

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Mol Imaging. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 July 14.

Published in final edited form as: *Mol Imaging*. 2010 April ; 9(2): 76–86.

Simplified [¹⁸F]FDG Image-Derived Input Function Using the Left Ventricle, Liver, and One Venous Blood Sample

Mohammed Noor Tantawy and Todd E. Peterson

Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, Vanderbilt University Institute of Imaging Science, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

Abstract

A relatively simple, almost entirely noninvasive imaging-based method is presented for deriving arterial blood input functions for quantitative [¹⁸F]2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) positron emission tomographic (PET) studies in rodents. It requires only one venous blood sample at the end of the scan. MicroPET images and arterial blood time-activity curves (TACs) were downloaded from the Mouse Quantitation Program database at the University of California, Los Angeles. Three-dimensional regions of interest were drawn around the blood-pool region of the left ventricle and within the liver to derive their respective TACs. To construct the "hybrid" image-derived input function (IDIF), the initial part of the left ventricle TAC, containing the peak concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the arterial blood, was corrected for spillout (ie, partial-volume effect yielding a recovery coefficient < 1) and then joined to the liver TAC (normalized to the 60-minute arterial blood sample) immediately after it peaks. To validate our method, the [¹⁸F]FDG influx constant (K_i) was estimated using a two-tissue compartment model and compared to estimates of K_i obtained using measured arterial blood TACs. No significant difference in the K_i estimates was obtained with the arterial blood input function and our hybrid IDIF. We conclude that the normalized hybrid IDIF can be used in practice to obtain reliable K_i estimates.

A RTERIAL PLASMA TIME-ACTIVITY CURVES (TACS) are needed to quantitatively analyze positron emission tomography (PET) dynamic measurements of [¹⁸F]2-fluoro-2deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) and other radiotracers using kinetic models. Such quantitative analysis of the [¹⁸F]FDG measurements can be used to obtain the metabolic rate of glucose in the brain, cancer cells, or other tissue (MRGlu) by determining the kinetic transfer rates K₁(rate coefficient for radiotracer transfer from plasma) (Figure 1) to compartment 1 ([¹⁸F]FDG in tissue) in units of mL/min/g, k₂ (rate constant for transfer from 1 to plasma [1/ min]), and k₃ (rate constant for transfer from 1 to 2 (FDG-6-phosphate (1/min)) of [¹⁸F]FDG in a two-tissue compartment model.^{1–3} Arterial blood TACs represent the concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the arterial blood at different time points for a time interval that usually corresponds to that of the PET scan. The reference standard for obtaining the plasma input function in humans or animals is to draw arterial blood from the subjects being imaged at different time points over the duration of the scan and then to measure the concentration of

^{© 2010} BC Decker Inc

Address reprint requests to: Mohammed Noor Tantawy, PhD, Radiology and Vanderbilt University Institute of Imaging Science, 1161 21st Avenue South, AA-1105 MCN, Nashville, TN 37232; mohammed.n.tantawy@vanderbilt.edu.

[¹⁸F]FDG in those samples. This standard method of arterial blood sampling has been used since the introduction of [¹⁸F]FDG.^{1,2} However, arterial blood sampling is not routinely performed in a nuclear medicine setting and is invasive and potentially hazardous (to the subject being studied), requiring considerable time and effort as well as qualified personnel. This approach is especially challenging in mice as maintaining patent vascular access is problematic and their small blood volume limits the number of samples that can be drawn. In many cases, the peak concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the arterial blood, which is found within the first 15 seconds in mice after a bolus intravenous (IV) injection of [¹⁸F]FDG, can be missed when manual sampling is performed. Thus, manual arterial blood sampling is inadequate to accurately capture the rapid kinetics of the input function. For this reason, it has become vital to establish other means of determining the activity concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the arterial blood throughout the duration of the study without extracting multiple arterial blood samples.

Development of a practical method for accurately measuring the concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in arterial blood at different time points in rodent studies has been an issue of debate and innovation for nearly a decade. Some research groups have successfully developed or used external probes or other tools^{4,5} to obtain an arterial blood TAC. As an alternative to the reference standard, other methods of estimating the arterial input function based on image processing and mathematical derivations have been proposed: (1) the factor analysis method, which begins by decomposing the dynamic sequences into component images and then extracting TACs corresponding to the blood component images based on the analysis of the variance in the data⁶⁻¹⁰; (2) image-derived input functions in which TACs are obtained by drawing regions of interest (ROIs) around different structures in the body, such as the left ventricle, and then correcting for partial volume and spillover^{11,12}; (3) a population-based input function, also known as a standardized input function, assuming a standard input function obtained from a large population of similar subjects under the same experimental conditions¹³; and (4) the simultaneous estimation method, based on a multiexponential timeactivity function scaled to the measured activity concentration in a limited number of arterial blood samples.¹⁴ A more detailed description of these methods was presented recently by Fang and Muzic.¹² However, all of the foregoing methods may be computationally complex and may still require drawing one or more arterial blood samples.

The early left ventricle TAC is considered to be an accurate representation of the timedependent arterial blood [¹⁸F]FDG concentration,¹⁵ whereas the area under the curve (AUC) of the liver TAC is sometimes used as an approximation of the AUC of the plasma TAC.¹⁵ In addition, the liver TAC has an exponentially decaying part that can be represented by a multiexponential function similar to that of arterial blood. In fact, the liver has low [¹⁸F]FDG retention, and because it is highly vascularized, it may be considered to be representative of a blood-pool. The liver TAC has therefore been used as an alternative to serial arterial sampling for defining the input function.^{5,16} However, using the liver TAC alone to represent arterial blood input function for [¹⁸ F]FDG studies has proven to be inadequate.⁵ In this work, we propose a simplified image-derived input function (IDIF) that uses a combination of the early time points of the left ventricle TAC and the TAC of the liver at later times. Although in this work a single arterial blood sample taken at the end of the scan is used to normalize the liver TAC to that of the arterial blood, in practice, a single

venous blood sample drawn at the end of the scan can be used instead. This method can be easily used by any researcher as it requires minimal basic knowledge in the field, such as ROI drawing and extraction of a venous blood sample, which can be done in mice via clipping the tail.

Materials and Methods

Mice Data

Mice data were downloaded from the Mouse Quantitation Program (MQP) database from the Crump Institute of Molecular Imaging at the University of California in Los Angeles (UCLA) (<<u>http://dragon.nuc.ucla.edu/mgp/index.html</u>>).¹⁷ The image data sets available on the Web site are mostly dynamic PET images of mice (C57/BL6) after a bolus IV injection of [¹⁸F]FDG. The mice were all imaged using the microPET Focus 220 (Siemens Preclinical Solutions, Knoxville, TN). The animals were either fasted or fed ad libitum before the study (referred to as "normal" mice in the MOP database and, therefore, in this article). The method described in this work to obtain arterial blood input function is an image-derived method and was tested on both the fasted and the normal mice. However, image contrast and even tumor uptake are lower in the non-fasted state compared to the fasted state, whereas myocardial uptake is less in the fasted state,¹⁸ which allows for more accurate quantification of the left ventricle activity owing to reduced spillover. For the fasted mice (n = 11), the microPET data sets used in this work included only those for which at least a portion of the initial arterial blood peak was identifiable and a complete PET image time course was available (ie, data sets that are at leas 60 minutes in length). As for the normal mice, although a large number of data sets were available, we chose seven sets randomly from those in which the initial arterial blood peak was identifiable and a complete PET image time course was available.

Construction of the IDIF

Three-dimensional sets of ROIs were drawn: (1) around the interior (blood-pool region) of the left ventricle (3–5 slices), (2) within the liver (3–5 slices), and (3) around the whole brain (3-5 slices) using AMIDE¹⁹ (http://amide.sourceforge.net/index.html), as shown in Figure 2. ROIs were manually drawn over each slice for each mouse, and TACs were generated over the duration of the scans. The diameter of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle is approximately 1.6 mm.¹² Given that the mice were imaged in a microPET Focus 220, which is the same instrument as we have at our institute, we corrected the left ventricle TACs for spillout partial-volume effects²⁰ using recovery coefficients (RCs) estimated from images of a cone-shaped phantom acquired on our scanner under similar conditions. An RC of 0.5 was determined using the mean activity from an ROI drawn around the cone-shaped phantom at a location on the phantom where the diameter was similar to that of the bloodpool region of the left ventricle. To evaluate the impact of choice of RC and to account for possible differences between our microPET and the scanner on which the data were collected, we also tested our method on some of the fasted mice (n = 7) using an RC of 0.7 and an RC of 1. Possible blurring effects owing to the physiologic motion of the ventricle were neglected for the sake of simplicity. Although it was not done for the data sets used here, cardiac gating would allow better identification of the blood-pool region, but at the

cost of increased noise owing to the corresponding reduction in counts. Because the liver and brain are large compared to the microPET resolution, application of RCs was not necessary for these ROIs.

Our IDIF is a hybrid one combining the TACs of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle and the liver in the following way. The TAC of the liver was normalized to the activity concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the last arterial blood sample, that is, to the \approx 60-minute arterial blood sample for the cases examined here. The portion of the interior of the left ventricle TAC used in constructing the hybrid TAC starts from time = 0 seconds and extends to the end of the peak curve at the point where the TAC starts to flat-ten out before rising again (indicating systemic recirculation of the [¹⁸F]FDG and [¹⁸ F]FDG uptake in the myocardium) (Figure 3). For many of the mice data used, this transition occurred at 20 to 25 seconds. A linear interpolation was used to connect the bottom of this peak curve of the left ventricle TAC to the start of the exponentially decaying part of the normalized liver TAC, that is, the time point that just follows the peak of the normalized liver TAC, which in many of the mice data occurred at \approx 35 seconds (Figure 4). The hybrid TAC_H represented by the equation

$$TAC_{H} = \begin{cases} TAC_{Left \ Ventricle} & 0 < t < \sim 25 \text{ sec} \\ + & (1) \\ TAC_{Liver-normalized} & t \ge \sim 35 \text{ sec} \end{cases}$$

was used as the arterial blood input function as shown in Figure 4. We refer to this hybrid input function as the normalized hybrid IDIF in the text to follow. For comparison purposes, we constructed another hybrid IDIF similar to the one above except without normalization of the TAC of the liver to the arterial blood. We refer to this hybrid input function as the non-normalized hybrid IDIF in the text to follow (see Figure 4).

Data Analysis and Modeling

The hybrid TAC was used to determine the kinetics of $[^{18}F]$ FDG uptake in the mouse brain using irreversible kinetics in a two-tissue compartment model (see Figure 1) using the commercial *PMOD* software version 2.6 (PMOD Technologies, Zurich, Switzerland) by fitting the TAC of the brain. The resulting K₁, k₂, and k₃ were used to calculate the $[^{18}F]$ FDG influx constant (K_i):

$$K_i = \frac{K_1 k_3}{k_2 + k_3}$$
 (2)

Ki is a term used in the measurement of the MRGlu in tissue defined as

$$MRGlu = \frac{PG}{LC} \frac{K_1 k_3}{k_2 + k_3} \quad (3)$$

where PG is the plasma glucose level before injecting $[^{18}F]FDG$ and LC is the lumped constant, which is a correction factor used to infer the MRGlu from the FDG metabolic rate.^{1,2}

Validation

To determine the accuracy of our IDIF, we compared K_i estimates in the brain using our hybrid IDIF to K_i estimates in the brain using the following:

- *Input function 1:* This was the actual TAC from arterial blood samples as shown in Figure 4
- Input function 2: Given that the early portion of the left ventricle TAC reflects the true maximum concentration and peak width of the arterial blood curve¹⁵ (see Figure 4), we constructed a realistic input function by combining the early portion of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC with the arterial blood samples. This was achieved by compensating any missing portion of the arterial blood curve with its equivalent from the early time points of the interior of the left ventricle TAC, as shown for two examples in Figure 5 after correcting for spillout effects in the blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC. This was especially useful for some mice data in which the arterial blood TACs had insufficient samples to construct an accurate peak height and width in the first ≈ 25 seconds after [¹⁸F]FDG injection. In other words, the initial part of the arterial blood curve containing the peak was too short, too wide, or both.

There is a difference between the time the blood sample was collected at a peripheral artery and the time that that particular volume of blood was in the left ventricle. Thus, a time delay correction was made for the arterial blood TAC used in input functions 1 and 2 by subtracting the apparent time delay in the TACs of the arterial blood samples, which was, on average, 4 to 6 seconds, based on the observed difference in the peak value of the left ventricle and the arterial blood TAC.

Although we are validating our hybrid IDIF against input function 1, which represents the reference standard, we also use input function 2 for comparison because it could be considered a closer representation of an actual arterial blood input function. This approach is reasonable in that we are using a portion of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle data to more finely sample the peak of the arterial blood curve while using the remainder of the arterial blood samples. In some cases, the sampled arterial blood peak curve was sufficient such that we did not need to add many data points to it from the blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve (eg, m18333 mouse; see the top part of Figure 5), whereas some cases required nearly two-thirds or more of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve to compensate for the missing part of the arterial blood peak curve, as was the case for the m17156 mouse (see the bottom part of Figure 5). In contrast, we are using the entire left ventricle peak curve and a tail from a different organ (the liver) to construct our hybrid IDIF.

Statistical Analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using GraphPad *Prism* version 4.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The one-way ANOVA was performed

on the K_i estimates of the fasted mice obtained with input function 1 (arterial blood), input function 2 (arterial blood + part of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve), and the hybrid IDIF described in this work (normalized and non-normalized). A similar one-way ANOVA was performed on the normal mice. A probability of p > .05 was selected as the significance threshold.²¹ In addition, a two-tailed paired *t*-test was performed between input function 1 and each of the other three input functions (input function 2, normalized, or non-normalized hybrid IDIF) to determine the coefficient of determination, r^2 .

Results

Figure 6 displays the distribution of the K_i estimates among the fasted mice for the different RCs. Figure 7 displays the distribution of the K_i estimates among the normal (non-fasted) mice for an RC of 0.5 applied to the left ventricle TAC. The mean K_i estimates of [¹⁸F]FDG uptake in the brain using input functions 1 and 2 and our hybrid IDIF (normalized and non-normalized) are listed in Table 1.

The results of the one-way ANOVA yielded a p > .05 whether for fasted (any given RC), or normal mice, indicating that the differences in the K_i estimates between the different input functions used, that is, input functions 1 and 2, normalized hybrid IDIF, or non-normalized hybrid IDIF, did not reach a level of statistical significance. In addition, the two-tailed paired *t*-tests demonstrated that the correlation between input function 1 and the hybrid normalized IDIF was > 85% (see r^2 results in Table 1) for either fasted or normal mice.

Discussion

The variation between the K_i estimates when using input function 1 (arterial blood) or 2 (arterial blood + part of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve) and the K_i estimates obtained using our normalized hybrid IDIF did not reach the level of statistical significance for either the fasted (any given RC) or normal mice (see Table 1, Figure 6, and Figure 7). In a ddition, the differences in the K_i estimates between input function 1 and the normalized hybrid IDIF for any mouse were within the error bars of each estimate whether for fasted mice with the different RC values or for the normal mice.

The differences between the K_i estimates obtained using input function 1 and the K_i estimates obtained using input function 2 were, on average, < 15% for the fasted and normal mice (see Table 1) and decreased for the fasted mice as the RC increased. This was expected because, in many cases, arterial blood sampling was incomplete, yielding an arterial blood TAC peak that was lower and narrower than that expected. In such cases, as the recovery coefficient increased, the underestimation of the left-ventricle blood-pool activity decreased and the left-ventricle TAC thus more closely resembled the arterial blood TAC.

The height and/or width of the initial arterial blood curve containing the peak were the major sources of the differences between K_i estimates in input functions 1 and 2 as well as between input function 1 and the normalized hybrid IDIF. In cases where the peak curve of the arterial blood is very poorly identified, the differences in K_i estimates between input function 1 and the normalized hybrid IDIF were as large as 20%. For example, the height of the peak in the arterial blood TAC of the m18333 mouse is similar to the height of the peak

in the blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC with an RC of 0.5, but the width of the peak curve in the arterial blood TAC is larger than that of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle, as shown in the top part of Figure 5. Therefore, the difference in the K_i estimates of [¹⁸ F]FDG uptake in the brain between input function 1 and our normalized hybrid IDIF was $\approx 7\%$ for this mouse. An error in the arterial blood sampling, sparse sampling of the arterial blood peak, and dispersion of [18F]FDG as it travels through the arteries caused the peak to be wider when sampled from peripheral vessels compared to that of the left ventricle TAC. On the other hand, the peak height in the arterial blood TAC for the m17156 mouse is much lower than that of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle at an RC of 0.5 (see the bottom part of Figure 5). Therefore, the difference in the K_i estimates of [¹⁸ F]FDG uptake in the brain between input function 1 and our normalized hybrid IDIF in this case reached 20%. Thus, we can reliably predict that as the shape of the peak curve in an arterial blood TAC becomes more accurate, that is, more similar to the shape of the peak curve of the left ventricle TAC (corrected for spillout effects), then the difference in the K_i estimates between the arterial blood TAC and our hybrid normalized TAC will drop below 1%, as was the case in the K_i estimates between input function 2 and our normalized hybrid IDIF for an RC of 0.5 (see Table 1).

The differences in the mean K_i estimates between input function 2 and our normalized hybrid IDIF were < 1%, \approx 6%, and \approx 13% for RC values of 0.5, 0.7, and 1, respectively, for the fasted mice and were \approx 27% for the normal mice. These differences in the means between the K_i estimates of the normalized hybrid IDIF and the K_i estimates of input function 2 may actually better represent the true differences in the K_i estimates between the normalized hybrid IDIF and an exact arterial blood input function because an exact arterial blood curve would be expected to be very similar to input function 2.

In this work, we tested different RCs for the TACs of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle for the fasted mice. It may be that none of the RCs used reflect the true RC of the actual scanner used to image the mice, but it is likely that the true RC of the actual scanner lies within the range of RCs used in this work. Over the range of RC values used here, the difference in the mean K_i estimates between our hybrid IDIF and input functions 1 or 2 was < 15%. Interestingly, according to the data in Table 1, even without correcting for spillout effects to the TAC of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle (ie, RC = 1), a normalized hybrid IDIF can be constructed that produces K_i estimates that are < 13% different from the K_i estimates obtained from an arterial blood TAC.

In the normal (non-fasted) state, myocardial uptake of [¹⁸F]FDG affects the shape of the initial uptake curve containing the peak in the left ventricle. Myocardial uptake is observed at an earlier time after the peak in the left ventricle in the normal state compared to the fasted state (compare Figure 3 and Figure 4). This produces a larger gap (on the *y*-axis) between the end of the left ventricle peak curve and the start of the decreasing portion of the liver TAC in the normal state compared to the fasted state. In addition, glucose loading increases influx of [¹⁸F]FDG into normal liver in non-fasted subjects compared to fasted subjects.³ These two reasons may explain why there was a 27% difference in the K_i estimates between input function 2 and our normalized hybrid IDIF for non-fasted mice. Thus, we routinely fast the mice ≈ 8 hours prior to [¹⁸F]FDG injection.

The time delay from the ventricle to the brain is not identical to the time delay from the ventricle to the site of arterial sampling either. However, it is unlikely that the delay correction will affect the comparison because the delay is small and the tracer kinetics are slow. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed some of the data again but with the left ventricle corrected to the arterial blood TAC by adding 4 to 6 seconds to the left ventricle TAC. As was expected, the resulting K_i estimates (data not shown) were not significantly different from the results displayed in Table 1. The hybrid method proposed in this work depends on drawing an ROI around the blood-pool region of the left ventricle and drawing an ROI within the liver and then normalizing the resulting liver TAC to a blood sample (arterial or venous blood) taken at the end of a 60-minute scan. In practice, researchers using this method on their own data would not be able to determine the exact time delay required to correct for the left ventricle TAC to the tissue of interest (brain). Thus, we chose to establish a hybrid IDIF in this work that does not involve time delay correction of the left ventricle TAC to the tissue of interest. Inclusion of such corrections would increase the complexity of the proposed method, whereas the inherent tradeoff between image noise and temporal resolution in microPET imaging of mice likely results in less sensitivity of the model estimates to such corrections than in human or primate studies.

Although the statistical results do not actually indicate a need to normalize the liver TAC to the 60-minute arterial blood sample, it is apparent from the mean K_i estimates shown in Table 1 that a hybrid IDIF using the normalized TAC in the fasted mice produces mean K_i estimates that are closer in value to the mean K_i estimates of input functions 1 and 2. As mentioned in the introduction, the liver is sometimes assumed to represent a large blood-pool and the liver TAC has been used as a surrogate input function.^{5,16} In addition, the AUC of the liver TAC is sometimes used as an approximation of the AUC of the plasma TAC.¹⁵ The liver TAC can be represented by a multiexponential model of the form

$$C_l(t) = (\lambda_1 t - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3) e^{(-\lambda_4 t)} + \lambda_2 e^{(-\lambda_5 t)} + \lambda_3 e^{(-\lambda_6 t)}$$
(4)

where C_1 represents the activity concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the liver at time *t* and λ_i 's, where i = 1, 2, . . . 6, are parameters determined from the fit. This model is also used in COMKAT software²² (http://comkat.case.edu) to represent the arterial input function. These similarities between the liver TAC and the arterial blood TAC support the use of the liver TAC to construct our hybrid IDIF. However, this hybrid IDIF clearly cannot be accurately used if one desires to study [¹⁸ F]FDG uptake in the liver itself or if any abnormalities exist in the liver.

Recently, Yu and colleagues reported results using the liver TAC as a surrogate input function, obtaining K_i estimates significantly different from those obtained using an actual arterial input function measured with a microfluidic blood sampler.⁵ The authors concluded that using the liver TAC as an input function produces unreliable results. However, Yu and colleagues used the entire liver TAC as the input function, whereas in our case, we used only the terminal portion of the liver TAC, combining it with the initial portion of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC to derive the input function; this yielded similar results

for the $[^{18}F]FDG$ influx (ie, K_i) in brain as estimated using the arterial blood input function from blood sampling.

Constructing Our Normalized Hybrid IDIF Experimentally Using One Venous Blood Sample

We propose and currently use the following protocol to establish the normalized hybrid IDIF. The subject's heart and liver must be included in the PET's field of view. An ROI should be drawn around the blood-pool region of the left ventricle and the resultant TAC should be corrected for spillout effects using a suitable RC. After injecting the subject with [¹⁸F]FDG, a dynamic acquisition must be taken for approximately 60 minutes. At the end of the 60-minute scan, a venous blood sample should be drawn and counted for activity in a well counter that has been cross-calibrated to the PET scanner. If the subject is a mouse, a venous blood sample can be obtained at the end of the PET scan by clipping the end of the mouse tail or by inserting a tail vein catheter, drawing the sample, and then cauterizing the tail. At 60 minutes, the activity concentration in the venous blood, C_v^* , reaches a near steady-state level. If E* is the net extraction fraction of [¹⁸F]FDG and C_a^* is the activity concentration blood, then

$$C_{\nu}^{*} = (1 - E^{*}) \times C_{a}^{*}$$
 (5)

where E* was determined to be 0.067 \pm 0.018 at 40 to 60 minutes following [¹⁸F]FDG injection.²³ By measuring the activity concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the venous blood at 60 minutes, the activity concentration of [¹⁸F]FDG in the arterial blood can be determined from equation 5. No time delay corrections will be necessary because the blood in the body is now at a steady-state level. The normalization factor (N_{liver}) for the liver can be determined using the following equation:

$$N_{liver} = \frac{C_a^*(60 \text{ min})}{C_{liver}^*(60 \text{ min})} \quad (6)$$

and the liver TAC can then be multiplied by N_{liver} to obtain a liver TAC normalized to arterial blood at 60 minutes. Finally, the normalized hybrid IDIF can be established by combining the initial part of the spillout corrected blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC that contains the peak to the normalized liver TAC (just after it peaks) as described in the Methods section (see equation 1).

To measure the metabolic rate, equation 3, an additional venous blood sample has to be drawn and placed on a glucometer. Given that isoflurane anesthesia can have a considerable impact on the blood glucose level,^{18,24} it is best to obtain this blood sample for glucose level measurements while the mouse is anesthetized, that is, under the same conditions in which [¹⁸F]FDG is being taken up by tissue. This blood sample can be obtained by clipping the tail or using a tail vein catheter prior to [¹⁸F]FDG injection.²⁴

Conclusion

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the normalized hybrid IDIF defined in this work closely resembles a true arterial blood curve for kinetic modeling of [¹⁸F]FDG studies without the difficulties of arterial blood sampling or complicated numerical analysis. This method requires only drawing ROIs around the interior blood-pool region of the left ventricle and around the liver, connecting the resulting two TACs via linear interpolation, and drawing a single venous blood sample at the end of the scan for normalizing the liver TAC. Separate validation would be necessary before using this technique with radiotracers other than [¹⁸ F]FDG as the accuracy will depend on such factors as myocardial uptake and the clearance route of the radiotracer. We recommend that mice be fasted prior to the experiment to reduce variability in the MRGlu estimates. We have begun using this method on all mouse dynamic studies using [¹⁸F]FDG in our laboratory.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Crump Institute at UCLA for acquiring the mouse data and sharing these valuable data with interested researchers from other institutes.

Financial disclosure of authors and reviewers: Financial support provided by NIH 5U24 CA126588-02 and 3P30 CA068485, and a Career Award at the Scientific Interface from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund (TEP).

References

- Phelps M, Huang S, Hoffman E, et al. Tomographic measurement of local cerebral glucose metabolic rate in humans with (F-18)2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose: validation of method. Ann Neurol. 1979; 6:371–88. [PubMed: 117743]
- 2. Huang SC, Phelps ME, Hoffman EJ, et al. Noninvasive determination of local cerebral metabolic rate of glucose in man. Am J Physiol. 1980; 238:E69–82. [PubMed: 6965568]
- Choi Y, Hawkins RA, Huang S-C, et al. Evaluation of the effect of glucose ingestion and kinetic model configurations of FDG in the normal liver. J Nucl Med. 1994; 35:818–23. [PubMed: 8176464]
- 4. Laforest R, Sharp TL, Engelbach JA, et al. Measurement of input functions in rodents: challenges and solutions. Nucl Med Biol. 2005; 32:679–85. [PubMed: 16243642]
- 5. Yu AS, Lin HD, Huang SC, et al. Quantification of cerebral glucose metabolic rate in mice using 18F-FDG and small-animal PET. J Nucl Med. 2009; 50:966–73. [PubMed: 19443595]
- Wu HM, Hoh CK, Choi Y, et al. Factor analysis for extraction of blood time-activity curves in dynamic FDG-PET studies. J Nucl Med. 1995; 36:1714–22. [PubMed: 7658236]
- 7. Barber DC. The use of principal components in the quantitative analysis of gamma camera dynamic studies. Phys Med Biol. 1980; 25:283–92. [PubMed: 7384214]
- Naganawa M, Kimura Y, Ishii K, et al. Extraction of a plasma time-activity curve from dynamic brain PET images based on independent component analysis. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2005; 52:201–10. [PubMed: 15709657]
- Su Y, Welch MJ, Shoghi KI. The application of maximum likelihood factor analysis (MLFA) with uniqueness constraints on dynamic cardiac microPET data. Phys Med Biol. 2007; 52:2313–34. [PubMed: 17404471]
- Kim J, Herrero P, Sharp T, et al. Minimally invasive method of determining blood input function from PET images in rodents. J Nucl Med. 2006; 47:330–6. [PubMed: 16455640]
- 11. Shoghi KI, Welch MJ. Hybrid image and blood sampling input function for quantification of small animal dynamic PET data. Nucl Med Biol. 2007; 34:989–94. [PubMed: 17998103]
- Fang YH, Muzic RF Jr. Spillover and partial-volume correction for image-derived input functions for small-animal 18F-FDG PET studies. J Nucl Med. 2008; 49:606–14. [PubMed: 18344438]

- Bertoldo A, Sparacino G, Cobelli C. "Population" approach improves parameter estimation of kinetic models from dynamic PET data. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2004; 23:297–306. [PubMed: 15027522]
- Feng D, Wong KP, Wu CM, Siu WC. A technique for extracting physiological parameters and the required input function simultaneously from PET image measurements: theory and simulation study. IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed. 1997; 1:243–54. [PubMed: 11020827]
- Ferl GZ, Zhang X, Wu H-M, Huang S-C. Estimation of the 18F-FDG input function in mice by use of dynamic small-animal PET and minimal blood sample data. J Nucl Med. 2007; 48:2037–45. [PubMed: 18006615]
- Green LA, Gambhir SS, Srinivasan A, et al. Noninvasive methods for quantitating blood timeactivity curves from mouse PET images obtained with fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose. J Nucl Med. 1998; 39:729–34. [PubMed: 9544690]
- Huang, S.; Wu, H.; Truong, D., et al. A public domain dynamic mouse FDG microPET image data set for evaluation and validation of input function derivation methods. Conference Record of the IEEE Medical Imaging Conference; 2006 Nov. 1–4; San Diego (CA).
- Fueger B, Czernin J, Hildebrandt I, et al. Impact of animal handling on the results of 18F-FDG PET studies in mice. J Nucl Med. 2006; 47:999–1006. [PubMed: 16741310]
- Loening AM, Gambhir SS. AMIDE: a free software tool for multimodality medical image analysis. Mol Imaging. 2003; 2:131–7. [PubMed: 14649056]
- 20. Kessler RM. Analysis of emission tomographic scan data: limitations imposed by resolution and background. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1984; 8:514–22. [PubMed: 6609942]
- Rosenthal R, Gaito J. The interpretation of levels of significance by psychological researchers. J Psychol. 1963; 55:33–8.
- Muzic RF Jr, Cornelius S. COMKAT: compartment model kinetic analysis tool. J Nucl Med. 2001; 42:636–45. [PubMed: 11337554]
- Hasselbalch SG, Holm S, Pedersen HS, et al. The (18) F-fluorodeoxyglucose lumped constant determined inhuman brain from extraction fractions of (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose and glucose. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2001; 21:995–1002. [PubMed: 11487735]
- Toyama H, Ichise M, Liow J-S, et al. Absolute quantification of regional cerebral glucose utilization in mice by 18F-FDG small animal PET scanning and 2-14C-DG autoradiography. J Nucl Med. 2004; 45:1398–405. [PubMed: 15299067]



Figure 1.

A two-tissue plus plasma compartmental model representing [¹⁸F]FDG kinetics in tissue. Compartment 1 represents [¹⁸F] FDG in tissue. Compartment 2 represents the phosphorylated FDG in tissue, that is, FDG-6-phosphate. K₁ refers to the rate of delivery of [¹⁸F]FDG to tissue in units of volume of blood per volume of tissue per minute (mL/min/g), and k₂ and k₃ are the transport rate constants in units of 1/min.



Figure 2.

Top: Sample image of [¹⁸F]FDG uptake in a mouse (m19796-fasted) coregistered to computed tomographipc images obtained from the Mouse Quantitation Program. *Bottom:* Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn on axial slices around the blood-pool region of the left ventricle (≈ 1.6 mm in diameter), liver, and brain. The myocardium image in the *bottom left corner r* is magnified more than the others to better depict the ROI.



Figure 3.

Time-activity curves (TACs) of [¹⁸F]FDG concentraion in the arterial blood (time delay corrected), blood-pool region of the left ventricle (corrected for spillout effects using a recovery coefficient of 0.5) and liver (normalized to 60-minute blood sample) for m17385-normal (non-fasted) mouse from the Mouse Quantitation Program. Myocardial uptake is higher in the left ventricle TAC for non-fasted mice compared to fasted ones. The insert displays the same TACs during the first 30-second post-[¹⁸F]FDG injection. SUV = Standardized Uptake Value.



Figure 4.

Time-activity curves (TACs) for arterial blood, blood-pool region of the left ventricle (corrected for spillout effects using a recovery coefficient of 0.5), brain, and liver for m18333-fasted mouse. The "liver (normalized) TAC was created by normalizing the liver TAC at the end of the scan to the concentration of $[^{18}F]$ FDG in the 60-minute arterial blood sample. The image-derived input function described in this work is a hybrid TAC combining the initial curve of the left ventricle containing the peak and the decaying part (ie, just after the peak) of the normalized liver TAC. In the *upper r* part of the figure, time is displayed on a log scale. The *bottom* part of the figure shows the first 33 seconds (linear scale) of the *top* figure.



Figure 5.

Time-activity curves (TACs) for m18333-fasted (*top*) and m17156-fasted (*bottom*) mice. Time is displayed on a log scale. Input function 1 is the arterial blood TAC. Input function 2 is a TAC that combines the arterial blood TAC with the initial part of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC that contains the peak. The blood-pool region of the left ventricle TAC was corrected for spillout effects using a recovery coefficient of 0.5.



Figure 6.

Statistical display of the [¹⁸F]FDG influx constant (K_i) in the brain of fasted mice obtained using four different time-activity curves (TACs) as input functions: input function 1, which is an arterial blood TAC obtained from arterial blood samples, and input function 2, which is a combination of a portion of the blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak (used to compensate for the missing parts of the initial part of the arterial blood curve containing the peak) and the remainder of the arterial blood TAC. Hybrid IDIF (normalized) is our imagederived hybrid input function consisting of the initial part of the left ventricle curve that contains the peak and the liver TAC that had been normalized to the 60-minute arterial blood sample. Hybrid IDIF (non-normalized) is the same as the previous except that the liver TAC is not normalized. The left ventricle TAC was corrected for spillout effects using three different recovery coefficients (RCs).



Figure 7.

Statistical display of the [¹⁸F]FDG influx constant (K_i) in the brain obtained using four different time-activity curves as input functions in normal (non-fasted) mice (n = 7; recovery coefficient = 0.5). IDIF = image-derived input function.

Table 1

Mean K_i Estimates of [¹⁸F]FDG Uptake in the Mouse Brain Using Different Input Functions*

				Fast	ed					Normal (No	n-fasted)	
	RC = 0.5	(n = 11)		RC = 0.7	(n = 7)		RC = 1 (n = 7		RC = 0.5 ((n = 7)	
Input Function Method	Mean K _i (×10 ⁻³) mL/min/g	% diff	Γ^2	Mean K _i (× 10 ⁻³) mL/min/g	% diff	r^2	Mean K _i (× 10 ⁻³) mL/min/g	% diff	\mathbf{r}^2	Mean K _i (× 10 ⁻³) mL/min/g	% diff	r^2
Input function 1 (arterial blood)	18.3 ± 2.9			22.4 ± 3.9			22.4 ± 3.9			18.6 ± 2.5		
Input function 2 (arterial blood + part of the blood- pool region of the left ventricle peak curve)	15.5 ± 2.2	15	0.89	20.3 ± 3.3	6	0.87	22.0 ± 3.4	7	0.94	16.2 ± 2.1	13	0.95
Normalized hybrid IDJF (blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve + tail of liver TAC normalized to the ≈ 60 min arterial blood sample)	15.5 ± 2.3	15	0.86	21.5 ± 3.6	4	0.84	24.8 ± 3.9	11	0.87	20.5 ± 3.6	10	0.94
Non-normalized hybrid IDIF (blood-pool region of the left ventricle peak curve + tail of the liver TAC)	12.7 ± 1.6	31	0.46	15.1 ± 2.1	33	0.64	18.0 ± 2.4	20	0.67	12.7 ± 1.7	31	0.82
no jezna 4 mari dono morrad orana 1996 tarona – 20 km/	otton 9 tuni buo		01 - 10	00 × lineat function	-	fundio	o (3 er huhid IDIE)		tion 1.	IDIE – image doning	f turns by	antion.

% diff = percent difference between each input function and input function 1, ie, % diff = 100% × |input function 1 - input function (2 or hybrid IDIF)/input function 1; IDIF = image-derived input function; r^2 = coefficient of determination between each input function (input function 2 or hybrid IDIF) and input function 1; RC = recovery coefficient; TAC = time-activity curve.

 $\overset{*}{\operatorname{See}}$ Methods for a complete description of the different input functions.

The mean K_i estimates are displayed as mean \pm SE.