

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Addict Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 01

Published in final edited form as:

## Addict Biol. 2015 March ; 20(2): 259–262. doi:10.1111/adb.12110.

# Tolerance to ethanol intoxication after chronic ethanol: role of GluN2A and PSD-95

Rachel Anne Daut<sup>1</sup>, Erica Faye Busch<sup>1</sup>, Jessica Ihne<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Fisher<sup>1</sup>, Masayoshi Mishina<sup>2</sup>, Seth Grant<sup>3</sup>, Marguerite Camp<sup>1</sup>, and Andrew Holmes<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Behavioral and Genomic Neuroscience, National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Neurobiology and Pharmacology, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences and Centre for Neuroregeneration, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

# Abstract

The neural and genetic factors underlying chronic tolerance to alcohol are currently unclear. The GluN2A NMDAR subunit and the NMDAR-anchoring protein PSD-95 mediate acute alcohol intoxication and represent putative mechanisms mediating tolerance. We found chronic intermittent ethanol exposure (CIE) did not produce tolerance (loss of righting reflex/LORR) or withdrawal-anxiety in C57BL/6J, GluN2A or PSD-95 knockout mice assayed 2–3 days later. However, significant tolerance to LORR was evident 1 day after CIE in C57BL/6J and PSD-95 knockouts, but absent in GluN2A knockouts. These data suggest a role for GluN2A in tolerance, extending evidence that human GluN2A gene variation is involved in alcohol dependence.

Alcoholism is associated with the development of tolerance (DSM-5, 2013) to the effects of alcohol, but the neural and genetic mechanisms underlying chronic tolerance are still not well understood. Glutamate signaling via *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDAR) is a putative mechanism mediating tolerance and withdrawal (Krystal et al., 2003). Two glutamate signaling molecules, the GluN2A NMDAR subunit and the NMDAR-anchoring protein PSD-95, have previously been shown to have a role in regulating acute alcohol intoxication (Boyce-Rustay and Holmes, 2005; Boyce-Rustay and Holmes, 2006; Camp et al., 2011). Variation of the GluN2A gene is associated with alcohol dependence in humans (Domart et al., 2012; Schumann et al., 2008). Here, we investigated the development of tolerance and withdrawal-associated anxiety-like behavior following chronic intermittent EtOH exposure (CIE) via vapor inhalation as to determine the contribution of GluN2A and PSD-95 to these effects.

C57BL/6J-background knockout (KO) mice lacking either GluN2A (Boyce-Rustay and Holmes, 2006) or PSD-95 (Camp et al., 2011), together with their respective wild-type (WT)

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Andrew Holmes, 5625 Fishers Lane Room 2N09, Rockville, MD, USA 20852-9411, Andrew.Holmes@nih.gov, Telephone: 301-402-3519, Fax: 301-480-1952.

Daut et al.

littermate controls, were tested for evidence of withdrawal-anxiety and tolerance after CIE for a total of  $16 \times 16$ -hour exposures over a 4 week period (for full methods, see Supplementary Information). This CIE procedure has previously been shown to cause tolerance to EtOH conditioned taste aversion in C57BL/6J mice (Lopez et al., 2012). Each cohort of mice received only one regimen of CIE.

As compared to yoked controls exposed to vaporized air, CIE-exposed GluN2A KO and WT showed no increase in anxiety-related behavior (light/dark exploration) 2 days after CIE (Figure 1A, S1A). CIE mice did, however, exhibit lesser ataxia responses to 2 g/kg EtOH challenge in the rotarod test 3 days post-CIE, relative to air controls, indicating tolerance to this effect. This was statistically significant in WT and trended in the same direction in KO (CIE effect: F1,61=11.10, P<.01, followed by planned *post hoc* comparisons by genotype, n=16–17) (Figure 1B, S2A). However, there was no indication of lesser LORR duration in either genotype after challenge with 3.5 g/kg EtOH 4 days post-CIE. GluN2A KO generally had lower scores than WT (genotype effect: F1,53=10.17, P=.05, n=12–16) (Figure 1C).

PSD-95 KO showed increased baseline anxiety-like behavior (as seen previously (Feyder et al., 2010) but, as in the GluN2A KO experiment, CIE did not alter this behavior (genotype effect: %light time: F1,35=18.58, P<.01, light entries: F1,35=21.71, P<.01, n=8–11) (Figure 1D, S1B). EtOH-induced ataxia scores were diminished after CIE due to a tolerance effect in PSD-95 KO only (CIE effect: F1,36=4.00, P=.05, n=8–11) (Figure 1E, S2B). CIE had no effect on LORR duration and, as previously reported (Camp et al., 2011), PSD-95 KO had higher LORR scores than WT, irrespective of CIE (F1,36=48.55, P<.01, n=8–11) (Figure 1F).

These results indicate that  $16 \times 16$ -hour CIE exposure did not produce anxiety-like withdrawal or LORR tolerance and did not produce significant tolerance to ataxia tolerance across experiments. These negative data could reflect the relative resistance of the C57BL/6 background strain to these specific assays for tolerance and withdrawal (Metten et al., 2010). Indeed, in commercially obtained C57BL/6Tac mice exposed to the same CIE procedure, there was also no evidence of CIE-induced tolerance or withdrawal-anxiety (all *P*<.05, n=8–10) (Figure S3A-E).

Next, we tested for parametric variables that could potentially reveal CIE-induced tolerance in C57BL/6J mice. Based on the observation that rats exposed to prolonged CIE (7 weeks) can show tolerance to EtOH-induced LORR (Rimondini et al., 2008), C57BL/6J mice were given  $32 \times 16$ -hour CIE exposures over 8 weeks and tested for tolerance. Although EtOHinduced ataxia scores (assayed 3 days post-CIE) only tended to be lower in CIE mice than air controls (Air=71.4 ±22.3, CIE=52.2 ±19.2, *P*>.05, n=9–10), LORR duration (assayed 4 days post-CIE) was significantly reduced after this prolonged CIE regimen (t(14)=3.01, *P*<. 01, n=7–9) (Figure 2A).

We also determined whether LORR tolerance could be revealed if CIE was less extensive (i.e., standard  $16 \times 16$ -hour exposures) but there was a lesser interval between CIE and tolerance testing. CIE mice tested at an interval of 1 day post-CIE had significantly lesser LORR duration than air controls (t(15)=3.70, *P*<.01, n=8–9) (Figure 2B). The reliability of

Addict Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 March 01.

Daut et al.

this effect was confirmed in a separate cohort of mice (t(8)=3.44, P<.01, n=4-6) (Figure 2C). In fact, in a third cohort, LORR tolerance was still evident at a 3-day post-CIE interval (CIE effect: F1,39=14.72, P<.01, n=7-8) and was reversible by pharmacological blockade of the NMDAR with MK-801 (drug effect: F2,39=14.33, P<.01) (Figure S4) (Debrouse et al., 2013).

On the basis of these results in the C57BL/6J strain, we tested naïve cohorts of GluN2A and PSD-95 KO using the procedure where tolerance was tested 1-day after  $16 \times 16$ -hour CIE exposures. LORR duration was significantly lesser after CIE in WT but not GluN2A KO (genotype × CIE interaction: F1,34=5.01, *P*<.01, n=7–12) (Figure 2D). By contrast, CIE exposure produced LORR tolerance regardless of PSD-95 genotype (CIE effect: F1,28=16.29, *P*<.01, n=7–9) (Figure 2E). LORR duration was significantly higher in PSD-95 KO than WT, irrespective of CIE (genotype effect: F1,28=6.04, *P*<.05). This CIE effect difference was evidence despite relatively high LORR values in air controls, which may reflect mixed genetic background of this mutant line (Feyder et al., 2010) and the greater contribution of longer sleeping '129' inbred mouse strain genes (Chen and Holmes, 2009).

Collectively, these data indicate loss of tolerance to the sedative/hypnotic effects of alcohol following constitutive deletion of GluN2A. Tolerance to these effects was unaltered in mice lacking PSD-95, although these mice did show some evidence of greater chronic tolerance to EtOH-induced ataxia. These genetic effects do not appear to be explained by abnormal EtOH pharmacokinetics, given previous evidence that neither GluN2A or PSD-95 deletion (Boyce-Rustay and Holmes, 2006; Camp et al., 2011) nor CIE (Holmes et al., 2012) alters EtOH metabolism. Further studies are needed to determine whether this function of GluN2A extends to other measures of EtOH tolerance, as well as withdrawal anxiety. Notwithstanding, the current study demonstrates a contribution of GluN2A-containing NMDARs to long-term adaptations to chronic EtOH exposure, and extends the recent finding that human GluN2A gene variation is associated with risk for alcohol dependence (Domart et al., 2012; Schumann et al., 2008).

### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Dr. Howard Becker, Dr. Marcelo Lopez, Dr. Nicolas Jury, Miss Benita Hurd, and Miss Eric Sagalyn for valuable assistance with the CIE procedure. Research supported by the NIAAA Intramural Research Program.

#### References

Boyce-Rustay JM, Holmes A. Functional roles of NMDA receptor NR2A and NR2B subunits in the acute intoxicating effects of ethanol in mice. Synapse. 2005; 56:222–225. [PubMed: 15803501]
Boyce-Rustay JM, Holmes A. Ethanol-related behaviors in mice lacking the NMDA receptor NR2A subunit. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2006; 187:455–466. [PubMed: 16835771]

- Camp MC, Feyder M, Ihne J, Palachick B, Hurd B, Karlsson RM, Noronha B, Chen YC, Coba MP, Grant SG, Holmes A. A novel role for PSD-95 in mediating ethanol intoxication, drinking and place preference. Addict Biol. 2011; 16:428–439. [PubMed: 21309945]
- Chen YC, Holmes A. Effects of topiramate and other anti-glutamatergic drugs on the acute intoxicating actions of ethanol in mice: modulation by genetic strain and stress. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2009; 34:1454–1466. [PubMed: 18843265]
- Debrouse L, Hurd B, Kiselycznyk C, Plitt A, Todaro A, Mishina M, Grant SG, Camp M, Gunduz-Cinar O, Holmes A. Probing the Modulation of Acute Ethanol Intoxication by Pharmacological Manipulation of the NMDAR Glycine Co-Agonist Site. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2013; 37:223–233. [PubMed: 22934986]
- Domart MC, Benyamina A, Lemoine A, Bourgain C, Blecha L, Debuire B, Reynaud M, Saffroy R. Association between a polymorphism in the promoter of a glutamate receptor subunit gene (GRIN2A) and alcoholism. Addict Biol. 2012; 17:783–785. [PubMed: 21507155]
- DSM-5. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth Edition ed.. Washington, D.C.: APA Press; 2013.
- Feyder M, Karlsson RM, Mathur P, Lyman M, Bock R, Momenan R, Munasinghe J, Scattoni ML, Ihne J, Camp M, Graybeal C, Strathdee D, Begg A, Alvarez VA, Kirsch P, Rietschel M, Cichon S, Walter H, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Grant SG, Holmes A. Association of mouse Dlg4 (PSD-95) gene deletion and human DLG4 gene variation with phenotypes relevant to autism spectrum disorders and Williams' syndrome. Am J Psychiatry. 2010; 167:1508–1517. [PubMed: 20952458]
- Holmes A, Fitzgerald PJ, Macpherson KP, Debrouse L, Colacicco G, Flynn SM, Masneuf S, Pleil KE, Li C, Marcinkiewcz CA, Kash TL, Gunduz-Cinar O, Camp M. Chronic alcohol remodels prefrontal neurons and disrupts NMDAR-mediated fear extinction encoding. Nat Neurosci. 2012; 15:1359– 1361. [PubMed: 22941108]
- Krystal JH, Petrakis IL, Mason G, Trevisan L, D'Souza DC. N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptors and alcoholism: reward, dependence, treatment, and vulnerability. Pharmacol Ther. 2003; 99:79– 94. [PubMed: 12804700]
- Lopez MF, Griffin WC 3rd, Melendez RI, Becker HC. Repeated cycles of chronic intermittent ethanol exposure leads to the development of tolerance to aversive effects of ethanol in C57BL/6J mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2012; 36:1180–1187. [PubMed: 22309159]
- Metten P, Sorensen ML, Cameron AJ, Yu CH, Crabbe JC. Withdrawal severity after chronic intermittent ethanol in inbred mouse strains. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2010; 34:1552–1564. [PubMed: 20586758]
- Rimondini R, Sommer WH, Dall'Olio R, Heilig M. Long-lasting tolerance to alcohol following a history of dependence. Addict Biol. 2008; 13:26–30. [PubMed: 17850416]
- Schumann G, Johann M, Frank J, Preuss U, Dahmen N, Laucht M, Rietschel M, Rujescu D, Lourdusamy A, Clarke TK, Krause K, Dyer A, Depner M, Wellek S, Treutlein J, Szegedi A, Giegling I, Cichon S, Blomeyer D, Heinz A, Heath S, Lathrop M, Wodarz N, Soyka M, Spanagel R, Mann K. Systematic analysis of glutamatergic neurotransmission genes in alcohol dependence and adolescent risky drinking behavior. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008; 65:826–838. [PubMed: 18606955]

Daut et al.

PSD-95 genotype

150 С В □ Air ■CIE A □ Air ■ CIE 50 🗆 Air 0 CIE LORR duration (min) 125 40 -25 Time in light (%) EtOH-induced ataxia (sec) 100 \* 30 -50 75 20 -75 50 10 -100 25 \* p=.06 0 0 -125 WT KO WT ко WT KO GluN2A genotype GluN2A genotype GluN2A genotype D F Ε Air CIE \* □ Air ■ CIE 🗖 Air 0 150 50 CIE LORR duration (min) 125 -25 40 Time in light (%) EtOH-induced ataxia (sec) \* 100 -50 30 75 \* -75 20 50 -100 10 25 -125 0 0 WT ко WT KO WT ко

> **Figure 1.** Effects of CIE on withdrawal-anxiety and tolerance in GluN2A KO and PSD-95 KO (A) Effect of CIE and GluN2A genotype on light/dark exploration time in light. (B) Effect of CIE and GluN2A genotype on EtOH-induced rotarod ataxia. (C) Effect of CIE and GluN2A genotype on EtOH-induced LORR. (D) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on light/dark exploration time in light. (E) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE and PSD-95 genotype on EtOHinduced rotarod ataxia. (F) Effect of CIE ataxia a

PSD-95 genotype

PSD-95 genotype

Daut et al.





Page 6