

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JAm Coll Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 03.

Published in final edited form as: J Am Coll Nutr. 2012 December ; 31(6): 401–407.

DASH Eating Pattern Is Associated with Favorable Left Ventricular Function in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

Ha T. Nguyen, PhD, MPH, Alain G. Bertoni, MD, MPH, Jennifer A. Nettleton, PhD, David A. Bluemke, MD, PhD, Emily B. Levitan, ScD, and Gregory L. Burke, MD, MSc Department of Family and Community Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina (H.T.N.), Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina (A.G.B., G.L.B.), Division of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas (J.A.N.), Radiology and Imaging Sciences, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, Bethesda, Maryland (D.A.B.), Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama (E.B.L.)

Abstract

Objective—Potential associations between consistency with the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet and preclinical stages of heart failure (HF) in a large multiethnic cohort have not been evaluated. This study sought to determine the cross-sectional relationship between the DASH eating pattern and left ventricular (LV) function in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).

Design—A total of 4506 men and women from four ethnic groups (40% white, 24% African American, 22% Hispanic American, and 14% Chinese American) aged 45–84 years and free of clinical cardiovascular disease (CVD) were studied. Diet was assessed using a validated food-frequency questionnaire. LV functional parameters including end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and LV ejection fraction were measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Multivariate analyses were conducted to examine the association between LV function and DASH eating pattern (including high consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, poultry, fish, nuts, and low-fat dairy products and low consumption of red meat, sweets, and sugar-sweetened beverages).

Results—A 1-unit increase in DASH eating pattern score was associated with a 0.26 ml increase in end-diastolic volume and increases of 0.10 ml/m^2 in stroke volume, adjusted for key confounders. A 1-unit increase in DASH eating pattern score was also associated with a 0.04% increase in ejection fraction, but the relationship was marginally significant (p = 0.08).

Conclusions—In this population, greater DASH diet consistency is associated with favorable LV function. DASH dietary patterns could be protective against HF.

Keywords

DASH diet; LV function; preclinical heart failure

Address reprint requests to: Ha Nguyen, PhD, MPH, Department of Family & Community Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Medical Center Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27157-1084. hnguyen@wakehealth.edu.

None of the authors had a conflict of interest to report.

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a serious and progressive disorder that often begins with left ventricular (LV) systolic and diastolic dysfunction [1–3]. Subclinical LV dysfunction has been linked to higher rates of HF and is considered a precursor to the development of clinically overt HF [4,5]. Recent HF guidelines emphasize the prevention of HF by targeting its preclinical stages and treating known risk factors that may substantially reduce the risk for HF [6,7]. The effectiveness of dietary modifications in the prevention and treatment of various types of cardiovascular diseases is well recognized [8,9], and dietary patterns similar to the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet have shown an inverse association with HF [10–12].

The DASH diet emphasizes consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, poultry, fish, nuts, and low-fat dairy products and minimizes consumption of red meat, sweets, and sugarsweetened beverages. The DASH diet has attracted much attention due to its evidence-based beneficial effects on blood pressure [13–17]. High blood pressure is associated with functional changes in the heart and blood vessels, including impaired LV function [18–20]. Consequently, this suggests that a DASH-type diet could also be of benefit in reducing the risk of LV dysfunction. The potentially beneficial outcomes of consistency with a DASH-type diet on LV functions, including end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and LV ejection fraction, in a large multiethnic cohort have not been evaluated.

We examined the cross-sectional association between the DASH eating pattern and LV function in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). We hypothesized that greater consistency with the DASH diet would be associated with better LV function, a risk factor considered to be involved in the preclinical stages of HF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The MESA is a population-based sample of 6814 men and women from four ethnic groups (38% white, 28% African American, 22% Hispanic, and 12% Chinese) aged 45–84 years without known clinical cardiovascular disease (CVD) prior to recruitment. A full description of MESA is available elsewhere [21]. Briefly, participants were recruited from 6 US communities: Baltimore City and Baltimore County, MD; Chicago, IL; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; northern Manhattan and Bronx, NY; and St Paul, MN. The MESA protocols were approved by each field center's institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Exclusion criteria included a self-reported medical history of heart attack, angina, cardiovascular procedures, HF, cerebrovascular disease, active treatment for cancer, or pregnancy. For this cross-sectional analysis, we used baseline data from 2000–2002 and included participants with diet data using the food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ), complete cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, measures of LV function, and laboratory data.

Dietary Assessment

Usual dietary intake was assessed using a 120-item FFQ [22]. The FFQ was developed in the validated Block format and was based on the FFQ used in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. It has been validated in non-Hispanic white, African American, and Hispanic individuals [23] and later modified for use in the MESA by including Chinese foods and culinary practices [24]. Participants recorded serving size (small, medium, or large) and frequency of consumption of specific beverage and food items. Nine frequency options were given, ranging from "rare or never" to a maximum of "2 × times per day" for foods and a maximum of "6 × times per day" for beverages. Servings per day for each item were calculated as the product of the reported frequency and serving size (small weighted by 0.5, medium by 1.0, and large by 1.50).

DASH Eating Pattern Score

Consistency with the DASH diet was assessed using an established *a priori* index that has been associated with the risk of cardiovascular events in previous epidemiological studies [12,25]. The score comprises individual quintile ranks for 8 food groups: (1) fruits, (2) vegetables, (3) whole grains, (4) nuts and legumes, (5) low-fat dairy products, (6) red meat and processed meats, (7) sweetened beverages, and (8) sodium [12,25]. For favorable food groups (fruits, vegetables, whole grains, nuts and legumes, and low-fat dairy products), the highest quintile is assigned 5 points, and the lowest quintile is assigned 1 point. For unfavorable food groups (red and processed meats, sweetened beverages, and sodium), reverse quintile scoring is applied. Quintile ranks are then summed to obtain a DASH eating-pattern component score (theoretical range, 8–40). Higher scores indicate greater consistency with the DASH diet.

Left Ventricular Function Assessments

Cardiac MRI was performed using 1.5-Tesla magnets at each center. The MESA MRI protocol has been described in detail elsewhere [26]. Briefly, imaging was performed with a 4-element, phased-array surface coil placed anteriorly and posteriorly, electrocardiogram gating, and brachial artery blood pressure monitoring. Cine MRI of the heart with temporal resolution 50 milliseconds was used to determine LV functional parameters, with imaging data analyzed using commercially available software (MASS, version 4.2; Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands) by MESA-trained readers at a single reading center. End-systolic volume and end-diastolic volume were measured. Stroke volume was defined as end-diastolic volume minus end-systolic volume. Stroke volume was indexed according to body surface area (BSA). BSA (m²) was calculated as $0.20247 \times \text{height (m)}^{0.725} \times \text{weight (kg)}^{0.425}$ [26]. LV ejection fraction was defined as (stroke volume / end-diastolic volume) × 100. The interreader intraclass correlation coefficients were 0.98 for end-diastolic volume, 0.94 for stroke volume, and 0.81 for ejection fraction [26].

Covariates

Standardized questionnaires and calibrated devices were used to obtain personal characteristics, including gender, age, education level, race/ethnicity, body mass index (BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), cigarette

smoking (none, former, and current), total daily energy intake, alcohol intake (grams of ethanol per day), moderate/vigorous physical activity (moderate/vigorous metabolic equivalent tasks per minute per week), medical conditions, and current prescription medication usage. Resting seated blood pressure was measured three times using a Dinamap automated oscillometric sphygmomanometer (model Pro 100; Critikon, Tampa, FL); the last two measurements were averaged for analysis. Fasting blood glucose and lipids were analyzed at a central laboratory. Individuals were considered to have diabetes if they replied yes to the question "Has a doctor ever told you that you had diabetes?" and/or the medication inventory included hypoglycemic drugs or if fasting blood glucose was 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl).

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the study sample. Baseline characteristics were reported as mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. We examined participant characteristics and LV indices by quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score. A χ^2 statistic was used to examine differences in categorical variables. Tests for linear trend were conducted by entering the median value in each quintile of the DASH score and modeling them as a continuous predictor. Partial correlations adjusted for gender, age, educational level, and race/ethnicity were used to determine relationships between individual DASH food group components and LV functional parameters.

A series of multiple regression models were used to estimate the independent relationship between the DASH eating pattern as the primary predictor and each of the LV functional parameters as the outcomes. Model 1 was adjusted for demographic variables (gender, age, and educational level); CVD risk factors (BMI, cigarette smoking, high-density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein [LDL] cholesterol, diabetes mellitus, and systolic blood pressure); clinical variables (use of diabetes medications and use of blood pressure-lowering medications); and lifestyle factors (alcohol intake and physical activity). Model 2 included all factors from Model 1 and added energy intake to reduce measurement error in the assessment of dietary factors included in the DASH eating pattern score. Model 3 included all components of Model 2 and additionally adjusted for race/ethnicity to test whether it explained the associations of LV function with the DASH eating pattern. Model 1 also initially included interaction terms between the DASH eating pattern with gender, age, and educational level. We found no statistically significant interactions, and therefore, no interaction terms were included in the analysis. In sensitivity analyses, we examined the robustness of results by excluding participants with baseline diabetes mellitus. These individuals may receive nutrition counseling and may influence diet reporting and confound the observed dietary effects. Results in those with diabetes were not different when compared with those in the total sample. Hence, we report results for the total sample. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of the 6814 MESA participants, 5004 (73%) agreed to undergo MRI and had technically adequate cardiac MRI data. We also excluded 253 individuals on the basis of extreme total

Nguyen et al.

energy intakes (<600 or >6000 kcal/d) to reduce measurement error in the DASH eating pattern score. This sample of 4751 was further reduced to the 4507 participants who completed the FFQ and had complete laboratory data. Compared with those who were excluded, those included were about 2 years younger, had lower systolic blood pressure (4.3 mm Hg lower), and were less likely to have treated hypertension (7.0% less) or diabetes (3.0% less). There were a total of 2394 women and 2172 men in the present cross-sectional analysis. They had a mean age of 61.6 years; 40% were white, 14% were Chinese American, 24% were African American, and 22% were Hispanic American.

Participant characteristics and LV function by quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score are presented in Table 1. The observed range of the DASH eating pattern score was 11 to 39. There were significant differences in gender, age, education, race/ethnicity, smoking status, alcohol intake, and use of blood pressure-lowering medications across quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score. Participants in the higher quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score generally had lower BMIs, lower energy intakes, and higher HDL concentrations. Significant linear trends were observed in mean end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and ejection fraction across quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score. We examined partial correlations (adjusted for gender, age, educational level, and race/ethnicity) between individual DASH components and LV parameters (Table 2). Although many of the individual DASH components showed some associations with LV indices, the correlations were entirely of small magnitude.

Table 3 presents the association between DASH eating pattern and LV function. Consistency with DASH diet was significantly associated with end-diastolic volume and stroke volume, adjusted for gender, age, educational level, BMI, cigarette smoking, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, use of diabetes medications, use of blood pressure-lowering medications, alcohol intake, and physical activity (Model 1). A 1-unit increase in the DASH eating pattern score was associated with a 0.31 ml increase in end-diastolic volume and increases of 0.12 ml/m² in stroke volume. Further adjusting for energy intake (Model 2) and race/ethnicity (Model 3) did not alter these relationships. In the full model adjusted for race/ethnicity, a 1-unit increase in the DASH eating pattern score was associated with a 0.04% increase in ejection fraction, but the relationship was marginally significant (p = 0.08).

DISCUSSION

The MESA study is the first epidemiologic study that has used cardiac MRI in a large ethnically diverse cohort to study the relationship between DASH eating pattern and LV function. Greater consistency with DASH diet was associated with favorable end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and ejection fraction, adjusting for potential confounders. Results are consistent with previous studies that have yielded positive or at least encouraging data supporting DASH diet consistency and lower rates of HF and other cardiovascular events [12,13,27]. These results suggest that DASH-type diets represent a potentially effective strategy to reduce the incidence of HF.

Nguyen et al.

We find that end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and ejection fraction all are in a beneficial direction with respect to HF. LV function, particularly decreased end-diastolic volume, has been described as an independent risk factor for the future development of HF and cardiac death [28–30]. The relationship between ejection fraction and incident HF has not been studied extensively, specifically in individuals without prevalent cardiovascular disease at enrollment. Our study participants had no known cardiovascular disease at baseline, and ejection fraction in this asymptomatic group has not been predictive of HF [31]. Despite the high relative risk of LV dysfunction, the actual risk of this for HF depends on multiple parameters, especially long-standing hypertension, and other factors such as age, gender, clinical coronary heart disease, and heart rate [30,32]. Furthermore, the general applicability of our results regarding the association of LV function with HF may be limited because we focus on early subclinical changes in LV function linked to the DASH diet. Nonetheless, the HF practice guidelines have emphasized the efficacy of therapy to prevent or delay the progression of preclinical LV dysfunction to HF [6].

The potentially beneficial association between the DASH diet and LV parameters (and HF) may be the result of some of its food components such as fruits and vegetables, nuts and legumes, and low intake of sodium. For example, the gradual reduction in CVD risks (1.0, 0.78, 0.72, 0.68, and 0.68) for increasing quintiles of total fruit and vegetable intake suggested a possible dose-response effect [33]; there is a reduced risk (range, 0.43 to 0.82) of coronary heart disease for individuals who consumed nuts more than 5 times/week compared with no consumption [34,35]; and a low sodium-DASH diet was associated with lowering of systolic and diastolic blood pressures [13,18]. Hence, individual food components of the DASH diet can substantially prevent and control high blood pressure and associated CVD. However, numerous studies now suggest the examination of composite dietary patterns such as the DASH diet in order to capture food dimensions that may be missed by single nutrients but also to overcome potential interactions and intercorrelations among single nutrients and foods [17,36,37]. Additionally, in a partial correlation analysis adjusting for gender, age, education, and race/ethnicity, we found small-magnitude correlations between individual components of the DASH diet and LV function. Our finding shows little support for the association between individual components of the DASH diet and LV function in this cohort without clinical cardiovascular disease.

The analysis is one of the first large-scale investigations of the potentially beneficial outcomes of consistency with a DASH-type diet on LV function in a multiethnic cohort, increasing the external validity of the findings. LV function was precisely determined by MRI. Measures for multiple potential confounders were assessed with standardized questionnaires and calibrated devices and adjusted for in the analyses. Dietary data were assessed with a widely used instrument that has been validated in minority populations. We examined a composite dietary pattern, the DASH diet, that has been found to be effective in reducing clinic-measured blood pressure [13,16], lowering plasma levels of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol [38], and cardiovascular biomarkers of risk such as pulse wave velocity and baroreflex sensivitity [39].

Findings are limited by the cross-sectional design and therefore cannot address the temporal relation between dietary intake and LV function. Future prospective studies are needed to

confirm these results. The effects of DASH eating pattern on LV function may constitute an acceptable basis for estimating potential impact of future HF events. However, previous studies suggest that intermediate variables may not suffice to confidently project hard end points. For example, the Lyon Diet Heart Study revealed that plasma lipids, as intermediate variables, could not explain the absolute risk of CVD conferred by the Mediterranean diet [40]. Other studies documented the absolute risk of coronary heart disease that varied substantially at the same level of plasma cholesterol, possibly due to differences in dietary habits [41,42]. Our study of LV function may be useful in understanding the mechanistic pathways of DASH diet benefits, but future studies are needed to evaluate the impact of DASH diet on LV function and subsequent hard clinical end points including HF. Finally, as in any observational study, concern remains about residual confounding, despite our controlling for CVD risk factors and lifestyle factors.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study finds associations of end-diastolic volume, stroke volume, and ejection fraction with greater consistency with the DASH diet, emphasizing fruits, vegetables, whole grains, poultry, fish, nuts, and low-fat dairy products while reducing consumption of red meat, sweets, and sugar-sweetened beverages. Our cross-sectional study provides an opportunity to examine preclinical stages of HF as mechanistic pathways of DASH diet benefits. Ultimately, future prospective studies are needed to determine the association between DASH dietary exposures and heart failure.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by contracts N01-HC-95159 through N01-HC-95169 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org.

Abbreviations

CVD	cardiovascular disease
DASH	Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension
FFQ	food-frequency questionnaire
HF	heart failure
LV	left ventricular
MESA	Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
MET	metabolic equivalent tasks
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
Q	quintile
SD	standard deviation

References

- 1. Dominguez LJ, Parrinello G, Amato P, Licata G. Trends of congestive heart failure epidemiology: contrast with clinical trial results. Cardiologia. 1999; 44:801–808. [PubMed: 10609389]
- 2. Kuznetsova T, Herbots L, Lopez B, Jin Y, Richart T, Thijs L, Gonzalez A, Herregods MC, Fagard RH, Diez J. Prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in a general population. Circ Heart Fail. 2009; 2:105–112. [PubMed: 19808325]
- 3. Mann DL. Mechanisms and models in heart failure: a combinatorial approach. Circulation. 1999; 100:999–1008. [PubMed: 10468532]
- 4. Davies M, Hobbs F, Davis R, Kenkre J, Roalfe AK, Hare R, Wosornu D, Lancashire RJ. Prevalence of left-ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure in the Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening Study: a population-based study. Lancet. 2001; 358:439–444. [PubMed: 11513906]
- Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Burnett JC Jr, Mahoney DW, Bailey KR, Rodeheffer RJ. Burden of systolic and diastolic ventricular dysfunction in the community: appreciating the scope of the heart failure epidemic. JAMA. 2003; 289:194–202. [PubMed: 12517230]
- 6. Hunt SA. ACC/AHA 2005 guideline update for the diagnosis and management of chronic heart failure in the adult: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to update the 2001 Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Heart Failure). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 46:E1–E82. [PubMed: 16168273]
- 7. Schocken DD, Benjamin EJ, Fonarow GC, Krumholz HM, Levy D, Mensah GA, Narula J, Shor ES, Young JB, Hong Y. Prevention of heart failure: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Epidemiology and Prevention, Clinical Cardiology, Cardiovascular Nursing, and High Blood Pressure Research; Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group; and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary Working Group. Circulation. 2008; 117:2544–2565. [PubMed: 18391114]
- Kafatos A, Diacatou A, Voukiklaris G, Nikolakakis N, Vlacho-nikolis J, Kounali D, Mamalakis G, Dontas AS. Heart disease risk-factor status and dietary changes in the Cretan population over the past 30 y: the Seven Countries Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997; 65:1882–1886. [PubMed: 9174487]
- Reddy KS, Katan MB. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. Public Health Nutr. 2004; 7:167–186. [PubMed: 14972059]
- Djousse L, Gaziano JM. Breakfast cereals and risk of heart failure in the Physicians' Health Study I. Arch Intern Med. 2007; 167:2080–2085. [PubMed: 17954802]
- Djousse L, Rudich T, Gaziano JM. Nut consumption and risk of heart failure in the Physicians' Health Study I. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008; 88:930–933. [PubMed: 18842778]
- Levitan EB, Wolk A, Mittleman MA. Consistency with the DASH diet and incidence of heart failure. Arch Intern Med. 2009; 169:851–857. [PubMed: 19433696]
- Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, Bray GA, Vogt TM, Cutler JA, Windhauser MM. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med. 1997; 336:1117–1124. [PubMed: 9099655]
- Appel LJ. Lifestyle modification as a means to prevent and treat high blood pressure. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003; 14:S99–S102. [PubMed: 12819311]
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Your Guide to Lowering your Blood Pressure with DASH. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, NLHBI; 2006.
- 16. Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM, Appel LJ, Bray GA, Harsha D, Obarzanek E, Conlin PR, Miller ER 3rd, Simons-Morton DG. Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) Diet. DASH-Sodium Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:3–10. [PubMed: 11136953]
- Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, Cooper LS, Obarzanek E, Elmer PJ, Stevens VJ, Vollmer WM, Lin PH, Svetkey LP. Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the premier clinical trial. JAMA. 2003; 289:2083–2093. [PubMed: 12709466]

- He J, Ogden LG, Bazzano LA, Vupputuri S, Loria C, Whelton PK. Risk factors for congestive heart failure in US men and women: NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-Up Study. Arch Intern Med. 2001; 161:996–1002. [PubMed: 11295963]
- Heckbert SR, Post W, Pearson GD, Arnett DK, Gomes AS, Jerosch-Herold M, Hundley WG, Lima JA, Bluemke DA. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors in relation to left ventricular mass, volume, and systolic function by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 48:2285–2292. [PubMed: 17161261]
- 20. Levy D, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Kannel WB, Ho KK. The progression from hypertension to congestive heart failure. JAMA. 1996; 275:1557–1562. [PubMed: 8622246]
- 21. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, Greenland P, Jacob DR Jr, Kronmal R, Liu K, Nelson JC, O'Leary D, Saad MF, Shea S, Szklo M, Tracy RP. Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. Am J Epidemiol. 2002; 156:871–881. [PubMed: 12397006]
- Nettleton JA, Steffen LM, Mayer-Davis EJ, Jenny NS, Jiang R, Herrington DM, Jacobs DR Jr. Dietary patterns are associated with biochemical markers of inflammation and endothelial activation in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Am J Clin Nutr. 2006; 83:1369– 1379. [PubMed: 16762949]
- Mayer-Davis EJ, Vitolins MZ, Carmichael SL, Hemphill S, Tsaroucha G, Rushing J, Levin S. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency interview in a multi-cultural epidemiology study. Ann Epidemiol. 1999; 9:314–324. [PubMed: 10976858]
- Nettleton JA, Rock CL, Wang Y, Jenny NS, Jacobs DR. Associations between dietary macronutrient intake and plasma lipids demonstrate criterion performance of the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) food-frequency questionnaire. Br J Nutr. 2009; 102:1220–1227. [PubMed: 19454126]
- Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, Rexrode KM, Logroscino G, Hu FB. Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. Arch Intern Med. 2008; 168:713–720. [PubMed: 18413553]
- 26. Natori S, Lai S, Finn JP, Gomes AS, Hundley WG, Jerosch-Herold M, Pearson G, Sinha S, Arai A, Lima JA. Cardiovascular function in Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: normal values by age, sex, and ethnicity. Am J Roentgenol. 2006; 186:S357–S365. [PubMed: 16714609]
- Folsom AR, Parker ED, Harnack LJ. Degree of concordance with DASH diet guidelines and incidence of hypertension and fatal cardiovascular disease. Am J Hypertens. 2007; 20:225–232. [PubMed: 17324731]
- Aurigemma GP, Gottdiener JS, Shemanski L, Gardin J, Kitzman D. Predictive value of systolic and diastolic function for incident congestive heart failure in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001; 37:1042–1048. [PubMed: 11263606]
- Bella JN, Palmieri V, Roman MJ, Liu JE, Welty TK, Lee ET, Fabsitz RR, Howard BV, Devereux RB. Mitral ratio of peak early to late diastolic filling velocity as a predictor of mortality in middleaged and elderly adults: the Strong Heart Study. Circulation. 2002; 105:1928–1933. [PubMed: 11997279]
- 30. Gottdiener JS, Arnold AM, Aurigemma GP, Polak JF, Tracy RP, Kitzman DW, Gardin JM, Rutledge JE, Boineau RC. Predictors of congestive heart failure in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000; 35:1628–1637. [PubMed: 10807470]
- Bluemke DA, Kronmal RA, Lima JA, Liu K, Olson J, Burke GL, Folsom AR. The relationship of left ventricular mass and geometry to incident cardiovascular events: the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52:2148–2155. [PubMed: 19095132]
- Roman MJ, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE, Pini R, Devereux RB. Relation of arterial structure and function to left ventricular geometric patterns in hypertensive adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996; 28:751–756. [PubMed: 8772767]
- Liu S, Manson JE, Lee IM, Cole SR, Hennekens CH, Willett WC, Buring JE. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: the Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000; 72:922–928. [PubMed: 11010932]
- Fraser GE. Nut consumption, lipids, and risk of a coronary event. Clin Cardiol. 1999; 22:III11–15. [PubMed: 10410300]

Nguyen et al.

- Hu FB, Stampfer MJ. Nut consumption and risk of coronary heart disease: a review of epidemiologic evidence. Curr Atheroscler Rep. 1999; 1:204–209. [PubMed: 11122711]
- Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2002; 13:3–9. [PubMed: 11790957]
- 37. Jacobs DR JR, Steffen LM. Nutrients, foods, and dietary patterns as exposures in research: a framework for food synergy. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003; 78:S508–S513.
- 38. Obarzanek E, Sacks FM, Vollmer WM, Bray GA, Miller ER 3rd, Lin PH, Karanja NM, Most-Windhauser MM, Moore TJ, Swain JF. Effects on blood lipids of a blood pressure-lowering diet: the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2001; 74:80–89. [PubMed: 11451721]
- 39. Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Hinderliter A, Watkins LL, Craig-head L, Lin PH, Caccia C, Johnson J, Waugh R, Sherwood A. Effects of the DASH diet alone and in combination with exercise and weight loss on blood pressure and cardiovascular biomarkers in men and women with high blood pressure: the Encore Study. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170:126–135. [PubMed: 20101007]
- 40. de Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Delaye J, Mamelle N. Mediterranean diet, traditional risk factors, and the rate of cardiovascular complications after myocardial infarction: final report of the Lyon Diet Heart Study. Circulation. 1999; 99:779–785. [PubMed: 9989963]
- 41. Menotti A, Lanti M, Kromhout D, Blackburn H, Jacobs D, Nissinen A, Dontas A, Kafatos A, Nedeljkovic S, Adachi H. Homogeneity in the relationship of serum cholesterol to coronary deaths across different cultures: 40-year follow-up of the Seven Countries Study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2008; 15:719–725. [PubMed: 19050437]
- 42. Verschuren WM, Jacobs DR, Bloemberg BP, Kromhout D, Menotti A, Aravanis C, Blackburn H, Buzina R, Dontas AS, Fidanza F. Serum total cholesterol and long-term coronary heart disease mortality in different cultures. Twenty-five-year follow-up of the Seven Countries Study. JAMA. 1995; 274:131–136. [PubMed: 7596000]

Q1Q2Q3Q4 $11-21$ $22-24$ $25-26$ $27-29$ $11-21$ $22-24$ $25-26$ $27-29$ $11-21$ $22-24$ $25-26$ $27-29$ 8.9 43.0 53.5 56.3 33.9 8.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 9.9 13.7 $11.1.0$ 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 32.1 34.3 33.4 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 10.3 32.1 34.3 33.4 32.1 110.2 55.4 ± 10.2 10.3 56.6 ± 14.3 51.4 ± 10.2 110.4 56.7 ± 5460.9 148.9 ± 741.3 110.4 56.7 ± 5460.9 148.9 ± 741.3 110.4 56.4 ± 14.3 51.1 ± 10.3 50.4 56.4 ± 14.3 51.4 ± 10.2 50.4 56.4 ± 14.3 51.1 ± 10.3 50.4 56.1 ± 16.3 $51.4 \pm $			DAS	DASH Eating Pattern Score	core		
II-2I $22-24$ $25-26$ $27-29$ rical variables ⁶ (%) 38.9 43.0 55.5 56.3 ale 38.9 43.0 53.5 56.3 ale 33.9 34.3 42.6 43.9 eee American 9.9 18.7 14.6 13.9 ere American 32.7 23.0 22.0 21.5 anic American 32.7 23.0 22.0 21.5 anic American 23.4 23.4 24.0 20.7 anic American 23.4 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 ere American 23.4 23.7 23.0 22.0 21.1 20.7 anic American 23.4 23.7 24.1 8.3 27.0 ere American 23.7 23.4 37.0 20.7 20.7 ere American 23.4 23.4 37.0 20.7 20.7 ere American 23.4 23.4 37.0 20.6 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Q1</th><th>Q2</th><th>Q3</th><th>Q4</th><th>Q5</th><th><i>p</i> value</th></t<>		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	<i>p</i> value
38.943.053.556.333.943.053.556.333.934.342.643.99.918.714.613.99.918.714.613.99.918.714.613.923.423.022.021.523.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.410.313.711.010.313.711.011.07.910.28.58.49(d)7.910.28.58(d)69.4 ± 9.560.5 ± 9.861.3 ± 10.228.5 ± 53.327.7 ± 4.927.6 ± 4.627.5 ± 4.89(d)6.9 ± 16.85.6 ± 14.35.1 ± 10.39(d)6.9 ± 16.85.6 ± 13.9117.2 ± 31.49(d)171.2 ± 31.8124.9 ± 21.3117.2 ± 31.49(d)131.2 ± 31.812	Range	11–21	22–24	25–26	27–29	30–39	
38.943.053.556.333.934.342.643.933.934.342.643.99.918.714.613.932.723.022.021.532.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.710.313.711.48.310.313.711.48.310.313.711.011.010.313.711.011.010.313.711.111.010.313.711.111.010.313.711.111.010.313.711.111.010.313.711.111.010.310.28.58.410.437.433.437.0s7.927.6 ± 4.627.5 ± 4.8nol, g(d)6.9 ± 16.85.6 ± 14.333.411.134.35.1 ± 10.34.9 ± 10.5nake (kcal)1712.0 ± 759.01547.0 ± 769.0177.2 ± 31.411.1119.2 ± 31.1116.6 ± 32.3569.5 ± 5944.9g(d1)49.0 ± 14.2568.7 ± 5469.95411.6 ± 517.23569.5 ± 5944.9g(d1)119.2 ± 31.1116.6 ± 30.2117.2 ± 31.4116.6 ± 32.3g(d1)119.2 ± 31.1116.6 ± 30.2117.2 ± 31.4116.6 ± 32.3g(d1)49.0 ± 14.2568.7 ± 5469.95411.6 ± 517.3569.5 ± 5944.9g(d1)119.2 ± 31.1 <t< td=""><td>Categorical variables^d (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Categorical variables ^d (%)						
33.934.342.643.99.918.714.613.99.918.714.613.932.723.022.021.532.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.413.711.48.310.313.711.011.0 22.8 13.711.011.0 22.8 13.711.011.0 22.8 13.711.011.0 22.8 10.28.58.4 22.8 32.134.333.437.0 32.1 34.333.437.011.0 32.1 34.333.437.0 32.1 35.4 10.28.58.5 32.1 34.333.437.0 31.4 37.0 37.4 37.0 32.1 34.3 37.4 37.0 32.1 34.3 37.4 37.0 31.4 37.4 37.4 37.0 31.4 37.4 37.4 37.5 32.4 56.4 37.3 49.4 31.4 56.4 37.4 37.5 31.4 110.2 56.7 49.4 31.4 37.5 31.4 49.6 31.4 37.5 31.4 37.5 31.4 37.5 31.4 37.5 31.4 37.7 31.4 37.5 31.4 31.6 $31.1.6$ 31.9 </td <td>Female</td> <td>38.9</td> <td>43.0</td> <td>53.5</td> <td>56.3</td> <td>67.9</td> <td><0.001</td>	Female	38.9	43.0	53.5	56.3	67.9	<0.001
9.918.714.613.932.723.022.021.532.723.022.021.523.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.424.020.720.723.413.711.48.322.810.313.711.010.313.711.011.010.313.711.011.010.313.711.011.010.332.134.333.432.134.333.437.0s32.134.333.4s5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 hold69 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 hold19.2 ± 53.3 27.7 ± 4.9 27.6 ± 4.6 112.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 hold1712.0 ± 759.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 hold19.2 ± 51.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/d1)19.2 ± 51.1 556.7 ± 546.9 541.6 ± 52.3 544.4 50.6 g/d1)19.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/d1)19.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 124.4 ± 30.6 125.1 ± 20.5 g/d1)19.1 ± 13.7 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 15.0 g/d1)19.1	White	33.9	34.3	42.6	43.9	50.4	<0.001
32.7 32.0 23.0 23.0 21.5 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 23.4 24.0 20.7 20.7 22.8 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 32.1 34.3 33.4 3.3 8.5 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 8.5 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 8.6 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 8.6 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 8.6 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 10.0 69 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 10.0 171.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 10.0 171.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 10.0 192.4 ± 11.3 5.1 ± 10.3 589.5 ± 5944.9 10.0 192.2 ± 11.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 110.0 119.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 110.0 192.4 ± 13.7 511.4 ± 20.3 519.4 ± 10.5 $9(1)$ 192.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 $110.$	Chinese American	6.6	18.7	14.6	13.9	10.6	
123.424.020.720.7more29.537.241.242.122.813.711.48.310.313.711.011.0 22.8 7.98.58.4 22.8 7.910.28.58.4 32.1 32.134.333.437.0 8 7.910.28.58.4 32.1 34.333.437.0 8 7.910.28.5 $8.4 \pm 9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 $8.4 \pm 9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 $8.4 \pm 9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 $8.4 \pm 9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 8.6 ± 10.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 16.8$ 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 16.8$ 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 9.0 $\pm 11.6 \pm 51.72.3$ 5.9 ± 5944.9 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.5 ± 249.9 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.1 ± 10.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 5.1 ± 10.3 5.1 ± 10.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 11.7 ± 31.4 11.6 ± 32.3 $9.0 c 14.2$ 11.7 $\pm 24.4 \pm 20.3$ 12.4 ± 20.9 $9.0 c 14.2$ 12.4 ± 20.3 12.4 ± 20.9 $9.0 c 14.2$ 8.3 ± 32.4 8.5 ± 30.7 $9.0 c 14.2$ 8.7 ± 20.4	African American	32.7	23.0	22.0	21.5	18.4	
more 29.5 37.2 41.2 42.1 22.8 13.7 11.4 8.3 22.8 13.7 11.4 8.3 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 13.7 11.0 11.0 10.3 32.1 34.3 8.5 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.4 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 8.6 drugs 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 $8.6 \pm 9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 $8.6 \pm 4.9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 $8.6 \pm 4.9.5 c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 4.9 ± 10.5 $110, g/d)$ 6.9 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 $110, g/d)$ 6.9 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 $1110, g/d)$ 6.9 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 568.5 ± 5944.9 $9/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.0 ± 5172.3 568.5 ± 5944.9 $g/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.0 ± 5172.3 568.5 ± 5944.9 $g/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 $g/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 32.3 51.9 ± 16.5 51.9 ± 16.5 $g/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 32.3 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 16.5 $g/d)$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 22.3 51.2 ± 32.3 51.2 ± 32.3 $g/d)$ 124.9 ± 20.3	Hispanic American	23.4	24.0	20.7	20.7	20.6	
22.813.711.48.310.313.711.011.010.313.711.011.010.313.711.011.010.432.134.38.58.410.532.134.333.437.010.632.134.333.437.010.134.334.333.437.010.134.334.334.437.011.134.337.149.5 8.4 ± 9.5 11.134.337.134.337.011.134.337.134.337.011.134.337.136.437.011.11712.056.457.649.411.11712.056.457.149.411.11712.056.451.110.511.11712.056.7569.7500.311.1119.231.1116.632.311.1119.231.1116.632.311.1119.231.1116.051.311.1119.231.1116.251.311.1119.231.2124.951.311.1119.231.3124.951.311.1119.231.2124.951.311.1119.231.2124.951.311.1119.231.2124.951.311.1111.231.231.4116.611.131.231.4116.6	College graduate or more	29.5	37.2	41.2	42.1	46.3	<0.001
10.313.711.011.0is7.910.28.58.4ic drugs32.134.33.3.437.0ic drugs32.134.333.437.0ic drugs32.134.333.437.0ic drugs32.134.333.437.0ic drugs32.134.333.437.0ic drugs28.5 \pm 5.327.7 \pm 4.927.6 \pm 4.627.5 \pm 4.8inol, g/d)6.9 \pm 16.85.6 \pm 14.35.1 \pm 10.34.9 \pm 10.5intek (kcal)1712.0 \pm 759.01547.0 \pm 769.01569.7 \pm 800.31498.9 \pm 741.3intek (kcal)1712.0 \pm 759.01547.0 \pm 769.01569.7 \pm 800.31498.9 \pm 741.3intin/wkh6384.6 \pm 7172.15568.7 \pm 5469.95411.6 \pm 5172.35689.5 \pm 5944.9g/d1)119.2 \pm 31.1116.0 \pm 30.21177.2 \pm 31.4116.6 \pm 32.3g/d1)119.2 \pm 31.1116.0 \pm 30.251.3 \pm 15.0ure (mmHg)124.4 \pm 20.3124.9 \pm 21.3124.8 \pm 20.9125.1 \pm 20.5inter (mmHg)131.2 \pm 31.8129.3 \pm 33.4126.4 \pm 30.6125.3 \pm 30.7inter (mmHg)131.2 \pm 31.486.2 \pm 18.986.6 \pm 19.8i	Current smokers	22.8	13.7	11.4	8.3	3.8	<0.001
$5s$ 7.9 10.2 8.5 8.4 $se drugs$ 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 $se drugs$ 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 $58.4 \pm 9.5c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 $58.4 \pm 9.5c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 $se drugs$ 5.5 ± 5.3 27.7 ± 4.9 27.6 ± 4.6 27.5 ± 4.8 $nol, g/d)$ 6.9 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 $nol, g/d)$ 1712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 $se (kcal)$ 1712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 $se (kcal)$ 1712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 $g/dl)$ 1192.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 $g/dl)$ 1192.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 $g/dl)$ 1192.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 $g/dl)$ 1192.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 20.3 51.9 ± 15.0 $s(n)$ 124.4 ± 20.3 124.9 ± 20.3 125.1 ± 20.5 $s(m)$ 131.2 ± 31.8 129.4 ± 30.6 125.1 ± 20.5 $s(m)$ 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.1 ± 20.5 $s(m)$ $s8.3 \pm 20.4$ $s7.3 \pm 20.7$ $s6.2 \pm 18.9$ 86.6 ± 19.8	Diabetes mellitus	10.3	13.7	11.0	11.0	10.7	0.140
we drugs 32.1 34.3 33.4 37.0 $58.4 \pm 9.5c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 $58.4 \pm 9.5c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 28.5 ± 5.3 27.7 ± 4.9 27.6 ± 4.6 27.5 ± 4.8 28.5 ± 5.3 27.7 ± 4.9 27.6 ± 4.6 27.5 ± 4.8 101 , $q(d)$ 6.9 ± 16.8 5.6 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 11712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 11712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 $g(d)$ 1712.0 ± 759.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 $g(d)$ 1712.0 ± 759.0 1564.7 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 $g(d)$ 49.0 ± 14.2 49.1 ± 13.7 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 15.0 $g(d)$ 49.0 ± 14.2 49.1 ± 13.7 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 15.0 $g(d)$ 124.4 ± 20.3 124.9 ± 21.3 124.8 ± 20.9 125.1 ± 20.5 $e(ml)$ 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.3 ± 30.7 $e(ml)$ 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 18.9 86.6 ± 19.8	Use of diabetes drugs	7.9	10.2	8.5	8.4	8.4	0.509
$58.4 \pm 9.5c$ 60.5 ± 9.8 61.3 ± 10.2 63.2 ± 10.2 28.5 ± 5.3 27.7 ± 4.9 51.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 28.5 ± 5.3 27.7 ± 4.9 27.6 ± 4.6 27.5 ± 4.8 28.5 ± 5.3 5.0 ± 14.3 5.1 ± 10.3 4.9 ± 10.5 11712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 11712.0 ± 7759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 1110.2 ± 71172.1 5568.7 ± 5469.9 5411.6 ± 5172.3 5689.5 ± 5944.9 $9/dl$ 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1177.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/dl 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1177.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/dl 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/dl 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/dl 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 1172.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 g/dl 1192 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 124.8 ± 20.9 125.1 ± 20.5 g/dl 124.4 ± 20.3 124.9 ± 21.3 124.8 ± 20.9 125.1 ± 20.5 $g(ml)$ 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.3 ± 30.7 (ml/m^2) 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 19.8 86.6 ± 19.8	Use of blood pressure drugs	32.1	34.3	33.4	37.0	39.2	<0.05
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Continuous variables ^b						
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Age (y)	58.4 ± 9.5^{C}	60.5 ± 9.8	61.3 ± 10.2	63.2 ± 10.2	64.8 ± 9.9	<0.001
	BMI	28.5 ± 5.3	27.7 ± 4.9	27.6 ± 4.6	27.5 ± 4.8	26.8 ± 4.5	<0.001
ϵ (kcal) 1712.0 ± 759.0 1547.0 ± 769.0 1569.7 ± 800.3 1498.9 ± 741.3 nin/wk) 6384.6 ± 7172.1 5568.7 ± 5469.9 5411.6 ± 5172.3 5689.5 ± 5944.9 119.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 199.0 ± 14.2 49.1 ± 13.7 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 15.0 $119.1 \pm 24.4 \pm 20.3$ 124.4 ± 20.3 124.4 ± 20.3 124.4 ± 20.3 10 124.4 ± 20.3 124.9 ± 21.3 124.8 ± 20.9 125.1 ± 20.5 10 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.3 ± 30.7 10^{2} 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 18.9 86.6 ± 19.8	Alcohol intake (ethanol, g/d)	6.9 ± 16.8	5.6 ± 14.3	5.1 ± 10.3	4.9 ± 10.5	4.1 ± 9.3	<0.001
nin/wk) 6384.6 ± 7172.1 5568.7 ± 5469.9 5411.6 ± 5172.3 5689.5 ± 5944.9 119.2 ± 31.1 116.0 ± 30.2 117.2 ± 31.4 116.6 ± 32.3 0 49.0 ± 14.2 49.1 ± 13.7 51.3 ± 15.3 51.9 ± 15.0 nmHg) 124.4 ± 20.3 124.9 ± 21.3 124.8 ± 20.9 125.1 ± 20.5 $1)$ 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.3 ± 30.7 n^2 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 18.9 86.6 ± 19.8	Total daily energy intake (kcal)	1712.0 ± 759.0	1547.0 ± 769.0	1569.7 ± 800.3	1498.9 ± 741.3	1453.9 ± 678.5	<0.001
119.2 \pm 31.1116.0 \pm 30.2117.2 \pm 31.4116.6 \pm 32.31049.0 \pm 14.249.1 \pm 13.751.3 \pm 15.351.9 \pm 15.0mmHg)124.4 \pm 20.3124.9 \pm 21.3124.8 \pm 20.9125.1 \pm 20.5u)131.2 \pm 31.8129.3 \pm 33.4126.4 \pm 30.6125.3 \pm 30.7in ²)88.3 \pm 20.487.3 \pm 20.786.2 \pm 18.986.6 \pm 19.8	Physical activity (MET min/wk)	6384.6 ± 7172.1	5568.7 ± 5469.9	5411.6 ± 5172.3	5689.5 ± 5944.9	5851.6 ± 5590.4	<0.01
	LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	119.2 ± 31.1	116.0 ± 30.2	117.2 ± 31.4	116.6 ± 32.3	115.2 ± 30.0	0.275
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	49.0 ± 14.2	49.1 ± 13.7	51.3 ± 15.3	51.9 ± 15.0	54.9 ± 15.6	<0.001
II) 131.2 ± 31.8 129.3 ± 33.4 126.4 ± 30.6 125.3 ± 30.7 (m^2) 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 18.9 86.6 ± 19.8	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	124.4 ± 20.3	124.9 ± 21.3	124.8 ± 20.9	125.1 ± 20.5	127.3 ± 22.4	<0.05
(m^2) 88.3 ± 20.4 87.3 ± 20.7 86.2 ± 18.9 86.6 ± 19.8	End-diastolic volume (ml)	131.2 ± 31.8	129.3 ± 33.4	126.4 ± 30.6	125.3 ± 30.7	119.8 ± 28.7	<0.001
	Stroke volume/BSA (ml/m ²)	88.3 ± 20.4	87.3 ± 20.7	86.2 ± 18.9	86.6 ± 19.8	83.8 ± 18.7	<0.001
LV ejection fraction (%) 67.9 ± 7.3 68.3 ± 8.1 69.0 ± 7.3 69.7 ± 7.2 70.5 ± 7.2	LV ejection fraction (%)	67.9 ± 7.3	68.3 ± 8.1	69.0 ± 7.3	69.7 ± 7.2	70.5 ± 6.8	<0.001

J Am Coll Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 03.

 $b_{\mbox{Test}}$ for trend across quintiles of the DASH eating pattern score.

J Am Coll Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 03.

MIH-PA Author Manuscript ^CMean ± SD (all such values).

Table 2

Partial Correlation Coefficients between Individual Components of the DASH Diet and LV Function in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: $2000-2002 (n = 4507)^{1}$

	LV	Function Paramet	ters
DASH Components	End-Diastolic Volume	Stroke Volume	LV Ejection Fraction
Fruits	0.03 ⁴	0.05 ³	0.02
Vegetables	-0.03	-0.01	0.034
Whole grains	0.08 ²	0.07 ²	-0.02
Nuts/legumes	-0.02	-0.01	0.02
Low-fat dairy	0.05 ⁴	0.05 ²	0.01
Meats	0.03 ⁴	0.02	-0.02
Sweetened beverages	0.03	0.01	-0.04^{4}
Sodium	0.04 ²	0.04 ³	-0.04^{4}

 $^{I}\mathrm{Partial}$ correlation coefficients were adjusted for gender, age, educational level, and race/ethnicity.

 $^{2}p < .001.$

 $^{3}p < .01.$

 $^{4}p < .05.$

Table 3

Associations of DASH Eating Pattern Score with LV Function in 4507 Participants in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

	End-Diastolic Volume	: Volume	Stroke Volume	olume	LV Ejection Fraction	Fraction
	β (SE)	β (SE) p Value	β (SE) p Value	<i>p</i> Value	β (SE) p Value	<i>p</i> Value
Crude	-0.77 (0.09) <0.001	<0.001	0.06 (0.02) <0.05	<0.05	0.20 (0.02)	<0.001
Model 1*	0.31 (0.08)	<0.001	0.12 (0.03)	<0.001	0.03 (0.02)	0.15
Model 2^{\dagger}	0.31 (0.08) <0.001	<0.001	0.12 (0.03)	<0.001	0.03 (0.02)	0.15
Model 3‡	0.26 (0.08) <0.01	<0.01	0.10 (0.03) <0.001	<0.001	0.04 (0.02)	0.08

SE = standard error.

Adjusted for gender, age, educational level, BMI, cigarette smoking, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, use of diabetes medications, use of blood pressurelowering medications, alcohol intake, and physical activity. *

 \ddagger Adjusted for Model 2 plus race/ethnicity.