppler US help predict thyroid malignancy? Radiology 2010;255:260-269.
- Moon WJ, Jung SL, Lee JH, Na DG, Baek JH, Lee YH, et al. Benign and malignant thyroid nodules: US differentiation--multicenter retrospective study. Radiology 2008;247:762-770.
- Gharib H, Papini E, Paschke R, Duick DS, Valcavi R, Hegedüs L, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, Associazione Medici Endocrinologi, and European Thyroid Association medical guidelines for clinical practice for the diagnosis and management of thyroid nodules: executive summary of recommendations. J Endocrinol Invest 2010;33:287-291.

- Papini E, Guglielmi R, Bianchini A, Crescenzi A, Taccogna S, Nardi F, et al. Risk of malignancy in nonpalpable thyroid nodules: predictive value of ultrasound and color-Doppler features. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002;87:1941-1946.
- 11. American Thyroid Association (ATA) Guidelines Taskforce on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer, Cooper DS, Doherty GM, Haugen BR, Kloos RT, Lee SL, et al. Revised American Thyroid Association management guidelines for patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. Thyroid 2009;19:1167-1214.
- Frates MC, Benson CB, Charboneau JW, Cibas ES, Clark OH, Coleman BG, et al. Management of thyroid nodules detected at US: Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound consensus conference statement. Ultrasound Q 2006;22:231-238.
- D'Orsi CJ, Mendelson EB, Ikeda DM, eds. Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System: ACR BI-RADS-Breast Imaging Atlas. Reston, VA: American College of Radiology, 2003.
- 14. Park JY, Lee HJ, Jang HW, Kim HK, Yi JH, Lee W, et al. A proposal for a thyroid imaging reporting and data system for ultrasound features of thyroid carcinoma. Thyroid 2009;19:1257-1264.
- Horvath E, Majlis S, Rossi R, Franco C, Niedmann JP, Castro A, et al. An ultrasonogram reporting system for thyroid nodules stratifying cancer risk for clinical management. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:1748-1751.
- Hambly NM, Gonen M, Gerst SR, Li D, Jia X, Mironov S, et al. Implementation of evidence-based guidelines for thyroid nodule biopsy: a model for establishment of practice standards. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011;196:655-660.
- 17. Kwak JY, Han KH, Yoon JH, Moon HJ, Son EJ, Park SH, et al. Thyroid imaging reporting and data system for US features of nodules: a step in establishing better stratification of cancer risk. Radiology 2011;260:892-899.
- Kwak JY, Jung I, Baek JH, Baek SM, Choi N, Choi YJ, et al. Image reporting and characterization system for ultrasound features of thyroid nodules: multicentric Korean retrospective study. Korean J Radiol 2013;14:110-117.
- Moon HJ, Son E, Kim EK, Yoon JH, Kwak JY. The diagnostic values of ultrasound and ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration in subcentimeter-sized thyroid nodules. Ann Surg Oncol 2012;19:52-59.
- 20. Cibas ES, Ali SZ; NCI Thyroid FNA State of the Science Conference. The Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology. Am J Clin Pathol 2009;132:658-665.
- 21. Park SH, Kim SJ, Kim EK, Kim MJ, Son EJ, Kwak JY. Interobserver agreement in assessing the sonographic and elastographic features of malignant thyroid nodules. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009;193:W416-W423.
- 22. Wienke JR, Chong WK, Fielding JR, Zou KH, Mittelstaedt CA. Sonographic features of benign thyroid nodules: interobserver

reliability and overlap with malignancy. J Ultrasound Med 2003;22:1027-1031.

- 23. Kim HG, Kwak JY, Kim EK, Choi SH, Moon HJ. Man to man training: can it help improve the diagnostic performances and interobserver variabilities of thyroid ultrasonography in residents? Eur J Radiol 2012;81:e352-e356.
- 24. Kim SH, Park CS, Jung SL, Kang BJ, Kim JY, Choi JJ, et al. Observer variability and the performance between faculties and residents: US criteria for benign and malignant thyroid nodules. Korean J Radiol 2010;11:149-155.
- 25. Moon HJ, Kim EK, Yoon JH, Kwak JY. Differences in the diagnostic performances of staging US for thyroid malignancy according to experience. Ultrasound Med Biol 2012;38:568-573.
- 26. Russ G, Royer B, Bigorgne C, Rouxel A, Bienvenu-Perrard M, Leenhardt L. Prospective evaluation of thyroid imaging reporting and data system on 4550 nodules with and without elastography. Eur J Endocrinol 2013;168:649-655.
- 27. Varverakis E, Neonakis E. Contribution of high-resolution ultrasonography in the differential diagnosis of benign from malignant thyroid nodules. Hormones (Athens) 2002;1:51-56.