



Published in final edited form as:

J Oral Sci. 2013 March ; 55(1): 23–28.

A comparative study of oral health attitudes and behavior using the Hiroshima University - Dental Behavioral Inventory (HU-DBI) between dental and civil engineering students in Colombia

Jorge A. Jaramillo¹, Fredy Jaramillo², Itzjak Kador³, David Masuoka⁴, Liyue Tong⁵, Chul Ahn⁵, and Takashi Komabayashi⁶

¹Private Practice, Popayan, Colombia

²School of Civil Engineering, University of Cauca, Popayan, Colombia

³Department of General Dentistry and Epidemiology, School of Dentistry, University Antonio Narino, Popayan, Colombia

⁴Stomatology Department, Health Science Center, Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Aguascalientes, Mexico

⁵Department of Clinical Sciences, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

⁶Department of Endodontics, West Virginia University School of Dentistry, Morgantown, WV, USA

Abstract

The aim of this study was to use the Hiroshima University - Dental Behavioral Inventory (HU-DBI) to compare oral health attitudes and behavior of dental and civil engineering students in Colombia. The HU-DBI's survey consisting of twenty dichotomous responses (agree-disagree) regarding tooth brushing, was completed at University Antonio Narino for the dental students and the University of Cauca for the civil engineering students. The Spanish version of the HU-DBI questionnaire was taken by 182 of 247 dental students and 411 of 762 engineering students. The data was statistically analyzed by the chi-square test and backward logistic regression. Compared to the engineering students, the dental students were more likely to agree with questions such as "I am bothered by the color of my gums" (OR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.3-3.7), "I think I can clean my teeth well without using toothpaste" (OR = 3.0, 95% CI: 1.5-5.9), "I have used a dye to see how clean my teeth are" (OR = 2.9, 95% CI: 1.9-4.3), and "I have had my dentist tell me that I brush very well" (OR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3-3.1). The dental education curriculum in a dental school compared to a civil engineering school in Colombia indicated that a three-phase curriculum in didactics and clinics increased oral health attitudes and behavior from entry to graduation.

Keywords

oral health; behavior; tooth brushing; dental students; dental school curriculum; Colombia

Introduction

Dental students are the future leaders in oral health care and are expected to be teachers of oral hygiene as well as role models of self-care regimens for their patients as their dental education progresses. In a dental school setting, it is critical to evaluate yearly progress of dental students learning about self-care regimens such as oral health attitudes and behavior. However, little attention has been paid to annual progress due to the difficulty of outcomes measurement and lack of control group students outside the dental school. Therefore, the current problem is the difficulty of examining how dental education helps to shape oral health attitudes and behavior for dental students in comparison to non-dental students.

It is known that in the complex interplay of factors that shape attitudes and health behaviors, two major influences have been identified: one is learned experience and the other is culturally determined attitudes/beliefs/behaviors (social norms) (1,2). In the context of the oral health attitudes and behaviors of students, learned experience is equated with dental education and its curriculum, while social norms are established and reinforced by non-dental education. However, the impact of learned experience on top of baseline values such as social norms is unknown in the dental education setting.

To answer this research question, we administered the Hiroshima University - Dental Behavioral Inventory (HU-DBI) to Colombian dental students as the experimental group and civil engineering students as the control group, since civil engineering is also a five-year program with excellent students having an academic level similar to that of the dental students. The HU-DBI, developed by Kawamura, consists of twenty questions eliciting dichotomous responses (agree/disagree) to examine oral health attitudes and behavior of patients during tooth brushing. The HU-DBI has good test/retest reliability, and thus, it is not only useful for understanding patients but also for predicting clinical outcomes (3,4). In addition, the HU-DBI has been translated from Japanese into English, Finnish, Chinese, and Korean for cross-cultural comparisons. Results for these translated versions, including methods and reliability, have been reported previously (5-8).

The aim of this study was to compare the oral health attitudes and behavior of dental and civil engineering students in Colombia using the HU-DBI.

Materials and Methods

At the time of implementation, no Spanish translation of the HU-DBI was available. The translation from Japanese into Spanish was conducted in two phases. A Spanish version of the HU-DBI was made from the Japanese version using a translation procedure led by Spanish and Japanese bilingual experts having experience with questionnaires and survey research. Back-translation was used during this translation process. Sixteen Spanish and Japanese bilingual individuals were invited to take both Spanish and Japanese versions of the HU-DBI. They were asked to answer each version of the questionnaire separately at different times (3-6 hours apart). The reliability of the translated version was measured using Spearman's rank correlation. The co-relation between the Spanish and Japanese

versions measured by Spearman's rank correlation was $r = 0.973$ ($n = 16$, $P < 0.001$), suggesting that the Spanish HU-DBI would be acceptable for this study.

All undergraduate dental students at University Antonio Narino (Popayan, Colombia) and civil engineering students at the University of Cauca (Popayan, Colombia) were invited to participate in this survey at the beginning of the academic year using the Spanish version of the HU-DBI questionnaire. The students were asked by their professors to remain in class at the end of a lecture to participate in this survey on a voluntary basis. No attempt was made to follow up with students who were absent on the day of the survey. Before the survey was given out, informed consent was received from those students who volunteered to take the survey. The HU-DBI questionnaire, which consists of twenty dichotomous responses (agree-disagree) regarding oral health attitudes and behavior of patients toward tooth brushing, was distributed to all students. They were asked to fill in the questionnaire and the completed questionnaires were collected. In all, 182 of 247 (73.7%) dental and 411 of 762 (53.9%) civil engineering students answered the Spanish versions of the HU-DBI questionnaire (response rates) (Table 1).

For the statistical analysis, the rate of “agree” responses was computed for dental and civil engineering students on each question during 10 semesters. Univariate logistic regression was used to investigate if there were significant differences between the dental and civil engineering students on the rate of “agree” responses controlling the effect of semesters attended for each question. The odds ratios were computed along with the 95% confidence intervals. For the multivariable analysis, backward logistic regression analysis was performed to identify significant independent questions that could differentiate the two groups of students. We used “dental/civil engineering students” as a dependent variable in the backward logistic regression to identify significant independent oral health behavior questions associated with the type of students. The effect of semester on oral behaviors was separately tested for dental and civil engineering students. All the models were adjusted for level of education (semester). The analyses were performed with SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Table 2 shows the logistic regression analysis for each HU-DBI question to compare the percentage of “agree” responses between the dental and civil engineering students taking into account the effect of semesters. Compared to the civil engineering students, the dental students were more likely to agree on questions #7, #11, #16, and #20 and less likely to agree on questions # 8, #10, #14, #15, #17, and #18 after controlling the effect of semesters attended. The interpretation of the odds ratio was, for example, that the dental students were 2.77 times more likely to agree on questions #20 after controlling the effect of semesters attended compared to the civil engineering students.

Table 3 shows the estimated coefficient and related statistics from the backward logistic regression model. Backward logistic regression was used to assess whether civil and dental students have similar oral health behaviors taking into account the effect of semesters attended. As a result, compared to the civil engineering students, the dental students were

more likely to agree on questions #7, #11, #16, and #20 and less likely to agree on questions #3, #8, and #17 after controlling the effect of semesters attended. The interpretation of the odds ratio was, for instance, that the dental students were 2.05 times more likely to agree on question #20 compared to the civil engineering students after controlling the effect of semesters attended.

The effect of semester on oral behaviors was tested for dental and civil engineering students. For civil engineering students, there was no significant semester effect on any of the questions. For dental students, there were significant decreases in “agree” response in questions #1 ($P = 0.018$), #17 ($P = 0.043$), and #18 ($P = 0.004$) as semester increases. There were significant increases in “agree” response in questions #7 ($P = 0.046$), #9 ($P = 0.043$), and #11 ($P = 0.010$) as semester increases. At semester 1, there was no significant difference between dental and civil engineering students in all questions except for question #4. Dental students were less likely to agree on question #4 as compared to civil students ($P = 0.021$).

Discussion

This study investigated the impact of learned experience (i.e., dental education programs) on attitudes toward and behavior promoting oral health in the Republic of Colombia. Currently, the Colombian population suffers from limited access to information about dental care. Most dentists are concentrated in metropolitan areas, while too few practice in rural areas and small towns. Of all the Colombian cities and towns, Medellín is the only city with a continuing annual oral health prevention program based on education and fluoridated mouth rinses in public schools (9). Historically, information about oral disease prevention may not be provided by dental staff, and the risk of misinformation has been a concern (10). Students coming to dental school, especially those from outside the Medellín public school system, are unlikely to share a social norm regarding oral health since they would not have been exposed to oral health prevention programs.

To help address Colombia's oral health care needs, the country currently has twenty-one accredited dental schools (sixteen of which are private and five public). All applicants to dental school must have graduated from high school; no undergraduate pre-dental coursework is required before admission. The five-year (ten-semester) dental school program that culminates in a Doctor of Dental Surgery (D.D.S.) degree comprises two years, or four semesters, of mainly preclinical didactic and laboratory courses, and three years, or six semesters, of mainly clinical training that is supervised by the faculty members. In the fifth year (the ninth and tenth semesters), the students do rotations in hospitals and health institutions (11).

The Colombian dental school curriculum consists of three phases to teach preventive dentistry and oral health promotion. In phase I, didactic courses in cariology and epidemiology are taught in the third semester (Year 2). In phase II, clinical courses such as promotion and prevention clinic resume in the fourth semester (Year 2). In phase III, clinical courses such as integrated clinics are taught from the sixth to the tenth semesters (Years 3-5) (11).

To determine the impact of the dental curriculum, this study compared the oral health attitudes and behavior of dental and civil engineering students in Colombia using the HU-DBI. Out of the twenty questions from the HU-DBI, compared to the civil engineering students, the dental students were more likely to agree on questions such as “I am bothered by the color of my gums” (#7), “I think I can clean my teeth well without using toothpaste” (#11), “I have used a dye to see how clean my teeth are” (#16), and “I have had my dentist tell me that I brush very well” (#20). The result of #7 implies dental education influences the awareness of not only caries prevention but also periodontal disease prevention. It is assumed that the increased response to question #11 among dental students is that the students, who give toothbrushing instructions to patients in phases II and III, have developed an understanding that the mechanical removal of dental plaque does not depend only on toothpaste use. Based on logistic regression, it is speculated that these results can be attributed to the formal dental education (i.e., preventative dentistry and oral hygiene courses) the students received as opposed to individual predilection for such behavior. Dental students can also be assumed to have been concerned about tooth care before entering their dental programs.

On the other side of the spectrum, compared to civil engineering students, dental students were less likely to agree on questions like, “I worry about the color of my teeth” (#3), “I think my teeth are getting worse despite my daily brushing” (#8), and “I use a toothbrush which has hard bristles” (#17). Dental students were half as likely to agree with civil engineering students on question #17, which might be due to a stereotypical opinion that hard-bristled brushes are better for brushing. Dental students were also half as likely to agree with questions #3 and #8. The dental students were likely to explore more preventative measures of oral care, which would lead to better knowledge regarding questions #3 and #8. However, caution should be exercised not to generalize the findings from one school in this study to the other schools.

A similar study using a modified HU-DBI was conducted with first-year dental and medical students who were also given the same test when they were fifth-year students at Peking University in China (12). While the changes in fifth-year dental students in comparison to the results from their first year were significant, there were no significant changes in first- and fifth-year medical student responses. From another report from 1999 that compares dental hygiene and nursing students in Japan utilizing the HU-DBI (13), the duration of education and the didactic/clinic curriculum were assumed to be the factors influencing changes in first- and last-year student responses.

In conclusion, significant dental educational outcomes were observed in comparison to those for the civil engineering students. The implications of this study for dental education include modifying dental school curricula to improve oral health attitudes and behavior of the dental students.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIH UL1 TR0000451 (2012, 2013).

References

1. Arnljot, HA.; Barnes, DE.; Cohen, LK.; Hunter, PB.; Ship, II. Oral health care systems an international collaborative study. Quintessence; London: 1985. p. 136-137.
2. Andersen, R.; Marcus, M.; Mahshigan, M. A comparative systems perspective on oral health promotion and disease prevention. In: Cohen, LK.; Gift, HC., editors. Disease prevention and oral health promotion: socio-dental sciences in action. Munksgaard; Copenhagen: 1995. p. 307-340.
3. Kawamura M. Dental behavioral science. The relationship between perceptions of oral health and oral status in adults. Hiroshima Daigaku Shigaku Zasshi. 1988; 20:273–286. [PubMed: 3271802]
4. Kawamura M, Sasahara H, Kawabata K, Iwamoto Y, Konishi K, Wright FA. Relationship between CPITN and oral health behaviour in Japanese adults. Aust Dent J. 1993; 38:381–388. [PubMed: 8259915]
5. Kawamura M, Iwamoto Y, Wright FA. A comparison of self-reported dental health attitudes and behavior between selected Japanese and Australian students. J Dent Educ. 1997; 61:354–360. [PubMed: 9158542]
6. Kawamura M, Honkala E, Widstrom E, Komabayashi T. Cross-cultural differences of self-reported oral health behaviour in Japanese and Finnish dental students. Int Dent J. 2000; 50:46–50. [PubMed: 10945180]
7. Kawamura M, Yip HK, Hu DY, Komabayashi T. A cross-cultural comparison of dental health attitudes and behaviour among freshman dental students in Japan, Hong Kong and West China. Int Dent J. 2001; 51:159–163. [PubMed: 11563680]
8. Kim KJ, Komabayashi T, Moon SE, Goo KM, Okada M, Kawamura M. Oral health attitudes/behavior and gingival self-care level of Korean dental hygiene students. J Oral Sci. 2001; 43:49–53. [PubMed: 11383636]
9. Franco AM, Martignon S, Saldarriaga A, Gonzalez MC, Arbelaez MI, Ocampo A, Luna LM, Martínez-Mier EA, Villa AE. Total fluoride intake in children aged 22-35 months in four Colombian cities. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2005; 33:1–8. [PubMed: 15642041]
10. Rise J, Sögaard AJ. Effect of a mass media periodontal campaign upon preventive knowledge and behavior in Norway. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1988; 16:1–4. [PubMed: 3422609]
11. Jaramillo JA, Pulido JH, Castro Núñez JA, Bird WF, Komabayashi T. Dental education in Colombia. J Oral Sci. 2010; 52:137–143. [PubMed: 20339245]
12. Rong WS, Wang WJ, Yip HK. Attitudes of dental and medical students in their first and final years of undergraduate study to oral health behaviour. Eur J Dent Educ. 2006; 10:178–184. [PubMed: 16842593]
13. Kawamura M, Ikeda-Nakaoka Y, Sasahara H. An assessment of oral self-care level among Japanese dental hygiene students and general nursing students using the Hiroshima University – Dental Behavioural Inventory (HU-DBI): surveys in 1990/1999. Eur J Dent Educ. 2000; 4:82–88. [PubMed: 11168468]

Table 1

The number of enrolled and respondent students

Semester	Civil Engineering			Dental		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Year 1	23 (73)	23 (39)	46 (112)	5 (8)	16 (18)	21 (26)
Year 2	33 (84)	19 (29)	52 (113)	10 (11)	17 (20)	27 (31)
Year 3	34 (69)	15 (15)	49 (84)	7 (7)	9 (12)	16 (19)
Year 4	19 (59)	9 (13)	28 (72)	5 (11)	10 (16)	15 (27)
Year 5	19 (53)	24 (19)	43 (72)	13 (13)	6 (12)	19 (25)
Year 6	31 (57)	20 (20)	51 (77)	5 (5)	8 (11)	13 (16)
Year 7	27 (35)	7 (10)	34 (45)	8 (8)	23 (26)	31 (34)
Year 8	24 (31)	16 (18)	40 (49)	8 (9)	13 (20)	21 (29)
Year 9	25 (39)	13 (19)	38 (58)	2 (7)	8 (14)	10 (21)
Year 10	16 (60)	14 (20)	30 (80)	6 (6)	3 (13)	14 (19)
Total	251 (560)	160 (202)	411 (762)	69 (85)	113 (162)	182 (247)

() the number of enrolled students

Question description	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Semester: frequency (%) of "agree" response									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Dental School			67%	74%	75%	80%	84%	92%	84%	81%	90%	89%
10. I have never been taught professionally how to brush	0.0033*	0.48(0.30-0.79)										
Civil Engineering			15%	27%	33%	29%	21%	29%	32%	23%	13%	20%
Dental School			5%	15%	19%	7%	11%	15%	26%	14%	20%	0%
11. I think I can clean my teeth well without using toothpaste	0.0002*	3.15(1.72-5.74)										
Civil Engineering			9%	10%	2%	7%	5%	4%	9%	5%	3%	3%
Dental School			0%	15%	13%	7%	5%	31%	13%	29%	60%	0%
12. I often check my teeth in a mirror after brushing	0.068	1.46(0.97-2.19)										
Civil Engineering			74%	65%	71%	54%	67%	78%	50%	88%	61%	63%
Dental School			86%	74%	56%	93%	74%	77%	68%	71%	80%	78%
13. I worry about having bad breath	0.586	1.18(0.66-2.11)										
Civil Engineering			87%	92%	86%	93%	86%	88%	82%	90%	95%	87%
Dental School			81%	89%	88%	100%	95%	92%	94%	90%	80%	89%
14. It is impossible to prevent gum disease with toothbrushing alone	0.0329*	0.68(0.47-0.97)										
Civil Engineering			57%	56%	67%	39%	56%	53%	65%	63%	61%	57%
Dental School			48%	59%	44%	67%	37%	38%	55%	48%	40%	33%
15. I put off going to dentist until I have a toothache	0.0001*	0.45(0.30-0.68)										
Civil Engineering			26%	48%	35%	43%	40%	41%	47%	40%	32%	37%
Dental School			33%	22%	25%	20%	32%	31%	26%	14%	10%	0%
16. I have used a dye to see how clean my teeth are	<.0001*	3.63(2.49-5.28)										
Civil Engineering			35%	19%	41%	21%	37%	31%	24%	23%	16%	33%
Dental School			43%	59%	56%	73%	58%	62%	61%	62%	50%	56%
17. I use a toothbrush which has hard bristles	<.0001*	0.41(0.28-0.61)										
Civil Engineering			63%	48%	49%	46%	30%	51%	47%	53%	61%	40%
Dental School			43%	26%	38%	60%	26%	8%	10%	38%	40%	0%
18. I don't feel I have brushed well unless I brush with hard strokes	<.0001*	0.39(0.25-0.59)										
Civil Engineering			26%	46%	27%	39%	37%	41%	44%	48%	29%	43%
Dental School			38%	26%	38%	13%	16%	8%	13%	19%	0%	11%
19. I feel I sometimes take too much time to brush my teeth	0.381	1.18(0.82-1.70)										
Civil Engineering			46%	33%	41%	25%	44%	53%	29%	45%	24%	20%

Question description	Semester: frequency (%) of "agree" response									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Dental School	38%	33%	50%	40%	32%	38%	52%	48%	60%	11%
20. I have had my dentist tell me that I brush very well										
Civil Engineering	37%	46%	22%	36%	47%	39%	32%	48%	37%	53%
Dental School	52%	48%	75%	87%	79%	38%	58%	71%	80%	67%
	Odds ratio (95% CI)		P value							
	2.77(1.91-4.02)		<.0001*							

Table 3
Estimated coefficient and related statistics from the backward logistic regression model

Question description	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)
3. I worry about the color of my teeth	-0.333	0.157	4.501	0.034	0.51 (0.28-0.95)
7. I'm bothered by the color of my gum	0.403	0.130	9.547	0.002	2.24 (1.34-3.73)
8. I think my teeth are getting worse despite my daily brushing	-0.376	0.136	7.706	0.006	0.47 (0.28-0.80)
11. I think I can clean my teeth well without using toothpaste	0.562	0.169	10.992	0.001	3.08 (1.58-5.97)
16. I have used a dye to see how clean my teeth are	0.537	0.104	26.801	< 0.0001	2.93 (1.95-4.39)
17. I use a toothbrush which has hard bristles	-0.404	0.108	14.029	< 0.0001	0.45 (0.29-0.68)
20. I have had my dentist tell me that I brush very well	0.360	0.106	11.596	0.001	2.05 (1.36-3.11)