

Influence of acid and bile acid on ERK activity, PPAR γ expression and cell proliferation in normal human esophageal epithelial cells

Zhi-Ru Jiang, Jun Gong, Zhen-Ni Zhang, Zhe Qiao

Zhi-Ru Jiang, Jun Gong, Department of Gastroenterology, Second Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, Shaanxi Province, China

Zhen-Ni Zhang, Department of Anesthesiology, Second Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, Shaanxi Province, China

Zhe Qiao, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Second Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710004, Shaanxi Province, China

Correspondence to: Zhi-Ru Jiang, Department of Gastroenterology, Second Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi' an 710004, Shaanxi Province, China. jiangzhr@21cn.com Telephone: +86-29-81042024

Received: 2005-12-06 Accepted: 2006-01-14

Abstract

AIM: To observe the effects of acid and bile acid exposure on cell proliferation and the expression of extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase (ERK) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) in normal human esophageal epithelial cells *in vitro*.

METHODS: *In vitro* cultured normal human esophageal epithelial cells were exposed to acidic media (pH 4.0-6.5), media containing different bile acid (250 µmol/ L), media containing acid and bile acid, respectively. Cell proliferation was assessed using MTT and flow cytometry. The expressions of phosphorylated ERK_{1/2} and PPAR_γ protein were determined by the immunoblotting technique.

RESULTS: Acid-exposed (3 min) esophageal cells exhibited a significant increase in proliferation ratio, S phase of the cell cycle (P < 0.05) and the level of phosphorylated ERK_{1/2} protein. When the acid-exposure period exceeded 6 min, we observed a decrease in proliferation ratio and S phase of the cell cycle, with an increased apoptosis ratio (P < 0.05). Bile acid exposure (3-12 min) also produced an increase in proliferation ratio, S phase of the cell cycle (P < 0.05) and phosphorylated ERK_{1/2} expression. On the contrary, deoxycholic acid (DCA) exposure (> 20 min) decreased proliferation ratio. Compared with bile acid exposure (pH 7.4), bile acid exposure (pH 6.5, 4) significantly decreased proliferation ratio (P < 0.05). There was no expression of PPAR γ in normal human esophageal epithelial cells.

CONCLUSION: The rapid stimuli of acid or bile acid increase proliferation in normal human esophageal epithelial cells by activating the ERK pathway.

© 2006 The WJG Press. All rights reserved.

Key words: Acid; Bile acid; Esophageal epithelial cells; Cell proliferation; Extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase

Jiang ZR, Gong J, Zhang ZN, Qiao Z. Influence of acid and bile acid on ERK activity, PPAR_Y expression and cell proliferation in normal human esophageal epithelial cells. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12(15): 2445-2449

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/12/2445.asp

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma has been rapidly increasing in western countries. With the improvement of investigation devices, reflux esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus (BE) have also been reported in more and more cases in China. In these diseases, duodenogastroesophageal reflux has been established as a strong risk factor^[1]. The main components of refluxates are acid and bile acid, whose potential contributions to the esophageal diseases have widely been discussed. However, the effects of acid and bile acid on esophageal cell proliferation and the related signal transduction mechanisms remain unknown. The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family, also known as extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (INK), and p38 pathways, transmit extracellular growthregulating signals to effector genes in the nucleus, the activation of the ERK pathway is usually associated with pro-proliferative and antiapoptotic effect^[2]. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ), a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, has recently been shown to be implicated in the development of many digestive tumors^[3], with the potential role in the downstream of ERK pathway^[4].

In the present study, we examined the effects of acid and bile acid on cell proliferation in normal human esophageal epithelial cells *in vitro*, in association with ERK signaling pathway and PPARy expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies and reagents

Monoclonal antibody raised against human cytokeratin 14 (CK14) was purchased from Changdao Biotech Company, Shanghai. Polyclonal antibodies raised against human ERK1/2 and phospho-ERK1/2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, USA. Monoclonal antibody raised against human PPARy was purchased from Santa Cruz, USA. Keratinocyte serum-free media (K-SFM) supplemented with bovine pituitary extract (BPE) and recombinant epithelial growth factor (rEGF) were from Gibco, USA. Hydrochloric acid (1 mol/L) was from Sanpu Pure Chemical Industries, Xi'an. Sodium glycocholate (GC), sodium glycodeoxycholate (GDC), sodium glycochenodeoxycholate (GCDC), sodium taurocholate (TC), sodium taurodeoxycholate (TDC), sodium taurochenodeoxycholate (TCDC), and cholic acid (CA), deoxycholic acid (DCA) were purchased from Sigma, USA, and dissolved in K-SFM to 250 µmol/L. Histostain kit was purchased from Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotech Company, Beijing. RIPA cell lysis kit was purchased from Shenergy Biocolor BioScience Technology Company, Shanghai. BCA protein assay kit and ECL kit were purchased from PIERCE, USA.

Cell culture

Normal esophageal mucosa samples were obtained from surgically resected esophagus with esophageal carcinoma, then confirmed by an experienced pathologist to contain neither macroscopic tumor tissue nor histologically detectable metaplastic cells or cancer cells. Samples were acquired with the signed informed consent from the patient. Samples were collected aseptically, stored in sterile K-SFM at 4°C, and processed within 4 h. Primary culture of normal esophageal epithelial cells was undertaken according to the method described elsewhere^[5]. The collected cells were suspended in K-SFM supplemented with BPE and rEGF, and seeded into 75-cm uncoated plastic culture flask in humidified air containing 50 mL/L CO₂ at 37°C. After the initial subculture, an aliquot of cells was grown on coverslips for immunocytochemical stain with CK14 antibody.

Experimental groups

Equally seeded epithelial cells were cultured in K-SFM without BPE and rEGF for 48 h, then divided into 3 groups, acid exposure group: cells were exposed to the acidified medium (pH 4.0-6.5) for 3-60 min; bile acid exposure group: cells were exposed respectively to the medium containing different bile acid (250 μ mol/L) for 3-60 min; and mixed exposure group: cells were exposed to the medium containing different bile acid (250 μ mol/L) with different pH (4.0 and 6.5) for 3 min. Cells of control group were cultivated in normal media (pH 7.3).

Cell proliferation and cell cycle determination

For cell proliferation assay, 5×10^3 cells/well were plated in 96-well plates. Using MTT assay, changes in cell number were measured 24 h after different exposures. Then 10 µL MTT solution (5 mg/mL) was directly added to the cell





Figure 1 A phasecontrast micrograph of normal human esophageal epithelial cells (× 250).

cultures. After 4 h, media were removed and cells were lysed with 100 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide. Absorbance at 490 nm was read and data were presented as ratio to control (proliferation ratio).

For cell cycle determination, cells were seeded at 5×10^5 /well in 6-well dishes. After 24 h of different exposures, cells were trypsinized and washed in PBS. A pellet of 1×10^6 cells was fixed in 750 mL/L ice-cold ethanol and stored at 4°C until analyzed. Before flow cytometric analysis, cells were stained with 50 µg/mL RNase and 50 µg/mL propidium iodide. Cells in the G1, S, and G2 phase of the cell cycle and cell apoptotic percentage were determined using flow cytometer (FACSCalibur, Becton Dickinson, USA).

Immunoblot analysis

After exposure to acid or bile acid for 3 min, cells were immediately treated with cold RIPA cell lysis buffer, followed by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 20 min at 4°C to remove the cell debris. Total protein of the supernatant was measured using a BCA protein assay kit. Protein electrophoresis was performed on a 100 g/L SDS- polyacrylamide gel with 50 µg protein added to each lane. The proteins were then transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the various primary PPARγ (1:100), ERK1/2 and anti-phospho ERK1/2 (1:1000) antibodies, and then incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase for 2 h at room temperature. The protein bands were visualized by ECL and exposed to X-ray film. The relative density of the protein bands was quantified by densitometry using Electrophoresis Documentation and Analysis System.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. The data were expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis between two groups was carried out using Student's *t*-test, for comparison of three or more groups using ANOVA test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Growth characteristics of normal human esophageal epithelial cells

Epithelial monolayer cultures were successfully established with the appearance of cobblestones (Figure 1). As a cytoskeleton protein of esophageal epithelial cells, CK14 was expressed in all secondary cultures using immunocytochemical stain.



Figure 2 Effect of acid exposure on cell proliferation.



Figure 3 Effect of bile acid exposure on cell proliferation.



Figure 4 Changes in proliferation of cells exposed to bile acid with different pH for 3 min.

Measurement of cell proliferation and cell cycle

For cells exposed to acid (Figure 2), proliferation ratios were above 1 at 3 min, then decreased gradually to below 1 with prolongation of exposure time, especially when pH < 5.0. For cells exposed to bile acid (Figure 3), proliferation ratios of GC, GDC, GCDC, TC, TDC, TCDC, and CA groups were all above 1 or near 1 with the exposure time of 3-60 min. For DCA group, proliferation ratios were above 1 at 3-12 min, then decreased to below 1 with prolongation of exposure time. For mixed exposure group (Figure 4), proliferation ratios of cells exposed to bile acid with pH 6.5 or 4 for 3 min were markedly less than that of bile acid group (pH 7.4) (P < 0.05), for most groups the proliferation ratios dropped to the lowest at pH 4, yet for GC the lowest proliferation ratio was seen at pH 6.5.

The results of cell cycle determination are summarized in Table 1. Compared with the control (pH 7.3), a short-period exposure to acid (3 min) produced an obvious increase of cells in S phase (P < 0.05), and a similar apoptosis percentage. In contrast, longer exposure to acid (6, 12 min) significantly decreased the cell proportion in S phase accompanied by an increased apoptosis percentage (P<0.05). Similarly, a brief exposure to bile acid (3-12 min) also produced an increase of cells in S phase (P<0.05), and a similar apoptosis percentage.

Expression of ERK1/2 and PPARy

The expression of phospho-ERK_{1/2} was significantly increased in cells exposed to pH 4.0, 5.0 and 6.0 for 3 min (Figure 5) compared to control (pH 7.3) (P < 0.05). ERK







Figure 6 Expression of ERK in 30 min after acid exposure (pH 4.0, 3 min).



Figure 7 Effect of bile acid exposure (3 min) on ERK.

activity increased immediately after acid exposure (pH 4, 3 min), approximate to the level of control after 15 min (Figure 6). There were also increased expressions of phospho-ERK_{1/2} in cells exposed to different bile acid for 3 min (P < 0.05) (Figure 7). No expression of PPAR γ in normal human esophageal epithelial cells, either exposed to acid/bile acid or not, was observed.

DISCUSSION

Increased cell proliferation has been shown in reflux esophagitis and BE^[6]. Episodic exposure to acid has been found to increase *in vitro* cell proliferation in organ culture of BE^[7] and in Barrett's-associated adenocarcinoma cell line (SEG-1)^[8]. Moreover, it was reported the exposure to GCDC for 20 min also increased cell proliferation in SEG-1 cell line^[9]. These results suggest that the refluxates probably result in the development of esophageal inflammation, intestinal metaplasia and malignant

Table 1 Effect of acid and bile acid on cell cycle						
	3 min		6 min		12 min	
	S (%)	A (%)	S (%)	A (%)	S (%)	A (%)
pH 4.0	32.94±1.87 ^a	0.89 ± 0.08	24.12±2.08 ^a	2.89 ± 0.11^{a}	21.77±1.53 ^a	3.50 ± 0.16^{a}
pH 5.0	35.51±1.93 ^a	0.73 ± 0.05	26.68 ± 1.91^{a}	1.70 ± 0.09^{a}	25.53 ± 1.72^{a}	1.98 ± 0.09^{a}
pH 6.0	33.28 ± 2.05^{a}	0.85 ± 0.07	27.83 ± 1.06^{a}	1.56 ± 0.15^{a}	25.49 ± 1.95^{a}	1.63 ± 0.10^{a}
GC	33.12±1.51 ^a	0.92 ± 0.05	35.03 ± 2.06^{a}	0.82 ± 0.10	36.83 ± 1.95^{a}	0.71 ± 0.09
TC	35.44 ± 2.11^{a}	0.76 ± 0.09	37.05 ± 2.14^{a}	0.90 ± 0.10	36.96 ± 2.04^{a}	0.80 ± 0.11
CA	34.89 ± 2.56^{a}	0.88 ± 0.09	34.59 ± 1.97^{a}	0.73 ± 0.07	37.04 ± 1.81^{a}	0.93 ± 0.08
DCA	33.37 ± 1.64^{a}	0.81 ± 0.07	34.21 ± 1.98^{a}	0.85 ± 0.16	36.57 ± 1.82^{a}	0.79 ± 0.14
Control	29.61±1.82	0.64 ± 0.10	30.56 ± 1.12	0.71 ± 0.09	32.58 ± 1.89	0.58 ± 0.13

S: S phase; A: Apoptosis; ${}^{a}P < 0.05$.

progression by inducing abnormal proliferation. Since there have been some problems unsettled in the primary culture of human normal esophageal epithelial cells, the previous studies were mainly limited to the cells in pathologic status. Based on the newly-established primary culture technique of human normal esophageal cells^[5], we observed, probably for the first time, the effects of acid and bile acid on cell proliferation in human normal esophageal cells.

We found an acid-pulse (3 min) enhanced proliferation in normal esophageal epithelial cells, whereas continuous acid exposure blocked cell proliferation. A brief acid exposure (3 min) increased proliferation ratios at 24 h, yet longer exposure (>6 min) led to decreased cell number, which was more significant at pH<5.0. This was further confirmed by the results of cell cycle determination, a brief exposure (3 min) to acid produced an increase of cells in S phase, while longer exposure (6, 12 min) decreased the cell proportion in S phase accompanied by an increased apoptosis percentage.

The above results show that the effects of acid on esophageal cells are time-dependent, the brief exposure has pro-proliferation effect and longer exposure can induce apoptosis. Previous reports have showed the effect of acid on apoptosis, exposure to pH 3.5 for 2 d led to a decreased cell number in mouse esophageal keratinocytes^[10], and normal human esophageal epithelial cells could not survive in media below pH 5.5 for 12 h^[11]. Esophageal acid reflux is judged clinically by pH < 4. Since cells are cultured *in vitro* with a neutral pH of 7.2-7.4, their tolerance to acid is limited; this is mainly due to the defect of tissue organization, blood transportation and mucosal barrier *in vivo*.

To identify whether bile acid has the similar proproliferation effect, we exposed the normal esophageal cells to 6 conjugated bile acids and 2 unconjugated bile acids of 250 μ mol/L for 3-60 min. The concentration choice was based on median bile acid concentration found in esophageal aspiration in patients with BE^[12]. We found a brief bile acid exposure (3-12 min) also increased proliferation ratios at 24 h and the cell proportion in S phase, while with prolongation of exposure time to the acid and bile acids, especially DCA, the cell number decreased, suggesting that DCA is more toxic than other bile acids. Furthermore, we found that toxic effect of acid was higher compared to bile acid with the same exposure time.

In most cases, duodenogastroesophageal reflux is the mixed reflux of acid and bile. The toxic effects of bile acids are known to vary with pH. Unconjugated bile acids (pKa>4) and glycine conjugates (pKa>6) are soluble in nonionic pattern when pH>4, whereas taurine conjugates are freely soluble even at pH 2^[12]. Bile acids in nonionic pattern are easy to enter cells because of their affinity to lipid. Esophageal perfusion studies in animal models showed that unconjugated bile acids caused mucosal damage selectively in alkaline solutions, whereas taurine conjugates were toxic in acidic conditions^[13]. We investigated the influence of pH on the effect of bile acid in cells, and found that compared with bile acid exposure group, the mixed exposure group had a decreased proliferation ratio, which dropped to the lowest at pH 4, yet for GC the lowest proliferation ratio was seen at pH 6.5. So, we could conclude that acid aggravates the toxic effect of bile acid, which may be due to the influence of pH on the ionization degree of taurine conjugates and glycine conjugates. In addition, it may be also related to the synthetic toxic effects of acid and bile acid, since we found that with the same exposure time (3 min), acid or bile acid exposure enhanced cell proliferation, but the mixed exposure decreased the proliferation.

Since acid and bile reflux in esophagus have been clinically shown to be intermittent and repeated, the abnormal proliferation induced by acid and bile acid may play an important role in the development of refluxrelated diseases. Though there have been various points of view about the damage mechanisms of refluxates, their pro-proliferative mechanism has been seldom reported. So, we investigated the relation between ERK signaling pathway and the proliferation effects of acid and bile acid. ERK is an important signal transduction factor, unreactive in cytoplasm in normal condition, can be activated by physicochemical stimulus to enter nucleus and is implicated in cell physiological and pathological processes, such as growth, division, proliferation, apoptosis and malignant progression^[14]. We found the proliferation induced by acid and bile acid in normal esophageal epithelial cells was related to the up-regulation of ERK expression, which suggest that acid and bile acid may result in esophageal inflammation, intestinal metaplasia and malignant progression by inducing ERK-mediated proliferation.

Recently some reports have suggested the implication

of PPARγ in control of tumor cell growth and its possible role in the downstream of ERK signaling pathway^[3,4]. PPARγ is over-expressed in many malignant tissues, but its expression in esophagus remains controversial. Some authors reported PPARγ mRNA level was lower in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma tissues than that of normal esophageal mucosa^[3]. Others found PPARγ mRNA was expressed in biopsies of both normal esophageal mucosa and BE, but expression of this protein was detected only in BE^[15]. In our study, no expression of this protein was observed either in normal esophageal epithelial cells or the cells exposed to acid or bile acid.

In conclusion, we have shown, probably for the first time, that a brief exposure to acid or bile acid induces *in vitro* proliferation in normal human esophageal epithelial cells, accompanied with the up-regulation of ERK, a potential molecular pathway whereby duodenogastroesophageal reflux may contribute to the development of reflux esophagitis, BE and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Moreover, acid aggravates the toxic effect of bile acid, which supports the view that the mixed reflux facilitates damage to the esophageal mucosa.

REFERENCES

- Conio M, Lapertosa G, Blanchi S, Filiberti R. Barrett's esophagus: an update. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003; 46: 187-206
- 2 Seger R, Krebs EG. The MAPK signaling cascade. FASEB J 1995; 9: 726-735
- 3 Terashita Y, Sasaki H, Haruki N, Nishiwaki T, Ishiguro H, Shibata Y, Kudo J, Konishi S, Kato J, Koyama H, Kimura M, Sato A, Shinoda N, Kuwabara Y, Fujii Y. Decreased peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma gene expression is correlated with poor prognosis in patients with esophageal cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2002; 32: 238-243
- 4 **Gardner OS**, Dewar BJ, Earp HS, Samet JM, Graves LM. Dependence of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor

ligand-induced mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling on epidermal growth factor receptor transactivation. *J Biol Chem* 2003; **278**: 46261-46269

- 5 Zhang R, Gong J, Wang H, Wang L, Lei J, Ran LW. Isolation and subculture of human esophageal squamous epithelial cells. *Disijunyi Daxue Xuebao* 2005; 26: 1468-1451
- 6 Whittles CE, Biddlestone LR, Burton A, Barr H, Jankowski JA, Warner PJ, Shepherd NA. Apoptotic and proliferative activity in the neoplastic progression of Barrett's oesophagus: a comparative study. J Pathol 1999; 187: 535-540
- 7 Fitzgerald RC, Omary MB, Triadafilopoulos G. Dynamic effects of acid on Barrett's esophagus. An ex vivo proliferation and differentiation model. *J Clin Invest* 1996; 98: 2120-2128
- 8 Souza RF, Shewmake K, Terada LS, Spechler SJ. Acid exposure activates the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in Barrett's esophagus. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 299-307
- 9 Jaiswal K, Tello V, Lopez-Guzman C, Nwariaku F, Anthony T, Sarosi GA Jr. Bile salt exposure causes phosphatidyl-inositol-3-kinase-mediated proliferation in a Barrett's adenocarcinoma cell line. Surgery 2004; 136: 160-168
- 10 Marchetti M, Caliot E, Pringault E. Chronic acid exposure leads to activation of the cdx2 intestinal homeobox gene in a long-term culture of mouse esophageal keratinocytes. J Cell Sci 2003; 116: 1429-1436
- 11 **Kawabe A**, Shimada Y, Soma T, Maeda M, Itami A, Kaganoi J, Kiyono T, Imamura M. Production of prostaglandinE2 via bile acid is enhanced by trypsin and acid in normal human esophageal epithelial cells. *Life Sci* 2004; **75**: 21-34
- 12 Nehra D, Howell P, Williams CP, Pye JK, Beynon J. Toxic bile acids in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: influence of gastric acidity. *Gut* 1999; 44: 598-602
- 13 Kivilaakso E, Fromm D, Silen W. Effect of bile salts and related compounds on isolated esophageal mucosa. *Surgery* 1980; 87: 280-285
- 14 Rubinfeld H, Seger R. The ERK cascade: a prototype of MAPK signaling. *Mol Biotechnol* 2005; **31**: 151-174
- 15 Konturek PC, Nikiforuk A, Kania J, Raithel M, Hahn EG, Mühldorfer S. Activation of NFkappaB represents the central event in the neoplastic progression associated with Barrett' s esophagus: a possible link to the inflammation and overexpression of COX-2, PPARgamma and growth factors. *Dig Dis Sci* 2004; **49**: 1075-1083

S- Editor Wang J L- Editor Kumar M E- Editor Ma WH