

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Cyst Fibros. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 02.

Published in final edited form as:

J Cyst Fibros. 2010 September ; 9(5): 332–338. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2010.05.004.

DESIGN AND POWERING OF CYSTIC FIBROSIS CLINICAL TRIALS USING RATE OF FEV1 DECLINE AS AN EFFICACY ENDPOINT

MW Konstan1, **JS Wagener**2, **A Yegin**3, **SJ Millar**4, **DJ Pasta**4, and **DR VanDevanter**¹

¹Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA

²University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA

³Genentech, Inc., S. San Francisco, CA. USA

4 ICON Clinical Research, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Background—Rate of lung function decline (RLFD) (as FEV₁ percent predicted/yr) is a robust measure of CF therapeutic efficacy rarely used as a study endpoint, in part due to uncertainty of sample size requirements.

Methods—Sample size requirements for 1:1 randomizations to detect RLFD treatment effects from 20%-80% were assessed in Epidemiologic Study of CF (ESCF) patients. Effects of measuring $FEV₁$ 1-4 times per year in studies of 1- to 4-year durations were assessed in 399 patients age ϵ 6 years with FEV₁ $\frac{70\%}{2}$. Impacts of inclusion/exclusion based on risk factors in 2,369 ESCF patients were assessed over 1.5 years using semi-annual $FEV₁$ measures.

Results—Increasing study duration and exclusion of lower risk patients (e.g., no *P. aeruginosa* infection) both substantially reduced requirements.

Conclusions—CF RLFD studies of 1.5 years in duration appear feasible provided investigators account for the beneficial effects of subject inclusion/exclusion based on risk factors in power estimates.

Keywords

study design; rate of decline; sample size

^{© 2010} European Cystic Fibrosis Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: D.R. VanDevanter, Ph.D. 12520 33rd St Ct E Edgewood, WA 98372 USA Telephone: 253-370-5859 Fax: 253-661-9508 enigmaster@comcast.net.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST Michael Konstan, Jeffrey Wagener, and Donald VanDevanter have received honoraria from Genentech, Inc., for serving as members of the Scientific Advisory Group for the Epidemiologic Study of Cystic Fibrosis (ESCF), and have served as consultants to Genentech. No compensation was provided to these authors in exchange for production of this manuscript. Stefanie Millar and David Pasta are employees of ICON Clinical Research. ICON Clinical Research was paid by Genentech for providing biostatistical services for this study. Ashley Yegin is currently and Jeffrey Wagener was previously an employee of Genentech. This study is sponsored by Genentech, Inc.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

INTRODUCTION

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a life-shortening genetic disease in which 80% of deaths directly or indirectly result from loss of pulmonary function [1]. Previous CF studies have suggested that: patients who die at an earlier age experience, on average, a more rapid rate of decline of forced expiratory volume in 1 second $(FEV₁)$ over their lifetimes [2]; a patient's rate of $FEV₁$ decline can be used to estimate their age of death [3]; the presence or absence of certain risk factors can help predict future rate of decline [4]; and, chronic therapeutic interventions can slow FEV_1 decline [5–7]. For these reasons, demonstration of a reduction in the mean rate of $FEV₁$ decline compared with placebo has been proposed as a basis by which a CF respiratory therapy can be determined to be disease modifying [8].

Chronic respiratory therapies have become an increasingly prominent component of CF patient management today, although the most commonly used therapies have not been shown to reduce rate of $FEV₁$ decline, but rather to produce a sustained improvement in $FEV₁$ compared with placebo-treated controls [9]. Use of rate of $FEV₁$ decline as a prospective clinical trial endpoint remains a desirable but elusive goal for CF drug development in part because of individual variability in $FEV₁$ over time [8]. The effect of this variability is mitigated as study duration increases, which may partially explain why the only prospective controlled clinical trial in CF to demonstrate a significant reduction in the rate of $FEV₁$ decline to date has been a 4-year study of high-dose ibuprofen [5]. A 2-year study of ibuprofen demonstrated an effect on rate of forced vital capacity (FVC) decline, but did not reach significance for FEV_1 decline [10], and both a 56-week study of inhaled tobramycin and a 48-week study of inhaled hypertonic saline failed to reach primary $FEV₁$ rate of decline endpoints [11,12] Although challenging, it has been possible to establish a CF therapy's impact on rate of $FEV₁$ decline using patient registries. The efficacy of highdose ibuprofen has been recently confirmed using the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CFF) Patient Registry $[6]$ and an effect of inhaled corticosteroids on rate of $FEV₁$ decline has been demonstrated using the Epidemiologic Study of Cystic Fibrosis (ESCF) [7].

These results suggest that retrospective analyses of CF patient registries might help better define design parameters for prospective randomized controlled studies that employ rate of $FEV₁$ decline as an efficacy endpoint. We have used data from the ESCF [13] to assess the impact of study duration, sampling frequency, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and magnitude of proposed treatment effects on study power and sample size requirements using rate of $FEV₁$ decline as an efficacy endpoint.

METHODS

Data were obtained from ESCF, a prospective, encounter-based, multicenter, observational study designed to evaluate the natural history of CF patients in North America from 1994 to 2005. [12] Informed consent was obtained according to local human subjects review boards. Pulmonary function test (PFT) results were reported as measured values and converted to percent predicted using reference equations from Wang et al. [14] for females through age 15 and males through age 17, and Hankinson et al. [15] at older ages.

Analyses were performed in two parts using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). First, the effects on sample size requirements of frequency of $FEV₁$ measurement and the duration of time over which measures are collected were assessed in a development population set. Next the effects of various risk factors for lung function decline [4] were assessed in a separate stratification population set using a single frequency and duration of measure selected from the development set analysis. For the development set, patients from ESCF were selected who had a clinic visit during which they were clinically stable >1 year after ESCF enrollment and within ± 1 calendar year of their 1998 birthday, were ± 6 years of age and had an $FEV_1 > 70\%$ predicted at that visit, and had at least quarterly PFT results available over the subsequent 4-year time period. Only one PFT measure closest to each quarterly interval was used for analyses, with additional measures discarded. Rates of $FEV₁$ decline (as percent predicted/yr) and associated SD were calculated for the development set as functions of sampling frequency (ranging from quarterly to annual $FEV₁$ measures) and duration of measure (ranging from 1 to 4 years). Sample sizes required to retain 80% power to detect significant ($p = 0.05$) relative differences in rate of $FEV₁$ decline ranging from 20– 80% in 1:1 randomized studies were estimated for each frequency/duration combination. This analysis was then used to choose a single frequency of $FEV₁$ measure and duration of study for subsequent stratification set analyses. The single frequency and duration of measure chosen for subsequent analyses was infrequent and short (respectively) in order to increase the number of available ESCF patients, while at the same time retaining sample sizes comparable to previous studies conducted in CF patients.

Relaxed inclusion criteria identified by development group analyses were then used to identify a group of ESCF patients large enough that sample size calculations could be conducted on subgroups stratified by risk factors for rate of $FEV₁$ decline (the stratification group). The stratification dataset was composed of patients from ESCF that met all of the development set inclusion criteria *except* that they only needed FEV₁ measures available at the selected single sampling frequency and duration of measure chosen based on the results of the development set analyses. In order to assess the impact of risk factors [4] on sample size requirements, these stratification group patients were divided by age group $(6-12, 13-$ 17, 18–24, 25 years of age), FEV_1 (70 to <85, 85 to <100, 100% predicted), weight-forage percentile (<25th, ≥25th percentile), prior year history of *P. aeruginosa* culture results (all negative, any positive), and prior year history of exacerbations treated with intravenous (IV) antibiotics (IV exacerbations; $0, 1, 2, 3$). Rates of $FEV₁$ change (as percent predicted/yr) and sample sizes required for 1:1 randomized studies to retain 80% power (α = 0.05) to detect effects on rate of $FEV₁$ decline ranging from 20–80% were calculated for stratification set subgroups.

RESULTS

A total of 399 of 32,585 ESCF patients met the inclusion criteria for the development set, with a mean age of 12.5 ± 5.7 (SD) years and mean FEV₁ of 89.8 \pm 14.0% predicted (Table 1). Estimated mean rates of FEV1 decline and associated SD decreased incrementally as the duration of measure increased from 1 year to 4 years and as frequency of measure increased from annual to quarterly (Fig. 1A and 1B). Sample sizes required to detect various treatment effects with 80% power and $\alpha = 0.05$ assuming a 1:1 randomization were plotted for

different frequencies of measure and durations of study (Fig. 2; Table 2). Changing the frequency of $FEV₁$ measurement had only a modest effect on sample sizes required to detect a given treatment effect, with quarterly measures requiring slightly higher sample sizes than other frequencies. For example, detection of a 50% treatment effect in a 4-year study using annual, semiannual, and quarterly measures required 138, 143, and 175 subjects per arm, respectively (Fig. 2A). Increasing study duration had a more dramatic effect on required sample sizes, with each increase in study duration leading to a further decrease in required sample sizes (Fig. 2B). Detection of a 50% reduction in rate of $FEV₁$ change using semiannual $FEV₁$ measures for 1 year required 315 subjects per arm, whereas increasing study duration by an additional 6 months reduced the required sample size by more than a quarter to 223 subjects per arm. Increasing study duration an additional 6 months (to 2 years) only decreased the required sample size an additional 14.8% to 190 subjects per arm (Fig. 2B). Based on these results, we selected a semiannual sampling frequency and 1.5-year study duration for subsequent analyses with the expectation that more ESCF patients would be available for analyses with these less stringent inclusion criteria.

When inclusion criteria for the stratification set were relaxed to include patients having at least semiannual $FEV₁$ measures for 1.5 consecutive years after the index visit, a total of 2,369 patients were identified. The mean age of the stratification set was 12.8 ± 5.9 years and mean FEV₁ was 91.2 \pm 14.5% predicted (Table 1). The mean rate of FEV₁ change in the stratification set over the 1.5 years from their index visit was -−4.1% predicted/yr (SD, 9.3), a smaller rate of $FEV₁$ change than observed under the same conditions for the development set ($-5.1 \pm 9.7\%$ predicted/yr; Table 3). The stratification set was composed of substantially more patients <18 years (2,057) than 18 years of age (312) (Table 4). The status of specific baseline risk factors previously shown to affect rate of $FEV₁$ decline in CF children and adolescents [4] was not available for all patients in the stratification group, but distributions of presence or absence of risk factors among those with available data appeared to reflect demographics of the general CF population. For example, older age groups had proportionally fewer patients with $FEV₁$ $100%$ predicted when compared with younger groups. There was evidence of a survivor effect among the 106 patients ≥25 years of age, in that the proportion with weight– for-age ≥25th percentile was higher than that of the 18- to 24-year-old group (71.7% versus 58.3%, respectively), whereas the proportion having ≥2 IV exacerbations in the previous year was lower (24.5% versus 29.1%, respectively) (Table 4).

Stratification by baseline $FEV₁$ and subject age dramatically affected estimated mean rates of $FEV₁$ change and corresponding sample size estimates (Fig. 3). In general, estimated sample sizes decreased as patients with higher baseline lung function were included, in all age groups studied (Fig. 3B). Inclusion of children between 6 and 12 years of age substantially increased sample sizes required to detect a 50% treatment effect when compared with sizes required for other age groups, particularly when baseline $FEV₁$ was \langle 100% predicted. For example, studies of 6- to 12-year-olds with baseline FEV₁ between 85 to <100% and 70 to <85% predicted were estimated to require 1,078 and 1,378 subjects per arm, respectively (Fig. 3B). By comparison, studies conducted in 13- to 17-year-olds within the same pulmonary function categories were estimated to require only 170 and 127 subjects per arm, respectively.

Stratification of patients by weight-for-age percentile at their index visit and by prior year history of *P. aeruginosa* culture positivity or IV exacerbation also affected required sample sizes (Fig. 4, Table 5). The sample size required to detect a 50% treatment effect for subjects with an index weight-for-age below the $25th$ percentile was 35.6% lower than for subjects with higher weight-for-age percentiles (255 versus 396 subjects per arm). More dramatically, 68.9% fewer subjects with a history of at least 1 respiratory culture positive for *P. aeruginosa* in the prior year were required to detect a 50% treatment effect when compared with subjects with no positive cultures in the prior year (215 versus 691 subjects per arm). History of IV exacerbation in the prior year also affected sample sizes; a study in subjects with 1 prior IV exacerbation required 50.8% fewer subjects to detect a 50% treatment effect than a study in subjects with no exacerbations in the prior year (242 versus 492 per arm). As the number of IV exacerbations in the prior year increased, sample sizes required to detect a 50% treatment effect decreased correspondingly (Fig. 4, Table 5). Further stratification of risk factors by subject age provided even greater decreases in sample size estimates. For example, exclusion of 6- to 12-year-olds from analyses uniformly reduced sample size estimates, including a 57.1% reduction in the estimate for subjects with no history of IV exacerbations in the prior year and a 60.0% reduction for subjects with weight-for-age <25th percentile (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Demonstration of a reduction in the mean rate of $FEV₁$ decline compared with placebo has been proposed as a basis by which a CF respiratory therapy can be determined to be disease modifying [8]. This standard has been met in a prospective clinical trial by only 1 chronic CF therapy [5,10]. For other (more commonly used) CF respiratory therapies, claims of a pulmonary function benefit have been based on sustained improvement in $FEV₁$ in treated subjects compared with subjects receiving placebo, not rate of decline [16–18]. Although sustained $FEV₁$ improvement is an endpoint that can be reached in studies of shorter duration, sustained improvement does not necessarily lead to a decreased rate of $FEV₁$ decline [8]. In addition, recent and ongoing shifts in the demographics of the CF population have made it a more challenging endpoint to execute than in the past [9].

We have used ESCF data to evaluate important factors in the design and powering of CF studies that use rate of $FEV₁$ decline as an efficacy endpoint. Our analysis complements a previous regression analysis estimating future rate of $FEV₁$ decline in children and adolescents with CF as a function of the presence or absence of specific risk factors [4]. We have focused on CF patients with a baseline $FEV₁$ $70%$ predicted because these patients have been shown to be at the greatest risk for FEV_1 decline in the near future [4].

For simplicity, we chose to examine the effects of risk factors for lung function decline on sample size requirements using a single study duration (1.5 years) and frequency of $FEV₁$ measure (semi-annual). Although best-suited for our retrospective analysis, these choices will not necessarily be ideal for future studies. For instance, although the choice of semiannual sampling allowed us to increase the number of available ESCF patients, quarterly assessments are likely to be necessary in future controlled clinical trials to ensure collection of additional efficacy and safety data. For this reason, the specific sample sizes arising from

our analyses are perhaps less important than suggestions of how study designs and population selection can increase the power of studies using rate of $FEV₁$ decline as an endpoint. Increasing the duration (and to a lesser extent, the frequency) of measure reduces *both* the observed rate of decline (Figure 1A) and its associated variance (Figure 1B), probably by regression to the mean. This produces opposing effects on sample size requirements, with decreasing rates of $FEV₁$ change requiring relatively more subjects to detect a given treatment effect and lowering variance having the opposite effect. Our results suggest that the benefit of reduced variance eclipses the disadvantage of a lower rate estimates as study duration increases, with studies of 1.5 years of sufficient duration to reduce variance to levels where reasonable sample sizes can be chosen while retaining 80% power to detect a treatment difference.

Stratification of study populations by exclusion of subjects at lower risk of immediate $FEV₁$ decline (e.g., subjects 6–12 years old or without specific risk factors) further reduces sample size requirements by both increasing the expected mean rate of $FEV₁$ decline and decreasing the variance associated with these rates. It is important to note that conclusions drawn for certain subpopulations have been made with relatively small numbers of patients. For example, few patients that were 18 years of age with $FEV₁$ $100%$ predicted were available for this analysis (Table 1).

Our results suggest that two previous randomized controlled 1-year-long studies of CF respiratory therapies appear to have been substantially underpowered to reach their primary $FEV₁$ rate of decline endpoints, primarily due to short trial durations. The open-label study of tobramycin inhalation solution in CF children with early stage lung disease [11], in which 63% of subjects were between 6 and 10 years of age, likely would have required nearly a thousand subjects (as opposed to the 181 enrolled when the study was halted) to retain adequate power to detect a difference in rate of $FEV₁$ decline over the study period. Similarly, the placebo-controlled study of hypertonic saline [12], which included a substantial number of subjects with $FEV₁$ below 70% predicted (mean, 74% predicted; SD, 21% predicted; range 40–132% predicted) was also underpowered with only 164 enrolled subjects. However, retrospective analyses of the powering of previous CF studies using rate of lung function decline should be considered in the context of how changes in management and demographics may have altered rates of $FEV₁$ decline in the population. For instance, the placebo rate of decline in the high-dose ibuprofen study over 4 years was –3.60 % predicted/yr [5], while the corresponding rate from our ESCF development set was predicted to be -2.42 % predicted/yr. This one-third reduction in decline rate has a dramatic impact on sample size estimates: our analyses suggest that over 270 subjects per arm (Figure 2B) would be required from our development set to detect the 40% reduction in rate of decline observed in the ibuprofen trial, while a little over 40 subjects per arm successfully demonstrated the effect in the actual study. Interestingly, investigators designing a 2-year high-dose ibuprofen study overestimated their subsequently observed placebo rate of decline $(-2.7\%$ predicted/yr) [10]. The feasibility of increasing power in a study using rate of FEV₁ decline as an endpoint by including subjects at higher risk for decline should be considered in the context of the overall distribution of CF patients in the population (Table 6). For example, we report in our analysis that only 56 subjects per arm ages 13 to 17 years old,

with FEV_1 70% predicted and 3 IV exacerbations in the previous year, would have been required to retain 80% power to detect a 50% reduction in rate of $FEV₁$ decline over 1.5 years (Table 5). However, only 224 of the patients reported in the CFF Patient Registry in 2008 [1] had these characteristics (Table 6). Thus, a study limited to this population would require enrollment of over half of all eligible patients in the US, with allowances for anticipated dropouts further increasing requirements. The challenge going forward will be to account for the prevalence of the most potentially useful CF subpopulations when designing $FEV₁$ rate of decline studies intended to have increased power.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the participation of the more than 400 sites, investigators and coordinators in Epidemiologic Study of Cystic Fibrosis (ESCF) in collecting this comprehensive database, and Dr. Bruce Marshall for providing population demographics from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry: 2008 Annual Data Report. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation; Bethesda, Maryland: 2009.
- 2. Corey M, Edwards L, Levison H, Knowles M. Longitudinal analysis of pulmonary function decline in patients with cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr. 1997; 131:809–814. [PubMed: 9427882]
- 3. Schluchter MD, Konstan MW, Davis PB. Jointly modeling the relationship between survival and pulmonary function in cystic fibrosis patients. Stat Med. 2002; 21:1271–1287. [PubMed: 12111878]
- 4. Konstan MW, Morgan WJ, Butler SM, et al. Risk factors for rate of decline in forced expiratory volume in one second in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr. 2007; 151:134– 139. [PubMed: 17643762]
- 5. Konstan MW, Byard PJ, Hoppel CL, Davis PB. Effect of high-dose ibuprofen in patients with cystic fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 1995; 332:848–854. [PubMed: 7503838]
- 6. Konstan MW, Schluchter MD, Xue W, Davis PB. Clinical use of ibuprofen is associated with slower FEV1 decline in children with cystic fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007; 176:1084– 1089. [PubMed: 17872492]
- 7. Ren CL, Pasta DJ, Rasouliyan L, Wagener JS, Konstan MW, Morgan WJ. The initiation of inhaled corticosteroid therapy in cystic fibrosis patients is associated with a slower rate of lung function decline. J Pediatr. 2008; 153:746–751. [PubMed: 18760805]
- 8. Davis PB, Byard PJ, Konstan MW. Identifying treatments that halt progression of pulmonary disease in cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Res. 1997; 41:161–165. [PubMed: 9029632]
- 9. VanDevanter DR, Konstan MW. CF drug developers: victims of our own success. Resp Drug Deliv. 2008; 1:11–18.
- 10. Lands LC, Milner R, Cantin AM, Manson D, Corey M. High-dose ibuprofen in cystic fibrosis: Canadian safety and effectiveness trial. J Pediatr. 2007; 151:249–254. [PubMed: 17719932]
- 11. Murphy TD, Anbar RD, Lester LA, et al. Treatment with tobramycin solution for inhalation reduces hospitalizations in young CF subjects with mild lung disease. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2004; 38:314–320. [PubMed: 15334509]
- 12. Elkins MR, Robinson M, Rose BR, et al. A controlled trial of long-term inhaled hypertonic saline in patients with cystic fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354:229–240. [PubMed: 16421364]
- 13. Morgan WJ, Butler SM, Johnson CA, et al. Epidemiologic study of cystic fibrosis: design and implementation of a prospective, multicenter, observational study of patients with cystic fibrosis in the U.S. and Canada. Pediatr Pulmonol. 1999; 28:231–241. [PubMed: 10497371]
- 14. Wang X, Dockery DW, Wypij D, Fay ME, Ferris BG. Pulmonary function between 6 and 18 years of age. Pediatr Pulmonol. 1993; 15:75–88. [PubMed: 8474788]
- 15. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general U.S. population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999; 159:179–187. [PubMed: 9872837]

- fibrosis. The Pulmozyme Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1994; 331:637–642. [PubMed: 7503821] 17. Ramsey BW, Pepe MS, Quan JM, et al. Intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 1999; 340:23–30. [PubMed: 9878641]
- 18. Saiman L, Marshall BC, Mayer-Hamblett N, et al. Azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003; 290:1749–1756. [PubMed: 14519709]

Figure 1. Effect of frequency and duration of measure on estimated means and standard deviations of rate of FEV1 change

Panel A, estimated mean rates of $FEV₁$ change as a function of duration of measure beginning at the index visit. Bars represent standard errors (SE) around each mean. Panel B, observed standard deviations for estimated rates of $FEV₁$ change in Panel A. Estimates are derived using annual (black circles), semiannual (gray circles), and quarterly (white circles) $FEV₁$ measures.

Figure 2. Samples sizes required to detect treatment effects as functions of frequency of measure and study duration

The number of subjects required per arm in a 1:1 randomized study (80% power, $\alpha = 0.05$) to detect a given treatment effect on rate of $FEV₁$ change are plotted. Horizontal dotted line highlights a 50% treatment effect. Panel A, effect of collecting $FEV₁$ measures quarterly (light gray line), semiannually (dark gray line), and annually (black line) for a duration of 4 years. Panel B, effect of study durations of 1 year (gray dashed line), 1.5 years (black dashed line), 2 years (light gray line), 3 years (black line), and 4 years (dark gray line) using semiannual measures. Note that dark gray lines (semiannual $FEV₁$ measures for 4 years duration) are the same in each panel.

Figure 3. Effect of stratification by age and pulmonary function on rate of decline and sample size requirements

Panel A, mean rates of FEV_1 change when measured semiannually over 1.5 years for 2,369 subjects stratified by age and pulmonary function. Bars represent standard errors. Panel B, number of subjects required per arm to detect a 50% treatment effect in a 1:1 study with 80% power (α = 0.05) in the same population.

Figure 4. Effects of inclusion or exclusion of other risk factors for FEV1 decline on sample size estimates

Sample sizes required per study arm to retain 80% power (α = 0.05) to detect a 50% change in rate of $FEV₁$ decline over 1.5 years using semiannual measures as a function of risk factor status. Risk factors are shown at the top separated by dashed lines. Status for each risk factor is shown at the bottom. Estimated sample sizes required per arm are shown above the bars.

Table 1

Demographics of study population

Table 2

Sample sizes required to detect a 50% reduction in rate of decline as a function of study duration and measurement frequency

*** 1:1 randomization, 80% power, α = 0.05

Comparison of development and stratification populations

§ Semiannual FEV1 measures for 1.5 yrs.

 $\ddot{\tau}$ 1:1 randomization, 80% power, α = 0.05.

l,

Distribution of risk factors for decline in the stratification set

Estimated RLFD[†] and samples size requirements[§] by age and risk factors

† derived from 1.5 years of semiannual measures

 $\frac{1}{8}$ 80% power to detect a 50% change in rate of FEV₁ change over 1.5 years with semiannual FEV₁ measure, α = 0.05, in CF subjects with FEV₁ 70% predicted.

Distribution of CF patients with $FEV₁$ $70%$ predicted followed in the 2008 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry [1]

§ Age as of Jan 1, 2008.

*
First available FEV₁ measure in 2008.

† First available weight-for-age percentile measure in 2008.