BMC
Palliative Care

CORRECTION

Correction: The feasibility and acceptability of neuromuscular electrical stimulation to improve exercise performance in patients with advanced cancer: a pilot study

Tamara Windholz[†], Tara Swanson[†], Brandy L Vanderbyl and R Thomas Jagoe[*]

Correction

In the course of type-setting this article [1] for final publication the presentation of the data in Tables 1 and 2 was altered. To avoid any confusion and to improve the clarity of our results the corrected Tables are included here.

* Correspondence: thomas.jagoe@mcgill.ca
[†]Equal contributors
Segal Cancer Centre, Jewish General Hospital, 3755 Cote Ste Catherine,
Montreal, QC H3T 1E2, Canada

BioMed Central

Table 1 Demographic and disease characteristics of patients who underwent full baseline assessment

			All subjects (N = 15)	Completed (N = 10)	Withdrawn (N = 5)	P
		Mean (SD)				
Age	(yrs)		67.9 (9.4)	67.6 (10.9)	68.4 (6.3)	0.88
Body mass index	(kg/m^2)		23.1 (4.6)	23.7(4.9)	22.1(4.2)	0.52
		N (%)				
Sex						
	M		9 (60)	4 (40)	5 (100)	
	F		6 (40)	6 (60)	0 (0)	0.04
PS						
	1		2 (13)	2 (20)	0 (0)	
	2		6 (40)	4 (40)	2 (40)	
	3		7 (47)	4 (40)	3 (60)	0.79
Diagnosis						
	Lung Cancer		4 (27)	3 (30)	1 (20)	
	GI cancer		6 (40)	2 (20)	4 (80)	
	Other		5 (33)	5 (50)	0 (0)	NA
Cancer stage						
	III		1 (7)	1 (10)	0 (0)	
	IV		11 (73)	6 (60)	5 (100)	
	NA		3 (20)	3 (30)	0 (0)	NA
Chemotherapy						
	Y		10 (67)	6 (60)	4 (80)	
	N		5 (33)	4 (40)	1 (20)	0.60
Recent steroid use						
	Y		6 (40)	4 (40)	2 (40)	
	N		9 (60)	6 (60)	3 (60)	1.00

Notes: P indicates result of significance testing (unpaired *t*-test for means or Fisher's exact test for count data) comparing patients who did, or did not complete the study.

Table 2 Physical performance evaluation results at baseline and after 6 weeks of NMES intervention

		Baseline				End of study		
		All subjects (N = 15)	Withdrawn (N = 5)	Completed (N = 10)	P^a	Completed (N = 10)	Difference	P^b
		Number						
PS	1		0	2	0.79[#]	4		0.15[#]
	2		2	4		4		
	3		3	4		2		
		Mean (SD)						
6MWT	m	257(160)	166 (138)	303 (157)	0.11	282 (171)	−21.1 (167.7)	0.70
	%	50.2 (32.2)	31.0(24.6)	59.8(32.2)	0.08	56.0(34.5)	−3.8 (33.3)	0.73
STS	s	8.0(4.3)	7.6 (3.4)	8.2 (4.8)	0.80	7.0(3.3)	−1.2 (3.4)	0.30
	S-score	2.6(2.4)	2.2 (2.0)	2.7 (2.2)	0.69	2.0(1.8)	−0.7 (2.0)	0.30

Notes: Performance status (PS), six-minute walk distance (6MWT) in metres (m) and expressed as % predicted (from [21]), Sit-to-stand (STS) test expressed as seconds (s) and as a standard score (S-score) value calculated using age range-specific mean and standard deviations for healthy controls (from [22]): positive scores indicates STS S-scores above the mean. P^a: [#]Fisher's exact (for counts) or unpaired *t*-test (for means) comparing baseline results for patients withdrawn and patients who completed study. P^b: [#]Fisher's exact (for counts) or paired *t*-test (for means) comparing baseline and final test results for patients who completed the study.

Reference

1. Windholz T, Swanson T, Vanderbyl BL, Jagoe RT: **The feasibility and acceptability of neuromuscular electrical stimulation to improve exercise performance in patients with advanced cancer: a pilot study.** *BMC Palliat Care* 2014, **13**:23.