

Development and Application of a Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*

J. Dumke, D. Hinse, T. Vollmer, C. Knabbe, J. Dreier

Institut für Laboratoriums- und Transfusionsmedizin, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum Nordrhein-Westfalen, Universitätsklinik der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany

Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. *gallolyticus* (formerly known as *S. bovis* biotype I) is a commensal of the gastrointestinal tract in animals and in up to 15% of healthy humans. Furthermore, it is a facultative pathogen that can cause infectious endocarditis, mastitis, and septicemia. The number of infections is increasing, but the transmission routes and zoonotic potential remain unknown. To assess the zoonotic potential and characterize the epidemiological structure of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*, we established a multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme. We amplified and sequenced internal fragments of seven housekeeping genes. The resulting sequences were analyzed with BioNumerics software 6.6 by using the unweighted-pair group method using average linkages algorithm. A total of 101 *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains isolated from animals, humans, and environmental samples were analyzed and divided into 50 sequence types. Our first results highlight the importance of this MLST scheme for investigating the epidemiology, transmission patterns, and infection chains of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*.

S*treptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* is a Gram-positive bacterium belonging to the Lancefield group D streptococci. Traditionally, it was classified as a member of the *Streptococcus bovis* biotype I group. Depending on the bacterium's ability to ferment mannitol, three biotypes of *S. bovis* were distinguished, I, II/1, and II/2. The taxonomy of *S. bovis* underwent several amendments before mannitol-fermenting *S. bovis* biotype I was reclassified as *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* in 2003 (1).

S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus, a commensal of the gastrointestinal tract, is found in 2.5 to 15% of healthy humans (2). The organism can also act as a pathogen. This opportunistic bacterium may cause septicemia and meningitis in animals, as well as in humans (3, 4). In 24% of cases of streptococcal endocarditis, S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus was identified as the causative agent (5-7). Furthermore, studies have shown a correlation between streptococcal endocarditis and colon cancer (8). Nevertheless, S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus has been found in various animals, especially in pigeons, chickens, and cattle, where it can cause various diseases (4, 9, 10). Particularly in dairy cows, it is often the causative agent of mastitis (11). Further studies have identified this facultative pathogen in milk and raw milk products (12-14). Indirect transmission by contact with a contaminated environment or directly by smear or droplet infection from human to human or from animal to human can be assumed. However, the transmission pathways, as well as the pathogenic mechanisms, remain unexplained. Because of its presence in, e.g., poultry, ruminants, and humans, it is suspected but has not been confirmed to have zoonotic potential (4, 8–10, 15).

Several multilocus sequence typing (MLST) schemes have been successfully developed for various bacterial species. MLST is a portable method based on the sequencing of housekeeping genes that provides accurate and comparable results for analyzing evolutionary structures and infection chains, which help explain the virulence of pathogenic bacteria (16).

Recently, an MLST scheme for the species *Streptococcus gallolyticus* was established for investigation of its epidemiology and determination of its subspecies. There was no indication of pathogenic groups within the clusters of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*

(17). To explore the zoonotic potential and characterize the epidemiology of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*, we established a subspecies-specific MLST scheme. This typing method permits the classification of different clusters of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* isolates. Furthermore, this technique can provide evidence of the zoonotic potential of this facultative pathogen and may provide information about transmission routes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and cultivation. One hundred one S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus strains (51 from animals, 33 from humans, 1 from an environmental sample, and 17 from unknown sources) were analyzed. Bacterial strains were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany), the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH (Braunschweig, Germany), or the Belgian Coordinated Collections of Microorganisms (Ghent, Belgium), or were previously isolated from blood cultures or feces from patients at the Herz- und Diabeteszentrum NRW (Bad Oeynhausen, Germany) or from fecal samples from animals (e.g., poultry) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Eight strains were kindly given by the National Reference Center for Streptococci, Institute of Medical Microbiology, University Hospital, Aachen, Germany, and two strains were from LADR GmbH MVZ Dr. Kramer & Colleagues, Geesthacht, Germany (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). All isolates were characterized by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and partial sequencing of the manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase (sodA) gene (18, 19). Bacteria were grown on

Received 27 November 2013 Returned for modification 20 January 2014 Accepted 20 April 2014 Published ahead of print 30 April 2014 Editor: P. Bourbeau

Editor: P. Bourbeau

Address correspondence to J. Dreier, jdreier@hdz-nrw.de.

J. Dumke and D. Hinse contributed equally to this work. Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JCM.03329-13.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JCM.03329-13

Gene	Locus tag	Gene product	No. of alleles	Simpson's index of diversity	d_N/d_S ratio ^a	No. (%) of polymorphic sites
aroE	SGGBAA2069_c13440	Shikimate 5-dehydrogenase	15	0.830	0.2971	24 (3.57)
glgB	SGGBAA2069_c07540	Glycogen branching enzyme	14	0.815	0.0519	27 (3.74)
nifS	SGGBAA2069_c13360	Cysteine desulfurase	19	0.854	0.0618	23 (4.32)
p20	SGGBAA2069_c04560	Acyl coenzyme A N-acyltransferase	22	0.911	0.1566	34 (7.40)
tkt	SGGBAA2069_c21090	Transketolase	15	0.827	0.0534	15 (2.23)
<i>trpD</i>	SGGBAA2069_c05200	Anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase	16	0.855	0.0758	44 (6.90)
uvrA	SGGBAA2069_c18560	Excinuclease ABC subunit A	17	0.798	0.0353	38 (6.30)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of MLST loci used for S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus

^a Ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions.

brain heart infusion agar (Oxoid Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom) at 37°C.

DNA extraction. The total DNA of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains was isolated with the QIAamp Blood minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For extraction, approximately 10 single colonies were inoculated into 180 μ l of lysis buffer (containing 20 mg/ml lysozyme). The suspension was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. DNA extraction was performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was eluted in 50 μ l of elution buffer. DNA integrity and concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE).

Nucleotide sequencing of gene fragments. To establish an MLST scheme, the published whole-genome sequences of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains UCN 34 (GenBank accession no. FN597254), ATCC 43143 (accession no. AP012053.1), and ATCC BAA-2069 (accession no. FR824043) were compared by using the EDGAR software to identify genes they have in common (20–23). From the resulting gene pool, a set of 22 housekeeping genes were selected on the basis of the variability and length (>500 bp) of DNA sequences or usage in other MLST schemes. For these candidate genes, 22 primer pair systems were designed. Nine strains differing in their genetic characteristics (*sodA* sequence, DNA fingerprinting profile) were selected to test these primer systems. All of the primers were adjusted to the same annealing temperature. Primers that provided no or too much DNA sequence variation were excluded.

Gene fragments from the chromosomal DNA of 101 *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains were amplified with primers for the housekeeping genes *aroE* (shikimate-5-dehydrogenase), *glgB* (glycogen branching enzyme), *nifS* (cysteine desulfurase), *p20* (acyl coenzyme A *N*-acyltransferase), *tkt* (transketolase), *trpD* (anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase), and *uvrA* (excinuclease ABC subunit A). For the primers used, see Table S2 in the supplemental material.

PCRs were carried out in a 50- μ l reaction volume that comprised HotMaster *Taq* DNA polymerase (5Prime, Hamburg, Germany). For sequencing analyses, 5 μ l of the PCR products was purified enzymatically with 1 μ l of exonuclease I solution and 1 μ l of shrimp alkaline phosphatase (USB, Cleveland, OH).

Each cycle sequencing reaction mixture was prepared with the BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). Excess dye terminators and primers were removed by centrifugation with a spin column prepared with Sephadex G-50 (Amersham, Braunschweig, Germany). Finally, denaturation at 95°C for 120 s was performed. The sequences of both strands were determined with a 3500 Genetic Analyzer DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). Detailed protocols concerning the PCR, purification of PCR products, and sequencing reactions are available at www.pubmlst.org. All sequences were aligned and analyzed by BioNumerics software 6.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium), START version 2, and eBURST version 3 (www.mlst.net) (24, 25). To investigate the relatedness of the strains, a dendrogram was constructed by the unweighted-pair group method using average linkages (UPGMA; BioNumerics). eBURST (*based upon related sequence types* [STs]) was used to identify clonal lineages. Clonal complexes were defined as groups when six out of seven alleles were the same (most stringent definition) (24). The program START version 2 (www.mlst.net) was used to determine the numbers of nucleotide alterations causing amino acid changes (nonsynonymous, d_N) and silent mutations (synonymous, d_s) (d_N/d_s ratio), the polymorphic sites, and the index of association (I_A) (25). I_A was calculated to determine the linkage disequilibrium among the alleles of seven housekeeping genes. It was defined as the observed variance (v_{0}) in the distribution of allelic mismatches in all pairwise comparisons of the allelic profiles divided by the expected variance (ve) in a freely recombining population minus 1 (26). The significance of I_A was estimated by comparing the v_o of the actual data with the maximum variance $(v_{\rm max})$ calculated by using 1,000 randomizations of data sets. The linkage disequilibrium was considered significant if the v_o was greater than the v_{max} obtained in 1,000 trials; otherwise, there was no evidence of a departure from the linkage equilibrium (26).

Simpson's index of diversity (SID) was calculated on the basis of the molecular pattern of the seven loci by using the Comparing Partitions website (http://darwin.phyloviz.net/ComparingPartitions). A SID and a 95% confidence interval (CIs) was calculated for a set of 101 strains. A value close to 1 reflects high diversity, and a value close to 0 indicates little diversity.

RESULTS

To establish an MLST scheme, the whole sequences of the three independent S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus strains were compared by using the EDGAR software (23). The seven housekeeping genes (loci) of 101 S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus strains were successfully amplified and sequenced. Each locus was cut with trimming sequences to compare the internal fragments. An allelic profile was assigned to 101 S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus isolates (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). This study made use of the PubMLST website (http://pubmlst.org/) developed by K. Jolley (27). Data for the MLST scheme are available at www .pubmlst.org and in Table S1 in the supplemental material (27). In summary, 14 (glgB) to 22 (p20) different alleles were present at each locus, resulting in an average of 16.9 alleles in each internal fragment. The proportions of polymorphic sites ranged from 2.2% (*tkt*) to 7.4% (*p20*) and provided 1.3×10^{10} genotypes. A d_N/d_S ratio of <1 and an I_A value of 2.4 were calculated, resulting in the detection of significant linkage disequilibrium (Table 1) (26).

For each strain, the combination of the allelic numbers determines the STs. The isolates were resolved into 50 different STs, and STs 45 and 50 were the most common. Within these two STs, multiple isolates from pigeons (pigeon lofts 1 and 2) and turkeys (turkey coop 1) were found. Thirty-five STs are represented by a single strain (Fig. 1; see Table S1 in the supplemental material). To

linkage distance	MLST	isolate	origin	year	source	country	ST	clonal
6543210	cluster							complex
·····	1	HDZ 1001	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	45	
		HDZ 1005	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	45	
		HDZ 1006	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	45	
		HDZ 1007 HDZ 1008	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	45 45	CC 45
		HDZ 1009	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 2	Germany	45	
		HDZ 1011	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 2 feces from pigeon loft 2	Germany Germany	45	
		HDZ 1012	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	45	
]	HDZ 1003	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 1	Germany	47	
1 1	1	AC 7070	human	2000	clinical isolate	Germany	17	
	∫ II	Sp 043	unknown	2000	unknown	Spain	17	
		AC 6827	human		clinical isolate	Germany	18	
		Sp 001	unknown	2000	unknown	Spain	34 5 1	
		LMG 14625	human	1994	blood culture	Belgium	5	
		Sp 015	unknown		unknown	Spain	5	CC 5/30
	111	Sp 042 Sp 053	unknown unknown		unknown unknown	Spain Spain	5	
]	LMG 14632	human	1994	blood culture	Belgium	30	
		Sp 030	unknown	0010	unknown	Spain	39	
	1	isolate 52 F2	human	2012	feces	Germany	43	
		isolate 006718/00	human	2000	heart valve culture	Germany	7	
	N7	ATCC 49147	human	1992	clinical isolate	Germany	7	007
	T IV	AC 582 LMG 14634	human bovine	1994	cimical isolate	Belgium	7	
		Sp 012	unknown	1004	unknown	Spain	7	
		Sp 020	unknown	0001	unknown	Spain	7	
	, 1	UCN 34 K6236/35_MS	human	2001	blood culture	France	41 J 12	
		LMG 15049	bovine	1970	milk	United Kingdom	12	
	V	LMG 15063	bovine	1982	rumen	United Kingdom	12	
	-	ATCC 43143 isolate 021702/06	human human	2012 2006	blood culture heart valve cultue	Belgium Germany	12 9	
	1	isolate 005950/03	human	2003	heart valve culture	Germany	11]	
		904	human	0000	unknown	Korea	11	
	I VI	ATCC 49475	human	2002	clinical isolate	USA	8	
		AC 1135	human	1002	clinical isolate	Germany	8	~~~
	1	AC 1242	human	1000	clinical isolate	Germany	8	CC 8
		Isolate 000718/98	human	1998	heart valve culture	Germany	4	
		Sp 029	unknown		unknown	Spain	38	
		99146B3313	human	2011	blood culture	Germany	42	
		99208B2062 LMG 15053	human bovine	2012 1977	blood culture mastitis	Germany United Kingdom	44 J 31	
		AC 1016	human		clinical isolate	Germany	15	
		LMG 14631	human	1994	blood culture	Belgium	29	
]	isolate 010672/01 0134257/1	human human	2001 2001	heart valve culture	Germany Germany	6	CC 6
	- VII	isolate 013366/01	human	2001	heart valve culture	Germany	6	
		Sp 050	unknown		unknown	Spain	6	
	1	AC 6860	numan calf	1997	unknown	Netherlands	14 J 28	
	1	LMG 14876	bovine	1994	tonsil	Belgium	26	
	VIII	Sp 036	unknown		unknown	Spain	26	
	1	Sp 014 isolate 12932/01	unknown human	2001	unknown heart valve culture	Germany	36	
	IV	isolate 010288/01	human	2001	heart valve culture	Germany	3	
		LMG 16005	bovine	1995	intestinal	Belgium	3	
		LMG 14855 LMG 14856	horse	1994 1994	intestinal	Belgium Belgium	23	CC 23/24
		LMG 15572	feral goat	1994	rumen	Australia	27	
		LMG 15573	feral goat	1994	rumen	Australia	27	
		Sp 006	unknown	1997	unknown	Spain	∠ 35	
		Sp 023	unknown	12 21010	unknown	Spain	37	
	1	HDZ 1024	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	
		HDZ 1025	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	
	1	HDZ 1027	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	
		HDZ 1028	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	CC 48/50
		HDZ 1029	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany Germany	50 50	
		HDZ 1031	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	
		HDZ 1032	turkey	2012	feces from turkey coop 1	Germany	50	
	-	ATCC 9809	unknown	1977	unknown	United Kingdom	40 J	
		LMG 14870	bovine	1994	intestinal	Belgium	25	
	1	HDZ 1015 HDZ 1016	pigeon	2012 2012	feces from pigeon loft 3	Germany	49 49	
	1	HDZ 1017	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 3	Germany	49	
		LMG 14621	pigeon	1994	abscess	Belgium	19	
	L	LMG 14622	pigeon	1994	unknown	Belgium	19	CC 10/40
	[XI	LMG 14879	pigeon	1994	lung	Belgium	19	00 19/49
		HDZ 1019	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 4	Germany	19	
		HDZ 1020	pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 4	Germany	19	
]	HDZ 1021 HDZ 1022	pigeon pigeon	2012	feces from pigeon loft 4	Germany Germany	19	
	- C	LMG 14623	pigeon	1994	liver	Belgium	20	
		DSM 16831	koala	1990	feces	Australia	1	
		Sp 052	unknown		unknown	Spain	40	
		LMG 17082	bovine	1996	tonsil	Belgium	32	
		LMG 17091	calf	1996	tonsil	Belgium	33	
		LIVIG 14821 LMG 14823	pigeon	1994	unknown unknown	Belgium	∠1 22	

FIG 1 UPGMA dendrogram of 101 *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains. The phylogenetic tree shown was calculated with the allelic profile by using the UPGMA algorithm. The dashed line symbolizes the border defining the clusters. A linkage distance of 1.12 contributes to 11 clusters.

illustrate the potential relatedness between the strains, a UPGMA dendrogram was constructed from the allelic distances of each strain. It showed a highly divergent population (Fig. 1). An average SID of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.735 to 0.931) was defined as demonstrating the discriminatory power of the S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus-specific MLST scheme (Table 1) (28, 29). Therefore, 11 clusters (containing at least three isolates) are formed in the dendrogram based on a linkage distance of 1.12 (conforms SID = 0.84) (Fig. 1; Table 1). STs 45, 46, 47, and 50 can be associated with cluster I or X and contain isolates from only one animal species. Clusters I and XI are the biggest groups and comprise 11 isolates from poultry, followed by cluster X with 10 isolates from pigeons (with one exception). In clusters I and XI, fecal isolates from different pigeon lofts were found (Fig. 1). Furthermore, strains of fecal samples from a turkey coop are in cluster X. Clusters VI and VII comprise many isolates from humans. Several clusters (e.g., IV, V, and IX) comprise isolates from cattle and humans (Fig. 1). For a better characterization of the relatedness between strains and for more conclusive information about infection chains, geography, or host specificity, a minimum spanning tree (MST) based on the MLST data set of 101 strains was generated (Fig. 2). Besides the UPGMA dendrogram and the MST, clonal complexes were defined. The 50 STs can be divided into 10 clonal complexes, and a total of 29 STs are included. Furthermore, 21 singletons were identified. The snapshot of the eBURST (zero out of seven alleles are in common) proposes ST 8 as the predicted primary founder of the S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus strain collection (data not shown). ST 8 belongs to clonal complex 8. This complex includes STs 4, 11, 16, 38, 42, and 48 (Fig. 1 and 2). With one exception, this lineage comprises only isolates from humans from different time points and countries.

All of the analysis methods used, MLST, MST, and eBURST, demonstrated the high diversity of our random collection of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains. Hence, no evidence of host or geographic specificity was found (Fig. 1 and 2; eBURST data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The host specificities, pathogenic mechanisms, and biochemical characteristics of S. gallolyticus subsp. macedonicus, S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus, S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus differ widely. S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus and S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus are commensals of the gastrointestinal system and can cause endocarditis and meningitis (3, 5-7, 30-32). However, S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus can cause septicemia and is often associated with, e.g., chronic liver disease or cirrhosis, especially in immunocompromised patients (33, 34). Besides human infections, to date there have been only two reported cases of septicemia due to S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus in animals (ducklings, goslings) (35, 36). S. gallolyticus subsp. macedonicus is often isolated from dairy products, e.g., cheese, and sour mash and is nonpathogenic (12). In summary, S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus shows a broader host range and differs in the spectrum of diseases. On the basis of the different characteristics of these three subspecies, we established a subspecies-specific MLST scheme for S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus. This scheme offers the opportunity to characterize the phylogenetic structure, the zoonotic potential, and the transmission routes of this subspecies, as well as to assess its risks. We used bacteria from several strain collections and isolates from patients (e.g., blood cultures, feces) and from animal fecal samples. Housekeeping genes were chosen with a low d_N/d_S ratio of <1 and a high SID of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.735 to 0.931) to characterize 101 S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus isolates. A d_N/d_S ratio of <1 was also calculated by Shibata et al. (17). The number of alleles in the subspecies-specific MLST scheme varies from 14 (glgB) to 22 (*p20*), which distinguishes more than 3.6×10^8 STs. This range is comparable to those reported in other publications. For group B streptococci, a 1.2 to 2.5% range of allelic variation was identified (37). A comparable range of allelic variation (1.4 to 6.1%) was observed in the MLST scheme developed for group A streptococci (38). A comparison with the recently published MLST scheme presents from 15 (parC) to 24 (rpoD) allelic variations by using other genes (dpr, gmk, rpoD, parC, pta, pyrC, and recN) for S. gallolyticus. However, in contrast to our work, the publication of Shibata et al. supports no SID calculations. Therefore, the description of the divergent structure is based only on the calculated number of STs (17).

The distribution of the STs can be illustrated by calculating clusters or can be presented in the MST. The MST shows accumulations of S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus strains isolated from various animals. Otherwise, there are groups consisting of bacterial isolates from animals and humans but there is no evidence of host specificity and no suggestion of geographic-region-related occurrence. Remarkably, clonal complex 8 is dominated by human isolates. The occurrence of the ST 8 lineage especially in human isolates may indicate that the STs involved are associated with human hosts. To gain better insights into the epidemiologic structure of S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus, cluster borders were based on the calculated average SID. One must acknowledge that the clusters of Shibata et al. are different. The S. gallolyticus MLST scheme shows clusters based on the roots of the UPGMA dendrogram. The clusters presented also contain S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus isolates from animals or humans, as well as from both animals and humans (17).

Moreover, on the basis of the IA, no epidemiological population structure can be observed referring to the detection of significant linkage disequilibrium, which can be interpreted as a bacterial population with low rates of recombination. Recombination events were tested by using Sawyer's run test in the MLST scheme for all three subspecies, whereby two genes with evidence of recombination (rpoC, parC) were identified (17). However, this test is less sensitive for detecting recombination (39). Therefore, we calculated the I_A for our strain collection. For the comparison of population structures for the S. gallolyticus MLST scheme, an IA was not calculated (17). To answer questions concerning epidemiology, host specificity, and virulence, Shibata et al. defined clonal complexes. For this purpose, a more relaxed group definition (five out of seven alleles are in common) was used and the 57 STs of 63 strains were divided into four lineages and 31 singletons (17).

Nevertheless, the established MLST schemes differ in focus. In addition to the epidemiologic application, Shibata et al. included all three *S. gallolyticus* subspecies and strived to simplify subspecies classification (17). The 57 STs identified form five clusters (A to E), and three of these (A, B, and D) contain 41 STs of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains. Consequently, it is presumed that two of the three *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. *diverse for a novel* subspecies (17). In 2011, Hinse et al. published a reliable method for identifying isolates to the subspecies level by MALDI-



FIG 2 Relatedness of 50 STs of 101 *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* strains in an MST. The results were calculated by BioNumerics software on the basis of MLST data. Each ST is shown as a circle whose size is proportional to the number of strains included. Shading shows the origins of the isolates, and the lines represent the compliance levels of the strains.

TOF MS and *sodA* DNA sequencing, which was used in our research to identify *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* isolates (19).

Notwithstanding the fact that the typing schemes have different aims, both suggest zoonotic potential. In the *S. gallolyticus* MLST scheme, it is suggested by *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* cluster A, which includes animal and human isolates (17). Furthermore, only virulent cluster C of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus*, which includes exclusively human patient isolates of ST 14, could be identified (17).

A comparison of these two different schemes shows that the

MLST method described here is focused explicitly on the zoonotic and epidemiological investigation of the distinct subspecies of *S*. *gallolyticus* and does not aim at subspecies identification or determination of virulence. The subspecies-specific focus on the epidemiological structure and risk assessment is confirmed by the specific primer binding sites for the housekeeping genes for *S*. *gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*. On the basis of the observation of identical allelic profiles of *S*. *gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* isolates and different species, we propose that there is zoonotic potential. Additionally, the transferability to other subspecies is quite limited because of the specificity of our MLST scheme for *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*.

To prove the zoonotic potential of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*, further studies are being performed. We are examining isolates from livestock in cooperation with the respective livestock owners to examine the transmission pattern of this bacterium and to try to assess the risk associated with this facultative pathogen. Our first results suggest that *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* might act as a zoonotic agent. In summary, the *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. *galloly*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jochen Schulz from the University of Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany, for several *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* isolates from poultry. We also thank M. D. Fred Splittgerber for his linguistic advice.

This work was supported by the Ruhr-Universität Bochum Medizinische Fakultät (FoRUM).

REFERENCES

- Schlegel L, Grimont F, Ageron E, Grimont PA, Bouvet A. 2003. Reappraisal of the taxonomy of the *Streptococcus bovis/Streptococcus equinus* complex and related species: description of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* subsp. nov., S. *gallolyticus* subsp. *macedonicus* subsp. nov. and S. *gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus* subsp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53:631–645. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02361-0.
- Sillanpää J, Nallapareddy SR, Qin X, Singh KV, Muzny DM, Kovar CL, Nazareth LV, Gibbs RA, Ferraro MJ, Steckelberg JM. 2009. A collagenbinding adhesin, Acb, and ten other putative MSCRAMM and pilus family proteins of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* (*Streptococcus bovis* group, biotype I). J. Bacteriol. 191:6643–6653. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JB.00909-09.
- Headings DL, Herrera A, Mazzi E, Bergman MA. 1978. Fulminant neonatal septicemia caused by *Streptococcus bovis*. J. Pediatr. 92:282–283. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(78)80026-2.
- 4. Sekizaki T, Nishiya H, Nakajima S, Nishizono M, Kawano M, Okura M, Takamatsu D, Nishino H, Ishiji T, Osawa R. 2008. Endocarditis in chickens caused by subclinical infection of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*. Avian Dis. 52:183–186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1637/8048 -070307-Case.
- Sillanpää J, Nallapareddy SR, Singh KV, Ferraro MJ, Murray BE. 2008. Adherence characteristics of endocarditis-derived *Streptococcus gallolyticus* ssp. *gallolyticus* (*Streptococcus bovis* biotype I) isolates to host extracellular matrix proteins. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 289:104–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01378.x.
- Macneal WJ, Blevins A. 1945. Bacteriological studies in endocarditis. J. Bacteriol. 49:603–610.
- Ballet M, Gevigney G, Gare J, Delahaye F, Etienne J, Delahaye J. 1995. Infective endocarditis due to *Streptococcus bovis*. A report of 53 cases. Eur. Heart J. 16:1975–1980.
- Klein RS, Recco RA, Catalano MT, Edberg SC, Casey JI, Steigbigel NH. 1977. Association of *Streptococcus bovis* with carcinoma of the colon. N. Engl. J. Med. 297:800-802. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056 /NEJM197710132971503.
- 9. Devriese L, Uyttebroek E, Gevaert D, Vandekerckhove P, Ceyssens K. 1990. *Streptococcus bovis* infections in pigeons. Avian Pathol. **19**:429–434. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03079459008418697.
- Devriese LA, Vandamme P, Pot B, Vanrobaeys M, Kersters K, Haesebrouck F. 1998. Differentiation between *Streptococcus gallolyticus* strains of human clinical and veterinary origins and *Streptococcus bovis* strains from the intestinal tracts of ruminants. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:3520–3523.
- Sasaki E, Osawa R, Nishitani Y, Whiley RA. 2004. ARDRA and RAPD analyses of human and animal isolates of *Streptococcus gallolyticus*. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 66:1467–1470. http://dx.doi.org/10.1292/jvms.66.1467.
- Tsakalidou E, Zoidou E, Pot B, Wassill L, Ludwig W, Devriese L, Kalantzopoulos G, Schleifer K, Kersters K. 1998. Identification of streptococci from Greek Kasseri cheese and description of *Streptococcus mace*-

donicus sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 48:519-527. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1099/00207713-48-2-519.

- Randazzo CL, Vaughan EE, Caggia C. 2006. Artisanal and experimental Pecorino Siciliano cheese: microbial dynamics during manufacture assessed by culturing and PCR-DGGE analyses. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 109: 1–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jifoodmicro.2005.11.002.
- Fortin M, Messier S, Paré J, Higgins R. 2003. Identification of catalasenegative, non-beta-hemolytic, gram-positive cocci isolated from milk samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:106–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM .41.1.106-109.2003.
- Garvie EI, Bramley A. 1979. *Streptococcus bovis*—an approach to its classification and its importance as a cause of bovine mastitis. J. Appl. Microbiol. 46:557–566.
- Urwin R, Maiden MC. 2003. Multi-locus sequence typing: a tool for global epidemiology. Trends Microbiol. 11:479–487. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.tim.2003.08.006.
- Shibata Y, Tien LHT, Nomoto R, Osawa R. 2014. Development of a multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Streptococcus gallolyticus*. Microbiology 160:113–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.071605-0.
- Poyart C, Quesne G, Coulon S, Berche P, Trieu-Cuot P. 1998. Identification of streptococci to species level by sequencing the gene encoding the manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36: 41–47.
- Hinse D, Vollmer T, Erhard M, Welker M, Moore E, Kleesiek K, Dreier J. 2011. Differentiation of species of the *Streptococcus bovis/equinus* complex by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in comparison to *sodA* sequence analyses. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 34:52–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j .syapm.2010.11.010.
- 20. Rusniok C, Couvé E, Da Cunha V, El Gana R, Zidane N, Bouchier C, Poyart C, Leclercq R, Trieu-Cuot P, Glaser P. 2010. Genome sequence of *Streptococcus gallolyticus*: insights into its adaptation to the bovine rumen and its ability to cause endocarditis. J. Bacteriol. 192:2266–2276. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/JB.01659-09.
- 21. Lin I-H, Liu T-T, Teng Y-T, Wu H-L, Liu Y-M, Wu K-M, Chang C-H, Hsu M-T. 2011. Sequencing and comparative genome analysis of two pathogenic *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subspecies: genome plasticity, adaptation and virulence. PLoS One 6:e20519. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371 /journal.pone.0020519.
- Hinse D, Vollmer T, Rückert C, Blom J, Kalinowski J, Knabbe C, Dreier J. 2011. Complete genome and comparative analysis of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus*, an emerging pathogen of infective endocarditis. BMC Genomics 12:400. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471 -2164-12-400.
- Blom J, Albaum S, Doppmeier D, Pühler A, Vorhölter F-J, Zakrzewski M, Goesmann A. 2009. EDGAR: a software framework for the comparative analysis of prokaryotic genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 10:154. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-154.
- Feil EJ, Li BC, Aanensen DM, Hanage WP, Spratt BG. 2004. eBURST: inferring patterns of evolutionary descent among clusters of related bacterial genotypes from multilocus sequence typing data. J. Bacteriol. 186: 1518–1530. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.5.1518-1530.2004.
- Jolley KA, Feil E, Chan M-S, Maiden MCJ. 2001. Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). Bioinformatics 17:1230–1231. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.12.1230.
- Smith JM, Smith NH, O'Rourke M, Spratt BG. 1993. How clonal are bacteria? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90:4384–4388. http://dx.doi.org /10.1073/pnas.90.10.4384.
- Jolley KA, Maiden MJ. 2010. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. BMC Bioinformatics 11:595. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-595.
- Grundmann H, Hori S, Tanner G. 2001. Determining confidence intervals when measuring genetic diversity and the discriminatory abilities of typing methods for microorganisms. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:4190–4192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.11.4190-4192.2001.
- Simpson EH. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature 163:688. (Letter.) http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/163688a0.
- Onoyama S, Ogata R, Wada A, Saito M, Okada K, Harada T. 2009. Neonatal bacterial meningitis caused by *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus*. J. Med. Microbiol. 58:1252–1254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099 /jmm.0.006551-0.
- 31. Sturt AS, Yang L, Sandhu K, Pei Z, Cassai N, Blaser MJ. 2010. Streptococcus gallolyticus subspecies pasteurianus (biotype II/2), a newly re-

ported cause of adult meningitis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48:2247–2249. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00081-10.

- 32. Grant RJ, Whitehead TR, Orr JE. 2000. *Streptococcus bovis* meningitis in an infant. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38**:462–463.
- Alex D, Garvin D, Peters S. 2013. Streptococcus pasteurianus septicemia. Indian J. Med. Microbiol. 31:310–312. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0255 -0857.115668.
- Gonzlez-Quintela A, Martínez-Rey C, Castroagudín J, Rajo-Iglesias M, Domínguez-Santalla M. 2001. Prevalence of liver disease in patients with *Streptococcus bovis* bacteraemia. J. Infect. 42:116–119. http://dx.doi.org /10.1053/jinf.2001.0799.
- Barnett J, Ainsworth H, Boon J, Twomey D. 2008. Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus septicaemia in goslings. Vet. J. 176:251–253. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.02.011.
- 36. Li M, Gu C, Zhang W, Li S, Liu J, Qin C, Su J, Cheng G, Hu X. 2013. Isolation and characterization of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *pasteurianus* causing

meningitis in ducklings. Vet. Microbiol. 162:930-936. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.11.038.

- 37. Jones N, Bohnsack JF, Takahashi S, Oliver KA, Chan M-S, Kunst F, Glaser P, Rusniok C, Crook DW, Harding RM, Bisharat N, Spratt BG. 2003. Multilocus sequence typing system for group B streptococcus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:2530–2536. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.6.2530 -2536.2003.
- Enright MC, Spratt BG, Kalia A, Cross JH, Bessen DE. 2001. Multilocus sequence typing of *Streptococcus pyogenes* and the relationships between *emm* type and clone. Infect. Immun. 69:2416–2427. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/IAI.69.4.2416-2427.2001.
- Meinersmann RJ, Phillips RW, Wiedmann M, Berrang ME. 2004. Multilocus sequence typing of *Listeria monocytogenes* by use of hypervariable genes reveals clonal and recombination histories of three lineages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:2193–2203. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM .70.4.2193-2203.2004.