

NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2013 June 14; 340(6138): 1334–1338. doi:10.1126/science.1234133.

The Molecular Basis for Attractive Salt Taste Coding in Drosophila

Yali V. Zhang², Jinfei Ni², and Craig Montell^{1,2}

¹Neuroscience Research Institute and Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, 93110, USA

²Department of Biological Chemistry and Department of Neuroscience, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Abstract

Below a certain level, table salt (NaCl) is beneficial for animals whereas excessive salt is harmful. However, it remains unclear how low and high salt perceptions are differentially encoded. Here, we identified a salt taste coding mechanism in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Flies use distinct types of gustatory receptor neurons (GRNs) to respond to different concentrations of salt. We demonstrated that a member of the newly discovered ionotropic glutamate receptor (IR) family, IR76b, functioned in detection of low salt, and was a $Na⁺$ channel. Loss of IR76b selectively impaired the attractive pathway, leaving salt-aversive GRNs unaffected. Consequently, low salt became aversive. Our work demonstrated that the opposing behavioral responses to low and high salt were determined largely by an elegant bi-modal switch system operating in GRNs.

> To address the fundamental question as to how low- and high-salt taste perceptions are differentially encoded in GRNs in insects we chose the fruit fly as a model. We first tested the animal's behavioral responses to different salt concentrations ranging from 1 mM to 1000 mM using a robust, food-color based preference assay (fig. S1A, B). Akin to mammals, flies preferred low-salt food (1 mM to 100 mM) with a maximal preference at 50 mM NaCl, whereas they rejected high-salt food (≥ 200 mM) (Fig. 1A) (1–3). This pattern differs from both sugar and bitter taste in that flies prefer sweet and dislike bitter compounds regardless of the concentration.

In Drosophila, the primary taste sensory organ, the labellum contains 31 sensilla, which are further classified by size as small (S), intermediate (I), and large (L) sensilla (Fig. 1B) (4). Sensilla contain multiple GRNs, which respond to distinct stimuli, including bitter, sweet and salty tastants (4–6). We surveyed the physiological responses of sensilla to low salt (50 mM) and high salt (500 mM) by performing tip recordings (7) (fig. S2). The GRNs housed

To whom correspondence should be addressed: craig.montell@lifesci.ucsb.edu.

Supplementary Materials www.sciencemag.org

Results Materials and Methods Figs. S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7 References (S1–S15)

by two L-type sensilla (L4 and L6) produced the most robust firings in response to low salt, while the GRNs in three S-type sensilla (S4, S6 and S8) displayed the strongest responses to high salt (fig. S2, A and C). These three S-type sensilla respond differentially to bitter tastants (8), suggesting that each gustatory sensillum has a unique taste tuning profile. GRNs in I-type sensilla responded to salt (9), but none as robustly as the most sensitive L- or Stype sensilla (fig. S2). With the exception of S4 and S8, the S-type sensilla show robust responses to the broadest array of aversive tastants (5, 8), while L-type sensilla produce the strongest physiological responses to attractive tastants, such as sugars (6, 8, 10, 11). Thus, we deduced that the responses to low and high salt $(5, 6, 12)$ were likely to be controlled by a balance between the GRNs housed in L- and S-type sensilla.

We focused on L4 and S6 sensilla, using NaCl ranging from 1 mM to 1000 mM (Fig. 1, C and D). The firing of salt GRNs in the L4 sensilla increased progressively at low concentrations and peaked at 100 mM. In contrast, the salt GRNs in S6 sensilla were much less active than the salt GRNs in L4 sensilla, suggesting that these latter sensilla played a predominant role in low salt response. As the salt concentration increased above 100 mM, the firing of salt GRNs in L4 sensilla gradually declined. In contrast, the action potentials produced by S6 salt GRNs exhibited a remarkable increase (100 mM) with a maximal response at 500 mM. At high salt concentrations, the firing of S6 salt GRNs far exceeded L4 salt GRNs, indicating that the high salt response was controlled predominantly by salt GRNs in S-type sensilla.

We therefore propose a model in which competition between GRNs in the S- and L-type sensilla accounts for the bidirectional behavioral responses to salt. At low concentrations, the low-salt GRNs dominate over the high-salt GRNs, thereby causing the animals to prefer low salt (Fig. 1E). At high salt levels, the high-salt GRNs overwhelm the low-salt GRNs, resulting in salt rejection.

We next tested several candidate salt receptors and channels, none of which affected salt taste (supplementary online text). Ionotropic receptors (IRs) comprise a class of olfactory receptors in Drosophila, which are distantly related to mammalian ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs) (13). Several *Ir* genes, such as *Ir25a and Ir76b*, also appear to be expressed in gustatory sensilla (13–15). The *Ir25a²* mutant had no obvious deficits in sensing either low salt or high salt (fig S3, A and C). We then carried out a genetic analysis of *Ir76b*, and generated two null alleles, *Ir76b¹* and *Ir76b²* by P-element-mediated imprecise excision (Fig. 2A). We also retained a revertant line that underwent a precise P-element excision (*Ir76bR1*). Loss of *Ir76b* did not impair the responses to KCl, sucrose, water or bitter tastants (fig. S4, A–C).

The *Ir76b* deletions resulted in severe defects in the attraction to low-salt concentrations (1 – 100 mM; Fig. 2B). In contrast, the *Ir76b* mutants showed the same aversion to high salt as the *Ir76b*⁺ control (w^{1118}). *Ir76b^{R1}* behavior was indistinguishable from the "wild-type" *Ir76b*⁺ control (w^{1118}) at all salt concentrations (Fig. 2B).

We next performed tip recordings to monitor physiological abnormalities in the GRNs. The *Ir76b* mutations caused a decease in the number of action potentials by salt GRNs in L4

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 10.

sensilla in response to 50 mM salt (Fig. 2, C and D), demonstrating a functional defect in the GRNs. There were no significant changes in the firing frequencies of S6 GRNs in the *Ir76b* mutants, compared with wild-type (Fig. 2, C and D). Using the *Ir76b-Gal4* and *UAS*-*Ir76b* transgenes, we restored normal attractive responses to low salt in the *Ir76b¹* mutant (Fig. 2, $C–E$).

We also examined the physiological responses to different salt concentrations $(1 - 1000 \text{ mM})$ NaCl). Loss of *Ir76b* caused significant reductions in the firing frequencies of the salt GRNs in L4 sensilla at all salt concentrations (Fig. 2E). Firing of salt GRNs in L6 sensilla were also impaired (fig. S4D). However, there were no effects on the physiological responses of high-salt GRNs in S4 or S6 sensilla (Fig. 2F and fig. S4E).

Taken together, our studies indicated that removal of *Ir76b* selectively disrupted the attractive salt pathway, while leaving the aversive salt pathway intact (Fig. 2G). Consequently, *Ir76b* mutant animals avoided rather than preferred low salt, while they retained aversion to high salt.

To examine the cellular distribution pattern of IR76b, we raised antibodies against IR76b. The antibodies marked GRNs in the labellum, and the staining was virtually eliminated in *Ir76b* mutants (Fig. 3, A and B, and fig. S5A). We also generated flies expressing an *Ir76b* reporter (*Ir76b-Gal4*). In combination with *UAS-mCD8::GFP* or *UAS-dsRed*, we detected prominent GRN staining in the proboscis (Fig. 3, C and D). *Ir76b-*expressing GRNs were in all L-type sensilla, including L4 and L6 (Fig. 3C). We also detected *Ir76b* reporter expression in GRNs in the leg tarsi and wing margins, which sent projections to the ventral nerve cord (fig. S5, E–G). *Ir76b* reporter expression largely overlapped with the anti-IR76b staining, suggesting that the reporter reflected the *bona fide* cellular distribution of *Ir76b* (fig. S5, B–D)

To determine if *Ir76b*-positive GRNs overlapped with Gr66a expressing bitter- or Gr5a expressing sugar-responsive GRNs, we generated an *Ir76b* reporter using the Q system (*Ir76b*-*QF*) (16). Double-labeling showed that *Ir76b*-positive GRNs were distinct from either *Gr66a* or *Gr5a* GRNs, (Fig. 3, E and F). *Gr66a*- and *Gr5a*-positive GRNs project their axons from the labellum to non-overlapping regions in the brain, the subesophageal ganglion (SOG) (17, 18). The projections of *Ir76b* GRNs in the SOG (Fig. 3G and Fig. S5H) showed minimal overlap with regions innervated by the axons of *Gr5a* and *Gr66a* GRNs (Fig. 3, H–I). Thus, *Ir76b* GRNs represented a class of GRNs distinct from sugar or bitterresponsive GRNs.

In olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs), IRs function either alone or in conjugation with other IRs (13, 19). We tested whether misexpression of IR76b alone conferred salt taste when introduced in non-salt responsive GRNs. Because *Ir76b* and *Gr5a* are expressed in different GRN populations, we introduced *Ir76b* in *Gr5a*-sugar neurons in an *Ir76b¹* background. We recorded from L2 sensilla, which showed few responses to low salt even in wild type (Fig. 4A). In response to NaCl, there was a robust train of action potentials produced by these *Gr5a* GRNs in L2 sensilla (Fig. 4, A and B, and fig. S6A). In contrast, these same GRNs did not induce a response to NMDGCl or potentiate the response to sucrose (Fig. 4, A and B).

Thus, the action potentials were due to $Na⁺$ and not Cl, and was not a consequence of nonspecific elevation of *Gr5a* GRN activity. The behavioral deficit in low salt preference in *Ir76b* mutants was rescued by misexpressing *Ir76b* in *Gr5a* GRNs (Fig. 4C).

To test if IR76b was capable of functioning as a $Na⁺$ permeable channel, we performed whole-cell recordings after expressing IR76b in HEK293T cells (fig. S7, A and B). The IR76b expressing cells showed increased current (*IIR76b*) relative to control cells (Fig. 4D). The nearly linear current-voltage $(I-V)$ relationship indicated that I_{IR76b} was not strongly voltage-dependent (Fig. 4E). Replacement of the external Cl− with gluconate anions had little effect on *IIR76b* (fig. S7D). Using bionic conditions, the relative ion selectivity of IR76b was $P_{Na} (1.0) = P_{Cs} (1.0) > P_{K} (0.4)$ (Fig. 4E and fig. S7C and E). The Na⁺ conductance properties of IR76b were similar to NALCN—a mouse $Na⁺$ leak channel (20), suggested that IR76b was in a constitutively open state.

The ion conductance of iGluRs is controlled by residues in the third transmembrane (TM3) region that includes YTANLAAFLT (21). In the absence of ligand, the channels are closed. A spontaneous A288T mutation in TM3 of mouse GluRδ2 (Lurcher mutation; GluRδ2^{Lc}) disrupts the closed conformation, resulting in a constitutive $Na⁺$ conductance (22). Notably, IR76b harbored a threonine (T293), in nearly the same position as the Lurcher substitution $(A288T; Fig. 4F, fig. S7, F - G)$. A threonine is absent in corresponding positions of other fly IRs and mammalian iGluRs (Fig, 4F). Therefore, we postulated that T293 enabled IR76b to be fixed in an open Na+-permeable state. To test this idea, we replaced IR76b with IR76bT293A and determined the effects of this substitution *in vivo* and *in vitro*. When expressing *UAS*-*Ir76bT293A* using the *Gr5a-Gal4*, we did not detect a salt response in L2 sensilla (Fig. 4A). Moreover, the T293A mutation greatly attenuated the constitutive current in HEK293T cells (Fig. 4, D and E).

To explain how the fly uses IR76b to detect salt, we propose that IR76b is a Na⁺ leak channel, and is effective due to the unusual extracellular cation composition bathing the GRNs. Different from the body hemolymph that contains high $Na⁺$, the endolymph that bathes insect chemosensory neurons appears to have a lower $Na⁺$ concentration that is similar to the intracellular levels (23). Under resting conditions, there may be little $Na⁺$ conductance. After consuming Na^+ -containing foods, the Na^+ levels in the endolymph rises, driving $Na⁺$ influx through IR76b. Excitation of salt-attractive GRNs induces the animals to consume salt. Loss of IR76b selectively impaired the attractive pathway, making the otherwise attractive low salt become to become aversive.

Our work establishes that the salt attractive pathway relies on a type of $Na⁺$ permeable channel not previously known to function in taste, and this channel, IR76b, bears no relationship to ENaC channels that are required for sensing low salt in mice (24). Some ENaC channels may be constitutively active (25), and lead to depolarization of taste receptor cells following a rise in cation levels at the cell surface (26). Thus, despite the divergence between fly IRs and mammalian ENaC channels, they may mediate salt taste through similar mechanisms. Our competition model for low and high salt taste detection may represent a widely used mechanism for salt taste coding in other animals, including mammals.

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 10.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by a grant to C.M from the NIDCD (DC007864).

References and notes

- 1. Nakamura M, Baldwin D, Hannaford S, Palka J, Montell C. J Neurosci. 2002; 22:3463. [PubMed: 11978823]
- 2. Balakrishnan R, Rodrigues V. J Exp Biol. 1991; 157:161. [PubMed: 1905747]
- 3. Liu L, et al. Neuron. 2003; 39:133. [PubMed: 12848938]
- 4. Shanbhag SR, Park SK, Pikielny CW, Steinbrecht RA. Cell Tissue Res. 2001; 304:423. [PubMed: 11456419]
- 5. Hiroi M, Meunier N, Marion-Poll F, Tanimura T. J Neurobiol. 2004; 61:333. [PubMed: 15389687]
- 6. Vosshall LB, Stocker RF. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2007; 30:505. [PubMed: 17506643]
- 7. Hodgson ES, Lettvin JY, Roeder KD. Science. 1955; 122:417. [PubMed: 13246649]
- 8. Weiss LA, Dahanukar A, Kwon JY, Banerjee D, Carlson JR. Neuron. 2011; 69:258. [PubMed: 21262465]
- 9. Meunier N, Marion-Poll F, Rospars JP, Tanimura T. J Neurobiol. 2003; 56:139. [PubMed: 12838579]
- 10. Dahanukar A, Lei YT, Kwon JY, Carlson JR. Neuron. 2007; 56:503. [PubMed: 17988633]
- 11. Montell C. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2009; 19:345. [PubMed: 19660932]
- 12. Fujishiro N, Kijima H, Morita H. J Insect Physiol. 1984; 30:317.
- 13. Benton R, Vannice KS, Gomez-Diaz C, Vosshall LB. Cell. 2009; 136:149. [PubMed: 19135896]
- 14. Croset V, et al. PLoS genetics. 2010; 6:e1001064. [PubMed: 20808886]
- 15. Cameron P, Hiroi M, Ngai J, Scott K. Nature. 2010; 465:91. [PubMed: 20364123]
- 16. Potter CJ, Tasic B, Russler EV, Liang L, Luo L. Cell. 2010; 141:536. [PubMed: 20434990]
- 17. Wang Z, Singhvi A, Kong P, Scott K. Cell. 2004; 117:981. [PubMed: 15210117]
- 18. Thorne N, Chromey C, Bray S, Amrein H. Curr Biol. 2004; 14:1065. [PubMed: 15202999]
- 19. Abuin L, et al. Neuron. 2011; 69:44. [PubMed: 21220098]
- 20. Lu B, et al. Cell. 2007; 129:371. [PubMed: 17448995]
- 21. Sobolevsky AI, Rosconi MP, Gouaux E. Nature. 2009; 462:745. [PubMed: 19946266]
- 22. Zuo J, et al. Nature. 1997; 388:769. [PubMed: 9285588]
- 23. Kaissling, K-E.; Thorson, J. Receptors for Neurotransmitters, Hormones, and Pheromones in Insects. Sattelle, DB.; Hall, LM.; Hildebrand, JG., editors. Elsevier; Amsterdam: 1980. p. 261-282.
- 24. Chandrashekar J, et al. Nature. 2010; 464:297. [PubMed: 20107438]
- 25. McDonald FJ, Price MP, Snyder PM, Welsh MJ. Am J Physiol. 1995; 268:C1157. [PubMed: 7762608]
- 26. DeSimone JA, Heck GL, DeSimone SK. Science. 1981; 214:1039. [PubMed: 7302576]

Fig. 1. Wild-type responses to different concentrations of salt

(A) Behavioral responses to $1 - 1000$ mM salt. The dashed line indicates no preference. $n =$ 10. **(B)** Cartoon showing the distribution of L-, I- and S-type sensilla in the labellum. **(C and D**) Tip recordings using L4 and S6 sensilla in response to low salt. $n = 10$. The arrows indicate application of the recording electrode to the sensilla. SEMs. *p < 0.01. **(E)** Schematic depicting how the L- and S-type sensilla differentially mediated attractive and aversive salt taste.

Fig. 2. *Ir76b* **required for low salt preference**

(A) Genomic organization of *Ir76b.* Shown are a P-element (P) inserted in *Ir76b*, the deletions in *Ir76b¹* and *Ir76b²* , and the genomic region included in the *Ir76b-Gal4*. **(B)** Two-way choice tests to sucrose versus sucrose plus salt. The "rescue" were *Ir76b¹* flies harboring the *UAS*-*Ir76b* and the *Ir76b-Gal4* transgenes. n = 10. **(C** and **D**) 50 mM NaClinduced action potentials in L4 and S6 sensilla. $n = 15$. **(E and F)** Action potential frequencies produced by L4 ($n = 5$) and S6 ($n = 3$) sensilla across different NaCl concentrations. ANOVA tests. **(G)** Cartoon depicting that loss of *Ir76b* selectively disrupted the attractive salt taste pathway. SEMs. $*p < 0.01$.

Fig. 3. Expression of *Ir76b* **in GRNs**

(A and **B)** Anti-IR76b staining of wild-type and *Ir76b¹* labella. **(C)** GFP fluorescence superimposed on a bright field view of a *Ir76b*-*Gal4/UAS-mCD8::GFP* labellum. The arrow indicates a GFP-positive GRN within an L4 sensillum. **(D)** *Ir76b* reporter staining a labellum. **(E)** Labellum expressing *Ir76b* (red) and *Gr66a* (green) reporters. **(F)** Labellum expressing *Ir76b* (red) and *Gr5a* (green) reporters. **(G)** Projections of GRNs in the SOG expressing an *Ir76b* reporter. **(H** and **I)** Three-dimensional reconstructions of the GRN projections in the SOG using flies expressing *Ir76b* (red), *Gr66a* (green), and *Gr5a* (green) reporters as indicated. The scale bars represent 10 μm.

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 10.

Fig. 4. *Ir76b* **was sufficient to function as a salt sensor**

(A and **B)** Tip recordings and quantification showing action potentials triggered by 50 mM NaCl after misexpression of *Ir76b* in *Gr5a* GRNs. NMDGCl and sucrose were negative and positive controls, respectively. n = 10. **(C)** Two-way choice tests (2 mM sucrose versus 2 mM sucrose plus different concentrations of NaCl) after misexpression of *UAS-Ir76b* using the $Gr5a-Gal4$. $n = 5$. (D) Whole-cell voltage clamp recordings of HEK293T cells expressing wild-type IR76b or IR76b^{T293A} (150 mM NaCl in the bath). The cells were stimulated with voltage steps of 500 ms duration (100 mV to +100 mV in 10 mV increments). **(E)** Current and voltage relationships of cells expressing IR76b or IR76b^{T293A} (150 mM NaCl in the bath). SEMs. *p < 0.01. **(F)** Sequences of the ion pore gating motif in TM3 of the indicated iGluRs and IRs.