



A Review of Antibacterial Agents in Endodontic Treatment

Saeed Rahimi^a, Maryam Janani^{b*}, Mehrdad Lotfi^c, Shahriar Shahi^a, Amirala Aghbali^a, Mahdi Vahid Pakdel^d, Amin Salem Milani^a, Negin Ghasemi^b

^a Dental and Periodontal Research Center, Dental School, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran; ^b Department of Endodontics, Dental school, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran; ^c Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology and Department of Endodontics, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran; ^d Department of Prosthodontics, Dental school, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ABSTRACT

Article Type:

Review Article

Received: 04 Nov 2013

Revised: 05 Jan 2014

Accepted: 22 Jan 2014

*Corresponding author: Maryam Janani, Dep. of Endodontics, Dental school, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. Tel: +98-914 4121797
E-mail: maryam_janani@yahoo.com

Microorganisms play a major role in initiation and perpetuation of pulpal and periapical diseases. Therefore, elimination of the microorganisms present in the root canal system is the fundamental objective of endodontic treatment. The use of mechanical debridement, chemical irrigation or other antimicrobial protocols and intra-canal medicaments are critical to attain this goal. The aim of this article was to review the antimicrobial agents and their properties in endodontics.

Keywords: Antibacterial Agents; Calcium Hydroxide; Chlorhexidine; Lasers; MTAD; Ozone; Root Canal Irrigation; Root Canal Treatment; Sodium Hypochlorite

Introduction

Microorganisms are the main causes of pulpal and periapical diseases. Curing the existing “apical periodontitis” or its prevention by disinfection of the root canal system (RCS) and prevention of its re-infection are the primary goals of endodontic treatment [1-4]. Elimination of microorganisms from the infected RCS and rendering these spaces bacteria free, is hard, if not impossible. The morphology of the RCS is very complicated [5, 6] and mechanical preparation alone is not sufficient to disinfect accessory canals, anastomoses and fins [4, 7, 8]. Numerous approaches have been suggested for reducing the number of microorganisms from the root canal system, including the use of various instrumentation techniques, irrigation regiments and inter-appointment intracanal medicaments. In the literature, mechanical instrumentation alone is not considered enough for disinfection of the RCS which is not surprising considering the complex anatomy of the pulp space [9]. Besides using aseptic principles such as rubber dam isolation and precise mechanical instrumentation and considering the fact that most of the root canal filling and sealing materials have limited antimicrobial effect, root canal irrigants are the key factor in eradication of microbes from the RCS [10-13].

To increase the efficacy of mechanical preparation and bacterial removal, instrumentation must be supplemented with efficient intracanal irrigants. Irrigation is defined as washing out a body cavity or wound with water or medical fluid. Thus the objective of irrigation is both mechanical and biologic. While the former is due to flushing effect, the latter is related to the antimicrobial properties of the irrigant [14]. The ideal irrigant should be germicide and fungicide, non-toxic, nonirritating for host tissues, not interfering with tissue repair, stable in solution, have prolonged antimicrobial effect and is preferred to be relatively inexpensive [15, 16].

Agents for chemical treatment of the RCS can be divided into several phases, namely irrigants, rinses, and inter-appointment medicaments, the properties of which are being discussed in the present review, besides discussing the modern approaches in disinfection of the RCS [4].

Sodium hypochlorite

First introduced as bleaching agents, hypochlorite solutions gained wide acceptance as disinfectants by the end of the 19th century based on the controlled laboratory studies by Koch and Pasteur [17]. In World War I, Henry Drysdale Dakin (HD Dakin) and Alexis Carrel extended the use of a buffered 0.5% solution of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) to the

irrigation of infected wounds, based on Dakin's meticulous studies on the efficacy of different solutions on infected necrotic tissues [18].

Besides their wide spectrum and nonspecific killing efficacy on all microbes, hypochlorite preparations are both sporocidal and virucidal and also have much stronger dissolving effect on necrotic rather than vital tissues [19, 20]. These features prompted the use of aqueous NaOCl as the main irrigant in endodontics in early 1920s [21, 22]. Because of the complexity of irregular RCS, sufficient instrumentation may be impossible; therefore, NaOCl can improve root canal cleaning [23-25].

In the endodontic field, NaOCl shows a broad spectrum antimicrobial activity against difficult-to-eradicate microorganisms and biofilms of species such as *Enterococcus*, *Actinomyces* and *Candida*. Furthermore, NaOCl solutions are non-expensive, easily available, and have a long shelf life [26-28]. Other chlorine-releasing compounds have been advocated in endodontics, such as chloramine-T and sodium dichloroisocyanurate, which however never gained wide acceptance in endodontics, and appear to be less effective than hypochlorite at comparable concentrations [29].

There has been controversy over the most effective and meanwhile non-toxic concentration of hypochlorite solutions to be used in endodontics. As Dakin's original solution (0.5% NaOCl) was designed to treat open wounds, it was surmised that in the confined area of a RCS, higher concentrations should be used to be more efficient than Dakin's solution [21]. The antibacterial effectiveness and tissue-dissolution capacity of aqueous hypochlorite is a function of its concentration, but so is its toxicity [30, 31]. However, severe irritations have been reported when 5.25% solutions were inadvertently forced into the periapical tissues during irrigation [32]. Furthermore, a 5.25% solution significantly decreases the elastic modulus and flexural strength of human dentin compared to physiologic saline, while a 0.5% solution does not [33]. This is most likely due to the proteolytic action of fully concentrated hypochlorite on the collagen matrix of dentin. Moreover compared to the 0.5% solution, the reduction of intracanal microbiota is not any greater when 5.25% NaOCl is used [34]. From *in vitro* observations, it appears that a 1% NaOCl solution should suffice to dissolve the entire pulp tissue during an endodontic treatment session [35]. Hence, based on the currently available evidence, there is no rationale for using hypochlorite solutions at concentrations over than 1% wt/vol. The same concentration of NaOCl is also used for disinfection of gutta-percha cones [36]. At body temperature, reactive chlorine in aqueous solution can take two forms: hypochlorite (OCl) and hypochlorous acid (HOCl) in pH values above or below 7.6, respectively. Both forms are extremely reactive oxidizing agents [17]. Pure hypochlorite solutions as they are used in endodontics have a pH of 12, and thus the entire available chlorine is in the form of OCl. However, at identical levels of

available chlorine, hypochlorous acid is more bactericidal than hypochlorite [37].

One way to increase the efficacy of hypochlorite solutions could be lowering the pH, *i.e.* by buffering the solution with 1% bicarbonate [37]. It has also been surmised that such solutions would be less toxic to vital tissues than their non-buffered counterparts [38]. However, buffering hypochlorite with bicarbonate renders the solution unstable with a decrease in its shelf life to less than 1 week. Depending on the amount of the bicarbonate in the mixture and therefore the pH value, the antimicrobial efficacy of a fresh bicarbonate-buffered solution is only slightly higher if not similar, than that of a non-buffered solution [39]. Another approach to improve the effectiveness of hypochlorite irrigants in the RCS could be to increase the temperature of low-concentration NaOCl solution. This improves its immediate tissue-dissolution capacity [40]. Furthermore, heated hypochlorite solutions remove organic debris from dentin shavings more efficiently. Increasing the temperature of NaOCl by 5 degrees, doubles its activity [41].

Chlorhexidine

Chlorhexidine (CHX) is a strong basic solution and is most stable in the form of salt. The original salts were CHX acetate and hydrochloride, both of which are poorly soluble in water [42, 43]. Hence, they were replaced by CHX digluconate [44]. It has a cationic molecular component that attaches to negatively charged cell membrane and causes cell lysis. CHX is a potent antiseptic, which is used as a mouth rinse and endodontic irrigant. The later application is based on its substantivity and long-lasting antimicrobial effect which arise from its tendency to bind to hydroxyapatite [45]. Aqueous solutions of 0.1 to 0.2% concentrations are recommended for that purpose, while 2% is the concentration of root canal irrigating solutions usually found in the endodontic literature [30]. It is commonly held that CHX would be less caustic than NaOCl [30], however a 2% solution is irritating to the skin [42]. As with NaOCl, heating CHX of lesser concentrations could increase its local efficacy in the root canal system while keeping the systemic toxicity low [46]. Despite its usefulness as a final irrigant, CHX cannot be advocated as the main irrigant in standard endodontic cases, because of many issues: *i*) CHX is unable to dissolve necrotic tissue remnants [47], and *ii*) CHX is less effective on gram-negative than on gram-positive bacteria [48]. Moreover, the most important disadvantage of CHX is its inability to dissolve remnants of necrotic tissues and chemically clean the RCS [49]. In a randomized clinical trial comparing the efficiency of either 2.5% NaOCl or 0.2% CHX irrigation in reduction of intracanal microbiota, it was found that NaOCl was significantly more efficient than CHX in obtaining negative cultures [23, 50]. Schafer and Bossmann reported that 2% CHX gluconate was

significantly more effective against *E. faecalis* than calcium hydroxide (CH) used alone, or a mixture of the two [51]. This was also confirmed by Lin *et al.* [52]. Although in a study by Evans *et al.* [53] on bovine dentine, 2% CHX with CH was shown to be more effective than CH mixed with water. Waltimo *et al.* reported that 0.5% CHX-acetate was more effective in killing *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*) than saturated CH, while CH combined with CHX was more effective than CH used alone [54]. Another study evaluated the effectiveness of 2% CHX solution mixed with CH against *C. albicans* and found that a combination of the two was beneficial [55].

CHX has been used in endodontics and proposed as both an irrigant and an intracanal medicament. It is active against a wide range of microorganisms, such as gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria including *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*), yeasts and fungi. When used as an intracanal medicament, CHX is more effective than CH against *E. faecalis* infection in dentinal tubules [56-58]. In fact, the antimicrobial activity of CHX is reduced when combined with other substances, including CH and CH plus zinc oxide, among others [56, 59-61]. For endodontic purposes, CHX can be used in a liquid or in a gel presentation. Ferraz *et al.* showed that 2% CHX gel has several advantages over 2% CHX solution, in spite of having similar antimicrobial, substantivity and biocompatibility properties [62, 63].

The use of CHX gel as an intracanal medicament is recommended for a short period of time (3-5 days), particularly in those cases where the canals were fully instrumented but could not be filled due to the lack of time. It is also recommended in cases of exudation (unpublished data), as it retains its antimicrobial activity in the presence of blood and other organic matters [42].

Iodine potassium iodine

Iodide potassium iodine (IKI) is a traditional root canal disinfectant with wide-spectrum antimicrobial activity. It is used in different concentrations ranging from 2% to 5%. Iodine, as the oxidizing agent of this substance, reacts with free sulfhydryl groups of bacterial enzymes cleaving the disulfide bonds [64]. It was manifested that CH-resistant microorganisms could be eradicated with a combination of IKI and CHX [65, 66]. It shows relatively low toxicity in experiments using tissue cultures. An obvious disadvantage of iodine is a possible allergic reaction in some patients, which can be the cause for inter-appointment pain [67].

MTAD

A Mixture of Tetracycline, Acid and Detergent, labeled as Biopure MTAD (Dentsply, Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA), was introduced as an antibacterial root canal cleanser [44, 68]. This biocompatible intracanal irrigant is commercially

available as a two-component mix [69]. One of the characteristics of this solution is the high binding affinity of the doxycycline component to dentin [70]. In this irrigant, doxycycline hyclate is used instead of its free base, doxycycline monohydrate, to increase the water solubility of this broad-spectrum antibiotic [70]. MTAD has been reported to be able to remove the smear layer due to the action of citric acid [71, 72], effectively eliminate microorganisms that are resistant to conventional endodontic irrigants/medications [73] and provide sustained antimicrobial activity [73-75]. MTAD was compared with commonly used irrigants and medications. The results showed MTAD to be less cytotoxic than eugenol, 3% H₂O₂, CH paste, 5.25% NaOCl, 0.12% CHX gluconate, and 17% EDTA. MTAD is more cytotoxic than NaOCl at 2.63%, 1.31%, and 0.66% concentrations.

Tetraclean (Ogna Laboratori, Farmaceutici, Milano, Italy) is another combination product similar to MTAD. The two irrigants differ in the concentration of antibiotics (doxycycline 150 mg/5ml for MTAD and 50 mg/5ml for Tetraclean) and the type of detergent (Tween 80 for MTAD). Mohammadi *et al.* showed that the substantivity of Tetraclean was significantly higher than that of MTAD [76].

Calcium hydroxide

Calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)₂] (CH) is a white odorless powder that was originally introduced to the field of endodontics by Herman as a direct pulp-capping agent [4]. It is generally believed that the number of residual bacteria are responsible for endodontic failures [77]. It can be controlled by placing an inter-appointment medicament within the prepared canal [78-80], and CH, is the most commonly used inter-appointment dressing which at least requires a period of 7 days for efficient disinfection [81]. Antimicrobial activity of CH is related to the release of hydroxyl ions (OH⁻) in an aqueous environment which is probably due to the damage to the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane; protein denaturation; and damage to their DNA [4]. However, some microorganisms such as *E. faecalis* [82] and *C. albicans* [54] are resistant to CH. Therefore, alternative intracanal medications have been sought to improve the eradication of bacteria before obturation. CHX gluconate is shown to be effective against some CH-resistant strains [83]. Recent studies have suggested that considering this synergistic activity, CHX could be used in combination with CH to improve the antimicrobial efficacy [57]. The high pH of CH (*i.e.* 12.5) alters the biologic properties of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) present in the cell walls of gram-negative species and also inactivates the membrane transport mechanisms which has a role in killing the microorganism [84]. However, as stated above, *E. faecalis* has been reported to be resistant to this effect as a result of its ability to penetrate the dentinal tubules and adapt to changes in the environment [82].

Laser irradiation and photodynamic therapy

Recently, novel approaches in disinfection of RCS have been proposed that include the use of high-power lasers [85] as well as photodynamic therapy (PDT) [86]. High-power lasers function by dose-dependent heat generation, and apart from bacterial killing properties, if incorrect parameters are used they have the potential to cause collateral damage such as char dentine, ankylosis of the roots, cementum melting, root resorption and periradicular necrosis [87, 88]. Since the introduction of laser to endodontics in 1971, several lasers were used for eliminating the bacteria from RCS. The erbium, chromium: yttrium-scandium-gallium-garnet laser (Er, Cr: YSGG), has the highest absorption in water and high affinity to hydroxyapatite, which make it suitable for root canal therapy [89, 90]. Lasers have the ability to clean and effectively disinfect the RCS, from the highly resistant species such as *E. faecalis* [91]. The effect of neodymium: yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser (Nd: YAG) on *E. faecalis* biofilm is less than that of 1% NaOCl solution. A combination of laser and NaOCl results in complete elimination of *E. faecalis* biofilms [24].

PDT is a new antimicrobial strategy that involves the combination of a nontoxic photosensitizer (PS) and a light source [92]. The excited PS reacts with molecular oxygen to produce highly reactive oxygen species, which induces injury and death of microorganisms [93]. It has been established that PS, which has a high cationic charge, can rapidly bind and penetrate the bacterial cells and therefore, shows a high degree of selectivity for killing microorganisms compared to host mammalian cells [94]. PDT seems a promising approach in eradication of oral pathogenic bacteria [95] that can cause diseases such as periodontitis, peri-implantitis and caries [85]. When conventional endodontic therapy was followed by PDT, there was significantly more bacterial killing and less bacterial growth than endodontic therapy alone [96]. Laser energy is being considered useful in treating diseases of the RCS and periradicular regions.

Ozone

Oxygen/ozone therapy has a long history of research and clinical/therapeutic applications on humans. The first medical application was in 1870 when Lender purified blood in test tubes [11, 97, 98].

Ozone (O_3), is a triatomic molecule of oxygen with a molecular weight of 47.98 g/mol. Thermodynamically, this molecule is highly unstable and decomposes to pure oxygen (O_2) with a short half-life in particular temperature and pressure conditions [99].

In the clinical setting, an oxygen/ozone generator simulates lightning via an electrical discharge field [100]. Ozone gas has a high oxidation potential and it is 1.5 times

more effective than chloride when used as an antimicrobial agent against bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa. It also has the ability to increase blood circulation and upregulate the immune response [11].

Ozone is applied to oral tissues in the following forms: ozonated water, ozonated olive oil, and oxygen/ozone gas. Ozonated water and olive oil as the ideal delivery systems have the capacity to entrap and then release oxygen/ozone. These forms of application are used individually or in combination to treat dental disease [101]. In clinical endodontic practice, ozone has been used in a gaseous form (4.2×10^{-6} µg m⁻³ HealOzone; KaVo, Biberach, Germany) [11]. Most studies on the applications of ozone in endodontics have focused on its antimicrobial activity. Nagayoshi *et al.* found that ozonated water (0.5-4 mg/L) was highly effective in killing both gram-positive and -negative microorganisms [102]. Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Porphyromonas endodontalis* (*P. endodontalis*) and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P. gingivalis*), were substantially more sensitive to ozonated water than gram-positive oral streptococci and *C. albicans* in pure culture [67]. The antibacterial activity of gaseous ozone was shown to be greater than KTP laser and less than NaOCl [103], and ozone gas delivered into irrigating fluids in the root canal may be useful as an adjunct for endodontic disinfection [104]. Ozone inhalation can be toxic to the pulmonary system and other organs. Because of high oxidative power of ozone, all materials that come in contact with the gas must be ozone resistant, such as glass, silicon, and Teflon [11].

Ozone improves wound healing, assists in treating root surface caries and can be used against endodontic microbiota. Furthermore, it seems that ozone does not have significant adverse effect on dentin bonding. In spite of infrequency of side effects, ozone therapy may cause serious medical complications if incorrectly used. Therefore, care must be taken in handling ozone.

Conclusion

Root canal irrigants play an important role in eradication of microbes from the root canal system. To increase the efficacy of mechanical preparation and bacterial removal, instrumentation must be supplemented with active irrigating solutions, medicaments and/or new techniques.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Research Vice Chancellor of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences.

Conflict of Interest: 'None declared'.

References

- [1] Basmadjian-Charles CL, Farge P, Bourgeois DM, Lebrun T. Factors influencing the long-term results of endodontic treatment: a review of the literature. *Int Dent J*. 2002;52(2):81-6.
- [2] Shahi S, Rahimi S, Yavari HR, Shakouie S, Nezafati S, Abdolrahimi M. Sealing ability of white and gray mineral trioxide aggregate mixed with distilled water and 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate when used as root-end filling materials. *J Endod*. 2007;33(12):1429-32.
- [3] Zand V, Lotfi M, Rahimi S, Mokhtari H, Kazemi A, Sakhamanesh V. A comparative scanning electron microscopic investigation of the smear layer after the use of sodium hypochlorite gel and solution forms as root canal irrigants. *J Endod*. 2010;36(7):1234-7.
- [4] Mohammadi Z, Shalavi S. Is chlorhexidine an ideal vehicle for calcium hydroxide? A microbiologic review. *Iran Endod J*. 2012;7(3):115-22.
- [5] Rahimi S, Shahi S, Yavari HR, Reyhani MF, Ebrahimi ME, Rajabi E. A stereomicroscopy study of root apices of human maxillary central incisors and mandibular second premolars in an Iranian population. *J Oral Sci*. 2009;51(3):411-5.
- [6] Rahimi S, Shahi S, Lotfi M, Zand V, Abdolrahimi M, Es'haghi R. Root canal configuration and the prevalence of C-shaped canals in mandibular second molars in an Iranian population. *J Oral Sci*. 2008;50(1):9-13.
- [7] Davis SR, Brayton SM, Goldman M. The morphology of the prepared root canal: a study utilizing injectable silicone. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1972;34(4):642-8.
- [8] Bystrom A, Sundqvist G. Bacteriologic evaluation of the efficacy of mechanical root canal instrumentation in endodontic therapy. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1981;89(4):321-8.
- [9] Hess W. *Anatomy of root canals in the teeth of the permanent dentition*. New York: William Wood and Co; 1925. pp. 36-9.
- [10] Shahi S, Rahimi S, Yavari HR, Samiei M, Janani M, Bahari M, Abdolrahimi M, Pakdel F, Aghbali A. Effects of various mixing techniques on push-out bond strengths of white mineral trioxide aggregate. *J Endod*. 2012;38(4):501-4.
- [11] Mohammadi Z, Shalavi S, Soltani MK, Asgary S. A review of the properties and applications of ozone in endodontics: an update. *Iran Endod J*. 2013;8(2):40-3.
- [12] Rahimi S, Ghasemi N, Shahi S, Lotfi M, Froughreyhani M, Milani AS, Bahari M. Effect of blood contamination on the retention characteristics of two endodontic biomaterials in simulated furcation perforations. *J Endod*. 2013;39(5):697-700.
- [13] Abbaszadegan A, Nabavizadeh M, Hoseini Yekani A, Khayat A. Comparison of Endodontic Treatment Results Yielded from Using Normal Saline with IKI Final Rinse or NaOCl Irrigation: A 30-Month Follow-up Study. *iran Endod J*. 2013;8(4):171-6.
- [14] Cheung GS, Stock CJ. In vitro cleaning ability of root canal irrigants with and without endosonics. *Int Endod J*. 1993;26(6):334-43.
- [15] Tay FR, Pashley DH, Loushine RJ, Doyle MD, Gillespie WT, Weller RN, King NM. Ultrastructure of smear layer-covered intraradicular dentin after irrigation with BioPure MTAD. *J Endod*. 2006;32(3):218-21.
- [16] Andrabi SM, Kumar A, Kumar Tewari R, Kumar Mishra S, Iftekhar H. An In Vitro SEM Study on the Effectiveness of Smear Layer Removal of Four Different Irrigations. *iran Endod J*. 2012;7(4):171-6.
- [17] Mohammadi Z. Sodium hypochlorite in endodontics: an update review. *Int Dent J*. 2008;58(6):329-41.
- [18] Dakin HD. On the Use of Certain Antiseptic Substances in the Treatment of Infected Wounds. *Br Med J*. 1915;2(2852):318-20.
- [19] Austin JH, Taylor HD. Behavior of Hypochlorite and of Chloramine-T Solutions in Contact with Necrotic and Normal Tissues in Vivo. *J Exp Med*. 1918;27(5):627-33.
- [20] Valera MC, Silva KC, Maekawa LE, Carvalho CA, Koga-Ito CY, Camargo CH, Lima RS. Antimicrobial activity of sodium hypochlorite associated with intracanal medication for *Candida albicans* and *Enterococcus faecalis* inoculated in root canals. *J Appl Oral Sci*. 2009;17(6):555-9.
- [21] Grossman LI. Irrigation of root canals. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1943;30:1915-17.
- [22] Zehnder M, Lehnert B, Schonenberger K, Waltimo T. [Irrigants and intracanal medicaments in endodontics]. *Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed*. 2003;113(7):756-63.
- [23] Janani M, Rahimi S, Shahi S, Aghbali A, Zand V. Endodontic treatment of a hypertaurodont mandibular second molar: a case report. *Iran Endod J*. 2011;6(3):133-5.
- [24] Rahimi S, Shahi S, Gholizadeh S, Shakouie S, Rikhtegaran S, Soroush Barhaghi MH, Ghojzadeh M, Froughreyhani M, Abdolrahimi M. Bactericidal effects of Nd:YAG laser irradiation and sodium hypochlorite solution on *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2012;30(11):637-41.
- [25] Ahangari Z, Samiee M, Yolmeh MA, Eslami G. Antimicrobial activity of three root canal irrigants on *enterococcus faecalis*: an in vitro study. *iran Endod J*. 2008;3(2):33-7.
- [26] Heling I, Rotstein I, Dinur T, Szwec-Levine Y, Steinberg D. Bactericidal and cytotoxic effects of sodium hypochlorite and sodium dichloroisocyanurate solutions in vitro. *J Endod*. 2001;27(4):278-80.
- [27] Mahmoudpour A, Rahimi S, Sina M, Soroush MH, Shahi S, Asl-Aminabadi N. Isolation and identification of *Enterococcus faecalis*

- from necrotic root canals using multiplex PCR. *J Oral Sci.* 2007;49(3):221-7.
- [28] Nadalin MR, Perez DE, Vansan LP, Paschoala C, Souza-Neto MD, Saquy PC. Effectiveness of different final irrigation protocols in removing debris in flattened root canals. *Braz Dent J.* 2009;20(3):211-4.
- [29] Dychdala GR. Chlorine and chlorine compounds. In: Block SS, editor. *Disinfection, sterilization and preservation*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1991.
- [30] Spangberg L, Engstrom B, Langeland K. Biologic effects of dental materials. 3. Toxicity and antimicrobial effect of endodontic antiseptics in vitro. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1973;36(6):856-71.
- [31] Khademi A, Usefian E, Feizianfard M. Tissue dissolving ability of several endodontic irrigants on bovine pulp tissue. *iran Endod J.* 2007;2(2):65-8.
- [32] Hulsmann M, Hahn W. Complications during root canal irrigation--literature review and case reports. *Int Endod J.* 2000;33(3):186-93.
- [33] Sim TP, Knowles JC, Ng YL, Shelton J, Gulabivala K. Effect of sodium hypochlorite on mechanical properties of dentine and tooth surface strain. *Int Endod J.* 2001;34(2):120-32.
- [34] Bystrom A, Sundqvist G. The antibacterial action of sodium hypochlorite and EDTA in 60 cases of endodontic therapy. *Int Endod J.* 1985;18(1):35-40.
- [35] Sirtes G, Waltimo T, Schaetzle M, Zehnder M. The effects of temperature on sodium hypochlorite short-term stability, pulp dissolution capacity, and antimicrobial efficacy. *J Endod.* 2005;31(9):669-71.
- [36] Siqueira JF Jr, da Silva CH, Cerqueira MdD, Lopes HP, de Uzeda M. Effectiveness of four chemical solutions in eliminating *Bacillus subtilis* spores on gutta-percha cones. *Endod Dent Traumatol.* 1998;14(3):124-6.
- [37] Zehnder M, Kosicki D, Luder H, Sener B, Waltimo T. Tissue-dissolving capacity and antibacterial effect of buffered and unbuffered hypochlorite solutions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2002;94(6):756-62.
- [38] Kamburis JJ, Barker TH, Barfield RD, Eleazer PD. Removal of organic debris from bovine dentin shavings. *J Endod.* 2003;29(9):559-61.
- [39] Costigan SM. Effectiveness of Hot Hypochlorites of Low Alkalinity in Destroying *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Bacteriol.* 1936;32(1):57-63.
- [40] Abou-Rass M, Oglesby SW. The effects of temperature, concentration, and tissue type on the solvent ability of sodium hypochlorite. *J Endod.* 1981;7(8):376-7.
- [41] Cunningham WT, Balekjian AY. Effect of temperature on collagen-dissolving ability of sodium hypochlorite endodontic irrigant. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1980;49(2):175-7.
- [42] Foulkes DM. Some toxicological observations on chlorhexidine. *J Periodontal Res Suppl.* 1973;12:55-60.
- [43] Kanisavarar ZM. Chlorhexidine gluconate in endodontics: an update review. *Int Dent J.* 2008;58(5):247-57.
- [44] Jaju S, Jaju PP. Newer root canal irrigants in horizon: a review. *Int J Dent.* 2011;2011:851359.
- [45] Zamany A, Safavi K, Spangberg LS. The effect of chlorhexidine as an endodontic disinfectant. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2003;96(5):578-81.
- [46] Evanov C, Liewehr F, Buxton TB, Joyce AP. Antibacterial efficacy of calcium hydroxide and chlorhexidine gluconate irrigants at 37 degrees C and 46 degrees C. *J Endod.* 2004;30(9):653-7.
- [47] Naenni N, Thoma K, Zehnder M. Soft tissue dissolution capacity of currently used and potential endodontic irrigants. *J Endod.* 2004;30(11):785-7.
- [48] Hennessey TS. Some antibacterial properties of chlorhexidine. *J Periodontal Res Suppl.* 1973;12:61-7.
- [49] Ferreira RB, Marchesan MA, Silva-Sousa YT, Sousa-Neto M. Effectiveness of root canal debris removal using passive ultrasound irrigation with chlorhexidine digluconate or sodium hypochlorite individually or in combination as irrigants. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2008;9(5):68-75.
- [50] Ringel AM, Patterson SS, Newton CW, Miller CH, Mulhern JM. In vivo evaluation of chlorhexidine gluconate solution and sodium hypochlorite solution as root canal irrigants. *J Endod.* 1982;8(5):200-4.
- [51] Schafer E, Bossmann K. Antimicrobial efficacy of chlorhexidine and two calcium hydroxide formulations against *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Endod.* 2005;31(1):53-6.
- [52] Lin YH, Mickel AK, Chogle S. Effectiveness of selected materials against *Enterococcus faecalis*: part 3. The antibacterial effect of calcium hydroxide and chlorhexidine on *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Endod.* 2003;29(9):565-6.
- [53] Evans MD, Baumgartner JC, Khemalelakul SU, Xia T. Efficacy of calcium hydroxide: chlorhexidine paste as an intracanal medication in bovine dentin. *J Endod.* 2003;29(5):338-9.
- [54] Waltimo TM, Orstavik D, Siren EK, Haapasalo MP. In vitro susceptibility of *Candida albicans* to four disinfectants and their combinations. *Int Endod J.* 1999;32(6):421-9.
- [55] Al-Nazhan S, Al-Obaida M. Effectiveness of a 2% chlorhexidine solution mixed with calcium hydroxide against *Candida albicans*. *Aust Endod J.* 2008;34(3):133-5.

- [56] Gomes BP, Montagner F, Berber VB, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, de Almeida JF, Souza-Filho FJ. Antimicrobial action of intracanal medicaments on the external root surface. *J Dent.* 2009;37(1):76-81.
- [57] Almyroudi A, Mackenzie D, McHugh S, Saunders WP. The effectiveness of various disinfectants used as endodontic intracanal medications: an in vitro study. *J Endod.* 2002;28(3):163-7.
- [58] Basrani B, Tjaderhane L, Santos JM, Pascon E, Grad H, Lawrence HP, Friedman S. Efficacy of chlorhexidine- and calcium hydroxide-containing medicaments against *Enterococcus faecalis* in vitro. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2003;96(5):618-24.
- [59] Gomes BP, Souza SF, Ferraz CC, Teixeira FB, Zaia AA, Valdrighi L, Souza-Filho FJ. Effectiveness of 2% chlorhexidine gel and calcium hydroxide against *Enterococcus faecalis* in bovine root dentine in vitro. *Int Endod J.* 2003;36(4):267-75.
- [60] de Souza-Filho FJ, Soares Ade J, Vianna ME, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Gomes BP. Antimicrobial effect and pH of chlorhexidine gel and calcium hydroxide alone and associated with other materials. *Braz Dent J.* 2008;19(1):28-33.
- [61] Cury JA, Rocha EP, Koo H, Francisco SB, Del Bel Cury AA. Effect of saccharin on antibacterial activity of chlorhexidine gel. *Braz Dent J.* 2000;11(1):29-34.
- [62] Ferraz CC, Gomes BP, Zaia AA, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ. Comparative study of the antimicrobial efficacy of chlorhexidine gel, chlorhexidine solution and sodium hypochlorite as endodontic irrigants. *Braz Dent J.* 2007;18(4):294-8.
- [63] Ferraz CC, Gomes BP, Zaia AA, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ. In vitro assessment of the antimicrobial action and the mechanical ability of chlorhexidine gel as an endodontic irrigant. *J Endod.* 2001;27(7):452-5.
- [64] Abbaszadegan A, Khayat A, Motamedifar M. Comparison of Antimicrobial Efficacy of IKI and NaOCl Irrigants in Infected Root Canals: An In Vivo Study. *Iran Endod J.* 2010;5(3):101-6.
- [65] Baker NE, Liewehr FR, Buxton TB, Joyce AP. Antibacterial efficacy of calcium hydroxide, iodine potassium iodide, betadine, and betadine scrub with and without surfactant against *E faecalis* in vitro. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2004;98(3):359-64.
- [66] Portenier I, Haapasalo H, Orstavik D, Yamauchi M, Haapasalo M. Inactivation of the antibacterial activity of iodine potassium iodide and chlorhexidine digluconate against *Enterococcus faecalis* by dentin, dentin matrix, type-I collagen, and heat-killed microbial whole cells. *J Endod.* 2002;28(9):634-7.
- [67] Siren EK, Haapasalo MP, Waltimo TM, Orstavik D. In vitro antibacterial effect of calcium hydroxide combined with chlorhexidine or iodine potassium iodide on *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2004;112(4):326-31.
- [68] Asna Ashari M, Fayaz F, Moezzi Ghadim N, Alim Marvasti L, Mehrabi Y. Evaluation of the antimicrobial effects of MTAD, NaOCl against selected endodontic pathogens. *iran Endod J.* 2009;4(2):63-8.
- [69] Torabinejad M, Shabahang S, Bahjri K. Effect of MTAD on postoperative discomfort: a randomized clinical trial. *J Endod.* 2005;31(3):171-6.
- [70] Beltz RE, Torabinejad M, Pouresmail M. Quantitative analysis of the solubilizing action of MTAD, sodium hypochlorite, and EDTA on bovine pulp and dentin. *J Endod.* 2003;29(5):334-7.
- [71] Torabinejad M, Khademi AA, Babagoli J, Cho Y, Johnson WB, Bozhilov K, Kim J, Shabahang S. A new solution for the removal of the smear layer. *J Endod.* 2003;29(3):170-5.
- [72] Mozayeni MA, Javaheri GH, Poorroosta P, Ashari MA, Javaheri HH. Effect of 17% EDTA and MTAD on intracanal smear layer removal: a scanning electron microscopic study. *Aust Endod J.* 2009;35(1):13-7.
- [73] Shabahang S, Torabinejad M. Effect of MTAD on *Enterococcus faecalis*-contaminated root canals of extracted human teeth. *J Endod.* 2003;29(9):576-9.
- [74] Newberry BM, Shabahang S, Johnson N, Aprecio RM, Torabinejad M. The antimicrobial effect of biopure MTAD on eight strains of *Enterococcus faecalis*: an in vitro investigation. *J Endod.* 2007;33(11):1352-4.
- [75] Mohammadzadeh Akhlaghi N, Behrooz E, Saghiri MA. Efficacy of MTAD, Glyde and EDTA in debridement of curved root canals. *iran Endod J.* 2009;4(2):58-62.
- [76] Mohammadi Z, Giardino L, Palazzi F, Shalavi S, Farahani MF. Substantivity of three concentrations of tetraclean in bovine root dentin. *Chonnam Med J.* 2012;48(3):155-8.
- [77] Sjogren U, Hagglund B, Sundqvist G, Wing K. Factors affecting the long-term results of endodontic treatment. *J Endod.* 1990;16(10):498-504.
- [78] Chong BS, Pitt Ford TR. The role of intracanal medication in root canal treatment. *Int Endod J.* 1992;25(2):97-106.
- [79] Rahimi S, Shahi S, Kimyai S, Khayyam L, Abdolrahimi M. Effect of calcium hydroxide dressing on microleakage of composite restorations in endodontically treated teeth subsequent to bleaching. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2010;15(2):e413-6.
- [80] Trope M, Debelian G. Microbial control: the first stage of root canal treatment. *Gen Dent.* 2009;57(6):580-8.
- [81] Sjogren U, Figdor D, Spangberg L, Sundqvist G. The antimicrobial effect of calcium hydroxide as a short-term intracanal dressing. *Int Endod J.* 1991;24(3):119-25.

- [82] George S, Kishen A, Song KP. The role of environmental changes on monospecies biofilm formation on root canal wall by *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Endod*. 2005;31(12):867-72.
- [83] Delany GM, Patterson SS, Miller CH, Newton CW. The effect of chlorhexidine gluconate irrigation on the root canal flora of freshly extracted necrotic teeth. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1982;53(5):518-23.
- [84] Siqueira JF Jr, Lopes HP. Mechanisms of antimicrobial activity of calcium hydroxide: a critical review. *Int Endod J*. 1999;32(5):361-9.
- [85] Walsh LJ. The current status of laser applications in dentistry. *Aust Dent J*. 2003;48(3):146-55; quiz 98.
- [86] Hamblin MR, Hasan T. Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial approach to infectious disease? *Photochem Photobiol Sci*. 2004;3(5):436-50.
- [87] Mohammadi Z. Laser applications in endodontics: an update review. *Int Dent J*. 2009;59(1):35-46.
- [88] Pariookh M, Eghbal MJ, Asgary S, Ghoddusi J, Stowe S, Forghani F, Shahravan A. Effect of 808nm diode laser irradiation on root canal walls after smear layer removal: A scanning electron microscope study. *Iran Endod J*. 2007;2(2):37-42.
- [89] Yamazaki R, Goya C, Yu DG, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K. Effects of erbium,chromium:YSGG laser irradiation on root canal walls: a scanning electron microscopic and thermographic study. *J Endod*. 2001;27(1):9-12.
- [90] Yavari HR, Rahimi S, Shahi S, Lotfi M, Barhaghi MH, Fatemi A, Abdolrahimi M. Effect of Er, Cr: YSGG laser irradiation on *Enterococcus faecalis* in infected root canals. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2010;28 Suppl 1:S91-6.
- [91] Le Goff A, Dautel-Morazin A, Guigand M, Vulcain JM, Bonneure-Mallet M. An evaluation of the CO2 laser for endodontic disinfection. *J Endod*. 1999;25(2):105-8.
- [92] Demidova TN, Hamblin MR. Photodynamic therapy targeted to pathogens. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2004;17(3):245-54.
- [93] Wainwright M. Photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy (PACT). *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1998;42(1):13-28.
- [94] Maisch T, Bosl C, Szeimies RM, Lehn N, Abels C. Photodynamic effects of novel XF porphyrin derivatives on prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005;49(4):1542-52.
- [95] Wilson M. Lethal photosensitisation of oral bacteria and its potential application in the photodynamic therapy of oral infections. *Photochem Photobiol Sci*. 2004;3(5):412-8.
- [96] Garcez AS, Ribeiro MS, Tegos GP, Nunez SC, Jorge AO, Hamblin MR. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy combined with conventional endodontic treatment to eliminate root canal biofilm infection. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2007;39(1):59-66.
- [97] Stoll R, Venne L, Jablonski-Momeni A, Mutters R, Stachniss V. The disinfecting effect of ozonized oxygen in an infected root canal: an in vitro study. *Quintessence Int*. 2008;39(3):231-6.
- [98] Azarpazhooh A, Limeback H. The application of ozone in dentistry: a systematic review of literature. *J Dent*. 2008;36(2):104-16.
- [99] Bocci VA. Scientific and medical aspects of ozone therapy. *State of the art. Arch Med Res*. 2006;37(4):425-35.
- [100] Saini R. Ozone therapy in dentistry: A strategic review. *J Nat Sci Biol Med*. 2011;2(2):151-3.
- [101] Seidler V, Linetskiy I, Hubalkova H, Stankova H, Smucler R, Mazanek J. Ozone and its usage in general medicine and dentistry. A review article. *Prague Med Rep*. 2008;109(1):5-13.
- [102] Nagayoshi M, Fukuizumi T, Kitamura C, Yano J, Terashita M, Nishihara T. Efficacy of ozone on survival and permeability of oral microorganisms. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2004;19(4):240-6.
- [103] Kustarci A, Sumer Z, Altunbas D, Kosum S. Bactericidal effect of KTP laser irradiation against *Enterococcus faecalis* compared with gaseous ozone: an ex vivo study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2009;107(5):e73-9.
- [104] Case PD, Bird PS, Kahler WA, George R, Walsh LJ. Treatment of root canal biofilms of *Enterococcus faecalis* with ozone gas and passive ultrasound activation. *J Endod*. 2012;38(4):523-6.

Please cite this paper as: Rahimi S, Janani M, Lotfi M, Shahi SH, Aghbali A, Vahid Pakdel M, Salem Milani A, Ghasemi N. A Review of Antibacterial Agents in Endodontic Treatment. *Iran Endod J*. 2014;9(3):161-8.