# Realigning training with need

A case for mandatory family medicine resident experience in community-based care of the frail elderly

Margaret J. McGregor MD CCFP MHSc John Sloan MD FCFP

*railty* is a "multidimensional syndrome of loss of reserves (energy, physical ability, cognition, health) that gives rise to vulnerability."1 Younger seniors, generally speaking, are not frail. In fact, Canadian seniors between 65 and 75 years of age report limitation in activities of daily living similar to those adults aged 45 to 64 years.<sup>2</sup> However, one-quarter of seniors aged 85 years or older report moderate to severe functional limitation,2 and the overall weighted prevalence of frailty in older seniors is about 30%.3 By 2052, the proportion of seniors aged 85 years or older is expected to comprise 6% of Canada's total population compared with 2% in 2011.2 This means a substantial increase in frail older adults living in our communities.

We all know that use of health care services rises with age. At a national level, in 2009 to 2010, Canadian seniors aged 85 years or older had a 2-fold higher rate of visiting the emergency department and a 9-fold higher hospital admission rate compared with those younger than 65 years of age.2 What is more, the rate of seniors' visits to hospital emergency departments is actually increasing over time.4 A substantial proportion of this increased use is by frail older adults with multiple comorbidities.

Ironically, this group is least likely to benefit from what a hospital can offer.5,6 Research has documented the increased risk of pressure ulcers,7 deconditioning,8 delirium, and iatrogenesis9,10 associated with hospital admission of frail seniors. Health systems in virtually every province are focusing on better ways to support this population outside the hospital setting. 11,12

Given this projected demographic change and the disproportionate use of health services by frail older adults, at least some effort to focus family physician training on community-based care of the frail elderly should be self-evident. Despite this, many new graduates have little or no experience in providing nursing home or housecall (or home-based) medical care by the time they complete their training. And although provincial health systems are increasingly investing in home-care nursing and home support,11,12 many family medicine graduates have only a vague idea of what

This article has been peer reviewed. Can Fam Physician 2014;60:697-9

Cet article se trouve aussi en français à la page 704.

home health teams for the frail elderly actually do, let alone any experience actually working alongside them. How has this come to be?

## Excluded from reform agenda

In 2000, \$800 million in federal funding over a 5-year period was added to the Primary Health Care Transition Fund to stimulate system-level changes and transitional costs to improve primary care in Canada. 13 This was based on a growing recognition of the positive association between the robustness of a health system's primary care and improved quality of care, access, and cost control.14-17 These dedicated funds were extended to 2008 and have now been folded into ongoing federal transfer payments. Primary care reform continues to be a high priority for many provinces.

The focus of the first 10 years of primary care reform, at least in urban settings, was on improving chronic disease management. The rationale for this was that because most hospitalizations resulted from suboptimal management of chronic disease, improved guideline adherence would result in reduced hospitalization rates, thereby reducing health system costs and improving quality of care.18

There is no doubt that primary care reform has led to more rigorous guideline adherence. Sivananthan et al reported that 1.2% of the 3.6% per year increase in annual laboratory costs in British Columbia during the past decade was explained by practising guidelinerecommended care for chronic conditions. 19 During this time, chronic disease guidelines also expanded the definition of who was "diseased," and treatment rates in British Columbia for 7 chronic diseases increased dramatically—far beyond what would be expected for the changing demographic characteristics of the population. 19 While national data are not available, it is unlikely things are different in other provinces.

However, the frail elderly do not appear to have benefited from this increased focus on primary care. Between 2005 and 2009, the number of family medicine physicians in Canada increased from 99 to 117 per 100 000 people, 20 while the rate of family physicians doing housecalls continued to decline.21 Furthermore, the proportion of family doctors who reported doing nursing home work "some of the time" declined from 22% to 17%. 22,23 Also, many jurisdictions continue to describe great difficulty in recruiting family physicians to care for nursing home residents.<sup>24</sup>

While reasons for these changes are likely multifactorial, the numbers suggest that frail older adults appear to have been on the losing end of primary care reform. We speculate that as these individuals are less able to present to the office, owing to being home bound, and with the primary care reform focus on ambulatory seniors with chronic diseases, frail homebound elderly and nursing home patients have become increasingly invisible to the office-based family physician. In many cases, their primary care has become increasingly managed by the home-care and community care sectors, with occasional crisisdriven calls to the family physician. In a few urban centres, some family physicians have begun to restrict their practices to housecalls or nursing home care, possibly compensating-to some extent-for the overall decrease in these services by many office-based physicians.25-28 However, the availability of these services remains relatively rare.

## Addressing the gap

If a family medicine resident happens to have a preceptor who still does home visits or nursing home work, he or she might get some exposure to this important clinical activity. Or if a resident is keen, he or she might set up an elective in community-based care of frail elderly people. Otherwise, our training programs are failing to meet the needs of this vulnerable and growing population. This of course is not by design but rather a possible unintended consequence of the past decade of primary care reform.

In most family medicine residency training programs, it is of no concern if a preceptor does not do obstetrics because residents' training is supplemented by a mandatory experience in obstetrics. However, if a preceptor does not make housecalls or nursing home visits, there is no such "system backup." It is time to build this. With the various "pulls" on residents' time, simply offering electives in care of frail seniors is unlikely to be enough to address this gap. Clinical time providing housecalls and residential care to this population should be mandatory and longitudinal over 6 to 12 months, for a minimum of 1 to 2 half-days per month. Given that a common visit rate for this population is once to twice monthly, or more frequently if clinically indicated, this time frame would seem to be the minimum necessary to develop a continuous relationship. Beyond the obvious issue of making residents' schedules even more complex than they already are, it is clear that introducing such programs will produce both challenges and opportunities.

## Challenges and opportunities

Probably the greatest challenge of training residents to care for frail elderly people is that there are few

guidelines. Frail populations are understudied and do not generally contribute to the evidence base we use as we strive to teach the science of medicine. Randomized controlled trials have largely excluded people with multimorbidity,29,30 and studies that focus on frail people are rare.29 Consequently, usually followed clinical guidelines are often not applicable to frail adults or those with multimorbidity and age-related disability. While there are some useful resources for discovering evidence specific to the frail population, 31-34 there remains little high-quality evidence that includes this population, and a number of scholars have described frailty as an "evidence-free" zone.5,6

The teaching opportunities for a mandatory longitudinal experience in community-based care of the frail elderly are considerable. First, residents would have the opportunity to develop their geriatric, internal medicine, emergency, and palliative clinical skills without relying on extensive laboratory tests or imaging. In home-care and residential care settings, the history and physical examination return to centre stage in making a diagnosis. Second, residents would learn to provide the appropriate blend of curative therapy and palliation following discussion with the patient and family—both the art and the science of medicine. Third, homebound and nursing home patients are a captive audience. This makes it easier to develop doctor-patient longitudinal relationships—something that postgraduate programs continue to struggle with in office-based medicine learning environments. Fourth, when residents are exposed to nursing home and homebound elder care, they must also work with a full team of other formal and informal providers. They therefore develop a greater understanding of who does what among the many community-based primary care "players." Fifth, service provision in these settings provides residents with the chance to observe first-hand the "context" of patients' illness experience, the determinants of their health, and their support systems and resources. Finally, understanding and managing frailty will allow residents to contribute to the sustainability of our health care system by helping the growing population of vulnerable seniors to have their medical needs met without the inherent "dangers," as well as the unnecessary expense, of hospitals.

# Meeting the objectives

Family practice postgraduate teaching policy recommendations explain having an intent to address both continuity and service provision outside the office setting. The College of Family Physician of Canada's Red Book, which defines the standards common to all Canadian postgraduate medical training in Canada, states, "Residents must be able to provide comprehensive care for the elderly ... in ... institution, and community settings such as the patient's home."35 Medical school education policy, in

the discussion of accountability, has also identified the frail "elderly" as a marginalized and growing population who "face significant barriers to accessing the care they need."36 Finally, the new family medicine Triple C goals of comprehensive, continuous, and patient-centred training are highly consistent with addressing these learning gaps in community-based care of the frail elderly.37

Despite these good intentions, many medical schools and family practice residency programs have not implemented the necessary changes to meet these objectives. Family physicians are well suited to play a key role in access, continuity, and coordination of primary care for Canada's frailest seniors. It is time to implement these goals. Let's take our trainees to the "coal face" where these seniors reside, and teach them how to make housecalls and visit nursing homes, so that the next generation of family doctors can develop confidence in this increasingly important work.

Dr McGregor is Clinical Associate Professor and Director of Community Geriatrics in the Department of Family Practice at the University of British Columbia in Vancouver. Dr Sloan is a physician for the Home ViVE (Visits to Vancouver's Elders) program and Clinical Professor in the Department of Family Practice at the University of British Columbia.

#### **Competing interests**

None declared

#### Correspondence

Dr Margaret J. McGregor, Department of Family Practice, University of British Columbia, Room 713, 828 West 10th Ave, Vancouver, BC V5Z 1L8; telephone 604 873-3666; fax 604 875-5179; e-mail mrgret@mail.ubc.ca

The opinions expressed in commentaries are those of the authors. Publication does not imply endorsement by the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

### References

- 1. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ 2005;173(5):489-95.
- 2. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Health care in Canada, 2011. A focus on seniors and aging. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2011. Available from: https://secure.cihi.ca/free\_products/HCIC\_2011\_ seniors\_report\_en.pdf. Accessed 2014 Jun 24.
- 3. Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older persons: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012;60(8):1487-92.
- 4. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Seniors' use of emergency departments in Ontario, 2004-2005 to 2008-2009. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2010. Available from: https://secure.cihi.ca/free\_products/ seniors ed e.pdf. Accessed 2014 Jun 24.
- 5. McCullough D. My mother, your mother. Embracing "slow medicine," the compassionate approach to caring for your aging loved ones. New York, NY: Harper
- 6. Sloan J. A bitter pill. How the medical system is failing the frail elderly. Vancouver, BC: Greystone Books; 2009.
- 7. Allman RM, Laprade CA, Noel LB, Walker IM, Moorer CA, Dear MR, et al. Pressure sores among hospitalized patients. Ann Intern Med 1986;105(3):337-42.
- 8. Creditor MC. Hazards of hospitalization of the elderly. Ann Intern Med 1993;118(3):219-23.
- 9. Potts S, Feinglass J, Lefevere F, Kadah H, Branson C, Webster J. A quality-of-care analysis of cascade iatrogenesis in frail elderly hospital patients. QRB Qual Rev Bull 1993:19(6):199-205.
- 10. Gillick MR, Serrell NA, Gillick LS. Adverse consequences of hospitalization in the elderly. Soc Sci Med 1982;16(10):1033-8
- 11. Ontario helping more seniors live at home longer. McGuinty government moving forward with seniors care strategy [news release]. Ottawa, ON: Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2012. Available from: http://news.ontario.ca/mohltc/ en/2012/05/ontario-helping-more-seniors-live-at-home-longer.html. Accessed 2013 Jun 17.

- 12. Fraser Health [website]. Fraser Health supporting seniors through 'home is best.' Surrey, BC: Fraser Health; 2011. Available from: www.fraserhealth.ca/about\_ us/media\_centre/news\_releases/2011\_news\_releases/fraser\_health\_supporting\_seniors\_through\_home\_is\_best\_. Accessed 2014 Jun 24.
- 13. Health Policy Monitor [website]. An update on primary care reform in Canada. Gütersloh, Ger: Bertelsmann Stiftung; 2008. Available from: www.hpm.org/ en/Surveys/CPRN\_-\_Canada/11/An\_Update\_on\_Primary\_Care\_Reform\_in\_ Canada.html. Accessed 2012 Dec 9.
- 14. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. Milbank Q 2005;83(3):457-502.
- 15. Howard M, Goertzen J, Kaczorowski J, Hutchison B, Morris K, Thabane L, et al. Emergency department and walk-in clinic use in models of primary care practice with different after-hours accessibility in Ontario. Healthc Policy 2008;4(1):73-88.
- 16. Menec VH, Sirski M, Attawar D, Katz A. Does continuity of care with a family physician reduce hospitalizations among older adults? J Health Serv Res Policy 2006;11(4):196-201.
- 17. Bertakis KD, Azari R. Patient-centered care is associated with decreased health care utilization. J Am Board Fam Med 2011;24(3):229-39.
- 18. Bodenheimer T, Wagner EH, Grumbach K. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness: the chronic care model, part 2. JAMA 2002;288(15):1909-14.
- 19. Sivananthan SN, Peterson S, Lavergne R, Barer ML, McGrail KM. Designation, diligence and drift: understanding laboratory expenditure increases in British Columbia, 1996/97 to 2005/06. BMC Health Serv Res 2012;12:472.
- 20. Canadian Institute for Health Information, Supply, distribution and migration of Canadian physicians, 2010. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute for Health Information: 2011.
- 21. Stall N, Nowaczynski M, Sinha SK. Back to the future: home-based primary care for older homebound Canadians. Part 2: where we are going. Can Fam Physician 2013;59:243-5 (Eng), e125-8 (Fr).
- 22. College of Family Physicians of Canada, Canadian Medical Association, Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. National Physician Survey 2007. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2007. Available from: http://national physician survey. ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/NPS.2007.National.Results.Binder.Final\_.pdf. Accessed 2013 Jun 22.
- 23. College of Family Physicians of Canada, Canadian Medical Association, Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. National Physician Survey 2010. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2010. Available from: http://nationalphysiciansurvey.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/ NPS2010-National-Binder.pdf. Accessed 2013 Jun 22.
- 24. Frank C. Challenges and achievements in caring for the elderly. Can Fam Physician 2010;56:1101-2 (Eng), 1103-5 (Fr).
- 25. Rosenberg T. Acute hospital use, nursing home placement, and mortality in a frail community-dwelling cohort managed with primary integrated interdisciplinary elder care at home. I Am Geriatr Soc 2012:60(7):1340-6.
- 26. Rosenberg T, Slater J. A home care practice that works [slide presentation]. San Francisco, CA: SlideShare: 2009. Available from: www.slideshare.net/BCAGCP/ a-home-care-practice-that-works-for-syllabus. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 27. Sunshiners [website]. Sunshiners: frail older people living at home. San Francisco, CA: Automattic Inc. Available from: http://sunshiners.ca/. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 28. Gutkin C. Housecalls—rediscovering a lost art. Can Fam Physician 2010;56:724 (Eng), 723 (Fr).
- 29. Fortin M, Dionne J, Pinho G, Gignac J, Almirall J, Lapointe L. Randomized controlled trials: do they have external validity for patients with multiple comorbidities? Ann Fam Med 2006;4(2):104-8.
- 30. Fortin M, Contant E, Savard C, Hudon C, Poitras ME, Almirall J. Canadian guidelines for clinical practice: an analysis of their quality and relevance to the care of adults with comorbidity. BMC Fam Pract 2011;12(1):74.
- 31. American Geriatrics Society [website]. New York, NY: American Geriatrics Society; 2014. Available from: www.americangeriatrics.org/. Accessed 2014
- 32. Canadian Geriatrics Journal. Vancouver, BC: Canadian Geriatrics Society; 2014. Available from: www.cgjonline.ca/index.php/cgj. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 33. Canadian Journal on Aging. Toronto, ON: Canadian Association on Gerontology; 2014. Available from: http://cagacg.ca/cja/. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 34. British Geriatrics Society [website]. London, UK: British Geriatrics Society; 2014. Available from: www.bgs.org.uk/. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 35. College of Family Physicians of Canada. Specific standards for family medicine residency programs accredited by the College of Family Physicians of Canada. The red book. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2013. p. 21.  $\label{lem:www.cfpc.ca/uploadedFiles/Red%20Book%20English.pdf.} Available from: www.cfpc.ca/uploadedFiles/Red%20Book%20English.pdf.$ Accessed 2014 Jun 25
- 36. Future of medical education in Canada. A collective vision for postgraduate medical education in Canada. Ottawa, ON: Health Canada; 2012. p. 16. Available from: www.cfms.org/attachments/article/186/FMEC\_PG\_Final-Report\_EN.pdf. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.
- 37. Crichton T, Allen T, Bethune C, Brailovsky C, Donoff M, Laughlin T, et al. Triple C competency-based curriculum: a competency-based approach to in-training assessment. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2012. Available from: www.cfpc.ca/ProjectAssets/Templates/Column1b.aspx?id=4 397&terms=triple+c+toolkit. Accessed 2014 Jun 25.