Neuro-Oncology 16(8), 1100–1109, 2014 doi:10.1093/neuonc/nou085 Advance Access date 20 May 2014

# Imaging growth and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 mutation are independent predictors for diffuse low-grade gliomas

#### Catherine Gozé, Marie Blonski, Guillaume Le Maistre, Luc Bauchet, Edouard Dezamis, Philippe Page, Pascale Varlet, Laurent Capelle, Bertrand Devaux, Luc Taillandier, Hugues Duffau, and Johan Pallud

Cell Biology Laboratory, CHU Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France (C.G.); INSERM U1051 Institut des Neurosciences de Montpellier, Montpellier, France (C.G., H.D., L.B.); Centre des Collections Biologiques Hospitalières de Montpellier (CCBH-M), CHU Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France (C.G.); Réseau d'Etude des Gliomes, REG, Groland, France (C.G., M.B., L.B., L.C., L.T., J.P.); Department of Neuro-Oncology, Nancy Neurological Hospital, Nancy, France (M.B., H.D., J.D.); Department of Neurosurgery, Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France (G.L.M., E.D., P.P., B.D., J.P.); University Paris Descartes, Paris, France (G.L.M., E.D., P.P., P.V., B.D., J.P.); Department of Neurosurgery, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France (L.B., H.D.); Department of Neuropathology, Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France (P.V.); Department of Neurosurgery, Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Paris, France (L.C.); Department of Neuro-Oncology, CHU de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France (L.T.)

**Corresponding Author:** Johan Pallud, MD, PhD, Service de Neurochirurgie, Hôpital Sainte-Anne, 1 rue Cabanis, 75674 Paris cedex 14, France (johanpallud@hotmail.com).

**Background.** We explored whether spontaneous imaging tumor growth (estimated by the velocity of diametric expansion) and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) mutation (estimated by IDH1 immunoexpression) were independent predictors of long-term outcomes of diffuse low-grade gliomas in adults.

**Methods.** One hundred thirty-one adult patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial diffuse low-grade gliomas were retrospectively studied.

**Results.** Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 mutations were present in 107 patients. The mean spontaneous velocity of diametric expansion was  $5.40 \pm 5.46$  mm/y. During follow-up (mean,  $70 \pm 54.7$  mo), 56 patients presented a malignant transformation and 23 died. The median malignant progression-free survival and the overall survival were significantly longer in cases of slow velocity of diametric expansion (149 and 198 mo, respectively) than in cases of fast velocity of diametric expansion (46 and 82 mo; P < .001 and P < .001, respectively) and in cases with IDH1 mutation (100 and 198 mo, respectively) than in cases without IDH1 mutation (72 mo and not reached; P = .028 and P = .001, respectively). In multivariate analyses, spontaneous velocity of diametric expansion and IDH1 mutation were independent prognostic factors for malignant progression-free survival (P < .001; hazard ratio, 4.23; 95% CI, 1.81–9.40 and P = .007; hazard ratio, 2.39; 95% CI, 2.15–200.1, respectively).

**Conclusions.** The spontaneous velocity of diametric expansion and IDH1 mutation status are 2 independent prognostic values that should be obtained at the beginning of the management of diffuse low-grade gliomas in adults.

Keywords: isocitrate dehydrogenase 1, low-grade glioma, prognosis, velocity of tumor expansion.

Supratentorial hemispheric diffuse low-grade gliomas (LGGs; World Health Organization [WHO] grade II)<sup>1</sup> are a heterogeneous group of tumors with distinct clinical, histopathological, and molecular characteristics and prognosis. These tumors grow continuously and progress to a higher grade of malignancy, leading to neurological disability and death.<sup>2</sup> Several risk factors allow prognostic refinements for LGGs: clinical parameters (age, preoperative neurological deficit, increased intracranial pressure, seizures), neuroimaging findings (tumor volume, tumor crossing

the midline, contrast enhancement, relative cerebral blood volume on dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI), and histopathological and molecular findings (tumor subtype, *TP53* mutation, 1p loss, 1p19q codeletion, proliferation rates).<sup>2–6</sup> More recently, 2 additional prognostic factors have shown a strong and independent prognostic significance on overall survival for LGG: the isocitrate dehydrogenase (*IDH*) 1 gene mutation<sup>7–9</sup> and the spontaneous tumor growth on imaging quantified by the velocity of diametric expansion (VDE) of the tumor.<sup>10–14</sup> *IDH1* mutation

#### Received 30 November 2013; accepted 4 April 2014

© The Author(s) 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Neuro-Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

status has been shown to correlate independently with overall survival in gliomas whatever their histopathological grade.<sup>8,9,15</sup> The spontaneous VDE has been shown to correlate independently with malignant progression-free survival and with overall survival.<sup>11,12</sup> In addition, the spontaneous VDE has been shown to reflect the imaging, histopathological, and molecular risk factors for LGGs: LGGs with 1p19q codeletion grow slower and those with p53 overexpression grow faster than those without such abnormalities.<sup>12,16,17</sup> By contrast, *IDH1* mutation status has no significant impact on spontaneous VDE.<sup>12,17</sup> Because *IDH*1 mutations occur at an early stage of the development of LGG<sup>9,18</sup> and are not significantly involved in tumor growth,<sup>17</sup> we hypothesized that spontaneous VDE and IDH1 mutation status are independent predictors of outcomes. The aim of the present retrospective study was to explore (i) whether IDH1 mutation status impacted the spontaneous VDE and (ii) whether the spontaneous VDE and IDH1 mutation status were independent predictors of long-term outcomes of supratentorial LGG in adults.

# Methods

#### Selection Criteria

We searched the databases of a French glioma study group (Réseau d'Etude des Gliomes, Sainte-Anne Hospital, Nancy Hospital, Montpellier Hospital) for cases of adult patients with an LGG from 1992 to 2012. The following minimal criteria were required: (i) patients older than 15 years at histopathological diagnosis; (ii) histopathological diagnosis of WHO grade II glioma (gemistocytic histology excluded)<sup>1</sup>; (iii) supratentorial hemispheric location (gliomatosis cerebri excluded); (iv) available imaging follow-up before first-line oncological treatment (at least 2 MR examinations, minimum 6-wk interval) to estimate spontaneous VDE<sup>10,14</sup>; and (v) available *IDH1* mutation status at histopathological diagnosis.

#### Procedures

The recorded variables gathered from clinical reports at histopathological diagnosis included sex (male vs female), age (<40 y vs  $\geq$ 40 y), seizure (presence vs absence), neurological deficit (presence vs absence), increased intracranial pressure (presence vs absence), and Karnofsky performance status (>70% vs <70%). The recorded imaging characteristics gathered from MRI follow-up included main anatomic location of tumor (frontal vs temporal vs parietal vs insular vs occipital vs deep-seated), number of cerebral lobes involved (<2 vs  $\geq$ 2), corpus callosum involvement (presence vs absence), tumor volume at diagnosis (<100 cc vs  $\geq$ 100 cc), spontaneous VDE (<8 mm/y vs  $\geq$ 8 mm/y), and contrast enhancement (presence with faint and patchy or nodular-like patterns vs absence according to Pallud et al.<sup>6</sup>). The recorded neuropathological characteristics included histopathological subtype (oligodendroglioma vs astrocytoma vs mixed glioma), oligodendroglial component (presence vs absence), proliferation rates (<5% vs  $\geq$ 5%), 1p19q codeletion status (presence vs absence), TP53 mutation status (assessed by presence vs absence of overexpression of p53 protein), and IDH1 mutation status (presence vs absence) assessed by the research of IDH1 gene mutations in 77 cases (58.8%) and by the research restricted to IDH1 R132H mutation by immunohistochemistry in 54

cases (41.2%). The recorded therapeutic characteristics gathered from clinical reports included treatments (surgical resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy), extent of resection (biopsy vs partial removal with residual tumor  $\geq$ 10 cc vs subtotal removal with residual tumor, according to Berger et al.<sup>19</sup>), based on 3-month postoperative MRIs on T2-weighted or fluid attenuated inversion recovery sequences.<sup>19</sup>

Overall survival was defined as the time from histopathological diagnosis to death. Malignant progression-free survival was defined as the time from histopathological diagnosis to demonstration of evidence of malignant transformation or death. Malignant transformation was considered when new contrast enhancement appeared (nodular-like pattern) or progressed if originally present (progressive over time pattern) or when histologically proven.<sup>6</sup> These intervals were censored at the date of last follow-up for survivors.

#### Estimation of the Individual Velocities of Diametric Expansion on Imaging

The spontaneous imaging tumor growth (ie, the VDE) was measured on serial MR images (various MR techniques, various MR machines) before first-line oncological treatment. The VDE was determined on T2-weighted and fluid attenuated inversion recovery sequences using a methodology detailed elsewhere.<sup>10,14</sup> Tumor volumes were computed on digitized images or calculated using an ellipsoid approximation (volume = D1 × D2 × D3/2) after manual measurements of the 3 largest tumor diameters in 3 orthogonal planes (axial, coronal, and sagittal). The mean tumor diameter (MTD) was deduced from the tumor volume (V) through the formula: MTD =  $(2 \times V)^{1/3}$ . The VDE (ie, the glioma growth curve) was plotted as a function of MTD over time.

#### Statistical Analyses

Descriptive results are presented as means  $\pm$  SD (median, range) for continuous data and percentages for categorical data. Analyses were tailored to address associations among clinical, imaging, histopathological, molecular, and treatment-related variables, spontaneous VDE, and outcomes. Univariate analyses were performed using  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and the unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney rank-sum test for continuous variables, as appropriate. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed for unadjusted survival curves, using log-rank tests to assess significance. Cox proportional hazards models were constructed, adjusting for predictors associated with mortality or malignant transformation in univariate analyses. Variables associated at the P < .2 level in unadjusted analysis were then entered into models, with the final model retaining only the variables significant at the P < .05 level. P < .05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP version 11.0.0 (SAS Institute).

# Results

#### Patient and Tumor Characteristics

One hundred thirty-one patients (79 males, 52 females) fulfilled eligibility criteria. They were previously reported separately in 4

different series.<sup>12,17,20,21</sup> The mean age at histological diagnosis was  $38.0 \pm 10.8$  years (median, 38.0; range, 15-66), and the mean tumor volume at histological diagnosis was  $65.0 \pm 50.7$  cc (median, 50.0; range, 5-220). Clinical, imaging, histopathological, molecular, and therapeutic findings are summarized in Table 1.

*IDH1* mutations were present in 107 cases (81.7%). Distribution of *IDH1* mutation status by clinical, imaging, molecular, and therapeutic findings is summarized in Table 1. Codeletion of 1p19q was present in 38 (31.9%) of the 119 available cases. Codeletion of 1p19q was more frequent in tumors with an oligo-dendroglial component (40.9%) than in astrocytomas (0%; P < .001). Overexpression of *p53* was present in 65 (52%) of the 125 available cases. In the same way, *p53* overexpression was more frequent in both astrocytomas (73.9%) and oligoastrocytomas (60%) than in oligodendrogliomas (40.3%; P = .009).

#### Spontaneous Tumor Velocities of Diametric Expansion

The mean number of MRIs performed before treatment was  $3.1 \pm 1.3$  (median, 3.0; range, 2–7) per patient. The mean duration of repeated measurements before treatment was  $20.2 \pm 30.2$  months (median, 9.6; range, 1.6–152.0). The MTD measurements were performed using the ellipsoid approximation in 117 cases (89.3%)<sup>12,17,20</sup> and using the segmentation method in 14 cases (10.7%).<sup>21</sup> The mean tumor spontaneous VDE before first oncological treatment was  $5.40 \pm 5.46$  mm/y (median, 3.75 mm/y; range, 0–31.0 mm/y). When applying the cutoff previously described, 108 patients (82.4%, the slow VDE subgroup) presented a spontaneous VDE slower than 8 mm/y, and 23 patients (17.6%, the fast VDE subgroup) presented a spontaneous VDE equal to or faster than 8 mm/y (Fig. 1).<sup>11,12</sup>

Distribution of spontaneous VDE by clinical, imaging, molecular, and therapeutic findings is summarized in Table 1. Spontaneous VDE tended to be slower in tumors with 1p19q codeletion and tended to be faster in tumors with *p53* overexpression without reaching significance (Fig. 1). Spontaneous VDE was not significantly different in tumors with *IDH1* mutation (mean,  $5.4 \pm 5.6$  mm/y; median, 3.8; range, 0-31.0) and without *IDH1* mutation (mean,  $5.2 \pm 5.0$  mm/y; median, 3.8; range, 0-19.2) (P = .823). Interestingly, there were significantly more tumors with a fast spontaneous VDE in the subgroup of patients who underwent only a biopsy (27.1%) than in the subgroup of patients who underwent a resection (12.1%; P = .032).

# Velocity of Diametric Expansion, IDH1 Mutation Status, and Outcomes

The mean follow-up was  $70 \pm 54.7$  months since histological diagnosis (median, 55; range, 3.6–262).

During the follow-up period, 56 patients (42.7%) presented with a malignant progression (histologically proven in 20 cases [35.7%] and suspected on imaging in the remaining 36 cases [64.3%] ) at a mean  $63.8 \pm 50.7$  months (median, 51; range, 1.7-233). A malignant progression was observed in 17 of the 23 patients (73.9%) of the fast VDE subgroup at a mean  $47.1 \pm 42.2$  months (median, 36; range, 1.7-163) and in 39 of the 108 patients (36.1%) of the slow VDE subgroup at a mean  $71.2 \pm 52.9$  months (median, 58; range, 5-233). Malignant progression-free survival was significantly longer in the slow VDE subgroup

(median, 149 mo; mean, 142) than in the fast VDE subgroup (median, 46 mo; mean, 56.2) (P < .001; Fig. 2). A malignant progression was observed in 45 of the 107 patients (42.1%) of the subgroup with IDH1 mutation at a mean  $70.0\pm53.6$  months (median, 55; range, 1.7-233) and in 11 of the 24 patients (45.8%) of the subgroup without IDH1 mutation at a mean 38.4 ± 24.8 months (median, 30; range, 5-88.5). Malignant progression-free survival was significantly longer in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation (median, 100 mo; mean, 131.2) than in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation (median, 72 mo; mean, 60.6) (P = .028; Fig. 2). In the subgroup of 107 patients with IDH1 mutation, malignant progression-free survival remained significantly longer in the slow VDE subgroup (median, 169 mo; mean, 149.3) than in the fast VDE subgroup (median, 46 mo; mean, 54.4) (P <.001; Fig. 2). In the subgroup of 108 patients with a slow VDE, malignant progression-free survival remained significantly longer in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation (median, 169 mo; mean, 149.3) than in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation (median, 72 mo; mean, 53.0) (P = .009). When compiling the 2 parameters, malignant progression-free survival was significantly longer in the subgroup with *IDH1* mutation and slow VDE (median, 169 mo; mean, 149.2) than in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation only or with slow VDE only (median, 58.3 mo; mean, 67.2) and in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation and with fast VDE (median, 46 mo; mean, 56.0) (P < .001).

During the follow-up period, 23 patients (17.6%) died at a mean 77.3 ± 59.6 months (median, 111; range, 5-198). A death was observed in 10 of the 23 patients (43.5%) of the fast VDE subgroup at a mean  $57.2 \pm 48.1$  months (median, 38; range, 5–163) and in 13 of the 108 patients (12.0%) of the slow VDE subgroup at a mean  $92.8 \pm 64.6$  months (median, 91; range, 12–198). Overall survival was significantly longer in the slow VDE subgroup (median, 198 mo; mean, 166.1) than in the fast VDE subgroup (median, 82 mo; mean, 73.6) (P < .001; Fig. 2). Death was observed in 18 of the 107 patients (16.8%) of the subgroup with IDH1 mutation at a mean  $94.0 \pm 56.7$  months (median, 87.5 mo; range, 20–198) and in 5 of the 24 patients (20.8%) of the subaroup without *IDH1* mutation at a mean 17.4 + 11.0months (median, 12 mo; range, 5-30). Overall survival was significantly longer in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation (median, 198 mo; mean, 159.3) than in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation (median, not reached; mean, 27.0 mo) (P = .001; Fig. 2). In the subgroup of 107 patients with IDH1 mutation, overall survival remained significantly longer in the slow VDE subgroup (median not reached; mean, 172.9 mo) than in the fast VDE subgroup (median, 84 mo; mean, 96.3) (P < .001; Fig. 2). In the subgroup of 108 patients with a slow VDE, overall survival remained significantly longer in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation (median not reached; mean, 172.9 mo) than in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation (median not reached; mean, 27.8 mo) (P = .014). When compiling the 2 parameters, overall survival was significantly longer in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation and slow VDE (median not reached; mean, 172.9 mo) than in the subgroup with IDH1 mutation only or with slow VDE only (median, 92 mo; mean, 103.4) and in the subgroup without IDH1 mutation and with fast VDE (median not reached; mean, 22.3 mo) (P < .001).

In univariate analysis (Table 2), predictors of malignant progression-free survival were spontaneous VDE (P < .001), tumor volume (P = .022), *IDH1* mutation status (P = .042), first-line resection (P = .018), and extent of surgical resection (P = .038).

#### Table 1. Patient and tumor characteristics

Parameters	n		Spontaneous	VDE (mm/y)	IDH1 Mutation Status				
			$Mean\pmSD$	Median	Range	Р	IDH1 +	IDH1-	Р
Clinical parameters									
Sex	131					.592			.477
Male		79	5.2±4.4	3.7	0-22.6		79.8%	20.2	
Female		52	5.7±6.8	3.8	0-31.0		84.6	15.4	
Age	131					.887			.031
<40		75	5.8±6.4	3.7	0-31.0		88.0	12.0	
≥40		56	4.9±4.0	3.9	0-19.2		73.2	18.3	
Increased intracranial pressure	109		_			.973			.343
Yes		2	11.0+15.8	11.0	0-31.0		50.0	50.0	
No		107	5.0 + 5.1	3.6	0-22.0		80.4	19.6	
Neurological deficit	109					.271			.180
Yes		20	$6.5 \pm 7.0$	4.6	0-27.9		90.0	10.0	
No		89	$48 \pm 49$	3 5	0-31.0		77 5	22.5	
Seizures	109	00	1.0 1 1.5	5.5	0 91.0	156	77.5	22.5	365
Ves	105	78	56+59	3 1	0-31.0	.150	87 1	179	.505
No		31	3.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	3.1	0-15.0		74.2	25.8	
KDC	131	51	J.0 <u>1</u> J.2	5.0	0-15.0	005	74.2	25.0	11/
> 70%	151	125	E1   E 2	2 7	0 21 0	.005	00 0	10.2	.114
~70%		125	J.I <u>T</u> J.J 11 / I 6 6	5.7	67 220		100.0	19.2	
$\leq 70.70$		0	$11.4 \pm 0.0$	5.5	4.7-22.0		100.0	0	
Carebral Johan involved	110					110			
	118	70		2 5	0 21 0	.119	00 0	11 /	.054
< 2		79	4.8±5.3	3.5	0-31.0		88.0	11.4	
<u>≥</u> 2	447	39	$6.5 \pm 5.7$	4./	0-22.6	121	/4.4	25.6	(10
Corpus callosum involvement	11/	105	50,50	2.7	1 1 21 0	.434	04.0	45.2	.410
No		105	$5.0 \pm 5.3$	3./	1.1-31.0		84.8	15.2	
Yes		12	/.6±/.0	6.8	0-22.6		/5.0	25.0	
lumor location	131					.666			.509
Frontal		62	5.2 <u>+</u> 5.9	3.4	0-31.0		80.7	19.4	
Temporal		22	$6.7 \pm 7.1$	3.9	0-28.0		77.3	22.7	
Insular		31	$5.0 \pm 3.5$	4.7	0.4-14.2		80.7	19.3	
Parietal		16	$5.1 \pm 4.1$	3.7	0.6-16.9		93.8	6.2	
Contrast enhancement	131					.582			.449
No		121	$5.2 \pm 5.0$	3.8	0-31.0		81.0	19.0	
Yes		10	7.5 <u>+</u> 9.9	2.4	0-27.9		90.0	10.0	
Tumor volume	131					.778			.589
<100 cc		104	$5.2 \pm 5.3$	5.8	0-31.0		80.8	19.2	
≥100 cc		27	$6.4 \pm 6.1$	3.6	0-22.6		85.2	14.8	
Spontaneous VDE	131								.898
<8 mm/y		108					81.5	18.5	
≥8 mm/y		23					82.6	17.4	
Histopathological and molecula	r paramete	ers							
Histopathological diagnosis	131					.536			.087
Astrocytoma		25	5.5 + 5.5	4.0	0.4-27.9		72.0	28.0	
Oligodendroglioma		71		3.7	0-31.0		88.6	11.4	
Mixed alioma		35	$5.6 \pm 4.9$	4.6	0-22.9		75.0	25.0	
Oligodendrogligi component	131		<u>-</u>			526			.222
Yes		106	5.4 + 5.5	3.7	0-31.0		83.8	18.2	
No		25	5,5+55	4 0	0.4-27.9		72.0	28.0	
Proliferation rates	115	23	5.5 - 5.5	1.0	0.1 27.5	888	, 2.0	20.0	914
<5%	110	70	54+59	35	0-31.0	.000	81 4	18.6	.514
~ 5%		/.5	5.7 <u>1</u> 5.5 51 <u>1</u> 77	כ.כ ד ב	0-220		82.2	17 0	
<u>~ ) /0</u>		40	J.1 ± 4.7	5.7	0-22.0		02.2	1/.0	

Continued

Parameters	n		Spontaneous	VDE (mm/y)	IDH1 Mutation Status				
			$Mean \pm SD$	Median	Range	Р	IDH1 +	IDH1-	Р
1p19g Codeletion	119					.077			.497
Yes		38	$5.0 \pm 6.0$	3.1	0-31.0		79.0	20.1	
No		81	5.6±5.0	4.4	0-27.9		84.2	15.8	
p53 Overexpression	125					.202			.250
Yes		65	5.8±5.2	4.4	0-27.9		86.2	13.8	
No		60	$5.0 \pm 5.6$	3.5	0-31.0		78.3	21.7	
IDH1 mutation	131					.823			
Yes		107	5.4±5.6	3.8	0-31.0				
No		24	$5.2 \pm 5.0$	3.8	0-19.2				
First-line oncological treatment	ts								
Surgical resection	131					.236			.574
Yes		83	4.5 <u>+</u> 4.2	3.6	0-31.0		83.1	16.9	
No		48	6.8 <u>+</u> 6.9	4.0	0.5-27.9		79.2	20.8	
Extent of surgical resection	131					.396			.339
Biopsy		48	$6.9 \pm 7.7$	4.0	0.5-27.9		79.2	20.8	
Partial removal		16	4.4 ± 3.2	3.7	0-12.3		87.5	12.5	
Subtotal removal		49	$4.5 \pm 3.1$	4.0	0-15.0		83.7	16.3	
Total removal		18	$4.8 \pm 7.1$	3.2	0-31.0		77.8	22.2	
Radiation therapy	131					.467			.158
Yes		23	6.7 <u>+</u> 5.8	4.5	0.6-22.6		91.3	8.7	
No		108	$5.1 \pm 5.4$	3.6	0-31.0		79.6	20.4	
Chemotherapy	131					.040			.099
Yes		14	$3.7 \pm 4.6$	2.6	0.4-19.2		64.3	35.7	
No		117	$5.6\pm5.5$	4.0	0-31.0		83.8	16.2	

Boldface indicates statistically significant.

Predictors of overall survival were sex (P = .002), presence of seizures at diagnosis (P = .011), contrast enhancement (P = .001), tumor volume (P = .022), corpus callosum involvement (P = .042), spontaneous VDE (P < .001), 1p19q codeletion (P = .031), IDH1 mutation status (P = .044), first-line resection (P = .042), and extent of surgical resection (P = .031). In multivariate analysis (Table 2), independent prognostic factors for malignant progression-free survival were increased intracranial pressure (P = .024), parietal tumor location (P = .019), spontaneous VDE (P = .001), IDH1 mutation status (P = .019), surgical resection (P = .015), and extent of surgical resection (partial, P = .021; total, P = .025). Independent factors for overall survival were male sex (P = .001), tumor volume (P = .017), spontaneous VDE (P < .001), IDH1 mutation status (P = .007), and extent of surgical resection (subtotal, P = .038).

# Discussion

We show for the first time in a retrospective exploratory dataset of 131 homogeneous cases that *IDH1* mutation status represents an independent prognostic factor for LGG clinical outcome among adult patients, together with the spontaneous VDE that reflects the imaging tumor growth. Specifically, we find that they are associated, independently from each other, with better malignant progression-free survival and overall survival, whatever the clinical, imaging, histopathological, and molecular findings,

including 1p19q codeletion and *p53* overexpression. Thus, the determination of spontaneous VDE and *IDH1* mutation status adds to the 1p19q codeletion status in determining outcomes of adult patients harboring an LGG.

In LGGs, *IDH1* mutation proved to have significant impact on overall survival only,<sup>8,17,22-25</sup> although with contradictory results,<sup>26,27</sup> which may be explained by the heterogeneity of the histopathological LGG subgroup. Here, we confirm that IDH1 mutation impacts both malignant progression-free survival and overall survival of LGGs. However, the small number of observed deaths in the present study limits the observation. Further study with a longer follow-up period is needed. IDH1 mutation and 1p19q codeletion are independent favorable prognostic factors for LGGs, but previous studies showed that these molecular parameters are closely associated.<sup>15,17</sup> Moreover, 1p19q codeletion status affects the imaging tumor growth, although IDH1 mutation does not. Thus, ignoring the effects of 1p19g codeletion, of IDH1 mutation status, and of spontaneous VDE, along with their interactions, while estimating their prognostic significance could lead to serious bias. We thus incorporated these parameters in the statistical modeling. In addition, we confirmed these results obtained on the whole series by performing complementary statistical analyses on the subgroup of LGGs with an *IDH1* mutation (n = 107) and on the subgroup of LGGs with a slow VDE (n = 108).

In addition, we confirm that *IDH1* mutation status does not significantly impact the spontaneous VDE, in accordance with



Fig. 1. (A) Distribution of the 131 patients by individual spontaneous VDEs (mm/y). (B) Molecular factors influencing the spontaneous VDE of LGGs.

previous reports<sup>12,17</sup> and in accordance with the conflicting results of the effects of *IDH1* mutation on glioma cell proliferation in experimental preparations.<sup>28–30</sup> These findings reinforce the hypothesis that *IDH* mutations, because of their precocity, might be considered instead as a causative link between early cellular metabolism disturbances and the emergence of other driving molecular events in gliomagenesis<sup>15,17</sup> in accordance with particular associations of gene mutations in brain tumors.<sup>31–33</sup>

The main limitation of the present retrospective study is the use of different techniques to assess *IDH1* mutation status. The research of *IDH1* gene mutations was the most frequent technique, performed in 77 cases (58.8%),<sup>17,21</sup> and the research restricted to *IDH1* R132H mutation by immunohistochemistry was performed in only 54 cases (41.2%).<sup>12,20</sup> *IDH2* mutations, present in only 2%–3% of gliomas,<sup>34</sup> are unlikely to alter the present results. Of note, an *IDH2* mutation was present in 3 cases of the subgroup of LGGs without *IDH1* mutation (3.8%), 1 of them corresponding to the unique patient of this subgroup who is alive without malignant transformation at 130 months follow-up (see Fig. 2).<sup>17,21</sup> Altogether, these findings should be interpreted with caution, given the inherent limitations arising from the

retrospective study design and the possible bias resulting from the *IDH* mutation screening in this exploratory dataset.

Outcomes of LGGs vary considerably; the wide ranges of prognoses reflect the heterogeneity of LGGs and could be explained by the limitations of the histopathological diagnosis. Molecular markers, particularly 1p19g codeletion, p53 expression, and IDH1 mutation status, have improved diagnostic accuracy and refined prognosis. Although spontaneous VDE was shown to correlate with molecular markers, it represents an independent prognostic parameter for overall survival and for malignant progression-free survival and may help in predicting the natural history of LGGs.<sup>11,12</sup> As the spontaneous VDE adds to *IDH1* mutation status and to 1p19g codeletion status in determining outcomes, we propose that the spontaneous VDE could be integrated together with the other known prognostic parameters, including IDH1 mutation status, 1p19g codeletion status, and p53 overexpression, in a multidimensional approach to better predict the outcomes of an LGG at the individual level. Finally, the assessment of the prognostic significance of the spontaneous VDE is clinically relevant and would require prospective validation within the context of multicenter clinical trials.



**Fig. 2.** Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival and malignant progression-free survival according to spontaneous VDE and *IDH1* mutation status. (A) Overall survival and malignant progression-free survival according to VDE (cutoff at 8 mm/y) (n = 131). The unadjusted hazard ratio for death among patients harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\leq$ 8 mm/y was 6.61 (95% CI, 2.69–12.2; P < .001). The unadjusted hazard ratio for death or malignant progression among patients harboring an LGG with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\leq$ 8 mm/y was 4.18 (95% CI, 2.30–7.35; P < .001). (B) Overall survival and malignant progression-free survival according to *IDH1* mutation status (n = 131). The unadjusted hazard ratio for death or malignant progression among patients harboring an LGG without an *IDH1* mutation compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous 3.27 (95% CI, 1.03–8.84; P = .044). The unadjusted hazard ratio for death or malignant progression among patients harboring an LGG with an *IDH1* mutation was 2.05 (95% CI, 1.03–3.79; P = .042). (C) Overall survival and malignant progression-free survival according to VDE (cutoff at 8 mm/y) in the subgroup of patients with an *IDH1* mutation (n = 107). The unadjusted hazard ratio for death among patients harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE  $\geq$ 8 mm/y compared with those harboring an LGG with a spontaneous VDE

Parameters	Overall Survival							Malignant Progression-free survival				
	Unadjusted Hazard Ratio (HR)			Adjusted Hazard Ratio			Unadjusted Hazard Ratio			Adjusted Hazard Ratio		
	HR	95% CI	Р	HRa	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	HRa	95% CI	Р
Clinical parameters												
Sex												
Female	1 (ref)	4 70 04 5		10.00			1 (ref)				0.00.07/	100
Male	5.06	1./2-21.5	.002	10.22	2.58-17.8	.001	162	0.94-2.88	.082	1.//	0.88-3.74	.108
Age	1 (						1 (					
<40	1 (ret)	0/8 260	071				1 (ret)	07/ 210	200			
240	1.10	0.46-2.60	.021				1.20	0.74-2.16	.309			
No	1 (rof)						1 (rof)					
Ves	1 (IEI) 1 5 8	0 79 - 2 09	667				1 (IEI) 5 3 3	0.85_17.9	067	11 31	1 // _ 59 7	02/
Neurological deficit	1.50	0.79-2.09	.007				5.55	0.05-17.9	.007	11.51	1.44-55.7	.024
No	1 (ref)						1 (rof)					
Yes	1 03	0 26 - 5 18	964				1 25	0 56-2 52	562			
Seizures	1.05	0.20 5.10	.501				1.25	0.50 2.52	.502			
Yes	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
No	4.13	1.40-11.6	.011	3.69	0.56-20.4	.161	1.12	0.58-2.38	.752			
KPS												
>70	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
≥70	2.84	0.44-10.1	.224				2.32	0.70-5.76	.151	1.30	0.18-5.38	.745
Imaging parameters												
Cerebral lobes involved	ł											
1	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
≥2	1.99	0.84-4.7	.113	2.31	0.37-16.1	.373	1.36	0.73-2.42	.314			
Corpus callosum involv	/ement											
No	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
Yes	4.69	1.07-14.6	.042	1.35	0.19-7.83	.743	2.03	0.61-5.02	.220			
Anatomic location												
Frontal	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
Temporal	1.63	0.57-4.32	.352				1.94	0.93-3.83	.074	2.05	0.63-5.95	.215
Parietal	1.43	0.31-4.69	.599				1.92	0.84-4.01	.116	4.20	1.28-12.99	.019
Insular	0.92	0.21-2.99	.896				1.53	0.72-3.07	.252	2.29	0.53-8.12	.069
Contrast enhancemen	t .											
No	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
Yes	1.79	0.03-2.67	.001	2.29	0.02-21.5	.774	1.95	0.74-4.24	.159	1.98	0.67-5.04	.206
lumor volume, cm <sup>3</sup>	1 ( ()						4 ( 0)					
<100	1 (ref)			0.60	4 / 0 70 4	047	1 (ref)				0 ( 7 ) 7 7	<b>F</b> 4 (
≥100	2.44	1.15-4.72	.0022	9.69	1.49-70.1	.017	2.44	1.14-4./2	.022	1.41	0.4/-3./6	.514
VDE	1 (maf)						1 (maf)					
< 8 mm/y	I (rel)		.0001	26.2		.0001	1 (rei)		.0001	( ))	1.01.0/0	001
<u>&gt;o mm/y</u>	0.01	2.09-12.2	<.0001	20.5	5.42-165.2	<.0001	4.10	2.30-7.35	<.0001	4.23	1.61-9.40	.001
Histological subtype	umeters											
Astrocytoma	1 (rof)						1 (rof)					
Oligodendroglioma	0.94	0 31 - 4 09	926				0.92	045-205	819			
Mixed alioma	0.88	0.22 - 4.35	873				1.09	0.48-2.67	826			
Oligodendrogligi comp	onent	0.22 1.55	.075				1.05	0.10 2.07	.020			
Yes	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
No	1.41	0.40-3.81	.549				0.91	0.47-1.94	.806			
Proliferation rates			-						-			
<5%	1 (ref)						1 (ref)					
≥5%	1.28	0.53-3.27	.577				1.13	0.62-2.02	.684			
											Con	tinued

### Table 2. Survival analyses

#### Table 2. Continued

Parameters	Overall Survival							Malignant Progression-free survival					
	Unadju	Unadjusted Hazard Ratio (HR)			Adjusted Hazard Ratio			Unadjusted Hazard Ratio			Adjusted Hazard Ratio		
	HR	95% CI	Р	HRa	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	HRa	95% CI	Р	
1p19q Codeletion													
Yes	1 (ref)						1 (ref)						
No	3.91	1.11-24.7	.031	2.92	0.35-60.9	.353	1.50	0.80-2.87	.220				
p53 Overexpression													
No	1 (ref)						1 (ref)						
Yes	2.42	0.95-7.41	.062	1.38	0.26-11.32	.720	1.33	0.77-2.34	.307				
IDH1 expression													
Yes	1 (ref)						1 (ref)						
No	3.27	1.03-8.84	.044	17.86	2.15-200.1	.007	2.05	1.03-3.79	.042	2.39	1.19-4.66	.019	
Therapeutic paramet	ers												
First-line surgery													
No	1 (ref)						1 (ref)						
Yes	0.41	0.16-0.97	.042	2.05	0.57-7.83	.270	0.53	0.31-0.90	.018	0.40	0.20-0.83	.015	
First-line surgery													
Biopsy	1 (ref)						1 (ref)						
Partial removal	0.18	0.01-0.88	.031	0.21	0.04-1.52	.088	0.44	0.15-1.05	.067	0.27	0.07-0.83	.021	
Subtotal removal	0.59	0.21-1.47	.265	0.22	0.05-0.91	.038	0.64	0.34-1.14	.127	0.51	0.24-1.11	.091	
Total removal	0.31	0.02 - 1.58	.188	0.23	0.02-6.99	.349	0.34	0.08-0.94	.038	0.25	0.05-0.85	.025	

Boldface indicates statistically significant.

As a practical consequence, the spontaneous VDE should be measured systematically<sup>10,12,14</sup> at the beginning of the management of an LGG without delaying treatment and should be added to the other known risk parameters (Pignatti and Chang prognostic scores, contrast enhancement, astrocytoma subtype, 1p19q codeletion, *p53* overexpression, *IDH1* mutation)<sup>2,4–6,8</sup> to adapt treatment and follow-up on an individual basis. Patients with a fast VDE should be considered as "high-risk," with an increased risk of early malignant transformation, particularly in cases where only a biopsy has been performed due to the possible risk of undergrading. Because these LGGs share outcomes similar to those of malignant gliomas, treatment modalities should be selected accordingly, and a 3-month follow-up should be preferred.<sup>12</sup>

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer.

#### Acknowledgments

These physicians are greatly acknowledged (in alphabetical order): Georges Abi-Lahoud, Valérie Bernier, Françine Chassoux, Philippe Colin, Fabrice Chrétien, Frédéric Dhermain, Julien Domont, Denys Fontaine, Marc Frenay, Jacques Guyotat, Maria Koziak, Elisabeth Landre, Emmanuel Mandonnet, Michael Mann, Jean-François Meder, Charles Mellerio, Olivier Naggara, Karima Mokhtari, François Nataf, Catherine Oppenheim, Eduardo Parraga, Philippe Peruzzi, François-Xavier Roux, Raphaëlle Souillard-Scemama, Baris Turak. All authors have participated in the writing of this manuscript and all authors approve this final version. Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

#### References

- 1. Louis DN, Cavenee WK, eds. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2007.
- Soffietti R, Baumert BG, Bello L, et al. Guidelines on management of low-grade gliomas: report of an EFNS-EANO\* Task Force. Eur J Neurol. 2010;17(9):1124–1133.
- Bauman G, Lote K, Larson D, et al. Pretreatment factors predict overall survival for patients with low-grade glioma: a recursive partitioning analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1999;45(4): 923–929.
- 4. Pignatti F, van den Bent M, Curran D, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in adult patients with cerebral low-grade glioma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(8):2076–2084.
- Chang EF, Smith JS, Chang SM, et al. Preoperative prognostic classification system for hemispheric low-grade gliomas in adults. J Neurosurg. 2008;109(5):817–824.
- 6. Pallud J, Capelle L, Taillandier L, et al. Prognostic significance of imaging contrast enhancement for WHO grade II gliomas. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2009;11(2):176–182.
- Nobusawa S, Watanabe T, Kleihues P, et al. IDH1 mutations as molecular signature and predictive factor of secondary glioblastomas. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(19):6002–6007.
- Sanson M, Marie Y, Paris S, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 codon 132 mutation is an important prognostic biomarker in gliomas. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(25):4150–4154.
- 9. Ducray F, Idbaih A, Wang X-W, et al. Predictive and prognostic factors for gliomas. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2011;11(5):781–789.

- Pallud J, Taillandier L, Capelle L, et al. Quantitative morphological magnetic resonance imaging follow-up of low-grade glioma. *Neurosurgery*. 2012;71(3):729–740.
- 11. Pallud J, Mandonnet E, Duffau H, et al. Prognostic value of initial magnetic resonance imaging growth rates for World Health Organization grade II gliomas. *Ann Neurol*. 2006;60(3):380–383.
- Pallud J, Blonski M, Mandonnet E, et al. Velocity of tumor spontaneous expansion predicts long-term outcomes for diffuse low-grade gliomas. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2013;15(5):595–606.
- Mandonnet E, Delattre J-Y, Tanguy M-L, et al. Continuous growth of mean tumor diameter in a subset of grade II gliomas. Ann Neurol. 2003;53(4):524–528.
- 14. Mandonnet E, Pallud J, Clatz O, et al. Computational modeling of the WHO grade II glioma dynamics: principles and applications to management paradigm. *Neurosurg Rev.* 2008;31(3):263–269.
- Labussière M, Idbaih A, Wang X-W, et al. All the 1p19q codeleted gliomas are mutated on IDH1 or IDH2. *Neurology*. 2010;74(23): 1886–1890.
- Ricard D, Kaloshi G, Amiel-Benouaich A, et al. Dynamic history of low-grade gliomas before and after temozolomide treatment. *Ann Neurol.* 2007;61(5):484–490.
- Gozé C, Bezzina C, Gozé E, et al. 1p19q loss but not IDH1 mutations influences WHO grade II gliomas spontaneous growth. *J Neurooncol*. 2012;108(1):69–75.
- Watanabe T, Nobusawa S, Kleihues P, et al. IDH1 mutations are early events in the development of astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas. *Am J Pathol.* 2009;174(4):1149–1153.
- 19. Berger MS, Deliganis AV, Dobbins J, et al. The effect of extent of resection on recurrence in patients with low grade cerebral hemisphere gliomas. *Cancer.* 1994;74(6):1784–1791.
- Pallud J, Llitjos J-F, Dhermain F, et al. Dynamic imaging response following radiation therapy predicts long-term outcomes for diffuse low-grade gliomas. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2012;14(4):496–505.
- Blonski M, Pallud J, Gozé C, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy may optimize the extent of resection of World Health Organization grade II gliomas: a case series of 17 patients. *J Neurooncol.* 2013; 113(2):267–275.
- 22. Metellus P, Coulibaly B, Colin C, et al. Absence of IDH mutation identifies a novel radiologic and molecular subtype of WHO grade

II gliomas with dismal prognosis. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2010;120(6): 719–729.

- 23. Leu S, Felten von S, Frank S, et al. IDH/MGMT-driven molecular classification of low-grade glioma is a strong predictor for long-term survival. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2013;15(4):469–479.
- Sun H, Yin L, Li S, et al. Prognostic significance of IDH mutation in adult low-grade gliomas: a meta-analysis. J Neurooncol. 2013; 113(2):277–284.
- Dahlrot RH, Kristensen BW, Hjelmborg J, et al. A population-based study of low-grade gliomas and mutated isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1). J Neurooncol. 2013;114(3):309–317.
- Ahmadi R, Stockhammer F, Becker N, et al. No prognostic value of IDH1 mutations in a series of 100 WHO grade II astrocytomas. J Neurooncol. 2012;109(1):15–22.
- 27. Thon N, Eigenbrod S, Kreth S, et al. IDH1 mutations in grade II astrocytomas are associated with unfavorable progression-free survival and prolonged postrecurrence survival. *Cancer.* 2012; 118(2):452–460.
- 28. Jin G, Pirozzi CJ, Chen LH, et al. Mutant IDH1 is required for IDH1 mutated tumor cell growth. *Oncotarget*. 2012;3(8):774–782.
- 29. Zhu J, Cui G, Chen M, et al. Expression of R132H mutational IDH1 in human U87 glioblastoma cells affects the SREBP1a pathway and induces cellular proliferation. *J Mol Neurosci.* 2013;50(1): 165–171.
- Bralten LBC, Kloosterhof NK, Balvers R, et al. IDH1 R132H decreases proliferation of glioma cell lines in vitro and in vivo. *Ann Neurol.* 2011; 69(3):455–463.
- Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Jiao Y, et al. Mutations in CIC and FUBP1 contribute to human oligodendroglioma. *Science*. 2011;333(6048): 1453–1455.
- 32. Arita H, Narita Y, Takami H, et al. TERT promoter mutations rather than methylation are the main mechanism for TERT upregulation in adult gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2013;126(6):939–941.
- Jiao Y, Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, et al. Frequent ATRX, CIC, FUBP1 and IDH1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. Oncotarget. 2012;3(7):709–722.
- 34. Hartmann C, Meyer J, Balss J, et al. Type and frequency of IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are related to astrocytic and oligodendroglial differentiation and age: a study of 1,010 diffuse gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2009;118(4):469–474.