



Published in final edited form as:

*Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2011 November 18; 50(47): 11152–11155.

## Divergent Outcomes of Carbene Transfer Reactions from Dirhodium- and Copper-Based Catalysts Separately or in Combination

**Xinfang Xu,**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

Institute of Drug Discovery and Development, East China Normal University, 3663 Zhongshan Bei Road, Shanghai 200062, China

**Wen-Hao Hu,**

Institute of Drug Discovery and Development, East China Normal University, 3663 Zhongshan Bei Road, Shanghai 200062, China, whu@chem.ecnu.edu.cn

**Peter Y. Zavalij,** and

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

**Michael P. Doyle\***

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

### Abstract

The use of copper and rhodium catalysts separately and in combination directs reactions between vinyldiazoacetates **3** and cinnamaldehydes **2** to form formal [4+3]-cycloaddition (epoxidation followed by Cope rearrangement), intramolecular cyclopropanation, and Mukaiyama-aldol reactions selectively and in high yield.

### Keywords

Divergent Outcome; Rhodium and Copper; Formal [4+3]-Cycloaddition; Intramolecular Cyclopropanation; Mukaiyama-Aldol Reactions; Cope Rearrangement

---

That a reaction pathway can be redirected to a different product by changing a reactant or reaction conditions is well known and widely practiced. Such processes are referred to as “divergent”, and this term is broadly applied to methodology,<sup>1</sup> synthesis,<sup>2</sup> reactivity and selectivity,<sup>3</sup> among others.<sup>4</sup> However, processes in which the same reactant(s) form different products in synthetically meaningful yields by application of different catalysts are rare.<sup>5</sup> We and others have reported exceptionally efficient catalyst-dependent processes that occur

---

\*Fax: (+11) 301-314-2779, mdoyle3@umd.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the Author.

with the same diazo substrates to form structurally different compounds.<sup>5b,6-8</sup> In the most well-documented cases, dirhodium(II) catalysts having different ligands differentiate between cyclopropanation and C-H insertion, ylide formation and aromatic substitution, or aromatic cycloaddition and C-H insertion with the same diazoacetates.<sup>6</sup> The exclusive formation of one of two regioisomeric dihydropyrroles in modest yields from the reactions between vinyl diazoacetates and imines using dirhodium(II) acetate or copper(II) triflate (Scheme 1), due to either electrophilic metal carbene formation (intermediate **1a** in the case of rhodium) or to initial iminium ion formation (intermediate **1b** in the case of copper),<sup>7</sup> exemplifies the critical role of catalyst in product selection. Other examples include the copper(I)/rhodium(II) product differences in macrocyclization with diazoacetates.<sup>8</sup> Although both dirhodium and copper catalysts are well established catalysts for dinitrogen extrusion from diazo compounds, there can be striking differences between them in product outcomes from the same reactant(s).

We wish to report divergent copper and/or dirhodium catalyzed, synthetically-relevant processes that occur between cinnamaldehydes and siloxyvinyl- $\alpha$ -diazoacetates which clearly reveal fundamental differences between these two catalytic systems. Their applications include the syntheses of oxepin, furanone, and cyclopropane compounds by intramolecular cyclization (Scheme 2) with extraordinary efficiency and atom economy.

We have previously reported that methyl styryldiazoacetate undergoes ylide-induced epoxide formation in reactions with cinnamaldehydes catalyzed by dirhodium tetraacetate, but product yields were only modest, and mixtures were formed with the substituted aldehydes.<sup>9</sup> Consequently, we were surprised when treatment of methyl siloxyvinyl diazoacetate (**3-Me**) with 4-nitrocinnamaldehyde at room temperature, catalyzed by dirhodium carboxylates, gave epoxide **4a** with *trans*-divinyl substituents in excellent yield (Scheme 3). Use of chiral catalysts that included Hashimoto's Rh<sub>2</sub>(*S*-PTPA)<sub>4</sub><sup>10</sup> and Davies' Rh<sub>2</sub>(*S*-DOSP)<sub>4</sub><sup>11</sup> did not give evidence of enantiocontrol in this transformation, and dirhodium carboxamidates exhibited very low reactivity towards dinitrogen extrusion of **3**. Surprisingly, use of Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>, which is even more reactive towards dinitrogen extrusion from diazo compounds than is rhodium acetate,<sup>12</sup> gave the Mukaiyama-aldol addition product **5** in high yield when the reaction performed at 0°C without effecting diazo decomposition (Scheme 3).<sup>13</sup> In this case, CuPF<sub>6</sub> acts as Lewis acid for activation of the aldehyde towards electrophilic addition to **3** and does not cause decomposition of the diazoacetate in either the reactant or the product.

In contrast to their *cis*-divinylcyclopropane analogues that undergo [4+3]-cycloaddition at or below room temperature,<sup>14</sup> and have been key steps in several total syntheses,<sup>15</sup> *trans*-divinylepoxides are thermally stable at room temperature but slowly rearrange to 4,5-dihydrooxepins **7**.<sup>16</sup> This is obviously the reason why the conversion of **4** to dihydrooxepin does not occur under more moderate conditions. Hence a major challenge of this study has been to develop conditions suitable to form 4,5-dihydrooxepins in high yield. We initially applied thermal conditions to effect rearrangement of the *trans*-divinylepoxide **4a**, and this approach did provide the 4-nitrophenyl derivative **7a**, but the thermal transformation only occurred at or above 150°C and required long reaction times. To find the catalytic version of this rearrangement, we investigated the effects of an array of Lewis acids [CuPF<sub>6</sub>, CuOTf,

Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub>, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>] to discover that with the application of a catalytic amount of Cu(hfacac)<sub>2</sub> the reaction temperature could be decreased to 100°C while the reaction rate was dramatically increased. Further optimization by carrying out the two-step reaction in one-pot by performing the catalytic epoxidation in dichloromethane, replacing that solvent with toluene, adding Cu(hfacac)<sub>2</sub>, and heating at 125°C for 0.5 h gave 4,5-dihydrooxepin **7a** in 95% isolated yield.<sup>17</sup> The structure of **7a** was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1).

Using these optimum conditions we examined this rearrangement reaction for substrate generality in the two-step one-pot formal [4+3] cycloaddition of **3** with substituted cinnamaldehydes, and these results are summarized in Table 1. Product yields from reactions with cinnamaldehydes having electron-donating substituents were comparable to those from the nitrocinnamaldehydes (entries 4–9). Benzyl ester analogues (**3-Bn**) underwent the same two-step process with isolated yields that were identical to those obtained with the methyl esters (entries 10–12). That the reaction occurred with the stereospecificity of an electrocyclization reaction, whether from a direct Cope rearrangement of rearranged *cis*-divinyl epoxide<sup>18</sup> or from the corresponding ylide, with propenyldiazoacetate **Me3-Me** as the reactant, *cis*-4,5-disubstituted-dihydrooxepine **7m** was formed stereospecifically in very high yield.

With the assumption that copper and rhodium catalysts act independently, so that the combination with vinyl diazoacetate **3-Me** and cinnamaldehydes could achieve the formation of **7** under one set of reaction conditions, we treated **3-Me** with cinnamaldehyde in the presence of catalytic amounts of rhodium acetate and copper(I) hexafluorophosphate at room temperature. However, instead of observing either epoxidation or dihydrooxepine products, use of this catalyst combination unexpectedly produced the two diastereoisomers<sup>19</sup> of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane **8a**<sup>20</sup> in high yield (Table 2). Substrates with electron-donating substituents showed higher reactivity (entry 2 versus entry 3), and this reactivity pattern was opposite to that observed for epoxidation. Reversal of diastereoselectivity from up to 9:1 to <1:20 was achieved by using a β-substituted cinnamaldehyde in this transformation (entry 5); interaction with the *syn*-phenyl substituent that occupies position 6 in **8** forces the OTBS group to favor the *cis* geometry. With dirhodium catalysts and Ar = Ph, diastereoselectivity ranged from 82:18 (with rhodium caprolactamate) to 27:73 (with rhodium trifluoroacetate).

Treatment of **3-Me** and cinnamaldehyde with both copper(I) and copper(II) compounds in catalytic amounts resulted in the formation of the Mukaiyama-aldol reaction product **5** to the complete exclusion of epoxide **4**. The product of the Mukaiyama-aldol reaction, catalyzed by CuPF<sub>6</sub>, which gave optimum results, when treated with rhodium acetate gave cyclopropanation products **8a**. Alternatively, by performing the reaction of **3-Me** and cinnamaldehyde with CuPF<sub>6</sub> as catalyst at 40°C (3 h), instead of at or below room temperature, the two step process can be accomplished in one pot (77% yield, dr = 90:10).<sup>17</sup> Obviously, the role of CuPF<sub>6</sub> as a Lewis acid in these reactions is pronounced, and the possibility exists that coordination of **5** with CuPF<sub>6</sub> inhibits its use as a catalyst for dinitrogen extrusion. The advantage of the cooperative rhodium and copper catalyzed bicyclization is that the overall transformation can be conducted at room temperature.

Furanone **6** formation (Scheme 2) from diazoacetoacetates has been previously reported,<sup>21</sup> although not with the structural diversity that is available by this methodology.

In summary, the use of copper and rhodium catalysts separately and in combination directs the reaction between vinyl diazoacetates **3** and cinnamaldehydes to a broad diversity of products selectively and in high yield (Scheme 4). The basis for this catalyst-based selectivity lies in the differences in Lewis acidity between copper and rhodium catalysts and the bidentate coordinating ability of copper catalysts. That copper and dirhodium catalysts can work cooperatively for product formation is demonstrated.

## Experimental Section

To an oven-dried flask containing a magnetic stirring bar, cinnamaldehyde **2** (0.3 mmol), and Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (2.0 mol%) in DCM (1.0 mL), was added diazo compound **3** (0.36 mmol) in DCM (1.0 mL) over 1 h via a syringe pump at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 2 hours, then DCM was removed under reduced pressure, and toluene (2.0 mL) was added. The solution was transferred to the reaction tube containing a magnetic stirring bar; the tube was suited for use under high pressure. The reaction tube was sealed after Cu(hfacac)<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mol%) was added, and the temperature of the reaction was warmed to 125°C in an oil bath with stirring. After complete consumption of the intermediate epoxide **4** (about 1 hour), monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (epoxide and the product oxepin overlap on thin layer chromatography), the reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexanes : EtOAc = 50:1 to 30:1) to give the pure products oxepin **7** in greater than 80% yield.

## Acknowledgments


Support for this research to MPD from the National Institutes of Health (GM 46503) and National Science Foundation (CHE- 0748121) is gratefully acknowledged. WH thanks the National Science Foundation of China (20932003), and the MOST of China (2011CB808600).

## References


1. a) Dai H-X, Stepan AF, Plummer MS, Zhang Y-H, Yu J-Q. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:7222. b) Jabre ND, Respondek T, Ulku SA, Korostelova N, Kodanko JJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:650. [PubMed: 20047343] c) Pohlhaus PD, Bowman RK, Johnson JS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:2294. [PubMed: 14982419] d) Percec V, Barboiu B, Grigoras C, Bera TK. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:6503. [PubMed: 12785791]
2. a) Mizoguchi H, Oguri H, Tsug K, Oikawa H. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:3016. [PubMed: 19534487] b) Medina SH, El-Sayed MEH. *Chem. Rev.* 2009; 109:3141. [PubMed: 19534493] c) Wang K, Xiang D, Liu J, Pan W, Dong D. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:1691. [PubMed: 18380466] d) Delest B, Nshimyumukiza P, Fasbender O, Tinant B, Marchand-Brynaert J, Darro F, Robiette R. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:6816. [PubMed: 18681408]
3. a) Whited MT, Grubbs RH. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009; 42:1607. [PubMed: 19624162] b) Zhang G, Catalano VJ, Zhang L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:11358. [PubMed: 17722932] c) Lautens M, Han W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:6312. [PubMed: 12033859]
4. a) Vaidya T, Manbeck GF, Chen S, Frontier AJ, Eisenberg R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:3300. [PubMed: 21322633] b) Hilt G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:6390. c) Wise EL, Rayment I. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004; 37:149. [PubMed: 15023082] d) Dehli JR, Gotor V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2002; 31:365. [PubMed: 12491751]

5. a) Soriano E, Marco-Contelles J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009; 42:1026. [PubMed: 19480448] b) Panne P, Fox JM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:22. [PubMed: 17199269] c) Tanaka K, Fu GC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:8078. [PubMed: 12837058]
6. a) Padwa A, Austin DJ, Hornbuckle SF, Semones MA, Doyle MP, Protopopova MN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:1874. b) Padwa A, Austin DJ, Price AT, Semones MA, Doyle MP, Protopopova MN, Winchester WR, Tran A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993; 115:8669. c) Padwa A, Austin DJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1994; 33:1797. d) Bykowski D, Wu W-K, Doyle MP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:16038. [PubMed: 17165748] e) Davies HML, Coleman MG, Ventura DL. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:4971. [PubMed: 17956111]
7. Doyle MP, Yan M, Hu W, Gronenberg LS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:4692. [PubMed: 12696871]
8. a) Doyle MP, Protopopova MN, Poulter CD, Rogers DH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; 117:7281. b) Doyle MP, Peterson CS, Parker DL Jr. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1996; 35:1334. c) Doyle MP, Protopopova MN, Peterson CS, Vitale JP, McKervey MA, Garcia CF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:7865. d) Doyle MP, Peterson CS, Protopopova MN, Marnett AB, Parker DL Jr, Ene DG, Lynch V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:8826. e) Doyle MP, Hu W. *J. Org. Chem.* 2000; 65:8839. [PubMed: 11149824]
9. Doyle MP, Hu W, Timmons DJ. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:3741. [PubMed: 11700127]
10. a) Kitagaki S, Anada M, Kataoka O, Matsuno K, Umeda C, Watanabe N, Hashimoto S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:1417. b) Goto T, Takeda K, Shimada N, Nambu H, Anada M, Shiro M, Ando K, Hashimoto S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:6803. c) Takeda K, Oohara T, Anada M, Nambu H, Hashimoto S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:6979.
11. a) Hansen JH, Gregg TM, Ovalles SR, Lian Y, Autschbach J, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:5076. [PubMed: 21384883] b) Briones JF, Hansen J, Hardcastle KI, Autschbach J, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:17211. [PubMed: 21080670] c) Lian Y, Miller LC, Born S, Sarpong R, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:12422. [PubMed: 20704267] d) Lian Y, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:440. [PubMed: 20025218] e) Li Z, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:396. [PubMed: 19994854]
12. Doyle, MP.; McKervey, MA.; Ye, T. *Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds.* New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 1998.
13. The Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub> catalysis forms the Mukaiyama-aldol reaction product from reactions of diazoacetoacetates with  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl compounds (L. Zhou, M. P. Doyle, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 796) but the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction product is formed from reactions of vinyl diazoacetate **3-Me** with  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl compounds (Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, N. Jee, M. P. Doyle, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1605).
14. a) Olson JP, Davies HML. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:573. [PubMed: 18215048] b) Reddy RP, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:10312. [PubMed: 17685525] c) Kusama H, Onizawa Y, Iwasawa N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:16500. [PubMed: 17177389] d) Brummond KM, Mao S, Shinde SN, Johnston PJ, Day BW. *J. Comb. Chem.* 2009; 11:486. [PubMed: 19366169]
15. a) Reddy RP, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:10312. [PubMed: 17685525] b) Schwartz BD, Denton JR, Lian Y, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:8329. [PubMed: 19445507] c) Miller LC, Ndungu JM, Sarpong R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:2398. d) Lian Y, Miller LC, Born S, Sarpong R, Davies HML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:12422. [PubMed: 20704267]
16. a) Shimizu M, Fujimoto T, Liu X, Hiyama T. *Chem. Lett.* 2004; 33:438. b) Clark DL, Chou W-N, White JB. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990; 55:3975. c) Berson JA, Dervan PB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973; 95:267.
17. See Supporting Information.
18. a) Maurin P, Kim S-H, Cho SY, Cha JK. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003; 42:5044. b) Danheiser RL, Gee SK, Sard H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982; 104:7670. c) Gadwood RC, Lett RM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982; 47:2268.
19. See Supporting Information for X-ray structures of *cis*-**8b** and *trans*-**10d** (which has TBS removed by hydrolysis of *trans*-**8d**).
20. Intramolecular cyclopropanation reactions of diazo ketones or esters that form similar bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane structures are reported: Feliz M, lam EG, Llusa R, Vicent C, Stiriba S-E,

- Pérez-Prieto J, Barberis M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006; 12:1486. [PubMed: 16294362] Lim Y-H, McGee KF Jr, Sieburth SM. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002; 67:6535. [PubMed: 12201777] Pirrung MC, Liu H, Morehead AT Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:1014. [PubMed: 11829610] Barberis M, Pérez-Prieto J, Stiriba S-E, Lahuerta P. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:3317. [PubMed: 11594823]
21. a) Liao M, Dong S, Deng G, Wang J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006; 47:4537. b) Doyle MP, Kundu K, Russell AE. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:517. c) Deng G, Tian X, Qu Z, Wang J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002; 41:2773. d) Calter MA, Zhu C. *J. Org. Chem.* 1999; 64:1415.




**Figure 1.**  
X-ray crystal structure of **7a**.




**Scheme 1.**  
 Divergent pathways to isomeric dihydropyrroles from the same reactants, but different catalysts.




**Scheme 2.**

Divergent outcomes from copper- and rhodium-catalyzed reactions of **3** with cinnamaldehydes.




**Scheme 3.**  
 Divergent catalyst-dependent pathways from cinnamaldehydes and methyl siloxyvinyl diazoacetate **3-Me**.



Scheme 4.

Divergent outcomes from copper- and rhodium-catalyzed reactions of **3** with cinnamaldehydes.

Table 1

Substrate Generality for the One-pot Tandem Epoxidation/Rearrangement Reaction.<sup>[a]</sup>

Entry	3	2, Ar	7	yield (%) <sup>[b]</sup>
1	<b>3-Me</b>	4-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7a</b>	95
2	<b>3-Me</b>	3-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7b</b>	95
3	<b>3-Me</b>	2-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7c</b>	95
4	<b>3-Me</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	<b>7d</b>	82
5	<b>3-Me</b>	4-CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7e</b>	95
6	<b>3-Me</b>	4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7f</b>	90
7	<b>3-Me</b>	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7g</b>	88
8	<b>3-Me</b>	4-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7h</b>	90
9	<b>3-Me</b>	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7i</b>	81
10	<b>3-Bn</b>	4-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7j</b>	95
11	<b>3-Bn</b>	2-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7k</b>	95
12	<b>3-Bn</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	<b>7l</b>	85
13	<b>Me3-Me</b>	4-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>7m</b>	95 <sup>[c]</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup>The reaction was carried out with **3** (0.36 mmol), aldehyde (0.30 mmol), Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (2 mol%), Cu(hfacac)<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), respective solvent (2 mL).

<sup>[b]</sup>Isolated yield of **7** after chromatography.

<sup>[c]</sup>The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed only one diastereoisomer, whose stereochemistry was confirmed by NOe analysis, see SI.

Table 2

Cooperative Rhodium and Copper Catalyzed Bicyclization of Vinylidiazooacetates **3** with  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated Aldehydes.<sup>[a]</sup>

entry	<b>3</b>	<b>2, Ar / R''</b>	<b>8</b>	dr <sup>[b]</sup> ( <i>trans</i> : <i>cis</i> )	yield (%) <sup>[c]</sup>
1	<b>3-Me</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / H	<b>8a</b>	85:15	80
2	<b>3-Me</b>	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / H	<b>8b</b>	75:25	87
3	<b>3-Me</b>	2-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / H	<b>8c</b>	90:10	32
4	<b>3-Bn</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / H	<b>8d</b>	70:30	86
5	<b>3-Bn</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>8e</b>	<5:95	65

<sup>[a]</sup> Reaction in 0.3 mol scale: **3** (0.36 mmol), aldehyde (0.30 mmol), Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (2 mol%), CuPF<sub>6</sub> (5 mol%), solvent (2 mL).

<sup>[b]</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

<sup>[c]</sup> Isolated yield of **8** (*trans* and *cis*) after chromatography.