

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.8957 World J Gastroenterol 2014 July 21; 20(27): 8957-8963 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

## WJG 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Special Issues (6): *Helicobacter pylori*

# *Helicobacter pylori* and functional dyspepsia: An unsolved issue?

Angelo Zullo, Cesare Hassan, Vincenzo De Francesco, Alessandro Repici, Raffaele Manta, Silverio Tomao, Bruno Annibale, Dino Vaira

Angelo Zullo, Cesare Hassan, Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy, "Nuovo Regina Margherita" Hospital, 00153 Rome, Italy

Vincenzo De Francesco, Gastroenterology Unit, "Riuniti" Hospital, 24128 Foggia, Italy

Alessandro Repici, Digestive Endoscopy Unit, "IRCCS Istituto Clinico Humanitas", 20089 Milan, Italy

Raffaele Manta, Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Unit, "Nuovo S Agostino" Hospital, 41100 Modena, Italy

Silverio Tomao, Oncology Unit, "SM Goretti" Hospital, Latina, "Sapienza" University, 00185 Rome, Italy

Bruno Annibale, Department of Digestive and Liver Diseases, "Sant'Andrea" Hospital, "Sapienza" University, 00185 Rome, Italy

Dino Vaira, Department of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Author contributions: Zullo A and Hassan C designed research; De Francesco V, Repici A and Manta R performed research; Tomao S, Annibale B and Vaira D contributed with constructive criticisms; Zullo A and Hassan C analyzed data and wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Angelo Zullo, MD, Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy, "Nuovo Regina Margherita" Hospital, Via E Morosini 30, 00153 Roma, Italy. zullo66@yahoo.it

Telephone: +39-6-58446608 Fax: +39-6-58446533

Received: November 16, 2013 Revised: December 30, 2013 Accepted: April 2, 2014

Published online: July 21, 2014

## Abstract

Patients with *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection may complain of dyspeptic symptoms without presence of macroscopic lesions on gastroduodenal mucosa. Such a condition is usually recognized as functional dyspepsia, and different pathogenetic mechanisms are involved. The role of *H. pylori* in these patients is controversial. Several trials assessed the potential role of *H. pylori* eradication in improving dyspeptic symptoms, and data of some meta-analyses demonstrated that cure of infection is associated with a small (10%), but significant therapeutic gain as compared to placebo. The reason for which dyspeptic symptoms regress in some patients following bacterial eradication, but persist in others remains unclear. Regrettably, trials included in the meta-analyses are somewhat different for study design, definition of symptoms, assessment of symptoms changes, and some may be flawed by potential pitfalls. Consequently, the information could be not consistent. We critically reviewed the main available trials, attempting to address future research in this field

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: *Helicobacter pylori*; Dyspepsia; Symptoms; Therapy; Pathogenesis

**Core tip:** The role of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in functional dyspesia is still controversial. Some metaanalyses indicated the infection eradication is associated with a significant therapeutic gain as compared to placebo. However, the considered trials differ for study design, definition of symptoms, assessment of symptoms changes, and some may be flawed by potential pitfalls. Therefore, further studies are needed.

Zullo A, Hassan C, De Francesco V, Repici A, Manta R, Tomao S, Annibale B, Vaira D. *Helicobacter pylori* and functional dyspepsia: An unsolved issue? *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(27): 8957-8963 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/1007-9327/full/v20/i27/8957.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.8957

## INTRODUCTION

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is classically defined as continuous or frequently recurring epigastric pain or discomfort centred in upper abdomen for which no organic



cause can be determined<sup>[1]</sup>. It includes a heterogeneous and broad range of chronic upper abdominal symptoms which are widely shared with different gastrointestinal disorders, including reflux disease, irritable bowel disease, gallbladder and pancreatic dysfunction, and celiac disease. Therefore, FD is generally diagnosed when other frequent gastrointestinal diseases are excluded, and upper endoscopy ruled out macroscopic lesions - i.e., investigated dyspepsia. Such a condition is frequently encountered in clinical practice, its prevalence being close to 20%-30% in the general population. Of note, dyspeptic symptoms persist over the long-term in the majority of patients, despite periods of remission may occur, so that several FD patients recurrently require medical consultations and therapies<sup>[2]</sup>. Consequently, the management of FD patients has a relevant economic impact, and it involves both general practitioners and gastroenterologists. Regrettably, the aetiology of FD remains largely unknown - different factors being presumably involved - and no definite and effective treatment is currently available for all these patients.

The discovery of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) in the '80<sup>thies</sup> triggered the expectation that dyspeptic symptoms could be caused by such a persistent infection in the stomach, which invariably causes chronic active gastritis. Starting at the end of '90<sup>thies</sup>, several studies have been performed to assess the potential role of H. pylori eradication on FD, and a Cochrane meta-analysis including data of 12 trials involving 2541 patients was published on 2003<sup>[3]</sup>. It was calculated that *H. pylori* eradication had a small (37% vs 29%; 8%), but statistically significant effect (RR = 0.91; 95%CI: 0.86-0.95) in reducing dyspepsia symptoms as compared to placebo at 12 mo follow-up, with a number need to treat (NNT) of 15. An update of data including 17 trials with 3,566 patients showed that there was a 10% (95%CI: 6-14) relative risk reduction of dyspepsia following H. pylori eradication as compared to placebo, with a NNT of 14 (95%CI: 10-25)<sup>[4]</sup>. The last Consensus of an International panel of clinical investigators on gastroduodenal functional disorders (Rome III) recommended H. pylori eradication in all infected patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia diagnosed at upper endoscopy, also suggesting non-invasive testing followed by H. pylori eradication ("test and treat") in those patients with no alarm features, although many infected patients with FD may not gain symptomatic benefit<sup>[2]</sup>.

Can we therefore conclude that everything is clear on this issue? Unfortunately, results of different studies are conflicting, so that no definitive information emerges from the available data. This could depend on different factors, such as the study design, definition of symptoms, assessment of symptoms changes, and potential pitfalls. The knowledge of these limitations could add "a further light at the end on the tunnel" of FD.

## H. PYLORI AND FD PATHOGENESIS

The pathogenesis of FD still remains unrevealed, and several alterations have been invoked as putative mecha-

nisms responsible of dyspeptic symptoms. These include altered gastric emptying (delayed or accelerated), impaired accommodation of proximal stomach, and sensory abnormalities (gastroduodenal hypersensitivity)<sup>[2]</sup>. However, the underlying causes of these alterations are unknown. To date, no conclusive data have been reported on the role of *H. pylori* infection on these dysfunctions. Indeed, disturbances of either motor or sensory function in the gastroduodenal tract of patients with *H. pylori* are not consistent<sup>[5,6]</sup>. Some studies found that *H. pylori* infection delays gastric emptying, it is associated with a loss of gastric phase III of the migrating motor complex, and its eradication significantly improves gastric emptying. However, other studies failed to confirm these observations<sup>[7]</sup>.

Nevertheless, at least in theory, *H. pylori* infection may cause dyspeptic symptoms through other mechanisms such as: (1) alterations of gastric acid secretion; (2) persistent and active inflammation of gastric mucosa; and (3) post-infective changes in gastroduodenal mucosa.

It has been found that gastrin releasing peptide-stimulated maximal acid output (MAO) is 3-fold increased in dyspeptic patients with H. pylori infection as compared to uninfected controls<sup>[8]</sup>, and approximately 50% of the non-ulcer dyspepsia infected patients had a similarly stimulated MAO when compared with duodenal ulcer patients<sup>[9]</sup>. Indeed, H. pylori alters production of both gastrin (increased) and somatostatin (decreased) in gastric mucosa, as well as of ghrelin which is involved in acid secretion, hunger sensations, and gastrointestinal motility<sup>[10]</sup>. Noteworthy, these alterations of gastric acid secretion normalize 6-12 mo following a successful H. pylori eradication<sup>[10]</sup>. Therefore, it is expected that at least some dyspeptic symptoms probably linked to acid hypersecretion, such as epigastric pain, could regress 1 year after the cure of infection. Although the level of stimulated gastric acid secretion at entry was not a predictive factor of FD improvement following H. pylori therapy<sup>[11]</sup>, no study assessed the relationship between gastric acid output levels and dyspeptic symptoms 1 year following a successful H. pylori eradication.

As far as gastritis is concerned, no consistent data demonstrated that such an inflammatory status of gastric mucosa may be associated with dyspeptic symptoms. A certain genetic predisposition to develop dyspeptic symptoms in patients with H. pylori-associated gastritis has been suggested<sup>[12]</sup>. Indeed, more than half of the patients with H. pylori infection are asymptomatic, despite the infection invariably causes a chronic active gastritis. However, it has been widely reported that the active component of gastritis - i.e., polymorphonuclear infiltration - quickly and completely recovers following bacterial eradication, whilst the presence of lymphocytic infiltrate in gastric mucosa may persist several months or years<sup>[13]</sup>. It has been speculated that these cells may cause alterations of gastric mucosa function by producing different cytokines, similarly to what it is accepted for irritable bowel disease (IBS)<sup>[14,15]</sup>. Therefore, to correlate dyspeptic symptoms modification and gastritis grade (i.e., lymphocytic infil-

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

trate) 1 year following a successful bacterial eradication would be an ideal clinical situation to unravel such an issue. Indeed, at least in theory, a total recovery of gastric function should be expected only in those patients in whom both the infection is cured and the inflammation disappeared - *i.e.*, grade 0 gastritis according to the update Sydney System classification<sup>[13]</sup>. Of note, a trial found that FD symptoms disappeared after curing *H. pylori* infection more frequently in patients with gastritis grade score 0-1 than in those with grade 2-3 (32% vs 17%, P = 0.008)<sup>[16]</sup>.

Similarly to IBS in which the role for an acute gastrointestinal infection is recognized as a trigger of symptoms in a subset of patients, some studies suggest that dyspeptic symptoms may develop following an infection<sup>11</sup> ′<sup>1</sup>. A study found that the risk of dyspepsia is 5.2-fold (95%CI: 2.7-9.8) increased 1 year following an acute Salmonellaassociated gastroenteritis<sup>[18]</sup>. Similarly, another study found that the risk of hunger pain was 5.77-fold (95%CI: 1.3-25.7) increased 1 year after a water-borne viral gastroenteritis with Norovirus<sup>[19]</sup>. As for IBS, an increased infiltration of both enterochromaffin cells (EC) and mast cells in gastroduodenal mucosa in post-infectious FD patients has been recently reported<sup>[20]</sup>. In addition, a role for eosinophils, inflammatory cells, and neuroendocrine cells (*i.e.*, serotonin) infiltration in duodenal mucosa in postinfectious FD patients has been suggested<sup>[21]</sup>. Since it is widely recognized that H. pylori initiates as an acute infection with dyspeptic symptoms, it would appear worthy to assess whether dyspeptic symptoms persist following H. pylori eradication in a subset of patients due to a persistence of these cells in gastroduodenal mucosa.

## CONCERNS ON *H. PYLORI* ERADICATION TRIALS IN FD PATIENTS

Several trials on the role of *H. pylori* eradication in FD patients are now available, and data of the majority of these studies have been pooled in some meta-analyses<sup>[3,4,22]</sup>. However, it is remarkable to note that the evaluation of symptoms at entry as well as the assessment of their modifications following bacterial eradication is not the same among different studies. In detail, type and number of symptoms evaluated at entry are not the same, and the definition of symptoms regression or improvement are somewhat different.

By taking into account only double-blind, placebocontrolled trials with at least 50 patients for arms followed for 6-12 mo, in which the final evaluation of *H. pylori* status was performed by means of either upper endoscopy with biopsies or a <sup>13</sup>C-urea breath test, we identified 12 studies (Table 1)<sup>[11,16,23-32]</sup>. Noteworthy, the number and type of symptoms evaluated at entry, the score used to evaluate symptoms modifications, and the period considered for final symptoms assessment are very different among studies. In addition, the use of non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and the presence of gastric erosions at endoscopy were considered as exclusion criteria in some, but not in other stud-

### Zullo A et al. Helicobacter pylori and functional dyspepsia

ies. Symptoms modification was evaluated by assessing the score of symptoms present in the last 1-7 d preceding the end of follow-up in some studies and in the last 1-6 mo in others. Furthermore, some trials considered symptomatic improvement following the eradication therapy, irrespectively of whether the patients were actually eradicated or not. Unfortunately, only few studies correlated the symptoms modifications and gastritis score at the end of follow-up, in order to assess whether a complete gastritis regression was also associated with symptom resolution<sup>[16]</sup>. Similarly, no study tested the potential correlation between gastric acid secretion normalization and symptom disappearance following a successful bacterial eradication. Finally, in different multicenter trials, several participating centres enrolled less than 5-10 patients - with only 1 patient included in a centre<sup>[16]</sup> - so that a  $\beta$ -type error in patients enrolment cannot be ruled out. Based on all these observations, it remains unclear whether it is appropriate to pool data of these heterogeneous trials, and how robust the final data interpretation may actually be.

Another aspect deserving consideration is that *H. pylori* eradication observed in the placebo group would appear unexpectedly high in some of these trials, with cure rates approaching 6.3%, 6.6%, 7.4%, 8%, and  $12\%^{[23,26,28,29,31]}$ , whilst the cure rate was reasonably low (0%-2%) in others studies<sup>[24,27,32]</sup>. *H. pylori* infection virtually persists long-life whether not opportunely treated, and spontaneous disappearance is considered as an infrequent event<sup>[33]</sup>.

Unexpectedly, in a trial<sup>[11]</sup>, comparison of symptom modification was performed between patients receiving eradication therapy and those receiving placebo, rather than between patients cured from *H. pylori* and those with persistent infection. To establish whether *H. pylori* infection really impacts on FD symptoms, the comparison should be between patients definitely cured and those not cured. Indeed, the eradication rate following the triple therapy used is distinctly lower than 100% and, most likely, as many as 15%-20% of patients computed in the eradication therapy group probably remained infected. Both patients and physicians would know whether FD symptoms disappear by really eliminating *H. pylori* infection, rather than following a potentially eradicating therapy.

In the majority of studies (Table 1), assessment of symptom modification was based on the overall score, instead of evaluation of each independent symptom. This would consider FD as a well-definite disease, rather than a combination of symptoms, most likely depending on different pathogenetic mechanisms<sup>[34,35]</sup>. Intuitively, it would appear at least implausible to expect that *H. pylori* eradication would improve some symptoms - such as ab-dominal pain, flatulence, diarrhoea, constipation, bloating - which are generally attributed to large bowel<sup>[36]</sup>.

It is widely recognized that NSAIDs - including lowdose aspirin - may cause dyspeptic symptoms, irrespective of onset of either gastroduodenal ulcers or erosions<sup>[37]</sup>.



# Table 1 Double-blind, placebo-controlled trials on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in functional dyspepsia patients

Rei	<i>lori</i> status was [11]		23%) and [16] cantly higher in determinate" %)	<ul> <li>23%) and [16]</li> <li>cantly higher in ion of low-dose ion of low-dose</li> <li>dometerminate"</li> <li>%)</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>[23]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>23%) and [16]</li> <li>:antly higher in in of low-dose ion of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>%)</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>Mathematical (23)</li> <li>inal H. pylori</li> <li>inal H. pylori</li> <li>inal H. pylori</li> <li>inal H. pylori</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>23%) and [16]</li> <li>2antly higher in ion of low-dose ion of low-dose ion of low-dose with the second s</li></ul>	<ul> <li>23%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in cantly higher in determinate"</li> <li>%)</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>Mail H. pylori</li> <li>pptoms resolution</li> <li>[24]</li> <li>mi status</li> <li>ni status. A</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>ngestritis healing</li> <li>pptoms resolution</li> <li>[26]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$2%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in canty higher in determinate"</li> <li>\$9</li> <li>\$16</li> <li< th=""><th><ul> <li>\$2%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in canto flow-dose ion of low-dose symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) status</li> <li>\$%</li></ul></th><th><ul> <li>\$2%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in ion of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>%) and H. pylori</li> <li>%) symptoms</li> <li>symptoms resolution</li> <li>fatus. A</li> <li>fatus. A</li></ul></th></li<><th><ul> <li>\$23%) and</li> <li>\$23%) and</li> <li>[16]</li> <li>antly higher in</li> <li>ion of low-dose</li> <li>determinate"</li> <li>\$9</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>[24]</li> <li>wi status</li> <li>ori status</li> <li>on mean score.</li> </ul></th><th><ul> <li>s23%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in ion of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>w) not of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>distrus</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>to final H.</li> <li>25]</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>a syndrome"</li> <li>a syndrome"</li> <li>on mean score.</li> <li>on mean score.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>to final the fina</li></ul></th></ul>	<ul> <li>\$2%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in canto flow-dose ion of low-dose symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) symptoms</li> <li>\$%) status</li> <li>\$%</li></ul>	<ul> <li>\$2%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in ion of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>%) and H. pylori</li> <li>%) symptoms</li> <li>symptoms resolution</li> <li>fatus. A</li> <li>fatus. A</li></ul>	<ul> <li>\$23%) and</li> <li>\$23%) and</li> <li>[16]</li> <li>antly higher in</li> <li>ion of low-dose</li> <li>determinate"</li> <li>\$9</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>[24]</li> <li>wi status</li> <li>ori status</li> <li>on mean score.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>s23%) and [16]</li> <li>antly higher in ion of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>w) not of low-dose determinate"</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>symptoms</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>distrus</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>to final H.</li> <li>25]</li> <li>tween patients</li> <li>n'i status</li> <li>a syndrome"</li> <li>a syndrome"</li> <li>on mean score.</li> <li>on mean score.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>the final H.</li> <li>to final the fina</li></ul>
	tion according to the final H. pylori sta		family history of ulcers $(34\% vs 23\%)$ v use $(82% vs 73%)$ were significantly l v group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%)	family history of ulcers $(34\% vs 23\%)$ vac (82% vs 73%) were significantly l v group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr usult was unexpectedly high (16%) ne remained significant when symption on was assessed according to final $H$ .	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) e use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly l <i>i</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr use was unexpectedly high (16%) nee remained significant when symption ion was assessed according to final <i>H</i> . erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statu	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) <i>v</i> use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>v</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l <i>v</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr usut was unexpectedly high (16%) ner ermained significant when symptoms tion was assessed according to final <i>H</i> . errone was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt errone according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between ant difference was observed between ant difference was observed between ant difference was observed between	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) e use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>g</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l <i>v</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) nee remained significant when symptoms errone was observed when symptoms errone was observed when symptoms errone according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt errone according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between ant difference was observed when symptoms errone according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt errone was observed when symptoms errone according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt errone was observed when symptoms ersed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt errone was observed when symptoms	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) a use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>g</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l <i>u</i> group than placebo. Distribution of l use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) ne eremained significant when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between artificant gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence was observed when symptoms that difference was observed between artificant gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence was observed when symptoms that art difference was observed of the first 3 mo <i>i</i> during the first 3 mo	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) e use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly 1 <i>r</i> group than placebo. Distribution of 1 use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) nce remained significant when symptum tion was assessed according to final <i>H</i> . erence according to final <i>H</i> . <i>pylori</i> statt erence according to final <i>H</i> . <i>pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between arristent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H</i> . <i>pylori</i> statt art difference was observed between tarts difference was observed between art difference was observed when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms erence was observed according to fina tatus. Both patient groups received on <i>d</i> during the first 3 mo y succes occurred for "postprandial d <i>y</i> succes occurred for "postprandial d	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) e use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>g</i> group than placebo. Distribution of 1 use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) nce remained significant when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms ersead according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between resistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt art difference was observed between resistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed when symptoms essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt art difference was observed on the symptoms terence was observed according to fine acturing the first 3 mo <i>j</i> success occurred for "postprandial of <i>j</i> s	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) e use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>g</i> group than placebo. Distribution of 1 use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) nee remained significant when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between resistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed according to fina att difference was observed between resistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed according to fine att difference was observed between resistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed according to fine atters. Both patient groups received on <i>i</i> during the first 3 mo <i>i</i> during the first 3 mo <i>i</i> who not for "epigastric pain syndums essed according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt there was observed when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms the first 3 mo <i>i</i> during the first 3 mo <i>i</i> during	family history of ulcers (34% <i>vs</i> 23%) s use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) were significantly <i>l</i> <i>g</i> group than placebo. Distribution of 1 use was lacking. The rate of "indeterr sult was unexpectedly high (16%) nee remained significant when symptoms erence was observed when symptoms erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt erence according to final <i>H. pylori</i> statt ant difference was observed between arsistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed between arsistent gastritis and those with gastri erence was observed between art difference was observed between arts. Both patient groups received on <i>i</i> during the first 3 mo <i>j</i> success occurred for "postprandial of <i>j</i> success occurred for "postprandial of <i>j</i> success occurred for "postprandial on <i>j</i> success occurred for "postprandial on the received on the reduction on me for event as observed when symptoms patient patent. The rate of asymptoms patent patent patent patent patient patent pa
Evaluation according to the fi lacking		Rate of family history of ulce caffeine use (82% <i>vs</i> 73%) wei therapy group than placebo. 1	aspirin use was lacking. The r UBT result was unexpectedly	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed acco status	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accol status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin No difference according to fin significant difference was ob with persistent gastritis and t	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was obs with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed v was assessed according to fir was assessed according to fir	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was observed v with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was observed v ployi status. Both patient grc therapy during the first 3 mo	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor istaus No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was obs with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed <i>i</i> was assessed according to fin significant difference was observed <i>i</i> <i>v</i> was assessed according to fin sylori status. Both patient gro therapy during the first 3 mo Therapy succes occurred for syndrome" but not for "epigy	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was obs with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed ¢ was assessed according to fin sylori status. Both patient gro therapy during the first 3 mo Therapy success occurred for syndrome" but not for "epigr No difference was observed v as assessed according to fin syndrome" but not for "epigr No difference was observed v as assessed according to fin therapy success occurred for syndrome" but not for "epigr No difference was observed v as assessed according to fin	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was obs with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed <i>e</i> <i>v</i> was assessed according to fin significant tighterence was observed <i>e</i> <i>v</i> was assessed according to fin No difference was observed <i>e</i> <i>pylori</i> status. Both patient gro therapy success occurred for syndrome" but not for "epig No difference was observed <i>v</i> was assessed according to fin Therapy success occurred for syndrome was observed <i>v</i> was assessed according to fin Difference was based on the <i>v</i> Difference remained significe <i>pylori</i> status. The rate of asyn lacking	aspirin use was lacking. The 1 UBT result was unexpectedly Difference remained significa resolution was assessed accor status No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin significant difference was observed v with persistent gastritis and t No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin syndrome" but not for "epig" syndrome" but not for "epig" No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Therapy success occurred for syndrome" but not for "epig" No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Difference was observed v was assessed according to fin No difference was observed v was assessed according to fin Difference was observed v was assessed according to fin
Therapy better Eval than placebo lack No difference Ratt caff	No difference Rate caff	ther aspi UBT	Therany hetter Diff.	than placebo reso	than placebo reso statt No difference No o was	No difference No o statt No difference No o was No difference No o was	No difference No c statt No difference No c was No difference No c sign with No difference No c sign with	No difference No ( statt No difference No ( was No difference No ( sign with No difference No ( was No difference No ( ther	No difference No cresol statt No difference No cresol was No difference No cresol sign with No difference No cresol pylo there than placebo syn	No difference No cresol statt No difference No cresol sign vas No difference No cresol sign with No difference No cresol pylo than placebo synt No difference No cresol was No difference No cresol was No difference No cresol was No difference No cresol was than placebo synt the the the the the the the the the the	No difference No c statt No difference No c statt No difference No c sign with No difference No c pylo than placebo sym No difference No c than placebo sym than placebo Diff than placebo Difff	No difference No ceso statut No difference No c statu No difference No c sign with No difference No c man pylo pylo pylo pylo pylo pylo pylo pylo
GDSS: 0-20 (the last 6 Th mo) th GSRS: 0-6 Ni (the last 7 d)	GSRS: 0-6 No (the last 7 d)		Likert score: Th 0_5 +h	(the last 7 d)	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 Nr (the last 1 d)	(the last 7 d) (the last 7 d) (the last 1 d) (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 Ni (the last 7 d)	(the last 7 d)	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 N( (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 N( (the last 7 d) Likert score: N( 0-3 (the last 7 d) Score: 0-16 N( (the last 1 d)	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 NV (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 NV (the last 7 d) Likert score: NV 0-3 (the last 7 d) Score: 0-16 NV (the last 1 d) PADYQ: 0-44 Th (the last 30 d) th	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 NG (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 NG (the last 7 d) Likert score: NG 0-3 (the last 7 d) Score: 0-16 NG (the last 1 d) PADYQ: 0-44 Th (the last 30 d) th DSS N Iskert score: 1-5	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 N( (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 N( (the last 7 d) Likert score: N( 0-3 (the last 7 d) N( 0-3 (the last 7 d) N( (the last 7 d) N( (the last 7 d) N( (the last 7 d) N( (the last 1 d) N( (the last 30 d) th DSS N( likert score: 1-5 Score for severity: 0-2 Th Score for severity: 0-2 Th Score for severity: 0-2 Th Score for severity: 0-2 Th	(the last 7 d) Score: 0-3 NG (the last 1 d) GSRS: 1-7 NG (the last 7 d) Likert score: NG 0-3 (the last 7 d) NG 0-3 (the last 7 d) NG (the last 8 d) NG (the last 8 d) NG (the last 8 d) NG (the last 9 d) NG (the last 8 d) NG (the
ausea; postprandial GDSS: ( G	Q đ		Lik t; vomiting; early	d burning (the	ul burning (th ındial fullness; So (th	ul burning (th ındial fullness; S< (th G	ul burning (th undial fullness; S6 (th G G G (th istric fullness; Lik vomiting, (th	ul burning (th undial fullness; Sc (th stric fullness; (th (th vomiting, (th hoea; constipation (th hunger pain (th	ul burning (th undial fullness; So (th istric fullness; Lik vomiting, Lik vomiting, (th theartburn early Sc hunger pain (th	ul burning (thu undial fullness; 50 (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th)	ul burning (thu undial fullness; 56 (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th)	ul burning (thu undial fullness; 56 (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th) (th)
omfort; heartburn; nausea;	Ň		ain; nausea; listension; eructation; vomi rgitation; retrosternal burn		ain; burning; postprandial i iting	ain, burning, postprandial. iting ;	ain; burning; postprandial itting ; ; ain or burning; epigastric fi egurgitation; nausea vomit ain; flatulence; diarrhoea;	ain; burning; postprandial iting ; ; ain or burning; epigastric fi egurgitation; nausea vomit ain; flatulence; diarrhoea; ain; nausea; bloating; heart iting; regurgitation; hungei	ain; burning; postprandial itting ain or burning; epigastric fi egurgitation; nausea vomit ain; flatulence; diarrhoea; ain; nausea; bloating; heart itting; regurgitation; hunger eria	<ul> <li>ain, burning; postprandial.</li> <li>diting</li> <li>ain or burning; epigastric figurgitation; nausea vomitain; flatulence; diarrhoea; elain; nausea; bloating; heart</li> <li>ain; regurgitation; hunger</li> <li>eria</li> <li>vur taste; nausea; halitosis</li> </ul>	iting iting postprandial iting in or burning; epigastric fi ain, flatulence; diarrhoea; ain; flatulence; diarrhoea; ain; nausea; bloating; heart iting; regurgitation; hungel eria in, belching; heartburn, bl our taste, nausea; halitosis our taste, nausea; halitosis	iting iting postprandial iting purgitation; nausea vomit ain; flatulence; diarrhoea, o iain; nausea; bloating; heart iting; regurgitation; hunge eria eria in; belching; heartburn, bl our taste; nausea; halitosis c' itillness; early satiety; bloat teria)
Pain or discomfo fullness Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain;	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain;	Epigastric pain;	apuominal dister satiety; regurgita	Epigastric pain;		Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain c heartburn, regur abdominal pain;	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain c heartburn, regur abdominal pain; Epigastric pain; satiety; vomiting	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain c heartburn, regur abdominal pain; Epigastric pain; satiety; vomiting Rome II criteria	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain c heartburn, regur abdominal pain; Epigastric pain; Rome II criteria Rome II criteria Epigastric pain; flatulence; sour t	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain: heartburn, regur abdominal pain; Epigastric pain; Rome III criteria Rome III criteria Epigastric pain; flatulence; sour t Ulcer-like; motility-like; unspecified	Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like Epigastric pain: heartburn, regur abdominal pain; Epigastric pain; fatulence; nour t Ulcer-like; motility-like; reflux-like; unspecified Abdominal fulln (Rome II criteria
v V V	۷ ک		No	NA		V U	<ul><li>&lt; 5</li><li>&lt; 10</li><li>&lt; 10</li></ul>	<ul><li>&lt; 5</li><li>&lt; 10</li><li>&lt; 10</li></ul>	< 5 < 10 Yes	< 5 < 10 Y es < 5	<ul> <li>&lt; 5</li> <li>× 10</li> <li>Y es</li> <li>N o</li> <li>&lt; 5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&lt; 5</li> <li>Y es</li> <li>N o</li> <li>&lt; 5</li> <li>N A</li> </ul>
No Yes	Yes		No	No		NA	N N N	NA Yes	NA Yes Yes	NA Yes Yes	NA Yes No No	NA Yes NA NA
						5 0	in in in	ig m ig m	9 <b>5</b> 9 9 1 5 9 9 9	3 3 1 - 3 3 3 3	- 28 8 8	- 20 20 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0

GSRS: Gastrointestinal symptoms rating score; GDSS: Glasgow dyspepsia severity score; PADYQ: Porto Alegre dyspeptic symptoms questionnaire; DSS: Dyspepsia summary score; NA: Not available.

## Zullo A et al. Helicobacter pylori and functional dyspepsia



Therefore, inclusion of patients taking these drugs in trials aimed to assess an independent role of *H. pylori* in FD should be regarded as confounding. Regrettably, in a trial<sup>[16]</sup>, the rate of low-dose aspirin users in either therapy and placebo arm was lacking, so that a different distribution between the two groups cannot be ruled out.

The potential role of gastroduodenal erosions in dyspeptic patients remains largely unclear. Indeed, gastroduodenal erosions may be encountered in asymptomatic patients. However, at least in theory, the presence of breaks on gastric mucosa - irrespective of diameter - may favour a H<sup>+</sup> back diffusion and, consequently, stimulation of visceral nerve terminations with epigastric pain. In the considered trials (Table 1), patients with gastroduodenal erosions were excluded in some studies, whilst enrolled in others when erosions were either < 5 or < 10. However, no data on erosions resolution at the end follow-up were provided, and their potential association with symptom modification was not assessed. Some data would suggest that gastric erosions did not regress following *H. pylori* eradication<sup>[38]</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

The most definite information currently available on the role of H. pylori eradication in FD patients arises from two meta-analyses where trials with a follow-up of 6-12 mo were included<sup>[3,4,22]</sup>. Basically, it has been calculated that H. pylori eradication is associated with a 10% (95%CI: 6-14) therapeutic gain as compared to placebo, with a NNT of 14 (95%CI: 10-25), and that symptoms improvement ultimately occurs in nearly 40% of eradicated patients<sup>[4]</sup>. Another meta-analysis, including data more recent studies (overall 14 trials with 2993 patients), confirmed that improvement of dyspepsia symptoms occurs more frequently after H. pylori therapy than placebo (OR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.18-1.62, P < 0.0001), without differences among Europe, United States and Asia<sup>[22]</sup>. However, as we discussed, criteria adopted regarding type of symptoms at entry, evaluation of symptoms modification at follow-up, and clinical characteristics of enrolled patients (gastroduodenal erosions, use of NSAIDs, etc.) frequently varied among these studies. In addition, the role of dietary and lifestyle factors was not considered<sup>[39]</sup>. These factors could affect symptoms evaluation, particularly when only 1 d - or few days - recording is used, as occurred in several trials. On the other hand, some alterations induced by H. pylori infection - i.e., low-grade chronic inflammation, gastric acid out-put perturbation, EC and mast cells infiltration, etc. - with a putative role in FD symptoms were not opportunely assessed following a successful cure of infection. Unfortunately, despite several trials with some thousands of patients, no clear predictive factors of FD regression after bacterial eradiation have been identified. During the last decades, progressive attempts aimed to unify different dyspeptic symptoms in few, well-structured categories were performed. The latter functional disorders classification (Rome III) proposed two main type of FD, there is postprandial distress syndrome (postprandial fullness and early satiation) and epigastric pain syndrome (EPS: pain or burning localized to the epigastrium, not generalized or localized to other abdominal or chest regions, not relieved by defecation or passage of flatus, not fulfilling criteria for gallbladder and sphincter of oddi disorders). For both conditions, criteria should fulfilled for the last 3 mo with symptom onset at least 6 mo before diagnosis. This could reduce the confusion in FD definition in future studies, allowing a more reliable comparison among therapeutic trials. Regrettably, a recent Korean trial showed that application of these Rome III criteria to FD is very difficult, and only 4 patients fulfilling these criteria were identified by gastroenterologists at 11 tertiary referral hospitals during 1 year<sup>[40]</sup>. In addition, the observation that EPS frequently overlaps with non-erosive reflux disease - which is not associated with H. pylori infection<sup>[41]</sup> - further puzzles data interpretation<sup>[42]</sup>. Therefore, the saga continues.

While waiting to understand what subset of FD patients may actually benefit of *H. pylori* eradication, it should be considered that bacterial eradication in dyspeptic patients significantly reduces the number of upper endoscopies<sup>[43]</sup>, medical visits<sup>[44]</sup>, and the use of drugs<sup>[45]</sup>, so that it is cost-effective at long-term follow-up<sup>[46]</sup>. Prevention of peptic ulcer onset, and reduction of both cancer and lymphoma development in the stomach are other remarkable gains favouring *H. pylori* eradication in symptomatic patients<sup>[47]</sup>.

## REFERENCES

- Talley NJ, Stanghellini V, Heading RC, Koch KL, Malagelada JR, Tytgat GN. Functional gastroduodenal disorders. *Gut* 1999; 45 Suppl 2: II37-II42 [PMID: 10457043 DOI: 10.1136/ gut.45.2008.ii37]
- 2 Tack J, Talley NJ, Camilleri M, Holtmann G, Hu P, Malagelada JR, Stanghellini V. Functional gastroduodenal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 1466-1479 [PMID: 16678560 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.11.059]
- 3 Moayyedi P, Deeks J, Talley NJ, Delaney B, Forman D. An update of the Cochrane systematic review of Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy in nonulcer dyspepsia: resolving the discrepancy between systematic reviews. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003; **98**: 2621-2626 [PMID: 14687807 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1572-0241.2003.08724.x]
- 4 Moayyedi P, Soo S, Deeks J, Delaney B, Harris A, Innes M, Oakes R, Wilson S, Roalfe A, Bennett C, Forman D. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori for non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; 2: CD002096 [PMID: 15674892 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002096.pub5]
- 5 Tack J, Piessevaux H, Coulie B, Caenepeel P, Janssens J. Role of impaired gastric accommodation to a meal in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 115: 1346-1352 [PMID: 9834261 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5085(98)70012-5]
- 6 Sarnelli G, Cuomo R, Janssens J, Tack J. Symptom patterns and pathophysiological mechanisms in dyspeptic patients with and without Helicobacter pylori. *Dig Dis Sci* 2003; 48: 2229-2236 [PMID: 14714606 DOI: 10.1023/B:DDAS.00000078 56.71462.6c]
- 7 Talley NJ, Xia HH. Helicobacter pylori infection and nonulcer dyspepsia. *Br Med Bull* 1998; 54: 63-69 [PMID: 9604431 DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011680]
- 8 el-Omar EM, Penman ID, Ardill JE, Chittajallu RS, Howie

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

## Zullo A et al. Helicobacter pylori and functional dyspepsia

C, McColl KE. Helicobacter pylori infection and abnormalities of acid secretion in patients with duodenal ulcer disease. *Gastroenterology* 1995; **109**: 681-691 [PMID: 7657096 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(95)90374-7]

- 9 el-Omar E, Penman I, Ardill JE, McColl KE. A substantial proportion of non-ulcer dyspepsia patients have the same abnormality of acid secretion as duodenal ulcer patients. *Gut* 1995; 36: 534-538 [PMID: 7737559 DOI: 10.1136/gut.36.4.534]
- Suzuki H, Moayyedi P. Helicobacter pylori infection in functional dyspepsia. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 10: 168-174 [PMID: 23358394 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.9]
- 11 McColl K, Murray L, El-Omar E, Dickson A, El-Nujumi A, Wirz A, Kelman A, Penny C, Knill-Jones R, Hilditch T. Symptomatic benefit from eradicating Helicobacter pylori infection in patients with nonulcer dyspepsia. *N Engl J Med* 1998; **339**: 1869-1874 [PMID: 9862941 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJM199812243392601]
- 12 **Tahara T**, Shibata T, Yamashita H, Hirata I, Arisawa T. The Role of RANTES Promoter Polymorphism in Functional Dyspepsia. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 2009; **45**: 235-240 [PMID: 19794934 DOI: 10.3164/jcbn.09-31]
- 13 Dixon MF, Genta RM, Yardley JH, Correa P. Classification and grading of gastritis. The updated Sydney System. International Workshop on the Histopathology of Gastritis, Houston 1994. Am J Surg Pathol 1996; 20: 1161-1181 [PMID: 8827022 DOI: 10.1097/00000478-199610000-00001]
- 14 **Fock KM**. Functional dyspepsia, H. pylori and post infectious FD. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; **26** Suppl 3: 39-41 [PMID: 21443707 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2011.06649.x]
- 15 Li XB, Chen HM, Lu H, Zheng Q, Chen XY, Peng YS, Ge ZZ, Liu WZ. Role of Helicobacter pylori infection on neuronal expression in the stomach and spinal cord of a murine model. J Dig Dis 2009; 10: 286-292 [PMID: 19906107 DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-2980.2009.00397.x]
- 16 Talley NJ, Vakil N, Ballard ED, Fennerty MB. Absence of benefit of eradicating Helicobacter pylori in patients with nonulcer dyspepsia. N Engl J Med 1999; 341: 1106-1111 [PMID: 10511608 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199910073411502]
- 17 Tack J, Demedts I, Dehondt G, Caenepeel P, Fischler B, Zandecki M, Janssens J. Clinical and pathophysiological characteristics of acute-onset functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2002; **122**: 1738-1747 [PMID: 12055579 DOI: 10.1053/ gast.2002.33663]
- 18 Mearin F, Pérez-Oliveras M, Perelló A, Vinyet J, Ibañez A, Coderch J, Perona M. Dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome after a Salmonella gastroenteritis outbreak: one-year follow-up cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 2005; **129**: 98-104 [PMID: 16012939 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.04.012]
- 19 Zanini B, Ricci C, Bandera F, Caselani F, Magni A, Laronga AM, Lanzini A. Incidence of post-infectious irritable bowel syndrome and functional intestinal disorders following a water-borne viral gastroenteritis outbreak. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012; 107: 891-899 [PMID: 22525306 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.102]
- 20 Li X, Chen H, Lu H, Li W, Chen X, Peng Y, Ge Z. The study on the role of inflammatory cells and mediators in post-infectious functional dyspepsia. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2010; 45: 573-581 [PMID: 20163288 DOI: 10.3109/00365521003632576]
- 21 Futagami S, Shindo T, Kawagoe T, Horie A, Shimpuku M, Gudis K, Iwakiri K, Itoh T, Sakamoto C. Migration of eosinophils and CCR2-/CD68-double positive cells into the duodenal mucosa of patients with postinfectious functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; **105**: 1835-1842 [PMID: 20461070 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2010.151]
- 22 Zhao B, Zhao J, Cheng WF, Shi WJ, Liu W, Pan XL, Zhang GX. Efficacy of Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy on functional dyspepsia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies with 12-month follow-up. J Clin Gastroenterol 2014; 48: 241-247 [PMID: 24002127 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31829f2e25]
- 23 Bruley Des Varannes S, Fléjou JF, Colin R, Zaïm M, Meunier

A, Bidaut-Mazel C. There are some benefits for eradicating Helicobacter pylori in patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001; **15**: 1177-1185 [PMID: 11472320 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2001.01014.x]

- 24 Hsu PI, Lai KH, Tseng HH, Lo GH, Lo CC, Lin CK, Cheng JS, Chan HH, Ku MK, Peng NJ, Chien EJ, Chen W, Hsu PN. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori prevents ulcer development in patients with ulcer-like functional dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001; **15**: 195-201 [PMID: 11148437 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2001.00903.x]
- 25 Talley NJ, Janssens J, Lauritsen K, Rácz I, Bolling-Sternevald E. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori in functional dyspepsia: randomised double blind placebo controlled trial with 12 months' follow up. The Optimal Regimen Cures Helicobacter Induced Dyspepsia (ORCHID) Study Group. *BMJ* 1999; **318**: 833-837 [PMID: 10092259 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.318.7187.833]
- 26 Koelz HR, Arnold R, Stolte M, Fischer M, Blum AL. Treatment of Helicobacter pylori in functional dyspepsia resistant to conventional management: a double blind randomised trial with a six month follow up. *Gut* 2003; 52: 40-46 [PMID: 12477757 DOI: 10.1136/gut.52.1.40]
- 27 Koskenpato J, Farkkilä M, Sipponen P. Helicobacter pylori eradication and standardized 3-month omeprazole therapy in functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001; 96: 2866-2872 [PMID: 11693319 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.04240.x]
- 28 Mazzoleni LE, Sander GB, Francesconi CF, Mazzoleni F, Uchoa DM, De Bona LR, Milbradt TC, Von Reisswitz PS, Berwanger O, Bressel M, Edelweiss MI, Marini SS, Molina CG, Folador L, Lunkes RP, Heck R, Birkhan OA, Spindler BM, Katz N, Colombo Bda S, Guerrieri PP, Renck LB, Grando E, Hocevar de Moura B, Dahmer FD, Rauber J, Prolla JC. Helicobacter pylori eradication in functional dyspepsia: HEROES trial. Arch Intern Med 2011; 171: 1929-1936 [PMID: 22123802 DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.533]
- 29 Veldhuyzen van Zanten S, Fedorak RN, Lambert J, Cohen L, Vanjaka A. Absence of symptomatic benefit of lansoprazole, clarithromycin, and amoxicillin triple therapy in eradication of Helicobacter pylori positive, functional (nonulcer) dyspepsia. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98: 1963-1969 [PMID: 14499772 DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9270(03)00432-5]
- 30 Gilvarry J, Buckley MJ, Beattie S, Hamilton H, O'Morain CA. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori affects symptoms in non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1997; 32: 535-540 [PMID: 9200283]
- 31 Sodhi JS, Javid G, Zargar SA, Tufail S, Shah A, Khan BA, Yattoo GN, Gulzar GM, Khan MA, Lone MI, Saif Ru, Parveen S, Shoukat A. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection and the effect of its eradication on symptoms of functional dyspepsia in Kashmir, India. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 28: 808-813 [PMID: 23432600 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.12178]
- 32 Blum AL, Talley NJ, O'Moráin C, van Zanten SV, Labenz J, Stolte M, Louw JA, Stubberöd A, Theodórs A, Sundin M, Bolling-Sternevald E, Junghard O. Lack of effect of treating Helicobacter pylori infection in patients with nonulcer dyspepsia. Omeprazole plus Clarithromycin and Amoxicillin Effect One Year after Treatment (OCAY) Study Group. N Engl J Med 1998; 339: 1875-1881 [PMID: 9862942 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJM199812243392602]
- 33 Cover TL, Blaser MJ. Helicobacter pylori in health and disease. *Gastroenterology* 2009; 136: 1863-1873 [PMID: 19457415 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.01.073]
- 34 Camilleri M, Stanghellini V. Current management strategies and emerging treatments for functional dyspepsia. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 10: 187-194 [PMID: 23381190 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2013.11]
- 35 Keohane J, Quigley EM. Functional dyspepsia: the role of visceral hypersensitivity in its pathogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 2672-2676 [PMID: 16718751 DOI: 10.3748/ wjg.v12.i17.2672]

- 36 Brandt LJ, Chey WD, Foxx-Orenstein AE, Schiller LR, Schoenfeld PS, Spiegel BM, Talley NJ, Quigley EM. An evidence-based position statement on the management of irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104** Suppl 1: S1-35 [PMID: 19521341 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2008.122]
- 37 Laine L. Review article: gastrointestinal bleeding with low-dose aspirin - what's the risk? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006; 24: 897-908 [PMID: 16948802 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1365-2036.2006.03077.x]
- 38 Sanchez-Mete L, Zullo A, Hassan C, Rinaldi V, Magno MS, Festuccia F, Morini S, Attili AF. Helicobacter pylori diagnosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2003; 35: 566-570 [PMID: 14567461 DOI: 10.1016/S1590-8658(03)00029-X]
- 39 Hong SJ, Sung IK, Kim JG, Lee SW, Choi SC, Yang CH, Lee SI, Lee DH, Kim GH, Jeon SW, Park MI, Park SK, Park BJ, Seol SY. Failure of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of H. pylori Eradication in H. pylori-Infected Patients with Functional Dyspepsia. *Gut Liver* 2011; 5: 468-471 [PMID: 22195245 DOI: 10.5009/gnl.2011.5.4.468]
- 40 Feinle-Bisset C, Azpiroz F. Dietary and lifestyle factors in functional dyspepsia. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 10: 150-157 [PMID: 23296252 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2012.246]
- 41 Zullo A, Hassan C, Repici A, Bruzzese V. Helicobacter pylori eradication and reflux disease onset: did gastric acid get "crazy"? World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 786-789 [PMID: 23429673 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i6.786]
- 42 Noh YW, Jung HK, Kim SE, Jung SA. Overlap of Erosive and Non-erosive Reflux Diseases With Functional Gastro-

intestinal Disorders According to Rome III Criteria. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010; **16**: 148-156 [PMID: 20535345 DOI: 10.5056/jnm.2010.16.2.148]

- 43 **Manes G**, Menchise A, de Nucci C, Balzano A. Empirical prescribing for dyspepsia: randomised controlled trial of test and treat versus omeprazole treatment. *BMJ* 2003; **326**: 1118 [PMID: 12763982 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.326.7399.1118]
- Harvey RF, Lane JA, Nair P, Egger M, Harvey I, Donovan J, Murray L. Clinical trial: prolonged beneficial effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication on dyspepsia consultations the Bristol Helicobacter Project. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010; 32: 394-400 [PMID: 20491744 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04363.x]
- 45 Maconi G, Sainaghi M, Molteni M, Bosani M, Gallus S, Ricci G, Alvisi V, Porro GB. Predictors of long-term outcome of functional dyspepsia and duodenal ulcer after successful Helicobacter pylori eradication--a 7-year follow-up study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 21: 387-393 [PMID: 19182682 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e3283069db0]
- 46 Lassen AT, Hallas J, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB. Helicobacter pylori test and eradicate versus prompt endoscopy for management of dyspeptic patients: 6.7 year follow up of a randomised trial. *Gut* 2004; **53**: 1758-1763 [PMID: 15542510 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.043570]
- 47 Wong BC, Lam SK, Wong WM, Chen JS, Zheng TT, Feng RE, Lai KC, Hu WH, Yuen ST, Leung SY, Fong DY, Ho J, Ching CK, Chen JS. Helicobacter pylori eradication to prevent gastric cancer in a high-risk region of China: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 187-194 [PMID: 14722144]

P- Reviewers: Shimatani T, Tovey FI S- Editor: Gou SX L- Editor: A E- Editor: Wang CH







## Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.