## Nitrogen dioxide is a positive regulator of plant growth

## Misa Takahashi\* and Hiromichi Morikawa

Department of Mathematical and Life Sciences; Hiroshima University; Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan

Keywords: nitrogen dioxide, nitric oxide signaling, hormone signaling, organ growth, cell proliferation, cell enlargement, organ size control

\*Correspondence to: Misa Takahashi; Email: mtakahas@sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Submitted: 01/18/2014

Accepted: 01/29/2014

Published Online: 02/13/2014

Citation: Takahashi M, Morikawa H. Nitrogen dioxide is a positive regulator of plant growth. Plant Signaling & Behavior 2014; 9:e28033; PMID: 24525764; http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.28033

Addendum to: Takahashi M, Furuhashi T, Ishikawa N, Horiguchi G, Sakamoto A, Tsukaya H, Morikawa H. Nitrogen dioxide regulates organ growth by controlling cell proliferation and enlargement in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 2014; 201:1304-15; PMID:24354517; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.12609

tmospheric nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) have long been recognized as either detrimental or beneficial for plant development. Recent research has established that NO is a phytohormone. Our present knowledge of the physiological role of NO<sub>2</sub> is incomplete. We do know, however, that exogenous NO, positively regulates the vegetative and reproductive growth of plants. We may therefore postulate that NO, is a positive growth regulator for plants. We are now in a position to coherently summarize what is known of NO2 physiology; collated information on the topic is presented here.

The air or atmosphere of the Earth is a layer of gases surrounding the planet that is retained in place by the force of gravity. In addition to major gases, there are trace amounts of others, such as the nitrogen oxides. Nitrogen oxides comprise nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), which occur at concentrations in the ppb to tens of ppb range (or even higher). Atmospheric NO and NO<sub>2</sub> have long been recognized as either detrimental or beneficial for plant development.<sup>1,2</sup> However, the molecular mechanisms of action underlying the effects have remained elusive. The 2 nitrogen oxide species readily interconvert in vivo,3 and in vitro,4 which suggests that there are similarities in their effects on plants.

Recent research has established that NO is a phytohormone that influences diverse physiological processes in plants. This finding has provided solutions to some long-unanswered questions on NO activities (reviewed by Santner and Estelle<sup>5</sup>). However, these advances pose a new question: does NO<sub>2</sub> play a hormonal role similar to or different from that of NO?

Our present knowledge of the physiological role of  $NO_2$  is incomplete. We do know, however, that exogenous  $NO_2$  positively regulates the vegetative and reproductive growth of plants.<sup>2</sup> We may therefore postulate that  $NO_2$  is a positive growth regulator for plants. We are now in a position to coherently summarize what is known of  $NO_2$  physiology; collated information on the topic is presented in the following discussion.

Nitrogen dioxide triggers plant growth and development

Exogenous NO<sub>2</sub> influences diverse physiological and developmental processes in a range of plants, including Arabidopsis thaliana (Table 1, see also below). Exposing plants that are well supplied with soil nitrogen to gaseous NO<sub>2</sub> increases the uptake of nutrients, photosynthesis, and nutrient metabolism so that shoot biomass, total leaf area, and the contents per shoot of C, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S (or Fe), free amino acids and crude proteins approximately double over those of control plants, with some exceptions (Table 1). Fruit yield is also increased 1.4-fold compared with control plants (Table 1). An increase in photosynthetic rate under the influence of NO<sub>2</sub> has also been reported by Xu et al.<sup>6</sup> There are differences in NO<sub>2</sub> effect sizes on plant biomass among Arabidopsis accessions; effects were greater in accession C24 ( $\leq$  2.8-fold) than in accession Columbia (Col-0) ( $\leq$  1.7-fold) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Plant responses to  $NO_2$  exposure measured as: changes in shoot biomass, total leaf area, fruit yield, and shoot contents of C, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S (or Fe), free amino acids, crude proteins, and  $NO_2$ -derived nitrogen ( $NO_2$ -N). Shoot content responses other than  $NO_2$ -N are expressed as fold changes.  $NO_2$ -N is expressed as the proportion of N in the whole plant

Species	NO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	Fold change <sup>b)</sup>														
		Shoot	Total leaf	Fruit yield	Element contents per shoot								Free amino	Crude	NO <sub>2</sub> -N <sup>c)</sup>	References
		biomass	area		с	N	Р	к	Ca	Mg	s	Fe	acids per shoot	proteins per shoot	(%)	
Arabidopsis thaliana C24	10	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Arabidopsis thaliana C24	50	2.8	2.6	-	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.4	1.9	2.5	-	-	-	4.1	2
Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0	50	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0	250	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Brassica campestris	250	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Corchorusolitorius	50	1.7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
Cucumissativus	100	1.7	3.5	-	1.7	1.8	1.1	1.6	1.3	1.7	-	2.2	-	-	14	18
Cucurbitamoschata	200	1.6	1.1	-	1.8	2.1	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.9	-	2.5	-	-	2.2	18
Helianthus annuus	200	2	2.3	-	2.1	2.3	2.1	2	1.4	1.5	-	1.3	-	-	12	18
Hibiscus cannabinus	100	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
Lactuca sativa	50	2.4	1.5	-	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.5	-	0.9	-	-	0.23	18
Nicotianaplumbaginifolia	150	1.7	1.9	-	1.7	1.5	1.6	2	1.6	1.7	1.5	-	1.6	1.6	< 3	1
Nicotianatabacum	40	1.0 <sup>a)</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
Solanumlycopersicum	20	1.7ª)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
Solanumlycopersicum	50	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.5	11

<sup>a)</sup>Total biomass rather than shoot biomass.<sup>16 b)</sup>Fold change was estimated by dividing the value for NO<sub>2</sub>-treated plants by the corresponding value for control plants. <sup>c)</sup>Plants were fed <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NO<sub>2</sub> and unlabeled nitrate; the content of nitrogen derived from NO<sub>2</sub> (NO<sub>2</sub>–N) in aboveground parts of plants was determined by mass spectrometry.<sup>2</sup> NO<sub>2</sub>–N is expressed here as a percentage of total N in the whole plant N.

The fact that NO<sub>2</sub>-derived N (NO<sub>2</sub>-N) comprises < 5% of total plant N in some, though not all, species (Table 1), suggests that NO<sub>2</sub> functions as a signal to stimulate the growth of plants rather than as a N source for metabolite production.

Nitrogen dioxide positively controls cell proliferation and enlargement

We recently demonstrated that NO<sub>2</sub> regulates organ growth in Arabidopsis by controlling cell proliferation and enlargement.<sup>2</sup> We harvested, fixed, and analyzed leaves from positions 1 (the oldest) through 25 (the youngest) taken from 5-wk-old Arabidopsis C24 plants that had been grown in the presence (50 ppb) or absence (0 ppb) of NO<sub>2</sub>. Leaf areas were significantly larger (1.3-8.4-fold) on NO<sub>2</sub>-treated plants than on control plants.The size of an organ, like a leaf, is determined by the number and size of its constituent cells. Therefore, we analyzed the numbers and sizes of palisade cells in the adaxial subepidermal layer, where cells are neatly aligned in the paradermal plane throughout leaf development. NO, treatment significantly increased cell size by 2.0-3.2- and 1.3-1.9-fold in younger and in older leaves, respectively. Cell numbers

in younger leaves increased by 1.2-3.1fold under NO<sub>2</sub> treatment, but this was not the case for older leaves.<sup>2</sup> Pearson's correlation analyses demonstrated that NO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in leaf areas were largely attributable to cell proliferation in developing leaves; in maturing leaves, the effect was attributable to both cell proliferation and enlargement. These results were corroborated by kinematic analysis of leaf growth in NO<sub>2</sub>-treated and untreated plants (M. Takahashi et al., unpublished results).

Genes involved in  $NO_2$  control of organ size

Endoreduplication (the replication of chromosomes without subsequent cell division) allows plants to increase the sizes of cells and organs. However, analysis of ploidy levels in *Arabidopsis* by flow cytometry has demonstrated that NO<sub>2</sub>-induced cell enlargement is not correlated with endoreduplication.<sup>2</sup>

We focused on 23 cell proliferation and/or enlargement genes that are reportedly involved in increases in organ size and biomass (reviewed by<sup>7</sup>); we analyzed (by quantitative real-time PCR) the average transcript expression levels of these genes in young (leaf 21–25), mature (leaf 12–20), and old (leaf 1–11) leaves from 5-wk-old *Arabidopsis* C24 plants that had been raised with or without  $NO_2$  treatment. No single gene was constantly significantly up- or downregulated. However,  $NO_2$ -induced expression of different sets of these genes depended on the leaf developmental stage.<sup>2</sup>

Xu et al.<sup>6</sup> reported that a salicylic acid (SA)-altering *Arabidopsis* Col-0 mutant *snc1* with high SA levels failed to respond to NO<sub>2</sub> (at 250 ppb) due to its increased antioxidant capacity.

We recently found that disruption of the *PLANT HORMONE LIKE EFFECT OF NITROGEN DIOXIDE (PHLENDI)* gene rendered *Arabidopsis* plants insensitive to NO<sub>2</sub>; we are investigating the role of this *PHLEND1* gene in relation to the positive regulatory function of NO<sub>2</sub> in plants.

Similarities and differences between the effects of NO and NO,

A shoot biomass increase similar to that induced by  $NO_2$  was obtained in *Arabidopsis* plants exposed to NO (at 50 ppb concentration) gas.<sup>2</sup> This outcome is congruent with previous studies reporting that treatment of *Arabidopsis* seedlings with the NO donor sodium nitroprusside enhances vegetative growth<sup>8</sup> and that exposure to NO gas promotes expansion of pea leaf discs<sup>9</sup> and vegetative growth of spinach.<sup>10</sup> Thus, NO and NO<sub>2</sub> likely stimulate vegetative growth through similar mechanisms.

On the contrary, NO and NO<sub>2</sub> have the opposite effects on flowering time. Exogenous NO delays flowering of *Arabidopsis* Col-0,<sup>8</sup> whereas exogenous NO<sub>2</sub> significantly accelerates the flowering time of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and C24, which are early and late flowering accessions, by ~6 and 2 d, respectively.<sup>2</sup> There are also reports of similar accelerations in flowering time, and increases in flower number and fruit yield when tomatoes are treated with NO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup>

The opposite effects of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> on flowering time in *Arabidopsis* provide evidence that their interconversion inside and outside cells is limited. Positive effects of NO<sub>2</sub> on both vegetative growth and flowering mimic those of gibberellic acid (GA), which also stimulates vegetative and reproductive growth.<sup>12</sup>

Does  $NO_2$  meet the criteria for classification as a phytohormone?

NO has been considered a phytohormone based on its qualitative dependence

## References

- Takahashi M, Nakagawa M, Sakamoto A, Ohsumi C, Matsubara T, Morikawa H. Atmospheric nitrogen dioxide gas is a plant vitalization signal to increase plant size and the contents of cell constituents. New Phytol 2005; 168:149-54; PMID:16159329; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01493.x
- Takahashi M, Furuhashi T, Ishikawa N, Horiguchi G, Sakamoto A, Tsukaya H, Morikawa H. Nitrogen dioxide regulates organ growth by controlling cell proliferation and enlargement in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 2014; 201:1304-15; PMID:24354517; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.12609
- Purwantini E, Mukhopadhyay B. Conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO by reduced coenzyme F420 protects mycobacteria from nitrosative damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106:6333-8; PMID:19325122; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812883106
- Wallington TJ, Nielsen OJ. Atmospheric degradation of anthropogenic molecules. In: Handbook of environmental chemistry. Vol. 2. Reactions and processes. Part 1. Hutzinger O, ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1998: 64-96.
- Santner A, Estelle M. Recent advances and emerging trends in plant hormone signalling. Nature 2009; 459:1071-8; PMID:19553990; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nature08122

on hormone dosage, site of action, kinetics of synthesis, metabolism and transport, and interactions with other regulators (reviewed by Shapiro<sup>13</sup>).

NO<sub>2</sub> meets some of these criteria. For example, in addition to the fact that NO<sub>2</sub> is either beneficial or detrimental to plants (Takahashi et al.<sup>2</sup> and references therein), our study has demonstrated: 1) that NO<sub>2</sub> induces increases in organ size and biomass at concentrations as low as 10 ppb (Table 1), and 2) that higher levels ( $\geq$  200 ppb) of NO<sub>2</sub> significantly inhibit the growth of *Arabidopsis*.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the effects are qualitatively dependent on NO<sub>2</sub> dosage.

NO reportedly fits the criteria required of a phytohormone: ease of transport (due to small molecular size) and rapid diffusion through biological membranes.<sup>13</sup> NO<sub>2</sub> also meets these criteria. There are interactions between the effects of NO<sub>2</sub> and hormones such as SA<sup>6</sup> and GA (see above). Besides the involvement of NO<sub>2</sub> in protein tyrosine nitration, an important posttranslational protein modification,<sup>13</sup> the metabolism of NO<sub>2</sub> in plants has also been reported.<sup>1</sup>

Although more than half a dozen NO production pathways or routes<sup>14</sup> exist in plants, and many studies have reported on NO<sub>2</sub> emission by plants, in

- Xu Q, Zhou B, Ma C, Xu X, Xu J, Jiang Y, Liu C, Li G, Herbert SJ, Hao L. Salicylic acid-altering arabidopsis mutants response to NO(<sub>2</sub>) exposure. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 2010; 84:106-11; PMID:19937312; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00128-009-9913-3
- Gonzalez N, Vanhaeren H, Inzé D. Leaf size control: complex coordination of cell division and expansion. Trends Plant Sci 2012; 17:332-40; PMID:22401845; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2012.02.003
- He Y, Tang RH, Hao Y, Stevens RD, Cook CW, Ahn SM, Jing L, Yang Z, Chen L, Guo F, et al. Nitric oxide represses the *Arabidopsis* floral transition. Science 2004; 305:1968-71; PMID:15448272; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1098837
- Leshem YY, Haramaty E. The characterization and contrasting effects of the nitric oxide free radical in vegetative stress and senescence of *Pisum sativum* Linn. foliage. J Plant Physiol 1996; 148:258-63; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(96)80251-3
- Jin CW, Du ST, Zhang YS, Tang CX, Lin XY. Atmospheric nitric oxide stimulates plant growth and improves the quality of spinach (*Spinaciaoleracea*). Ann Appl Biol 2009; 155:113-20; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2009.00327.x
- Takahashi M, Sakamoto A, Ezura H, Morikawa H. Prolonged exposure to atmospheric nitrogen dioxide increases fruit yield of tomato plants. Plant Biotechnol 2011; 8:485-7; http://dx.doi. org/10.5511/plantbiotechnology.11.0819a

vivo biosynthetic pathways for NO<sub>2</sub> are largely unexplored, other than reports on the enzymatic production of NO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>15</sup> However, in vitro production of NO<sub>2</sub> via single-electron oxidations of nitrite by hemeproteins such as horseradish peroxidase and leukocyte peroxidases, *Arabidopsis* hemoglobins, and human hemo/myoglobins have been demonstrated (Shapiro 2005,<sup>13</sup> and references therein). However, the extent to which these enzyme-mediated reactions contribute to the in vivo production of NO<sub>2</sub> remains unknown.

The action sites and receptors of NO<sub>2</sub> in plants have yet to be identified. Our demonstration of induced selective nitration of specific proteins following exposure of *Arabidopsis* plants to exogenous NO<sub>2</sub> (M Takahashi et al., unpublished results), and a report of NO<sub>2</sub> involvement in protein tyrosine nitration as a proximal intermediate in plants<sup>13</sup> make it likely that nitrated proteins are a target of NO<sub>2</sub> action. In summary, whether NO<sub>2</sub> functions as a hormone has yet to be determined. Nevertheless, almost all current experimental data support such a role.

## Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

- Mutasa-Göttgens E, Hedden P. Gibberellin as a factor in floral regulatory networks. J Exp Bot 2009; 60:1979-89; PMID:19264752; http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/jxb/erp040
- Shapiro AD. Nitric oxide signaling in plants. Vitam Horm 2005; 72:339-98; PMID:16492476; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0083-6729(05)72010-0
- Fröhlich A, Durner J. The hunt for plant nitric oxide synthase (NOS): is one really needed? Plant Sci 2011; 181:401-4; PMID:21889045; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.07.014
- Klepper L. Comparison between NOx evolution mechanisms of wild-type and *nr1* mutant soybean leaves. Plant Physiol 1990; 93:26-32; PMID:16667445; http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/ pp.93.1.26
- Vallano DM, Sparks JP. Quantifying foliar uptake of gaseous nitrogen dioxide using enriched foliar delta15N values. New Phytol 2008; 177:946-55; PMID:18069953; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02311.x
- Ma C, Xu X, Hao L, Cao J. Nitrogen dioxideinduced responses in *Brassica campestris* seedlings: the role of hydrogen peroxide in the modulation of antioxidative level and induced resistance. Agric Sci China 2007; 6:1193-200; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S1671-2927(07)60163-1
- Adam SEH, Shigeto J, Sakamoto A, Takahashi M, Morikawa H. Atmospheric nitrogen dioxide at ambient levels stimulates growth and development of horticultural plants. Botany 2008; 86:213-7; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1139/B07-129

- Adam SEH, Abdel-Banat BMA, Sakamoto A, Takahashi M, Morikawa H. Effect of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide on mulukhiya (*Corchoru solitorius*) growth and flowering. Am J Plant Physi 2008; 3:180-4; http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ajpp.2008.180.184
- Takahashi M, Adam SEH, Konaka D, Morikawa H. Nitrogen dioxide at an ambient level improves the capability of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus*) to decontaminate cadmium. Int J Phytoremediation 2008; 10:73-6; PMID:18709933; http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/15226510701827085