

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

MLO Med Lab Obs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 02.

Published in final edited form as: *MLO Med Lab Obs.* 2013 March ; 45(3): 16–20.

Sensitivity and specificity of a new cryptococcal antigen lateral flow assay in serum and cerebrospinal fluid

Jeffrey D. Klausner, MD, MPH [Professor of Medicine and Public Health],

Division of Infectious Diseases, Center for World Health and Department of Epidemiology UCLA-David Geffen School of Medicine and Fielding School of Public Health

Tara Vijayan, MD, MPH [Fellow], and

Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

Tom Chiller, MD, MPHTM [Deputy Branch Chief]

Mycotic Diseases Branch, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Introduction

Cryptococcus neoformans and *C. gattii* are ubiquitous environmental pathogens and major causes of opportunistic infection in immunocompromised individuals. Among patients with HIV/AIDS, cryptococcal disease contributes to more than 600.000 deaths per year globally.¹ (Please visit www.mlo-online/201303ci) to read the references for this article). Sub-Saharan Africa has the greatest burden of disease with more than 75% of the cases and 80% of the deaths due to cryptococcal disease worldwide.¹ Furthermore, in the United States cryptococcal infection is increasingly recognized as a major cause of invasive fungal infection in solid organ transplant recipients. A recent review of more than 1,000 such patients identified cryptococcal infection as the third-most common fungal infection, following candida species and aspergillus species.² The limited use of strategies to diagnose cryptococcal disease early, prior to the onset of meningitis, likely contributes to ongoing morbidity and mortality, particularly in low-resource settings.

Currently, the diagnostic tests most commonly used in the developed world for identifying cryptococcal antigen are the latex agglutination test (LA) and the enzyme immunoassay (EIA). In resource-limited settings, both are difficult to use, as they require refrigeration, pretreatment with additional enzymes such as pronase in the case of the LA, and specialized equipment such as a spectrophotometer in the case of the EIA.³ Use of the EIA often also results in a delayed turnaround time due to batch testing as well as the wastage of 96-well plates due to low sample volume.

In 2009, a new lateral flow assay (LFA) was developed that enables the rapid detection of the cryptococcal polysaccharide capsule glucuoronoxylomannan. Similar to other point-of-care diagnostic tests, the LFA functions as a dip-stick.⁴ (Please visit www.mlo-onUne.com/ 201303ci) to view a **Figure** that illustrates a schematic showing operation of lateral flow immunochromatographic assay for the detection of cryptococcal antigen and images of

Neither Dr Chiller nor Dr. Klausner has conflicts of interest to report.

positive and negative LFA.) The assay utilizes monoclonal antibodies with broad reactivity across the four major cryptococcal serotypes (A and D for *var neoformans*, B and C for *vargattii*) and provides both qualitative and semi-quantitative results in ten minutes with no specimen pretreatment.⁴ Test materials do not require any refrigeration or cold-chain transport. Quantitative titers may be ascertained by mixing the specimen with diluent solution; the highest sample dilution that produces a positive result is considered the LFA titer.⁴

In July 2011, the Food and Drug Administration cleared the LFA for use in serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) specimens based on a high level of agreement with the LA. We aimed to review the current available literature and data on the test performance characteristics of the cryptococcal antigen LFA in serum and CSF specimens.

Methods

We conducted a review of published literature and conference abstracts through August 21, 2012, to identify studies on the performance of the LFA in serum and CSF specimens. Searches were conducted on PubMed and Web of Science and were restricted to studies written in English. We used the keywords "cryptococcus" and "lateral flow assay" to define our search. Additional queries that included the keywords "diagnostic tests" and "cryptococcal antigen" did not yield any further information. Additional abstracts were obtained from specific conference meetings. We calculated the median (and range) of reported test performance values, percent sensitivity, and percent specificity.

Data regarding analytic sensitivity and specificity were obtained from the Food and Drug Administration submission file for the LFA. The analytic serotype sensitivities for the LFA, two currently available LAs, and an ELISA were compared using purified glucuronoxylomannan from multiple strains of four serotypes of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *C. gattii*. Purified glucuronoxylomannan was run in each of the four assays at identical concentrations, following the manufacturer's instructions for each assay.

The analytic specificity was measured by running clinical specimens with potentially crossreacting pathogens such as *Pénicillium, Sporothrix, Blastomyces, Coccidioides, Histoplasma*, and *Candida* as well as interferents such as rheumatoid factor, bilirubin, and lipoprotein. Cross-reactivity with *Aspergillus* species was determined using ten clinical specimens that were positive *for Aspergillus* galactomannan (using the Bio-Rad Platelia[™] *Aspergillus* AG kit at an index value cut-off of 0.5) as well as three specimens spiked with culture filtrates from four different *Aspergillus* species at different concentrations. Due to the unavailability of clinical specimens with *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*, three specimens spiked with culture filtrates from *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* at different concentrations were also used to confirm analytic specificity.

Results

We identified seven conference abstracts⁵⁻¹¹ and two full-length published articles through August 2012.¹²⁻¹³ Six abstracts and the two full-length articles reported data on serum

MLO Med Lab Obs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 02.

specimens and five abstracts included data on CSF specimens (Please visit www.mloonline.com/201303ci) to view a **Table** that summarizes those data.)

In serum specimens, the median sensitivity was 100% (95.6%, 100%) and the median specificity was 99.5% (95.7%, 100%). In CSF specimens, the median sensitivity was 100% (96.2%, 100%) and the median specificity was 97.7% (70.4%, 100%).

The analytic sensitivity of the LFA was demonstrated to be consistently superior to that of the LA or ELISA across all four serotypes by as much as 200-fold (range 19-222-fold). The analytic specificity of the LFA also remained high, with very few instances of cross-reaction. One out of ten specimens that had a positive *Aspergillus* galactomannan test was LFA positive, at a very low *Aspergillus* galactomannan index value of 1.04. The range of positive galactomannan index values was 0.68-1.38; specimens with galactomannan index values higher than 1.04 did not demonstrate cross-reactivity, nor did any specimens with high concentrations of *Axpergillus* species culture filtrates. Antigens from *Paracoccidioide.s bra.siliensis* exhibited some cross-reactivity at high concentrations. Out often specimens with elevated rheumatoid factor levels (range 112 IU/mL to 6479 IU/mL), none were LFA positive. Testing was also performed on five icteric, five hemolyzed, and five lipemic senim specimens, and no reactivity was observed.

One study directly compared the processing times of the LFA, LA, and an EIA. The time it took to process 20 serum specimens was 17 minutes with the LFA, 70 minutes with the LA, and 50 minutes with the EIA.⁶

Discussion

The available published and publicly available data suggest that the cryptococ-cal antigen LFA is highly sensitive and specific for the detection of cryptococcal infection in serum and CSF specimens in immunocompromised patients. A direct comparison using different concentrations of glucuronoxylomannan suggests that the LFA has greater analytic sensitivity at lower cryptococcal antigen concentrations than the EIA or LA across all four serotypes. In addition, several studies included an additional referent to reconcile discordant results confirming that the LFA was a true positive when the EIA or LA was negative, thereby further supporting the increased sensitivity of the LFA. For example, when the LA was used as the referent to measure agreement, the EIA was used to reconcile discordant results. The LFA was more often concordant with the "confirmatory" assay than the initial comparison assay. Furthermore, the analytic specificity demonstrated little cross-reactivity.

There were a few limitations to our study. First, the absolute number of published reports was small. Second, our median sensitivities and specificities were calculated using different referents due to the heterogeneity of the study protocols. However, given how tightly clustered the values of the sensitivities and specificities were (with only one outlying specificity measurement), this heterogeneity is not likely significant. Third, we did not include additional unpublished abstracts reporting the sensitivity and specificity of the cryptococcal antigen LFA that we found through communicating with other researchers. We chose to include only peer-reviewed published manuscripts and published scientific

MLO Med Lab Obs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 02.

conference abstracts in order to ensure the validity of the findings. The published findings were reproducible across different clinical sites and study populations and with different investigators, further enhancing the validity of the results.

The cryptococcal antigen LFA meets the World Health Organization's ASSURED criteria for being affordable, sensitive, specific, user-friendly, rapid and robust, equipment free, and deliverable to end-users.¹⁴ The simplicity of the assay, which can essentially function as a dipstick, makes it user-friendly, requiring little training of laboratory personnel. As with the LA, results may be subject to interpretation. But given the rapid turnaround time and the limited infrastructure needed beyond whole blood collection and serum separation, the accessibility of the LFA is superior to the LA. Furthermore, the data suggest that the test characteristics of the LFA outperform all of the other current standard diagnostic tests for the detection of cryptococcal antigen.

Screening asymptomatic individuals with HIV/AIDS for cryptococcal disease is also more cost-effective with the LFA than with the LA.¹⁵ In a region with an approximate 8% prevalence of cryptococcal antigenemia, the LFA would cost \$28.37 to detect one case of asymptomatic cryptococcal disease, or less than 15% of the cost of the LA, \$190.¹⁵ Similarly, when taking into account tluconazole pre-emptive treatment, the use of the LA in a screening and treatment program would cost \$266 to prevent one death in a region of similar prevalence, while the LFA would cost \$39.73.¹⁵

The accuracy of the LFA has yet to be determined in other analytes such as urine, saliva, and whole blood specimens. The testing of such specimens is promising, particularly when used in conjunction with other whole blood or urine point of care tests to measure CD4 T-cell counts and to detect *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.¹⁶ Given the superior performance, low cost, and comparatively low technological needs of the LFA for seram and CSF specimens, incorporating the cryptococcal antigen LFA in laboratory settings should be prioritized in settings with an increased prevalence of cryptococcosis. Last, consideration should be given to establishing the cryptococcal antigen LFA as the current gold standard for the detection of cryptococcal infection.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Vijayan received a travel grant from the Infectious Disease Society of America and from Immuno-Mycologics, Inc. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Joy Pelfrey in the collection of data and preparation of this manuscript

Biographies







MLO Med Lab Obs. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 02.