



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nat Commun. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 08.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Commun. ; 5: 3824. doi:10.1038/ncomms4824.

The curved shape of *Caulobacter crescentus* enhances surface colonization in flow

Alexandre Persat¹, Howard A. Stone², and Zemer Gitai^{1,*}

¹Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

²Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

Abstract

Each bacterial species has a characteristic shape, but the benefits of specific morphologies remain largely unknown. To understand potential functions for cell shape, we focused on the curved bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*. Paradoxically, *C. crescentus* curvature is robustly maintained in the wild but straight mutants have no known disadvantage in standard laboratory conditions. Here we demonstrate that cell curvature enhances *C. crescentus* surface colonization in flow. Imaging the formation of microcolonies at high spatial and temporal resolution indicates that flow causes curved cells to orient such that they arc over the surface, thereby decreasing the distance between the surface and polar adhesive pili and orienting pili to face the surface. *C. crescentus* thus repurposes pilus retraction, typically used for surface motility, for surface attachment. The benefit provided by curvature is eliminated at high flow intensity, raising the possibility that diversity in curvature adapts related species for life in different flow environments.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria have evolved a wide variety of morphologies¹ but each species has a characteristic shape that is robustly maintained, indicating that specific shapes may provide bacteria with selective advantages in the wild. Much is known about the mechanisms by which bacteria acquire different shapes², but what benefits do they confer? Despite numerous hypotheses, there remains no experimentally supported understanding of the advantages of specific morphologies¹ such as the curved shape of *Caulobacter crescentus*, a common model for studying bacterial cell cycle and polarity³.

The curved morphology of *C. crescentus* requires the cytoskeletal protein crescentin (CreS), and any loss-of-function mutation in the *creS* gene results in straight rods⁴. Multiple independent natural *C. crescentus* isolates exhibit a similar “crescent” shape⁵, indicating that

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

*Correspondence to: zgitai@princeton.edu.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Author contributions

All authors helped design the research, analyze experiments and write this manuscript. A.P. performed the experiments.

cell curvature provides a selective advantage in the wild. However, in typical laboratory conditions, straight *creS* mutants maintain wild-type rates of growth and do not exhibit any obvious defect⁴. Given this paradox, we sought to identify what benefit *C. crescentus* might derive from its curved shape.

C. crescentus is commonly found in freshwater lakes and streams⁵ where surface colonization in the presence of fluid flow is a key determinant of fitness^{6, 7}. Multiple bacterial species have evolved the ability to form multicellular structures known as biofilms to robustly sustain growth in these environments. Similarly, *C. crescentus* populations grow as dense communities on surfaces in flow⁸, indicating that these cells must possess attachment mechanisms that promote local sessile colonization. To maintain surface attachment when subject to hydrodynamic forces, *C. crescentus* uses multiple adhesive structures⁸, including a strong adhesive holdfast at the tip of its polar stalk^{9, 10}, and pili and flagella that form at the opposite swarmer pole¹¹.

Given the apparent importance of surface attachment for *C. crescentus*, here we test the possibility that its curved shape plays a role in surface colonization in flow. Leveraging microfluidics to recreate the mechanical features of a natural environment that includes flow over a surface, we demonstrate that the curved shape of *C. crescentus* promotes surface colonization by enhancing the development of microcolonies that are larger and taller than those generated by straight mutants. We show that curvature improves surface colonization by bringing the piliated poles closer to the surface and orienting the pili towards the surface, thereby increasing the frequency of daughter cell attachment after division. We also demonstrate that crescent shape enhances microcolony spreading in the direction perpendicular to the flow, providing an explanation for how curvature enhances microcolony size and architecture. Finally, we provide evidence suggesting that *C. crescentus* leverages a single pilus retraction event seconds before daughter cell separation to securely attach its progeny to the surface. These findings establish a mechanistic understanding of a possible benefit of bacterial curvature and provide new insights into the selective pressures that bacteria may encounter in their natural environments.

RESULTS

Curved cells outcompete straight cells on surfaces in flow

We grew *C. crescentus* in microchannels under steady flow and probed the effect of cell shape using time-lapse imaging to compare the growth of curved wild-type (WT) and straight *creS* cells (Figure 1A). Upon growth in flow, and in contrast to growth in batch cultures, we found that curved cells have a pronounced advantage in surface colonization compared to straight cells. Specifically, in co-culture experiments with WT and *creS* mutants labeled with distinct fluorescent proteins, WT cells formed large and dense multicellular structures that we refer to as microcolonies (Figure 1B, Figure 2A-B, Supplementary Movies 1–3). WT cells formed wide, confluent microcolonies (green in Figure 1B) while *creS* mutants typically colonized the surface as isolated cells. Separately visualizing WT and *creS* on identical fluorescence intensity scales further revealed the significant advantage of WT during surface colonization (Figure 2A). Relative to the *creS* mutant, WT cells exhibited an increased rate of colonization (Figure 2B and D,

Supplementary Movie 1) and more microcolonies (in a 0.5 mm² area of the colonization surface, we detected 44 WT and 10 *creS* microcolonies after 20 h of growth). Confocal fluorescence microscopy also revealed that WT cells produced both wider and taller microcolonies (Figure 2C, Supplementary Movies 2 and 3, Supplementary Table 1). Swapping the fluorescent reporters yielded similar results (see Supplementary Fig 1 and Methods for details). In a separate control experiment without flow, there was no measurable difference in surface colonization, measured in arbitrary fluorescence units per hour, between WT ($0.40 \pm 0.01 \text{ h}^{-1}$, mean \pm s.e.m.) and *creS*. ($0.39 \pm 0.02 \text{ h}^{-1}$). In the absence of flow, neither WT nor *creS* formed clear microcolonies and the two populations co-colonized the surface uniformly at identical rates (Figure 3). We therefore attribute the surface colonization advantage of WT over *creS* to a coupling between cell shape and fluid flow.

Curvature enhances daughter attachment in flow

The dominance of curved cells in surface colonization under flow could be a result of a shorter doubling time or a higher rate of surface attachment. To distinguish between these possibilities, we compared WT and *creS* division in the presence of flow at single-cell resolution (Figure 4A, Supplementary Movie 4). We measured the average time between two daughter cell separations and detected no statistically significant difference between their doubling times as WT divided in $85 \text{ min} \pm 1 \text{ min}$ ($N = 175$), and *creS* in $84 \text{ min} \pm 1 \text{ min}$ ($N = 196$) (Student's t-test p -value = 0.55). In contrast, tracking the fate of daughter cells after division revealed a significant difference in surface attachment probabilities for curved and straight cells. After each division the stalked cell remains permanently attached to the surface, and the swarmer daughter cell, which does not initially harbor a holdfast, can either immediately reattach to the surface or be swept away by flow¹². We calculated the probability of attachment (p_{att}) as the ratio of swarmer cells that associated with the surface after division to the total number of observed divisions (Figure 4B). On average, WT was twice as likely to attach ($p_{att} = 47\%$) than *creS* (24%). Thus, the curved shape of WT *C. crescentus* enhances the rate of surface colonization in the presence of flow by increasing the proportion of swarmer cells that attach to the surface. We also measured the attachment probabilities of WT and *creS* in the absence of flow (Supplementary Table 1). For both curved and straight cells, absence of flow reduced attachment compared to growth under flow. However, we still measured a higher attachment probability for WT ($p_{att} = 30\%$) over *creS* ($p_{att} = 16\%$), despite the lack of a surface colonization advantage for WT. To reconcile the observations that in the absence of flow WT cells have improved local attachment but no surface colonization advantage, we suggest that in the closed system resulting from the absence of flow the unattached cells are free to swim away and attach to the surface at a later time, thereby eliminating any net colonization advantage. This delayed and delocalized attachment could explain why densely packed microcolonies are not observed in the absence of flow. By contrast, the presence of flow causes unattached cells to be swept away out of the system, thereby generating a net colonization advantage for those cells that can locally attach before being caught up in the flow.

How could a curved cell shape enhance local surface attachment? We visualized cell position in flow in three dimensions using deconvolution of fluorescence images (Figure

4C). Upon initial attachment, both WT and *creS* mutants appeared to stand straight up (approximately perpendicular to the channel surface, Figure 4C, left). As these cells and their stalks grew, WT and *creS* cells reoriented in the direction of flow in different manners. The curved WT cells formed an arc such that their swarmer pole was oriented towards the surface. As these cells grow in length, cell curvature causes the WT swarmer poles to bend towards the surface, such that the swarmer pole adhesins both point towards and get nearer to the surface (Figure 4C, middle). We found many cases of WT cells where both poles were simultaneously in contact with the substrate before cell separation is complete (Figure 4C, right). In contrast, as straight *creS* cells increase in length, the swarmer pole remains oriented away from the surface and constantly gets further away from the surface (Figure 4C, bottom). In these conditions, we found no cases of *creS* cells where both poles were simultaneously in contact with the surface (Figure 4C, bottom). Thus, cell shape may enhance surface attachment by spacing and orienting the cell pole relative to the surface during division.

To provide quantitative support for our model that curvature in flow yields a geometrical advantage that promotes surface attachment, we measured the distance between the swarmer pole and the surface of pre-divisional cells. We reconstructed side views of visibly dividing cells using fluorescence z-stacks as in Figure 4C and measured the distance h separating the swarmer pole from the surface that we report in Figure 4D. Consistent with our hypothesis and the qualitative results of Figure 4C, the distance between the swarmer pole and the surface was significantly shorter for curved WT cells ($h = 1.0 \mu\text{m} \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$) than for straight *creS* cells ($h = 1.8 \mu\text{m} \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}$, Student's *t*-test p -value $< 10^{-3}$). These measurements further validate the hypothesis that the curved shape of *C. crescentus* enhances surface colonization by increasing the probability that swarmer pole adhesins interact with the surface. We note that our hypothesis also explains why WT cells attach better in the absence of flow, as curvature reduces the distance between the swarmer pole and the surface even when cells are oriented perpendicular to the surface.

Orientation of an attached curved rod in flow

When attached to a surface with its holdfast, a *C. crescentus* cell experiences a hydrodynamic force acting on its body and a spring-like force generated by its holdfast. The former tends to align the cell with the direction of flow while the latter opposes changes in orientation with respect to a rest position.

To rationalize the physical mechanism by which flow enhances surface attachment of WT cells, we modeled *C. crescentus* as a curved rod to predict qualitatively its orientation as shown in Figure 5. For simplicity, we initially neglect the elastic force generated by the holdfast, and assume the cell is only free to rotate around the axis perpendicular to the surface (it remains in a vertical orientation) as in Figure 5A. Because the cell is curved, viscous forces generate a torque that rotates the cell around the vertical axis so that the cell reorients in the direction of the flow. Under these assumptions, a cell that aligns with flow is in a stable conformation when oriented downstream: viscous forces restore this orientation upon small perturbations, for example when disturbed by Brownian effects. Conversely, the upstream orientation is unstable as illustrated in Figure 5A. To summarize, flow orients the

swarmer pole towards the surface by generating a torque that rotates the cell body into a stable arced orientation.

We now relax the assumption of the cell remaining in a vertical orientation, so that its body is free to orient at an angle with the vertical axis (as in Figure 5B). Qualitatively, the stability of each conformation is similar to the discussion above: the position where the cell pole is oriented downstream is stable whereas the upstream orientation is unstable. Therefore, we predict that the cell will generally remain with its swarmer pole oriented downstream, *i.e.* towards the surface. We note that despite the cell being in a stable orientation with respect to the axis of its stalk, it is still subject to a torque generated by shear stress that tends to flip the cell in the direction of flow, similar to an ellipsoid describing a Jeffery orbit¹³. This effect is likely attenuated by an opposite torque exerted by the holdfast, wall effects, and the finite width of the cell that limits the accessible angles of orientation.

We calculated the distance from the swarmer pole to the surface for similarly oriented curved and straight cells with typical dimensions and found that the swarmer pole of a curved cell is always closer to the surface than the pole of a straight cell (Supplementary Fig. 5). The benefit in the angle of orientation of the pole to the surface scales linearly with cell length and is to the advantage of curved cells. To summarize, cell curvature in flow orients the curved cell in a stable orientation where its swarmer pole faces the surface, which allows for enhanced attachment of a daughter cell in flow.

Flow intensity modulates the advantage in surface attachment

To verify that the attachment probability of *creS* depends on the distance between their swarmer poles and the surface, we modulated this distance by controlling flow speed and measuring p_{att} . Higher flow speeds should have little effect on the arced orientation of WT cells, but should cause straight cells to grow at a shallower angle relative to the surface, thereby decreasing the distance from the swarmer pole to the surface. In support of our hypothesis, we found that increasing the flow rate had little effect on the attachment probability of WT cells, while the attachment probability of *creS* mutants increased as a function of wall shear stress (Figure 6A and Supplementary Fig. 2). At higher flow speeds (wall shear stress larger than 2 Pa), the attachment probabilities of WT and *creS* mutants are nearly the same (Figure 6A). In this regime, the swarmer poles of curved and straight cells are approximately at the same distance h from the surface (Supplementary Fig. 3). Importantly, this result suggests that the intrinsic ability of WT and *creS* to attach is similar. Consequently, we can attribute the improved WT attachment at lower shear stress to the effect of cell shape on the orientation and position of the swarmer pole, rather than secondary effects on the quality of attachment.

We speculated that flow intensity could affect attachment by orienting the stalked cells with respect to the surface. We thus measured the angle between the channel surface and the cell as a function of flow intensity and correlated these values with swarmer cell attachment probability (Figure 6B). At zero flow, both WT and *creS* cells are nearly perpendicular to the surface ($\theta \approx 90^\circ$). As predicted by our model, at intermediate flows (wall shear stress below 2 Pa), cells form a shallower angle with the surface as hydrodynamic forces overcome

the elasticity of the holdfast. Further increasing the wall shear stress pushes the cell down against the channel wall, orienting its body nearly horizontally, parallel to the surface ($\theta \approx 10^\circ$ at 4 Pa). Furthermore, these measurements of orientation further support our model that attachment probability increases when the distance from the swarmer pole to the surface h decreases. We calculated the distance h by projecting the cell body using typical cell dimensions (see Methods section). Supplementary Fig. 4 shows the negative correlation between p_{att} and the distance h for both WT and *creS*. To further validate our model, we determined the shear stress at which WT and *creS* would be predicted to have identical attachment probabilities based on the distance of their pole to the surface (see Supplementary Discussion). From our measurement of attachment probabilities and orientation, we predict that the two strains should have identical attachment probabilities when they are parallel to the surface ($\theta \approx 0^\circ$). By balancing the torque generated by the elasticity of the holdfast¹⁴ with the torque generated by hydrodynamic forces, we predict that WT and *creS* would have similar attachment probabilities for a wall shear stress on the order of 10 Pa. This value is consistent with our experimental measurements of the shear stress where the attachment probabilities for WT and *creS* converged to the same value.

Curvature spreads daughter cells transversely to the flow

Curvature enhances expansion of WT microcolonies transverse to the direction of flow (Figure 1B and 2B) so that they grow into strikingly wider structures than straight cells. To test if this is a consequence of faster microcolony growth or a feature of cell shape, we quantified the positions at which WT and *creS* swarmer cells attach to the surface after separation relative to their mother. With respect to the flow axis, we measured the axial and transverse distances between the stalked pole of each mother cell and the newly attached pole of its daughters (Figure 7A). Most *creS* cells attach immediately downstream of their mother, as indicated by a narrow probability density. Conversely, WT cells spread significantly further away from the mother cell in the transverse direction. We used the standard deviation of the transverse (vertical) coordinates of daughter cells to measure the extent of their dispersal. The daughter cell distribution for WT spreads 2.8 μm transverse to the direction of the flow, compared to 1.6 μm for the *creS* mutant. This enhanced transverse spreading propagates with each division event, causing a single curved mother cell to produce a far broader microcolony than produced by a straight cell (Figure 7B). This likely leads to a broader and denser cell monolayer, allowing for development of a more robust three-dimensional biofilm architecture.

We identified several features of curvature that could promote dispersal transverse to the flow. In flow, newly divided curved daughter cells reorient towards an equilibrium position (Supplementa Fig. 7A and B), where hydrodynamic forces and torques on the cell body are balanced by those exerted by the holdfast. For a curved cell, any rotation about the axis away from its equilibrium position displaces the swarmer pole in a direction transverse to the flow (Figure 7C), whereas the swarmer pole of a straight cell remains in place. Therefore, any factors causing cell body rotation such as Brownian motion, local crowding, or flagellar rotation, would cause transverse spreading of curved cells. These factors would also cause straight cells to rotate about their axis, but such rotation would leave the cell pole in place and thus not increase transverse dispersal. Thus, curved cell shape can rationalize

both the increased local attachment and the increased microcolony formation observed for WT cells.

Type IV pili mediates swarmer pole attachment

Our results indicate that the combination of flow and cell curvature causes the swarmer cell pole to orient towards the surface, driving surface colonization. We hypothesized that orienting the swarmer pole towards the surface improves the ability of swarmer pole structures, flagella or type IV pili, to interact with the surface. We thus measured surface attachment probabilities for mutants lacking flagella (*flgE*) or type IV pili (*pilA*). While the flagellum-less cells remained efficient at attaching, the loss of pili eliminated the majority of attachment events for both WT and *creS* (Supplementary Table 2).

Further support for the role of swarmer-localized pili in surface attachment came from high-speed imaging of division. A few seconds before cell separation, WT swarmer poles often exhibited a sudden jerk of motion (Figure 8A and Supplementary Movie 6) similar to retraction events driven by pilus depolymerization¹⁵. We still observed these retraction-like events in mutants lacking flagella (clear retractions were observed in 15 out of 27 observed attachment events), but not in mutants lacking pili (0 out of 14 observed events). Type IV pili are more generally associated with twitching-based surface motility¹⁶, but *C. crescentus* does not twitch¹⁷. We propose that *C. crescentus* has re-purposed pili as retractable adhesins for surface attachment, consistent with previous work suggesting that *C. crescentus* pili retract only once per cell cycle near the time of division^{18, 19}. These studies of *C. crescentus* pilus development suggested that pili become functional after division. However, the low temporal resolution and assay methods of those studies made it impossible to distinguish whether pili become functional shortly before or after division. Our high-resolution time-lapse visualization sheds light on this question and indicates that *C. crescentus* pili can retract before the two daughter cells separate.

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest a model for *C. crescentus* attachment in flow, which we illustrate in Figure 8B. Initially, a curved *C. crescentus* cell irreversibly attaches to a surface with its holdfast. Fluid flow tends to orient the cell in the direction of flow, with the swarmer pole facing the surface. In this configuration, as the cell grows in length, curvature allows for a reduction of the distance between the surface and the swarmer pole. As it initiates division, the daughter cell synthesizes a flagellum (omitted in Figure 8B) and type IV pili at the swarmer pole. Through optimized orientation and reduced distance, curvature increases the probability for a pilus to encounter the surface. If pilus attachment is successful, pilus retraction allows for contact of the swarmer pole to the surface. The newly-attached swarmer cell then fully separates from the stalked mother cell and can reorient while initiating division. Small perturbations in orientation increase dispersal in the transverse direction, allowing for the development of wider multicellular structures.

In the absence of flow, curved cells lose their fitness advantage over straight cells as both populations uniformly colonize the surface at identical rates. In these conditions, WT daughter cells still maintain a higher probability of local attachment after division than *creS*.

However, cells that do not attach at the time of division are not transported by flow. As a result, these planktonic cells will still attach to the surface at a later time. Given that WT and *creS* have identical doubling times, growth rates remain equal in this closed system and therefore WT does not display a growth advantage, just as in a test tube. Consequently, shape is critical to the local attachment probability of newborn daughter cells, which specifically provides a surface colonization advantage in the presence of flow.

Unlike other piliated bacteria that use successive rounds of pilus extensions and retractions as a surface motility mechanism¹⁶, *C. crescentus* pili retract only once per cell cycle at the time of division¹⁸. The timing of retraction (before cell separation) demonstrates the importance of the rapid attachment of daughter cells to the establishment of a surface associated community. Upon contact, the transiently-attached daughter cell disables flagellum rotation and initiates irreversible surface attachment by synthesizing a holdfast⁹. If pilus attachment is not successful, flow disperses the swarmer cell, which can immediately use its flagellum to swim in the bulk fluid to another environment as illustrated in Figure 9.

These findings demonstrate that a specific cell shape (curvature) can be beneficial in a specific environment (on surfaces with moderate flow). The curvature of *C. crescentus* causes cells to arc towards the surface in a flow field, thereby orienting and positioning its pili before retraction. The curved cell geometry also improves dispersal across flow, increasing microcolony size and surface coverage. We note that the distance between the stalked and the swarmer pole is shorter for a curved cell, potentially generating a more compact arrangement of attached cells compared to straight cells. When a microcolony reaches a critical density and size as a monolayer, newly divided cells can attach on top of neighboring cells, thus generating multiple layers and tall colonies. Multiple layers build up more slowly and less robustly from the narrower and less dense *creS* microcolonies, which leads to reduced microcolony height compared to WT.

Leveraging its shape and using multiple organelles for attachment and swimming, *C. crescentus* has evolved ways to balance sessile and planktonic lifestyles. Curvature, pili and the holdfast promote attachment and local development of multicellular structures, enabling robust colonization of nutrient rich surfaces. Conversely, the flagellum favors dispersal via swimming, enabling exploration and colonization of distant environments. We speculate that *C. crescentus* could actively regulate the extent to which its daughter cells colonize a local environment or disperse to new environments. One mechanism for regulating surface attachment would be to increase the distance between the surface and the swarmer pole by lengthening the stalk. This mechanism could explain why *C. crescentus* cells dramatically increase their stalk length when starved for phosphate²⁰, thereby promoting cell dispersal upon nutrient stress. Our findings suggest that modulating the extent of cell curvature could also provide a mechanism to regulate the extent of daughter cell attachment or dispersal.

This hypothesis raises the possibility that *C. crescentus* could control the extent of dispersal by linking its metabolic state with curvature. We therefore suggest that cells could actively modulate curvature upon metabolic perturbations such as nutrient state. For example, *Caulobacter* could increase the fraction of cells that locally attach when conditions are locally favorable by increasing the extent of curvature, or could increase the fraction of cells

that disperse into the flow in times of stress by reducing the extent of curvature. One potential mechanism for coupling metabolic state to cell curvature is suggested by our laboratory's previous finding that polymerization of the metabolic enzyme CTP synthetase reduces cell curvature in *C. crescentus*²¹. Investigation of the connection between the physiological state of *C. crescentus*, its curvature, CtpS assembly, and cell dispersal will be a future extension of our work. We also note the alternative hypothesis that *Caulobacter* may have evolved a fixed curvature as part of a hard-wired bet-hedging strategy that maintains a constant attachment probability.

C. crescentus maintains its curved shape using CreS, an intermediate filament-like protein that does not have homologs in bacteria outside the *Caulobacter* genus. This suggests that other curved bacteria evolved their curved shape independently. We also note that *C. crescentus* is atypical of other curved bacteria as it also possesses a polar stalk with a holdfast and divides asymmetrically. Consequently, the selective pressures that maintain cell curvature in other bacteria may be distinct from *C. crescentus*. For example, the pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* maintains its curvature (more precisely, its helical shape) in part by the action of several peptidoglycan remodeling proteins²². Moreover, *H. pylori* is found in the gut within a dense microbiota where flow is most likely negligible, and initial evidence shows that loss of curved shape affects its motility in gels²². Thus, it remains to be determined if the benefit of curvature for surface colonization in flow for *C. crescentus* also benefits other bacteria with similar shapes. There are evolutionary implications to our finding that curved *C. crescentus* only exhibits a net surface colonization advantage in the moderate flow environments. Consistent with the hypothesis that curvature specifically provides a selective advantage in moderate flow environments, *C. crescentus* is a freshwater species found in ponds, lakes and streams. In these environments, it encounters a wide range of shear stresses that likely include niches with moderate shear stresses. For example, we anticipate that wall shear stress is negligible at the bed of a pond where fluid is nearly quiescent or within confined spaces such as small cracks or divots. In contrast, wall shear stress can reach values larger than 1 Pa on a meter-scale riverbed with currents generating a fluid velocity on the order of 1 m.s⁻¹ (ref. 23). As a means of comparison, we measured flow-dependent changes in the fitness advantage of curved *C. crescentus* within this naturally occurring range of surface shear stresses (0 to ~1 Pa).

While *C. crescentus* and its closely related species *Caulobacter maris* and *Maricaulis maris* all harbor a polar stalk capped with a holdfast, *C. crescentus* is curved while *C. maris* and *M. maris* have straight rod morphologies²⁴. Both of these straight species are found in marine environments²⁴ and are obligate aerobes so they most likely develop as communities attached to particles carried by flow near the ocean's surface, where oxygen concentration is sufficient to sustain their growth. There, they likely encounter a different hydrodynamic environment with permanently high shear stress generated by unsteady turbulent flow, possibly reducing any advantage of curvature. Future studies will help establish if the difference in shear stresses encountered in the natural environments of these related species indeed underlies the differences in their cell shapes.

The lack of a clear benefit for curvature in standard laboratory growth conditions highlights the importance of studying bacteria in environments that better reflect their natural habitats.

Identifying the conditions in which the shapes of other bacterial species are advantageous may improve our understanding of bacterial ecology in addition to our understanding of the functions of individual cell shapes. Future engineering efforts will replicate ever more realistic growth environments, enabling us to decipher the selective benefits of specific cellular features as well as the functions of the vast number of genes that are maintained in bacterial genomes yet are fully dispensable in standard laboratory growth conditions^{25, 26}.

METHODS

Growth and media

We used the freshwater isolate *C. crescentus* CB15 as parental strain²⁷. Cells were grown at 30°C in peptone-yeast extract (PYE) medium, supplemented with 5 µg/mL kanamycin if necessary. For selection of mutants and strains with constitutive expression of fluorescent proteins, we used PYE agar plates supplemented with 25 µg/mL kanamycin or 3% sucrose. We grew *E. coli* cells used to construct plasmids in liquid and agar LB plates of supplemented with respectively 30 µg/mL and 50 µg/mL kanamycin.

Strains and plasmids

We list strains and plasmid used in this work in Supplementary Table 2 and primers in Supplementary Table 3. We generated in-frame deletions of *pilA* and *flgE* genes by using the plasmid pNPTS138. In brief, we amplified 500 bp regions upstream and downstream the gene of interest. We assembled each pair of products by sewing PCR, digested, inserted the product into pNTPS138, and finally transformed into *E. coli* S17 electrocompetent cells. We then screened single colonies for correct insertion, which were then used as plasmid source for transformation in CB15. Briefly, we prepared electrocompetent *C. crescentus* cells by pelleting 3 mL of overnight cultures of CB15 WT in PYE, washed them three times with 1 mL of ice-cold water and transformed with ~ 200 ng of plasmid before plating on PYE kanamycin plates. After about 48 h of incubation, we inoculated single colonies in plain PYE allowing for recombination and streaked for single colonies on sucrose plates. We finally screened for correct recombination by PCR and confirmed deletion by sequencing.

To generate fluorescently labeled WT and *creS* strains, we fused mKate2 (red) and Venus (yellow) fluorescent proteins to a modified *lac* promoter for constitutive expression²⁸. We ligated this insert obtained by sewing PCR in the integrating plasmid pXGFPC-2 digested with EcoRI and XmaI²⁹. We transformed the construct into *E. coli* XL1 blue, purified plasmids with correct insertion and finally transformed into WT and *creS* CB15 as described above (without sucrose counter-selection) before selection on kanamycin. The resulting strains are constitutively expressing fluorescent proteins under *lac* promoter control integrated in the CB15 and CB15 *creS* chromosomes.

Microfabrication of flow chambers

We fabricated our flow chambers using standard soft lithography³⁰. Briefly, we fabricated molds by spinning single or double layers of photoresist (SU8 2010 and 2025, Microchem) on 3-inch silicon wafers. These were then exposed to UV light through a high-resolution photomask defining the microchannels and developed. After coating the resulting mold with

a vapor of chlorotrimethylsilyl (Sigma-Aldrich), we poured PDMS (sylgard 184, Dow Corning) on the wafer and baked the polymer at 75°C on a hot plate for about 2h. We then peeled off the cured PDMS slab, cut out individual chips and punched 1 mm access ports to the channel ends. We finally plasma-bonded each PDMS chip to a #1 glass coverslip.

C. *creS* growth in flow chambers

We grew all cells to mid-log phase at 30°C in plain PYE before injection in the flow chamber. For competition experiments between WT and *creS* mutant, we mixed equal volumes of cell suspensions at identical density and used both combinations of fluorescent proteins in parallel (WT-Venus competing against *creS*-mKate and inversely). We then injected the cell mixture in the microchannel by pipetting via the access holes. We controlled the initial density of sessile cells by varying the cell concentration of the suspension or the incubation time in the channel. For growth experiments and observation of microcolony formation, we immediately washed the channels with PYE after introduction of the cell mixture, thus keeping an initially low cell density. This allowed for visualization of single isolated colonies during long-term experiments. For single cell division time and attachment experiments, we incubated the cell suspension for 5 min in the channel to reach higher density, which allowed for measurement of division times and attachment events from a large number of cells. For all competition experiments, we used the two swapped combinations of fluorescent proteins for WT and *creS* to avoid any bias. For clarity, we present all fluorescent images in false color (red for *creS* and green for WT). We performed all growth in microchannels at room temperature.

We then connected the inlet to a disposable sterile syringe filled with media and the outlet to a waste container via 1.09 mm outer diameter PEEK tubing and 27G sterile needles. We then mounted the chamber on the microscope stage. We generated flow with a syringe pump, and controlled flowrate (2.5 to 25 $\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) and used various channel dimensions (100 μm to 600 μm wide and 25 μm to 100 μm deep) in order to generate a wide range of flow speeds in the chamber. To describe the intensity of flow at the vicinity of the wall, we calculated wall shear stress σ from applied flow rate Q using the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{6Q\mu}{wh^2},$$

where w and h are respectively the channel width and depth and μ the viscosity of water. We performed most flow experiments at 0.23 Pa.

We performed control experiments without flow in a glass bottom dish (Fluorodish, World Precision Instruments). We applied cells grown to mid-log phase to the glass dish and allowed for attachment for 5 min. We then rinsed the dish multiple times with 3 mL of plain PYE before starting time-lapse microscopy.

Fluorescence microscopy

We imaged cells growing in a microchannel on an inverted Nikon TiE microscope, equipped with 40x 0.95 N.A. Plan Apo and 100x 1.4 N.A. Plan Apo objectives. We acquired images

using an Andor Ixon3 EMCCD camera for z-stacks and Clara CCD camera for all other experiments. We controlled the microscope and image acquisition using Nikon NIS Elements software. To measure colony growth, we focused on single cells with 40x magnification and acquired images every 20 or 30 min for 20 h to 48 h. To measure single cell division and attachment events, we acquired images at 100x magnification every 5 min for 14h. We centered all images at the channel centerline. To visualize pilus retraction events, we imaged WT by phase contrast at 6 to 12 frames per minute.

We obtained confocal images at the Princeton Confocal Facility on a Perkin Elmer spinning disk confocal equipped with solid state 488 nm and 560 nm laser illumination.

Image analysis

We analyzed microscopy images using Matlab (the Mathworks). To generate spatial temporal diagrams and growth curves (Figure 2B and D), we used a time-lapse image of a developing colony starting from a single cell. We subtracted background from each frame, and integrated intensity over the whole frame or used the average pixel intensity in the direction of flow. We then normalized these data by the intensity of the single initial cell. To build the histogram of microcolony area (Figure 7B), we generated a mask from fluorescence images by setting a threshold on intensity, and counted features larger than 100 μm^2 (~ 50 cells).

To measure doubling time, we measured the number of frames between two divisions of a surface associated cell from our 100x high-resolution images. With the same data, we visually determined whether the daughter cell was attaching after separation (Figure 42B). We also manually recorded the position of the attached daughter cell pole relative to the mother cell stalked pole for Figure 6A.

We obtained side views of cells (Figure 4C) by 3D-deconvolution of z-stacks (Autoquant module, MediaCybernetics) with slices spaced by 100 nm increments and orthogonal views were generated using imageJ. Confocal images were reconstructed into volume images and converted into Movies using NIS Elements (Nikon). We measured the distance h between the swarmer pole and the surface by analyzing similar z-stacks (Figure 4D and Supplementary Fig. 3): we first cropped z-stacks from single pre-divisional cells by selecting the ones that displayed a clear septum on fluorescence images, we then generated orthogonal views of these cells from which we could measure the distance from the pole to the surface (detected by labeling the stalks with wheat germ agglutinin – alexa fluor 488 conjugate, Molecular Probes). We similarly measured cell orientation angles (Figure 6B) by generating orthogonal views, without selecting for pre-divisional cells. For both *creS*, we measured the angle of the centerline of the cell body. For WT we used the stalk and the base of the cell body as a guide for the orientation angle.

To further assess the correlation between swarmer pole distance to the surface and attachment probability (Supplementary Fig. 4), we calculated the distance h for a wide range of shear stresses using measurements of cell body orientation. We projected cells of typical dimension on the axis perpendicular to the surface to obtain respectively for *creS* and WT:

$$h_{creS} = (L_{stalk} + L) \sin \theta$$

$$h_{WT} = L_{stalk} \sin \theta + 2R \sin \left(\frac{L}{2R} \right) \sin \left(\theta - \frac{L}{2R} \right)$$

where the length of the stalk L_{stalk} is 0.5 μm , the length of the cell body L is 3 μm , the radius of curvature R is 3.5 μm . There is a critical angle below which the prediction for h_{WT} is negative, *i.e.* when the pole of the curved cell is in contact with the surface. In this range, we assume the cell is laying flat against the surface, so we use a typical cell half width (0.3 μm) as approximate value for h_{WT} .

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Eric Klein and Bonnie Bassler for discussions and advice, and to Gary Laevsky from the Princeton Confocal Microscopy facility for assistance. This research was funded by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through Grant GBMF 2550.02 to the Life Sciences Research Foundation (A.P., <http://www.lsrp.org/>), the NSF Grant CBET-1234500 (H.A.S.) and the NIH Director's New Investigator Innovator Award 1DP2OD004389 (Z.G.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Young KD. The selective value of bacterial shape. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2006; 70:660–703. [PubMed: 16959965]
2. Typas A, Banzhaf M, Gross CA, Vollmer W. From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2012; 10:123–136. [PubMed: 22203377]
3. Skerker JM, Laub MT. Cell-cycle progression and the generation of asymmetry in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* 2004; 2:325–337. [PubMed: 15031731]
4. Ausmees N, Kuhn JR, Jacobs-Wagner C. The bacterial cytoskeleton: an intermediate filament-like function in cell shape. *Cell.* 2003; 115:705–713. [PubMed: 14675535]
5. Poindexter JS. Biological properties and classification of the *Caulobacter* group. *Bacteriol. Rev.* 1964; 28:231–295. [PubMed: 14220656]
6. Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Lappin-Scott HM. Microbial biofilms. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 1995; 49:711–745. [PubMed: 8561477]
7. O'Toole G, Kaplan HB, Kolter R. Biofilm formation as microbial development. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2000; 54:49–79. [PubMed: 11018124]
8. Entcheva-Dimitrov P, Spormann AM. Dynamics and control of biofilms of the oligotrophic bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol.* 2004; 186:8254–8266. [PubMed: 15576774]
9. Li G, et al. Surface contact stimulates the just-in-time deployment of bacterial adhesins. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2012; 83:41–51. [PubMed: 22053824]
10. Tsang PH, Li G, Brun YV, Freund LB, Tang JX. Adhesion of single bacterial cells in the micronewton range. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 2006; 103:5764–5768. [PubMed: 16585522]
11. Shapiro L. Differentiation in the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 1976; 30:377–407. [PubMed: 185940]
12. Siegal-Gaskins D, Crosson S. Tightly regulated and heritable division control in single bacterial cells. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 95:2063–2072. [PubMed: 18469083]
13. Jeffery GB. The motion of ellipsoidal particles in a viscous fluid. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series a-Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character.* 1922; 102:161–179.

14. Li G, Smith CS, Brun YV, Tang JX. The elastic properties of the *Caulobacter crescentus* adhesive holdfast are dependent on oligomers of N-acetylglucosamine. *J Bacteriol.* 2005; 187:257–265. [PubMed: 15601710]
15. Skerker JM, Berg HC. Direct observation of extension and retraction of type IV pili. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2001; 98:6901–6904. [PubMed: 11381130]
16. Mattick JS. Type IV pili and twitching motility. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2002; 56:289–314. [PubMed: 12142488]
17. Bodenmiller D, Toh E, Brun YV. Development of surface adhesion in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2004; 186:1438–1447. [PubMed: 14973013]
18. Sommer JM, Newton A. Sequential regulation of developmental events during polar morphogenesis in *Caulobacter crescentus*: assembly of pili on swarmer cells requires cell separation. *J. Bacteriol.* 1988; 170:409–415. [PubMed: 2891681]
19. Skerker JM, Shapiro L. Identification and cell cycle control of a novel pilus system in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *EMBO J.* 2000; 19:3223–3234. [PubMed: 10880436]
20. Gonin M, Quardokus EM, O'Donnol D, Maddock J, Brun YV. Regulation of stalk elongation by phosphate in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol.* 2000; 182:337–347. [PubMed: 10629178]
21. Ingerson-Mahar M, Briegel A, Werner JN, Jensen GJ, Gitai Z. The metabolic enzyme CTP synthase forms cytoskeletal filaments. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010; 12:739–746. [PubMed: 20639870]
22. Syuro LK, et al. Multiple peptidoglycan podification networks modulate *Helicobacter pylori*'s cell shape, motility, and colonization potential. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012; 8:e1002603. [PubMed: 22457625]
23. Einsele, G. *Sedimentary Basins: Evolution, Facies, and Sediment Budget.* 2nd, completely rev. and enl. edn. Springer; 2000.
24. Boone, DR.; Castenholz, RW.; Garrity, GM. *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.* 2nd edn. Springer; 2001.
25. Hutchison CA, et al. Global Transposon Mutagenesis and a Minimal Mycoplasma Genome. *Science.* 1999; 286:2165–2169. [PubMed: 10591650]
26. Christen B, et al. The essential genome of a bacterium. *Mol Syst Biol.* 2011; 7:528. [PubMed: 21878915]
27. Nierman WC, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2001; 98:4136–4141. [PubMed: 11259647]
28. Lambertsen L, Sternberg C, Molin S. Mini-Tn7 transposons for site-specific tagging of bacteria with fluorescent proteins. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2004; 6:726–732. [PubMed: 15186351]
29. Thanbichler M, Iniesta AA, Shapiro L. A comprehensive set of plasmids for vanillate and xylose-inducible gene expression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007; 35:e137. [PubMed: 17959646]
30. Duffy DC, McDonald JC, Schueller OJ, Whitesides GM. Rapid prototyping of microfluidic systems in poly(dimethylsiloxane). *Anal. Chem.* 1998; 70:4974–4984. [PubMed: 21644679]




Figure 1. *C. crescentus* surface colonization in flow

(A) Schematic of the competition experiments where curved (WT) and straight (*creS*) *C. crescentus* are initially attached to the microchannel surface. The channel depth is much larger than the dimensions of cells so that we can assume the top of the channel does not affect attachment. We then apply pressure driven flow and observe microcolony formation or single cell growth and division. (B) Overlay of phase and fluorescence images of a competition between WT CB15 (green) and straight *creS* (red) cells after 32 h of growth under flow at the channel centerline.




Figure 2. *C. crescentus* curvature enhances surface colonization

(A) Grayscale fluorescence images of comparing WT (top) and *creS* (bottom) surface coverage after 20 h. (B) Spatial temporal plot of average fluorescence in the flow direction starting from a single WT (top) and *creS* (bottom) cell. The insets show a snapshot of the colony at 24 h. The × signs at time zero indicate the position of the single cell that generated the colony. (C) Side (depth) view of adjacent WT and *creS* microcolonies from confocal images after 24h of growth. (D) Total fluorescent intensity as a function of time for the colonies shown in (B). In all panels, we applied a flow generating a wall shear stress of 0.23 Pa at the channel centerline. Scale bars are 10 μm .




Figure 3. Co-culture of WT and *creS* mutant in the absence of flow

We show fluorescence images of WT (left, green), *creS* (middle, red) and their overlay (right) after 20 h. Curved and straight cells colonize the surface without forming the clear microcolonies observed in flow conditions. The measured rates of surface colonization show that WT does not have any significant growth advantage in the absence of flow.




Figure 4. Effect of cell curvature on swarmer cell attachment

(A) Fluorescence images of WT and *creS* cells dividing in flow. The top images show a WT swarmer initially attached to its mother (white arrowhead) which then separates and attaches to the surface 10 min later. The bottom images show a similar sequence for a *creS* swarmer (at 50 and 65 min). (B) Daughter cell attachment probabilities p_{att} in four separate experiments (two for each fluorescent protein combination; sample sizes are provided in Supplementary Table 1). About one out of two WT daughter cells attach to the surface immediately after division while only one out of four does so for *creS*. In the same experiments, we measured the time between two separations of daughter cell from a mother cell and measured statistically identical doubling times for WT ($85 \text{ min} \pm 1 \text{ min}$, mean \pm s.e.m., $N = 175$) and *creS* ($84 \text{ min} \pm 1 \text{ min}$, $N = 196$). (C) Cross sectional views of WT and *creS* growing in flow at different stages of the cell cycle with sketches of the basic shapes below. These reconstructions show distinct cells found at different stages of the life cycle. (D) Distance h from the surface to the swarmer pole of pre-divisional cells growing in flow. In these flow conditions, WT cells are in average $1 \mu\text{m}$ away from the surface while *creS* grow at about $2 \mu\text{m}$. The error bars show the 95% confidence intervals. In all panels, the applied flow generates a wall shear stress of 0.23 Pa .




Figure 5. Orientation of an attached curved-rod in flow

In (A), a vertical cell (attached via a holdfast and a stalk oriented vertically) experiences a torque generated by off-axis viscous forces applied on the curved body. The curved rod rotates about its vertical axis to reach a stable position, where the free pole points downstream. Upstream orientation is an unstable conformation as small perturbations generate a torque spinning the cell away from its initial position (top view). (B) A curved rod lying at an angle experiences similar torques, now generated by the velocity component perpendicular to the rotation axis. Similarly, the unique stable orientation is when the cell faces the surface.




Figure 6. Flow intensity modulates the attachment advantage by orienting cells

(A) Effect of increase in wall shear stress on the relative attachment probabilities (p_{att}) of WT and *creS* daughter cells. (B) Cell body orientation as a function of wall shear stress. At zero flow, both WT (gray bars) and *creS* (black bars) are standing up on the surface ($\theta = 90^\circ$). At low flow, both WT and *creS* orient toward the surface. They lay nearly parallel to the surface at wall shear stress above 4 Pa. The error bars show the 95% confidence intervals ($N > 50$).




Figure 7. Cell curvature enhances dispersal across flow to form larger microcolonies

(A) Probability density of attachment locations of daughter cells relative to the stalked pole of their mother. The inset shows the location of a swarmer daughter (a) relative to the stalked pole of its mother cell (b). (B) Histogram of area of contiguous cells from fluorescence in a 0.5 mm^2 area for WT and *creS* microcolonies. The mean WT colony size is $26 \mu\text{m}^2$ for WT and $17 \mu\text{m}^2$ for *creS*. (C) Side and top views of curved and straight rods in equilibrium position, aligned with flow and facing the surface, and of a curved rod aligned with flow slightly rotated about its axis (grey line). The slight rotation of the curved rod displaces the swarmer pole sideways, transverse to the direction of the flow. Therefore, any rotation of the cell axis disperses daughter cells transversally. Conversely, a straight cell is axisymmetric, so that any rotation about its axis leaves the swarmer pole in place.




Figure 8. Pilus retraction helps daughter cells attach to the surface before separation

(A) Phase contrast images of a separating swarmer cell. Initially the dividing cell is attached. At 10 s, the swarmer pole rapidly moves towards the surface. The cell maintains this conformation before fully separating (160 s). (B) Model for the effect of cell shape on attachment during division. As an initially attached stalked cell grows in length, flow and cell curvature cause the cell to arc, orienting the piliated pole towards the surface and bringing it closer to the surface. This geometry increases the probability that pili will bind the surface, causing the swarmer daughter to remain surface-attached after division rather than being swept away by the flow.




Figure 9. Model illustrating the fate of daughter cells after division from an attached mother cell
 After division, the daughter cell will either remain attached to the surface and live a sessile lifestyle (with probability p_{att}), or be released in the bulk of the fluid (with probability $1-p_{att}$). Dividing swimmers increase their chance of successful attachment by sticking a pilus on the surface followed by retraction before separation. Failure to stick a pilus leads to a release of the swimmer daughter in the bulk, where it is free to swim or be carried by flow.