

RAPID COMMUNICATION

Indwelling catheter and conservative measures in the treatment of abdominal compartment syndrome in fulminant acute pancreatitis

Zhao-Xi Sun, Hai-Rong Huang, Hong Zhou

Zhao-Xi Sun, Department of General Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical College, Haikou 570102, Hainan Province, China

Hai-Rong Huang, Hong Zhou, Epidemiology Section, Department of Preventive Medicine, Hainan Medical College, Haikou 571101, Hainan Province, China

Correspondence to: Professor Zhao-Xi Sun, Department of General Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical College, Haikou 570102, Hainan Province, China. jialidog@tom.com

Telephone: +86-13648665696

Received: 2006-02-22 Accepted: 2006-03-10

Abstract

AIM: To study the effect of combined indwelling catheter, hemofiltration, respiration support and traditional Chinese medicine (e.g. Dahuang) in treating abdominal compartment syndrome of fulminant acute pancreatitis.

METHODS: Patients with fulminant acute pancreatitis were divided randomly into 2 groups of combined indwelling catheter celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure monitoring and routine conservative measures group (group 1) and control group (group 2). Routine non-operative conservative treatments including hemofiltration, respiration support, gastrointestinal TCM ablution were also applied in control group patients. Effectiveness of the two groups was observed, and APACHE II scores were applied for analysis.

RESULTS: On the second and fifth days after treatment, APACHE $\rm II$ scores of group 1 and 2 patients were significantly different. Comparison of effectiveness (abdominalgia and burbulence relief time, hospitalization time) between groups 1 and 2 showed significant difference, as well as incidence rates of cysts formation. Mortality rates of groups 1 and 2 were 10.0% and 20.7%, respectively. For patients in group 1, celiac drainage quantity and intra-abdominal pressure, and hospitalization time were positively correlated (r=0.552, 0.748, 0.923, P < 0.01) with APACHE $\rm II$ scores.

CONCLUSION: Combined indwelling catheter celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure monitoring, short veno-venous hemofiltration (SVVH), gastrointestinal TCM ablution, respiration support have preventive and treatment effects on abdominal compartment syndrome of fulminant acute pancreatitis.

© 2006 The WJG Press. All rights reserved.

Key words: Fulminant acute pancreatitis; Abdominal compartment syndrome; Indwelling catheter; Disposable central venous catherization; Celiac drainage; Intraabdominal pressure monitoring; Combined treatment

Sun ZX, Huang HR, Zhou H. Indwelling catheter and conservative measures in the treatment of abdominal compartment syndrome in fulminant acute pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12(31): 5068-5070

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/12/5068.asp

INTRODUCTION

There are certain guidelines for treatment of severe acute pancreatitis (SAP). However, about 11% of SAP patients suffer from the complication of abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS), and about 25% of SAP patients are fulminant acute pancreatitis (FAP). Incidence rate of ACS is higher in FAP, and its mortality rate is as high as 60%. Up till now, there have been no standard treatments for ACS^[1,2]. In the present study, we used combination of celiac indwelling catheter drainage and intra-abdominal pressure monitoring, several short veno-venous hemofiltration (SVVH), respiration support and gastrointestinal TCM ablution for treatment and predicting patient's conditions of ACS in FAP. Through comparison with the control group, we demonstrate that the combined therapy is effective for treatment of ACS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 110 FAP patients were received and treated in First Aid Center and Hepato-biliary Surgery Department of Affiliated Hospital of Guiyang Medical College [3,4]. When they were hospitalized, the cumulative scorings of CT serious index (CTSI), APACHE II and SAP were 7.85 \pm 1.10, 17.51 \pm 4.51 and grade II respectively.

Methods

Patients were divided randomly into groups of indwell-



Figure 1 Indwelling catheter. (\checkmark) Celiac drainage; ($^{\searrow}$)Intra-abdominal pressure detection.

ing catheter celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure monitoring and routine non-operative conservative treatment measures (Figure 1) group (group 1, 45 cases) and control group (group 2, 65 cases). There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in gender, age, cumulative scorings of CTSI and APACHE II between the two groups. Routine non-operative conservative treatment measures, including SVVH, gastrointestinal TCM ablution, respiration support and drug therapy, were conducted in group 2 patients. For group 1 patients centesis in right side or two sides of abdominal cavity, installation of indwelling catheter for continuous drainage (drain quantity was recorded daily) were conducted on the first day of hospitalization, and intra-abdominal pressure was monitored and recorded on the first, second and fifth days since installation of indwelling catheter. The decision of time for hemofiltration was based on the indications of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). On the day of hospitalization Dahuang or Qingvitang was infused by gastric canal or anus drip (3 times daily).

Observation of clinical effectiveness: Abdominalgia, burbulence time, hospitalization time for groups 1 and 2 were observed. Celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure were monitored for group 1; APACHE II cumulative scores before treatment, on the second and fifth days after treatment in groups 1 and 2 were recorded.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. SPSS 12.0 was used for statistical analysis. P < 0.05 means significant.

RESULTS

Patients' conditions

During hospitalization and treatment period, there was no significant difference in the two groups for cumulative scorings of CTSI and APACHE II. On the second and fifth days after treatment with combined indwelling catheter drainage, gastrointestinal TCM ablution, SVVH, respiration support and drugs, the cumulative scorings of APACHE II in group 1 were significantly lower than in group 2 (P < 0.01); cumulative scorings of APACHE II were significantly decreased compared with before treatment (P < 0.01, Table 1).

Table 1 Patient's conditions of severity transformation (mean + SD)

Group	State of illness when hospitalized		Change of APACHE II during treament		
Group	CTSI scoring	APACHE II scoring	2 d after treament	5 d after treament	
1	7.61 ± 0.67	16.44 ± 2.28	9.66 ± 1.88^{b}	4.63 ± 1.46^{b}	
2	7.59 ± 0.86	15.74 ± 1.91	13.46 ± 1.93	10.78 ± 2.01	

 $^{{}^{}b}P = 0.000 \ vs \ \text{group 2}.$

Table 2 Celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure (mean + SD)

	1st	2nd	3rd	P
Drain quantity (mL)	1817 ± 639	815 ± 423^{a}	85 ± 40^{a}	0.000
IAP (cmH2O)	29.29 ± 5.53	13.95 ± 4.05^{b}	6.71 ± 1.68^{b}	0.000
APACHE II scorings	16.44 ± 2.28	9.66 ± 1.88	4.63 ± 1.46	0.000

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}r = 0.55 \ vs \ \mathrm{IAP}$, $^{\mathrm{b}}r = 0.92 \ vs \ \mathrm{APACHE} \ \mathrm{II} \ \mathrm{scorings}$.

Table 3 Local symptoms and treatment effect (mean \pm SD)

Group	Relief time		Treament effect		
	Abdominalgia (d)	Burbulence (d)	Hospitalization (d)	Mortality rate (%)	Rate of cyst (%)
1	3.27 ± 0.87^{b}	6.90 ± 1.18^{b}	15.59 ± 3.89 ^b	10.0 ^b	8.9 ^b
2	14.13 ± 2.14	23.36 ± 3.76	28.28 ± 4.61	20.7	37.9

 $^{^{}b}P < 0.01 \ vs \ \text{group 2}.$

Celiac drainage and intra-abdominal pressure

Drains of 45 cases in group 1 were all dematiaceous bloody liquid. Drainage period was 3.5 ± 0.85 d; drain quantity was positively correlated (r = 0.552, P < 0.01) with intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) (r = 0.552, P < 0.01). While IAP was positively correlated with cumulative scorings of APACHE II (r = 0.748, P < 0.01, Table 2).

Relief time for abdominalgia and burbulence, and hospitalization time

The relief time of abdominalgia, burbulence, and hospitalization time in group 1 were significantly shorter than those in group 2 (P < 0.01). Mortality rates in group 1 were decreased compared to group 2, with no significant difference. Incidence rates of cysts in group 1 were significantly decreased compared to group 2 (P < 0.01, Table 3).

DISCUSSION

FAP is characterized by rapid deterioration of patient's conditions. Multi-organ (specially pancreas and gastrointestinal tract) dysfunction appeared in early stage.

ACS in FAP is divided into four grades according to IAP: first grade is 10-14 cm H₂O, second grade is 15-24 cm H₂O, third grade is 25-35 cm H₂O, and fourth grade is > 35 cm H₂O. In group 1 of our experiment, celiac intraabdominal pressure of 45 cases was 29.29 ± 5.53 cm H₂O, diagnosed as ACS clinically^[4-6]. Currently, ACS is detected mainly by bladder manometry method, which is an indirect

method. However, there are certain influencing factors. Therefore we used indwelling catheter celiac laying canal to directly detect intra-abdominal pressure, and performed canal drainage. It could prevent celiac dropsy ACS, avoid disturbance of celiac function when operating, and the effect of anesthesia on laparotomy and celiac operation, and consequently prevent ACS^[7-12]. In our study, the combined approach of indwelling catheter drainage, SVVH and gastrointestinal TCM ablution, respiration support and use of other drugs was employed to treat ACS in FAP. We found that drain quantity was positively correlated with intra-abdominal pressure (r = 0.55), and intra-abdominal pressure was correlated with hospitalization time and APACHE II cumulative scorings (r = 0.75, 0.92). In comparison of effectiveness, that of the group 1 was significantly better than that of group 2 regarding abdominalgia disappearing time, burbulence relief time, and hospitalization time (P =0.000); mortality rates were 10% and 20.7% in group 1 and 2, respectively; incidence rates of cysts between the two groups were significantly different (P = 0.001). The reasons for the better effect of group 1 might be that: (1) indwelling catheter drainage eliminated ACS caused by celiac dropsy; (2) hemofiltration or drainage improved paralysis of gastrointestinal tract caused by a variety of inflammatory cytokines, inflammation mediators, all kinds of enzymes and necrosis materials (including large, moderate and small molecular weight materials). As a consequence, damage of tissues and organs in dropsy (mesentery, epiploon, gastrointestinal and parietal peritoneal membrane) type of ACS was greatly reduced; (3) It was eliminated that the celiac disturbance and the effect on systemic multi-organs (especially gastrointestinal tract) caused by laparoscopic operation or laparotomy and anesthesia; (4) TCM, eg. Dahuang could effectively reduce intestinal tract endotoxin and bacterial shift, alleviate intestinal mucosal membrane damage, and facilitate gastrointestinal movement and emptying [9,13-16]. As far as we know, such study has not been

In summary, combined indwelling catheter celiac drainage, intra-abdominal pressure monitoring, multi-SVVH, gastrointestinal TCM ablution, respiration support and use of drugs can prevent and treat ACS in FAP effectively. However, the problem of slow speed of indwelling catheter celiac drainage has still to be resolved^[13].

REFERENCES

1 **Isenmann R**, Rau B, Beger HG. Early severe acute pancreatitis:

- characteristics of a new subgroup. Pancreas 2001; 22: 274-278
- Bosscha K, Hulstaert PF, Hennipman A, Visser MR, Gooszen HG, van Vroonhoven TJ, v d Werken C. Fulminant acute pancreatitis and infected necrosis: results of open management of the abdomen and "planned" reoperations. J Am Coll Surg 1998; 187: 255-262
- 3 Pancreatopathy group, Surgery Branch, Chinese Medical Association. Clinical diagnosis and grades criterion of Acute Pancreatitis (second scheme in 1996). Zhonghua Waike Zahi 1997; 35: 773-774
- Sun JB. Problems that should be paid close attention to in diagnosis and treatment of severe acute pancreatitis. Zhonghua Gandan Waike Zahi 2005; 11: 289-292
- 5 Ogawa M. Acute pancreatitis and cytokines: "second attack" by septic complication leads to organ failure. *Pancreas* 1998; 16: 312-315
- 6 Mauricio FL, Ernesto CG, Benjamin GA, Michael A, Jeff MD. Hipertension intra-abdominal Y sindrome compartamental abdomina en pacientes con pancreatitis aguda. Cir Ciru 2003; 71: 107-111
- 7 Cheatham ML, Safcsak K. Intraabdominal pressure: a revised method for measurement. J Am Coll Surg 1998; 186: 594-595
- 8 **Appelros S**, Lindgren S, Borgström A. Short and long term outcome of severe acute pancreatitis. *Eur J Surg* 2001; **167**: 281-286
- 9 Gecelter G, Fahoum B, Gardezi S, Schein M. Abdominal compartment syndrome in severe acute pancreatitis: an indication for a decompressing laparotomy? *Dig Surg* 2002; 19: 402-404; discussion 404-405
- Morken J, West MA. Abdominal compartment syndrome in the intensive care unit. Curr Opin Crit Care 2001; 7: 268-274
- Ertel W, Oberholzer A, Platz A, Stocker R, Trentz O. Incidence and clinical pattern of the abdominal compartment syndrome after "damage-control" laparotomy in 311 patients with severe abdominal and/or pelvic trauma. Crit Care Med 2000; 28: 1747-1753
- 12 Kirkpatrick AW, Brenneman FD, McLean RF, Rapanos T, Boulanger BR. Is clinical examination an accurate indicator of raised intra-abdominal pressure in critically injured patients? Can J Surg 2000; 43: 207-211
- 13 Sun ZX, Sun CY. Combined treatment for abdominal compartment syndrome of fulminant acute pancreatitis in 45 cases. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zahi 2005; 13: 1797-1799
- 14 Sugerman HJ, Bloomfield GL, Saggi BW. Multisystem organ failure secondary to increased intraabdominal pressure. *Infection* 1999; 27: 61-66
- Ivatury RR, Porter JM, Simon RJ, Islam S, John R, Stahl WM. Intra-abdominal hypertension after life-threatening penetrating abdominal trauma: prophylaxis, incidence, and clinical relevance to gastric mucosal pH and abdominal compartment syndrome. *J Trauma* 1998; 44: 1016-1021; discussion 1021-1023
- Mayberry JC, Goldman RK, Mullins RJ, Brand DM, Crass RA, Trunkey DD. Surveyed opinion of American trauma surgeons on the prevention of the abdominal compartment syndrome. *J Trauma* 1999; 47: 509-513; discussion 513-514

S- Editor Pan BR L- Editor Zhu LH E- Editor Ma WH