

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Osteoporos Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2014 September; 12(3): 366–375. doi:10.1007/s11914-014-0213-4.

Biological Regulation of Bone Quality

Tamara Alliston, PhD

Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, Department of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, Developmental and Stem Cell Biology Graduate Program, Oral and Craniofacial Sciences Graduate Program, UCSF/UCB Joint Graduate Group in Bioengineering, Eli and Edythe Broad Center for Regeneration Medicine and Stem Cell Research

Abstract

The ability of bone to resist fracture is determined by the combination of bone mass and bone quality. Like bone mass, bone quality is carefully regulated. Of the many aspects of bone quality, this review focuses on biological mechanisms that control the material quality of the bone extracellular matrix (ECM). Bone ECM quality depends upon ECM composition and organization. Proteins and signaling pathways that affect the mineral or organic constituents of bone ECM impact bone ECM material properties, such as elastic modulus and hardness. These properties are also sensitive to pathways that regulate bone remodeling by osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and osteocytes. Several extracellular proteins, signaling pathways, intracellular effectors, and transcription regulatory networks have been implicated in the control of bone ECM quality. A molecular understanding of these mechanisms will elucidate the biological control of bone quality and suggest new targets for the development of therapies to prevent bone fragility.

Keywords

Bone quality; extracellular matrix; elastic modulus; fragility; nanoindentation; TGFβ; bone remodeling; osteocyte; perilacunar remodeling; osteocyte osteolysis; mineralization; collagen; crosslinking; transcription factor; signaling pathway

Introduction

Bone quality comprises features of bone across multiple length scales and includes bone geometry, microarchitecture, and the material quality of bone extracellular matrix, among others¹. Aspects of bone quality are site-specific – such that bone ECM material properties differ throughout the body^{2, 3}, are sensitive to developmental and environmental factors - such as bone geometry^{4, 5}, and are affected by disease processes – such as bone microarchitecture⁶. Relative to bone mass however, the biological mechanisms that regulate

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

University of California San Francisco, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 513 Parnassus Avenue, Room S-1155, San Francisco, CA 94143-0514, Tel: 415-502-6523, Fax: 415-476-1128, Tamara.alliston@ucsf.edu.

Conflict of Interest

T Alliston declares no conflicts of interest.

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

bone quality are less well elucidated. This article focuses on the biological mechanisms that specify aspects of bone quality, with a focus on those regulating the material quality of the extracellular matrix (ECM).

Biological control of bone ECM composition and organization

The material quality of bone ECM is critically dependent on its mineral and organic constituents. Both the composition and the organization of these constituents can affect bone ECM material properties. In many cases, mutations or disease processes that disrupt the normal composition and organization of bone ECM compromise the ability of bone to resist fracture, independently of changes in bone mass. Therefore, the biological control of bone quality includes mechanisms that control the composition and organization of bone ECM.

Bone ECM mineralization

Mineral concentration is a major determinant of the elastic modulus of bone matrix. As the mineral fraction of the bone ECM increases, so too does the elastic modulus⁷, generally at the expense of the work to fracture or post-yield behavior of the bone². The control of biomineralization is dynamic and complex with diverse theories describing the responsible mechanisms. Many factors have been implicated as agonists and antagonists of mineralization – the deregulation of which can lead to pathological extra-skeletal mineralization. Among these, enzymes that regulate levels of inorganic pyrosphosphate (PPi), a potent inhibitor of mineralization, have been implicated in the control of bone ECM quality. Osteoblast and osteocyte-derived matrix vesicles control extracellular PPi levels with a host of factors, including tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase (TNAP) and the progressive ankylosis protein (ANK)⁸. TNAP is an enzyme that hydrolyzes and inactivates PPi. Normally expressed at sites of mineralization during development, loss of TNAP function results in hypomineralized bone^{9–11}.

Conversely, ANK is expressed in non-mineralizing tissues where it transports PPi to the extracellular space to antagonize mineralization. Loss of function mutations in ANK cause hypermineralization¹². Importantly, ANK levels are sensitive to vitamin D¹³, a factor that impacts bone quality at multiple levels^{14, 15}. To maintain systemic mineral homeostasis, the vitamin D receptor can induce ANK gene expression. These elevated ANK levels limit the deposition of calcium into the bone ECM¹³. The extent to which ANK can directly impact bone quality remains to be established. Nonetheless, these studies highlight factors that regulate PPi levels as a possible target of signaling pathways known to control bone quality.

Non-collagenous proteins

Although non-collagenous proteins comprise only 10% of the total bone protein, they play a critical role in bone quality¹⁶. Osteocalcin and osteopontin are two of the most abundant (and most well-studied) non-collagenous proteins. In addition to their regulation of cellular function^{17–20}, both osteopontin and osteocalcin influence the deposition of mineral within the collagen fibril-rich bone ECM. In vitro studies implicate osteocalcin and osteopontin in the control of hydroxyapatite nucleation, size, shape, and orientation^{21, 22}. These highly phosphorylated proteins also have the capacity to dissipate energy through numerous weak

sacrificial intra- and intermolecular bonds²³. Although the overall skeletal phenotypes of mice deficient in these proteins are quite mild, the relevance of these in vitro findings is supported by recent in vivo studies by Poundarik, et al., who found that osteopontin and osteocalcin colocalize at the interface between hydroxyapatite crystals in dilatational bands along mineralized collagen fibrils²⁴. At the nanometer scale, these bands dissipate energy to toughen bone and limit crack propagation. Accordingly, bone deficient in either or both osteopontin or osteocalcin has severely impaired fracture toughness^{24, 25}.

Several other non-collagenous proteins have also been implicated in the control of bone quality, including biglycan²⁶, DMP1²⁷, Phex²⁸, and fibrillins²⁹. Key bone regulatory pathways control the expression or activity of each of these proteins. For example, osteopontin expression is regulated by TGF β , vitamin D, PTH, and glucocorticoids^{30–33}, all of which have been shown to influence some aspect of bone quality^{14–15, 34–37}. Consequently, by targeting non-collagenous proteins, these signaling pathways can impact bone quality directly by toughening bone and indirectly by regulating the organization of mineralized collagen fibrils or bone cellular activity.

Collagen

The organic composition of bone matrix is dominated by collagen I. Defects in this fundamental constituent of the bone ECM exert their effects on bone quality across many length scales. This is most apparent in the diverse irregularities in bone from individuals with osteogenesis imperfecta, or from mouse models of this disease^{38–4142, 43}. Collectively these studies show that collagen mutations can deregulate the coupled remodeling of bone by osteoblasts and osteoclasts, the maintenance of bone mass, and the organization of mineralized collagen fibrils. The disorganized collagen fibrils lower the threshold for crack initiation in OI bone, whereas defects in the lamellar structure limit the ability to prevent crack growth³⁹. In some forms of OI, adaptive remodeling of the bone microarchitecture and geometry compensates for the inferior OI bone quality to accommodate mechanical load^{42, 43}. The multitude of pathological and adaptive responses of bone to collagen mutations shows the critical importance of this protein, as well as the presence of feedback loops that couple the mechanical and biological homeostasis of bone.

Collagen undergoes extensive post-translational modification, including crosslinking by enzymatic and non-enzymatic mechanisms⁴⁴. As collagen matures, the lysl oxidase-generated interfibrillar crosslinks (pyridinoline and pyrrole) predominate over the lysly hydroxylase-generated intrafibrillar crosslinks (dehydrodihydroxynorleucine and dehydrohydroxy-lysinonorleucine). Non-enzymatically generated crosslinks, advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and pentosadine, accumulate with age. Conditions that limit bone remodeling effectively increase bone tissue age⁴⁵, which often increases the level of AGEs in bone ECM⁴⁶. In aging or irradiated bone, AGE levels are increased as the toughness of the bone declines⁴⁷. The inverse correlation of AGE levels with fracture toughness has been attributed to the inability of glycated collagen, and possibly other proteins, to support microdamage formation or crack deflection. With the diminished function of these toughening mechanisms⁴⁸, cracks that initiate in aged or irradiated bone are much more likely to grow unchecked and cause bone fracture^{49, 50}. Accumulation of

AGEs in diabetes is also consistent with the loss of bone toughness in diabetic rats. Thus, AGEs may contribute to bone fragility in human diabetes, which is not otherwise explained by changes in mineral content of material properties⁵¹.

Bone remodeling and the control of bone quality

Each of the three predominant cell types in bone, the osteoclast, the osteoblast, and the osteocyte, participate in the remodeling of bone extracellular matrix. Of the many signaling pathways shown to regulate the remodeling activity of these cell types, some have been directly implicated in the control of bone ECM material properties. Here we discuss these mechanisms, with the idea that other bone remodeling regulatory pathways may prove to participate in the maintenance of bone quality, or its deregulation in disease.

Remodeling by osteoclasts and osteoblasts

Osteoclast-mediated resorption of bone ECM not only leads to the loss of bone mass, but it also changes the dynamics of bone remodeling, which in turn, affects bone ECM age and material properties^{52, 53}. Because bone resorption and deposition are normally coupled, biological factors that inhibit osteoclast activity also suppress osteoblast function. Inhibition of bone remodeling increases the existing bone matrix age, which in turn increases the maturity of mineral crystals and collagen crosslinks^{45, 46}. As described above, these changes in the mineral and organic constituents of the bone ECM cause an increase in the bone matrix elastic modulus and hardness⁵⁴.

Consequently, the many signaling pathways and therapeutic agents that affect the dynamics of bone remodeling also have the potential to regulate bone ECM material properties and bone quality. For example, hyperactive bone remodeling, as in hyperparathyroidism or vitamin D deficiency^{35, 55}, leads to the deposition of a hypomineralized and disorganized bone ECM with a low elastic modulus and hardness. Conversely, bisphosphonates inhibit bone remodeling and increase mineral and collagen crosslink maturity and ECM elastic modulus⁵⁶. The overall benefit of bisphosphonate therapy in preventing the loss of bone mass overrides the potential side effects of a somewhat more brittle bone matrix. However, the rare but catastrophic failure of femora following bisphosphonate exposure suggests that, in some cases, the bone ECM material properties are compromised to the extent that increased bone mass no longer protects against fracture⁵⁷.

Osteocyte-mediated perilacunar remodeling

Several lines of evidence point to the critical role of osteocytes in maintaining bone ECM material properties. Recent molecular studies reinvigorate decades-old observations that osteocytes remodel the local bone ECM⁵⁸. This process is called osteocyte osteolysis or perilacunar remodeling (PLR)^{59–62}. In PLR, osteocytes secrete several proteases, including MMP2, MMP13, MMP14, and cathepsin K, to dynamically resorb and then replace the perilacunar ECM^{61–66}. PLR was originally implicated in the maintenance of mineral homeostasis in lactation, hibernation, and other metabolically demanding states in vertebrates^{67–68}. By studying MMP13-deficient bone, we found that PLR is also essential for the maintenance of bone quality⁶¹. MMP13-deficiency limits osteocyte-mediated bone

resorption in lactating animals. Even in non-lactating or male mice, MMP13-deficiency abrogates PLR and significantly compromises fracture toughness. The fragility of MMP13-deficient bone may derive from its heterogeneous mineralization, collagen and canalicular disorganization, variable ECM material properties, and increased collagen crosslinking. Recent high-resolution imaging studies strikingly show the relationship of the lacunar-canalicular network to ECM mineralization and collagen fibril alignment^{69, 70}, supporting an active role for osteocytes in the control of ECM organization. Together, these and other studies strongly suggest that PLR is a constitutive homeostatic mechanism that operates to maintain bone quality.

Maintenance of healthy bone ECM requires other osteocyte-derived enzymes in addition to MMP13. The canalicular network is also disrupted in MMP2 and MMP14-deficienct bone^{64, 65}, suggesting their role in PLR. MMP2-deficient bone ECM is hypomineralized with a lower ECM elastic modulus (assessed by nanoindentation) and impaired bone strength in macromechanical tests⁷¹. Recently, cathepsin K was elegantly implicated in lactation-induced PLR⁶². An earlier study demonstrated the fragility of cathepsin K-deficient bone, in spite of elevated bone mass⁷². Although the cellular mechanisms responsible for these defects were unclear at the time, the severely disorganized collagen organization and reduced bone quality suggests that cathepsin K is required for the PLR-dependent maintenance of bone ECM organization and material properties. Bone fragility also associates with hallmarks of defective PLR in bone from mice deficient in NF1, a GTP-ase activating protein that regulates important signaling intermediates such as Ras. Among the many skeletal phenotypes of NF1-deficient mice, the defects in bone quality relate to the heterogeneous ECM mineralization and the disorganized collagen and canalicular networks⁷³. However, a precise role of NF1 in PLR remains to be elucidated.

The clinical significance of PLR in bone quality is perhaps most evident in glucocorticoid treated bone. Patients treated with glucocorticoids exhibit bone fragility that is unexplained by the loss of bone mass, pointing to a defect in bone quality⁷⁴. Using scanning probe microscopy, Lane, et al., showed a localized glucocorticoid-dependent reduction in perilacunar bone ECM elastic modulus and mineralization³⁷. Glucocorticoids exert a multitude of effects on osteocytes, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts⁷⁵. Several of these contribute to the reduced ability of glucocorticoid-exposed bone to resist fracture. Nonetheless, the dramatic effect of glucocorticoids on perilacunar remodeling deserves additional attention to better understand the mechanisms by which osteocytes contribute to bone fragility.

Molecular mechanisms controlling bone quality

Each of the cellular processes that regulate bone quality responds to signaling by numerous endocrine, paracrine, and autocrine pathways. The activity of signaling pathways is regulated at multiple levels. This hierarchical control provides cells the opportunity to generate a specific and integrated response to the diverse cues in the cellular microenvironment. Ligands, receptors, and intracellular effectors are subject to transcriptional, translational, and post-translational control. This regulation can alter the magnitude, duration, or nature of the cellular response to the same ligand. The molecular infrastructure of signaling pathways has been elucidated using model organisms (i.e. *S*.

cerevisiae, D. melanogaster, C. elegans) and in vitro cell culture systems. Genetically modified mice provide the opportunity to apply this insight to understand the molecular control of bone ECM material properties and other aspects of bone quality. When applied to study the molecular control of bone mass, this strategy yielded new anabolic therapies for osteoporosis. Likewise, understanding the mechanisms controlling bone quality will yield novel therapeutic strategies to reduce bone fragility.

Many signaling pathways act on multiple cell populations such that they coordinate osteoblast, osteoclast, and/or osteocyte activity. In this way, the same protein can independently regulate different aspects of bone quality or bone mass. For example, cathepsin K-deficient mice exhibit increased bone mass because of impaired osteoclast activity; but inferior ECM material properties, likely due to defects in osteocyte-mediated perilacunar remodeling⁷². Furthermore, the activity of bone cell populations is coupled. Mutations that interfere with signaling pathway function in one cell type most often impact other cell types as well. Therefore, interpreting the biologic and mechanical phenotypes of genetically modified mice requires careful consideration of this cellular complexity and the potential for independent control of bone mass and bone quality. This can be particularly challenging when only using macromechanical tests to evaluate bone quality, some of which may not have the sensitivity in mouse bone to distinguish the effect of a mutation on bone mass from its effects on bone quality. While macromechanical tests are essential to establish physiological relevance, the select use of methods that evaluate smaller length scales can be helpful in deciphering the biological mechanisms controlling of bone quality.

Control of bone quality through hierarchical regulation of signaling pathways

The most detailed understanding of biological mechanisms regulating bone quality derives from study of the TGF β pathway. TGF β directs the proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of cells in the osteoblast and osteoclast lineages. TGF β plays a vital role in coupling the activity of these cell types to maintain bone homeostasis⁷⁶. Consequently, human mutations in several components of the TGF β pathway have been implicated in skeletal dysplasia and disease. Likewise, bone from genetically modified mice with altered TGF β ligand, receptor, or effector function showed dramatic and complex bone phenotypes (Reviewed in⁷⁷).

In vitro studies have elucidated mechanisms by which TGF β exerts its effects on each bone cell type⁷⁸. In osteoblasts, activated TGF β ligands bind to a heterotetrameric complex of type I and type II TGF β receptors (T β RI and T β RII). Upon formation of this ligand/receptor complex, the receptors phosphorylate one another, as well as downstream effectors Smad2 and Smad3. Phosphorylated Smads translocate to the nucleus to regulate the expression of genes required for osteoblast differentiation^{79, 80}. To determine if TGF β employed the same pathway to control bone quality as it did to regulate osteogenic differentiation, we evaluated ECM material properties in mouse bone with altered TGF β ligand, receptor, and effector activity. Bone ECM elastic modulus and hardness showed an inverse dose-dependent correlation with the level of TGF β signaling³⁴. This result was particularly striking given the otherwise complex bone phenotypes of these mouse strains. Pharmacologic regulators of TGF β signaling replicate these findings^{81, 82}, in which TGF β signaling was modified

genetically. Interestingly, several other proteins implicated in the control of bone ECM material properties, including fibrillins, biglycan, and MMPs, functionally interact with the TGF β pathway⁷⁸. The extent to which the bone quality phenotypes of these mouse strains is TGF β -dependent remains unclear. Overall, these studies show that, at least in the case of TGF β signaling, the same molecular effectors control both osteoblast differentiation and bone ECM material properties.

Ligands and receptors

Of the biological molecules implicated in the control of bone quality, we know most about the ligands. As mentioned above, vitamin D, glucocorticoids, and parathyroid hormone modulate the material properties of bone ECM, at least in part through their effects on bone remodeling. Osteoblast-derived IGF-1, but not systemic growth hormone⁸³, is sufficient to protect several aspects of bone quality, including the bone ECM material properties measured by nanoindentation, from the deleterious effects of a low protein diet⁸⁴. Although growth hormone increased the cross-sectional area of cortical bone, this change in bone geometry did not produce the expected increase in macromechanical behavior. This result suggests that growth hormone compromises the material quality of bone ECM through mechanisms that remain unclear⁸⁴.

Cells respond to ligands through specific receptors. Receptors have also been implicated in the control of bone ECM material quality. Leptin receptor-deficient mice have a reduced breaking force in macromechanical tests, which is attributed in part to reduced cortical bone thickness and a slight but significant reduction in the bone ECM elastic modulus as measured by nanoindentation⁸⁵. Though many other ligands and receptors also impact the macro-mechanical behavior of bone, it can be difficult to distinguish whether these changes are due to bone ECM material properties or other aspects of bone quality such as bone geometry or microarchitecture.

Transcriptional regulators of bone quality

Taking these observations a step further in the cell, we found that Runx2 is a requisite target of TGFβ/Smad3 in the control of bone ECM quality as it is in the control of osteoblast gene expression⁸⁶. The Runx2/Smad3 complex recruits histone deacetylases (HDACs) to DNA, which modify chromatin to repress transactivation of osteogenic genes such as osteocalcin⁸⁷. Interestingly, mice with HDAC3-deficient osteoblasts have hypomineralized bone ECM with reduced hardness and elastic modulus, as measured by nanoindentation⁸⁸. The extent to which the many other Runx2-regulatory pathways also impact the material quality of bone matrix remains unclear. Also unclear are the specific transcriptional targets of Smad3 and Runx2 that confer differences in ECM composition, organization, and material quality.

Other transcription factors implicated in the control of bone ECM material properties include ATF4, GATA1, and NF-E2. ATF4 is a critical regulator of osteoblast gene expression⁸⁹. Deficiency in ATF4 is associated with a higher mineral to collagen ratio and reduced fracture toughness, suggesting that the transcriptional targets of ATF4 directly or indirectly act to maintain bone ECM organization and bone quality⁹⁰. Bones deficient in

GATA1 or NF-E2, transcription factors required for megakaryocyte development, have increased macromechanical stiffness and peak load. Differences in bone mass and ECM mineralization are insufficient to explain the macromechanical behavior, suggesting the role of these transcription factors in regulating expression of proteins that impact the material properties of the bone matrix¹⁰⁰.

Beyond elucidating the specific proteins employed to control bone quality, these studies collectively show that cells employ the same ligand, receptor, intracellular effectors, and transcriptional regulatory networks to regulate the material quality of the bone ECM as they do to control other critical cellular decisions. We propose a model in which, not only in bone but in other tissues as well, signaling pathways target the function of linage specific transcription factors to control the material quality of the ECM⁸⁶. In this case, the same mechanisms that define the tissue-specific expression of ECM proteins would also define the material properties and physical microenvironment of the tissue, a model that would illuminate our understanding of development as well as of disease.

Future Directions

In addition to those outlined above, many critical questions about the regulation of bone ECM quality remain.

How are bone ECM material properties regulated by biochemical, physical, and chemical cues?

Undoubtedly, many additional pathways and proteins will be implicated in the biological regulation of bone quality. In addition to understanding the role of pathways activated by biochemical ligands and receptors, it will be intriguing to explore the extent to which bone ECM material properties respond to physical cues such as mechanical loading or chemical cues such as hypoxia.

Which downstream targets of signaling pathways and transcription factors are responsible for differences in bone ECM quality?

So far, the causal link has yet to be made between a signaling pathway or transcription factor and the downstream target genes that confer changes in bone ECM material properties. Many attractive candidates arise from molecular interactions identified in vitro. For example, osteopontin, osteocalcin, and MMP13 gene expression are regulated by TGF β , Smad3, and Runx2^{30, 80, 91}. As described above, each of these has the capacity to impact bone ECM material properties. However, the necessity of specific proteins in the regulation of bone ECM quality by TGF β (or other pathways) has yet to be established.

What are the mechanisms by which bone mass, bone ECM material properties, and other aspects of bone quality are coordinately regulated?

Systems biology approaches have already yielded fascinating insights about the integrated regulation of many aspects of bone quantity and quality. Whether using mice⁹², baboons⁹³, or zebrafish, these genetic models are powerful approaches to identify genetic networks that allow co-adaptation of bone mass, matrix composition and bone quality to prevent fragility.

What are the functional implications of biologically controlled bone ECM material properties?

Vertebrates have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to calibrate the material quality of the bone ECM. The precise regulation of bone ECM elastic modulus is essential for hearing⁸⁶, such that a 1 GPa reduction in cochlear bone ECM elastic modulus corresponds to a 1.84 Db hearing loss. However, the selective advantage that derives from the specification of anatomically distinct bone ECM material properties at other sites is less clear. In addition, the physical mechanisms by which bone ECM material properties prevent or exacerbate bone fragility across length scales must be more firmly established.

How does the regulation of bone ECM material properties affect the cellular microenvironment of the niche?

Cells generate cytoskeletal tension to adapt to physical features of their microenvironment such as ECM material properties and topography⁹⁴. Cytoskeletal tension influences fundamental cellular processes from proliferation and differentiation to migration and lineage selection. Therefore, ECM material properties in the cellular microenvironment represent a powerful cue that directs cell behavior. Additional research is needed to determine if the hematopoietic stem cell, mesenchymal stem cell, or tumor niche in bone has specific ECM material properties, as well as the biological mechanisms through which they are regulated. Recent studies suggest that osteocytes sense the physical microenvironment and respond by generating cytoskeletal tension to modify their function⁹⁵. Given the importance of cellular tension in defining the maintenance of HSC and MSC pluripotency, lineage selection, and differentiation^{96, 97}, it is critical to understand the mechanisms that regulate bone ECM material properties.

Conclusions

Research in these emerging areas will elucidate the control of bone ECM quality, its role in the mechanical function of bone, as well as the ability of bone ECM material properties to act as an instructive cue in the cellular microenvironment. These studies will lead to the discovery of new mechanisms that couple the biological and physical homeostasis of bone and mechanisms by which it is disrupted in disease.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH R01 DE019284. I would like to acknowledge the many colleagues, collaborators, and trainees who have welcomed and joined me in the gap between the biomechanics and the molecular biology of bone to study these important questions.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- •• Of major importance
- Of importance
- Hernandez CJ, Keaveny TM. A biomechanical perspective on bone quality. Bone. 2006; 39:1173– 81. [PubMed: 16876493]

- 2. Currey JD. The design of mineralised hard tissues for their mechanical functions. J Exp Biol. 1999; 202:3285–94. [PubMed: 10562511]
- 3. Chang JL, Brauer DS, Johnson J, Chen CG, Akil O, Balooch G, Humphrey MB, Chin EN, Porter AE, Butcher K, Ritchie RO, Schneider RA, Lalwani A, Derynck R, Marshall GW, Marshall SJ, Lustig L, Alliston T. Tissue-specific calibration of extracellular matrix material properties by transforming growth factor-beta and Runx2 in bone is required for hearing. EMBO Rep. 2010; 11:765–71. [PubMed: 20847738]
- Kawashima Y, Fritton JC, Yakar S, Epstein S, Schaffler MB, Jepsen KJ, LeRoith D. Type 2 diabetic mice demonstrate slender long bones with increased fragility secondary to increased osteoclastogenesis. Bone. 2009; 44:648–55. [PubMed: 19150422]
- Tommasini SM, Nasser P, Jepsen KJ. Sexual dimorphism affects tibia size and shape but not tissuelevel mechanical properties. Bone. 2007; 40:498–505. [PubMed: 17035111]
- Bouxsein ML, Karasik D. Bone geometry and skeletal fragility. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2006; 4:49– 56. [PubMed: 16822403]
- 7. Currey JD. The mechanical consequences of variation in the mineral content of bone. J Biomech. 1969; 2:1–11. [PubMed: 16335107]
- 8. Golub EE. Biomineralization and matrix vesicles in biology and pathology. Semin Immunopath. 2011; 33:409–17.
- 9. Murshed M, McKee MD. Molecular determinants of extracellular matrix mineralization in bone and blood vessels. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2010; 19:359–65. [PubMed: 20489614]
- Fedde KN, Blair L, Silverstein J, Coburn SP, Ryan LM, Weinstein RS, Waymire K, Narisawa S, Millan JL, MacGregor GR, Whyte MP. Alkaline phosphatase knock-out mice recapitulate the metabolic and skeletal defects of infantile hypophosphatasia. J Bone Miner Res. 1999; 14:2015– 26. [PubMed: 10620060]
- Hessle L, Johnson KA, Anderson HC, Narisawa S, Sali A, Goding JW, Terkeltaub R, Millan JL. Tissue-nonspecific alkaline phosphatase and plasma cell membrane glycoprotein-1 are central antagonistic regulators of bone mineralization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:9445–9. [PubMed: 12082181]
- Ho AM, Johnson MD, Kingsley DM. Role of the mouse ank gene in control of tissue calcification and arthritis. Science. 2000; 289:265–70. [PubMed: 10894769]
- 13•. Lieben L, Masuyama R, Torrekens S, Van Looveren R, Schrooten J, Baatsen P, Lafage-Proust MH, Dresselaers T, Feng JQ, Bonewald LF, Meyer MB, Pike JW, Bouillon R, Carmeliet G. Normocalcemia is maintained in mice under conditions of calcium malabsorption by vitamin Dinduced inhibition of bone mineralization. J Clin Invest. 2012; 122:1803–15. This study demonstrates the regulation of ANK by vitamin D and its role in mineralization. [PubMed: 22523068]
- Donnelly E, Chen DX, Boskey AL, Baker SP, van der Meulen MCH. Contribution of mineral to bone structural behavior and tissue mechanical properties. Calcif Tiss Intl. 2010; 87:450–60.
- 15•. Busse B, Bale HA, Zimmermann EA, Panganiban B, Barth HD, Carriero A, Vettorazzi E, Zustin J, Hahn M, Ager JW, Puschel K 3rd, Amling M, Ritchie RO. Vitamin D deficiency induces early signs of aging in human bone, increasing the risk of fracture. Sci Transl Med. 2013; 5:193ra88. This study demonstrates the effect of vitamin D deficiency on bone quality at multiple length scales.
- 16•. Sroga GE, Vashishth D. Effects of bone matrix proteins on fracture and fragility in osteoporosis. Curr Osteopor Rep. 2012; 10:141–50. This is an excellent review on the role of bone matrix proteins and collagen crosslinking in bone quality.
- Yoshitake H, Rittling SR, Denhardt DT, Noda M. Osteopontin-deficient mice are resistant to ovariectomy-induced bone resorption. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999; 96:8156–60. [PubMed: 10393964]
- Duvall CL, Taylor WR, Weiss D, Wojtowicz AM, Guldberg RE. Impaired angiogenesis, early callus formation, and late stage remodeling in fracture healing of osteopontin-deficient mice. J Bone Miner Res. 2007; 22:286–97. [PubMed: 17087627]

- Ducy P, Desbois C, Boyce B, Pinero G, Story B, Dunstan C, Smith E, Bonadio J, Goldstein S, Gundberg C, Bradley A, Karsenty G. Increased bone formation in osteocalcin-deficient mice. Nature. 1996; 382:448–52. [PubMed: 8684484]
- Rittling SR, Matsumoto HN, McKee MD, Nanci A, An XR, Novick KE, Kowalski AJ, Noda M, Denhardt DT. Mice lacking osteopontin show normal development and bone structure but display altered osteoclast formation in vitro. J Bone Miner Res. 1998; 13:1101–11. [PubMed: 9661074]
- Hunter GK, Hauschka PV, Poole AR, Rosenberg LC, Goldberg HA. Nucleation and inhibition of hydroxyapatite formation by mineralized tissue proteins. Biochem J. 1996; 317 (Pt 1):59–64. [PubMed: 8694787]
- 22. Qiu SR, Wierzbicki A, Orme CA, Cody AM, Hoyer JR, Nancollas GH, Zepeda S, De Yoreo JJ. Molecular modulation of calcium oxalate crystallization by osteopontin and citrate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:1811–5. [PubMed: 14766970]
- Zappone B, Thurner PJ, Adams J, Fantner GE, Hansma PK. Effect of Ca2+ ions on the adhesion and mechanical properties of adsorbed layers of human osteopontin. Biophys J. 2008; 95:2939–50. [PubMed: 18586839]
- 24••. Poundarik AA, Diab T, Sroga GE, Ural A, Boskey AL, Gundberg CM, Vashishth D. Dilatational band formation in bone. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012; 109:19178–83. This study demonstrates the role of osteocalcin and osteopontin in dilatational bands and the importance of these bands in bone toughness. [PubMed: 23129653]
- Thurner PJ, Chen CG, Ionova-Martin S, Sun L, Harman A, Porter A, Ager JW 3rd, Ritchie RO, Alliston T. Osteopontin deficiency increases bone fragility but preserves bone mass. Bone. 2010; 46:1564–73. [PubMed: 20171304]
- Wallace JM, Rajachar RM, Chen XD, Shi S, Allen MR, Bloomfield SA, Les CM, Robey PG, Young MF, Kohn DH. The mechanical phenotype of biglycan-deficient mice is bone- and genderspecific. Bone. 2006; 39:106–16. [PubMed: 16527557]
- 27. Feng JQ, Ward LM, Liu S, Lu Y, Xie Y, Yuan B, Yu X, Rauch F, Davis SI, Zhang S, Rios H, Drezner MK, Quarles LD, Bonewald LF, White KE. Loss of DMP1 causes rickets and osteomalacia and identifies a role for osteocytes in mineral metabolism. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:1310–5. [PubMed: 17033621]
- 28•. Karunaratne A, Esapa CR, Hiller J, Boyde A, Head R, Bassett JH, Terrill NJ, Williams GR, Brown MA, Croucher PI, Brown SD, Cox RD, Barber AH, Thakker RV, Gupta HS. Significant deterioration in nanomechanical quality occurs through incomplete extrafibrillar mineralization in rachitic bone: evidence from in-situ synchrotron X-ray scattering and backscattered electron imaging. J Bone Miner Res. 2012; 27:876–90. This study implicates Phex in the regulation of bone quality. [PubMed: 22161748]
- Arteaga-Solis E, Sui-Arteaga L, Kim M, Schaffler MB, Jepsen KJ, Pleshko N, Ramirez F. Material and mechanical properties of bones deficient for fibrillin-1 or fibrillin-2 microfibrils. Matrix Biol. 2011; 30:188–94. [PubMed: 21440062]
- Noda M, Yoon K, Prince CW, Butler WT, Rodan GA. Transcriptional regulation of osteopontin production in rat osteosarcoma cells by type beta transforming growth factor. J Biol Chem. 1988; 263:13916–21. [PubMed: 3166460]
- 31. Noda M, Rodan GA. Transcriptional regulation of osteopontin production in rat osteoblast-like cells by parathyroid hormone. J Cell Biol. 1989; 108:713–8. [PubMed: 2465299]
- 32. Leboy PS, Beresford JN, Devlin C, Owen ME. Dexamethasone induction of osteoblast mRNAs in rat marrow stromal cell cultures. J Cell Physiol. 1991; 146:370–8. [PubMed: 2022691]
- 33. Sodek J, Chen J, Nagata T, Kasugai S, Todescan R, Li IW, Kim RH. Regulation of osteopontin expression in osteoblasts. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1995; 760:223–41. [PubMed: 7785896]
- 34. Balooch G, Balooch M, Nalla RK, Schilling S, Filvaroff EH, Marshall GW, Marshall SJ, Ritchie RO, Derynck R, Alliston T. TGF-beta regulates the mechanical properties and composition of bone matrix. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:18813–8. [PubMed: 16354837]
- 35. Brennan TC, Rizzoli R, Ammann P. Selective modification of bone quality by PTH, pamidronate, or raloxifene. J Bone Miner Res. 2009; 24:800–8. [PubMed: 19063681]

- 36. Lane NE, Yao W, Kinney JH, Modin G, Balooch M, Wronski TJ. Both hPTH(1–34) and bFGF increase trabecular bone mass in osteopenic rats but they have different effects on trabecular bone architecture. J Bone Miner Res. 2003; 18:2105–15. [PubMed: 14672345]
- 37. Lane NE, Yao W, Balooch M, Nalla RK, Balooch G, Habelitz S, Kinney JH, Bonewald LF. Glucocorticoid-treated mice have localized changes in trabecular bone material properties and osteocyte lacunar size that are not observed in placebo-treated or estrogen-deficient mice. J Bone Miner Res. 2006; 21:466–76. [PubMed: 16491295]
- 38•. Carriero A, Doube M, Vogt M, Busse B, Zustin J, Levchuk A, Schneider P, Müller R, Shefelbine SJ. Altered lacunar and vascular porosity in osteogenesis imperfecta mouse bone as revealed by synchrotron tomography contributes to bone fragility. Bone. 2014; 61:116–24. This study provides high-resolution imaging analysis of bone porosity in ostogenesis imprerfecta. [PubMed: 24373921]
- 39•. Carriero A, Zimmermann EA, Paluszny A, Tang SY, Bale H, Busse B, Alliston T, Kazakia G, Ritchie RO, Shefelbine SJ. How tough is Brittle Bone? Investigating Osteogenesis Imperfecta in Mouse Bone. J Bone Miner Res. 2014 This study examines bone quality in osteogenesis imperfecta at multiple length scales.
- 40•. Sinder BP, Eddy MM, Ominsky MS, Caird MS, Marini JC, Kozloff KM. Sclerostin antibody improves skeletal parameters in a Brtl/+ mouse model of osteogenesis imperfecta. J Bone Miner Res. 2013; 28:73–80. This study shows the ability of Sclerostin inhibition to improve bone quality in a mouse model of osteogenesis imperfecta. [PubMed: 22836659]
- 41. Uveges TE, Kozloff KM, Ty JM, Ledgard F, Raggio CL, Gronowicz G, Goldstein SA, Marini JC. Alendronate treatment of the brtl osteogenesis imperfecta mouse improves femoral geometry and load response before fracture but decreases predicted material properties and has detrimental effects on osteoblasts and bone formation. J Bone Miner Res. 2009; 24:849–59. [PubMed: 19113917]
- Marini JC. Osteogenesis imperfecta: comprehensive management. Adv Pediatr. 1988; 35:391–426. [PubMed: 3055864]
- 43. Kozloff KM, Carden A, Bergwitz C, Forlino A, Uveges TE, Morris MD, Marini JC, Goldstein SA. Brittle IV mouse model for osteogenesis imperfecta IV demonstrates postpubertal adaptations to improve whole bone strength. J Bone Miner Res. 2004; 19:614–22. [PubMed: 15005849]
- 44. Saito M, Marumo K. Collagen cross-links as a determinant of bone quality: a possible explanation for bone fragility in aging, osteoporosis, and diabetes mellitus. Osteoporos Int. 2010; 21:195–214. [PubMed: 19760059]
- 45. Burr DB. Bone material properties and mineral matrix contributions to fracture risk or age in women and men. J Musculoskel Neur Inter. 2002; 2:201–4.
- 46. Tang SY, Allen MR, Phipps R, Burr DB, Vashishth D. Changes in non-enzymatic glycation and its association with altered mechanical properties following 1-year treatment with risedronate or alendronate. Osteoporosis Intl. 2009; 20:887–94.
- Nyman JS, Roy A, Tyler JH, Acuna RL, Gayle HJ, Wang X. Age-related factors affecting the postyield energy dissipation of human cortical bone. J Orthop Res. 2007; 25:646–55. [PubMed: 17266142]
- 48. Burr DB. Why bones bend but don't break. J Musculoskel Neur Inter. 2011; 11:270-85.
- 49. Diab T, Condon KW, Burr DB, Vashishth D. Age-related change in the damage morphology of human cortical bone and its role in bone fragility. Bone. 2006; 38:427–431. [PubMed: 16260195]
- Nalla RK, Kruzic JJ, Kinney JH, Ritchie RO. Effect of aging on the toughness of human cortical bone: evaluation by R-curves. Bone. 2004; 35:1240–6. [PubMed: 15589205]
- Nyman JS, Even JL, Jo CH, Herbert EG, Murry MR, Cockrell GE, Wahl EC, Bunn RC, Lumpkin CK, Fowlkes JL, Thrailkill KM. Increasing duration of type 1 diabetes perturbs the strengthstructure relationship and increases brittleness of bone. Bone. 2011; 48:733–40. [PubMed: 21185416]
- Gourion-Arsiquaud S, Burket JC, Havill LM, DiCarlo E, Doty SB, Mendelsohn R, van der Meulen MCH, Boskey AL. Spatial variation in osteonal bone properties relative to tissue and animal age. J Bone Miner Res. 2009; 24:1271–81. [PubMed: 19210217]

- 53. Burket J, Gourion-Arsiquaud S, Havill LM, Baker SP, Boskey AL, van der Meulen MCH. Microstructure and nanomechanical properties in osteons relate to tissue and animal age. J Biomech. 2011; 44:277–84. [PubMed: 21074774]
- 54•. Tjhia CK, Stover SM, Rao DS, Odvina CV, Fyhrie DP. Relating micromechanical properties and mineral densities in severely suppressed bone turnover patients, osteoporotic patients, and normal subjects. Bone. 2012; 51:114–22. This study examines the impact of bisphosphonate treatment and the inhibition of osteoclast-mediated bone remodeling on bone quality. [PubMed: 22561877]
- 55•. Seitz S, Koehne T, Ries C, De Novo Oliveira A, Barvencik F, Busse B, Eulenburg C, Schinke T, Püschel K, Rueger JM, Amling M, Pogoda P. Impaired bone mineralization accompanied by low vitamin D and secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients with femoral neck fracture. Osteoporosis Intl. 2013; 24:641–9. This study examines the role of signaling pathways that regulate bone remodeling in the regulation of bone quality.
- Gourion-Arsiquaud S, Allen MR, Burr DB, Vashishth D, Tang SY, Boskey AL. Bisphosphonate treatment modifies canine bone mineral and matrix properties and their heterogeneity. Bone. 2010; 46:6666–72. [PubMed: 19925895]
- 57•. Ettinger B, Burr DB, Ritchie RO. Proposed pathogenesis for atypical femoral fractures: lessons from materials research. Bone. 2013; 55:495–500. This article discusses the mechanisms by which altered bone quality may contribute to atypical femoral fractures following bisphosphonate use. [PubMed: 23419776]
- 58. Bonewald LF. The amazing osteocyte. J Bone Miner Res. 2011; 26:229-38. [PubMed: 21254230]
- 59. Belanger LF. Osteocytic osteolysis. Calcif Tissue Res. 1969; 4:1-12. [PubMed: 4310125]
- Qing H, Bonewald LF. Osteocyte remodeling of the perilacunar and pericanalicular matrix. Int J Oral Sci. 2009; 1:59–65. [PubMed: 20687297]
- 61••. Tang S, Herber RP, Ho S, Alliston T. Matrix metalloproteinase-13 is required for osteocytic perilacunar remodeling and maintains bone fracture resistance. J Bone Miner Res. 2012 This article demonstrates the requirement of perilacunar remodeling by osteocytes for the maintenance of bone quality.
- 62••. Qing H, Ardeshirpour L, Pajevic PD, Dusevich V, Jahn K, Kato S, Wysolmerski J, Bonewald LF. Demonstration of osteocytic perilacunar/canalicular remodeling in mice during lactation. J Bone Miner Res. 2012; 27:1018–29. This article demonstrates the requirement of perilacunar remodeling by osteocytes for the maintenance of mineral homeostasis. [PubMed: 22308018]
- Fuller K, Chambers TJ. Localisation of mRNA for collagenase in osteocytic, bone surface and chondrocytic cells but not osteoclasts. J Cell Sci. 1995; 108 (Pt 6):2221–30. [PubMed: 7673342]
- 64. Inoue K, Mikuni-Takagaki Y, Oikawa K, Itoh T, Inada M, Noguchi T, Park JS, Onodera T, Krane SM, Noda M, Itohara S. A crucial role for matrix metalloproteinase 2 in osteocytic canalicular formation and bone metabolism. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:33814–24. [PubMed: 16959767]
- 65. Holmbeck K, Bianco P, Pidoux I, Inoue S, Billinghurst RC, Wu W, Chrysovergis K, Yamada S, Birkedal-Hansen H, Poole AR. The metalloproteinase MT1-MMP is required for normal development and maintenance of osteocyte processes in bone. J Cell Sci. 2005; 118:147–56. [PubMed: 15601659]
- 66. Mosig RA, Dowling O, DiFeo A, Ramirez MCM, Parker IC, Abe E, Diouri J, Aqeel AA, Wylie JD, Oblander SA, Madri J, Bianco P, Apte SS, Zaidi M, Doty SB, Majeska RJ, Schaffler MB, Martignetti JA. Loss of MMP-2 disrupts skeletal and craniofacial development and results in decreased bone mineralization, joint erosion and defects in osteoblast and osteoclast growth. Hum Mol Genet. 2007; 16:1113–23. [PubMed: 17400654]
- Teti A, Zallone A. Do osteocytes contribute to bone mineral homeostasis? Osteocytic osteolysis revisited. Bone. 2009; 44:11–6. [PubMed: 18977320]
- 68. Belanger LF. Osteocytic osteolysis. Calcif Tissue Res. 1969; 4:1-12. [PubMed: 4310125]
- Kerschnitzki M, Wagermaier W, Roschger P, Seto J, Shahar R, Duda GN, Mundlos S, Fratzl P. The organization of the osteocyte network mirrors the extracellular matrix orientation in bone. J Struct Biol. 2011; 173:303–11. [PubMed: 21081167]
- 70•. Kerschnitzki M, Kollmannsberger P, Burghammer M, Duda GN, Weinkamer R, Wagermaier W, Fratzl P. Architecture of the osteocyte network correlates with bone material quality. J Bone

Miner Res. 2013; 28:1837–45. This article elegantly shows the relationship between the osteocyte canalicular network and the organization of bone ECM. [PubMed: 23494896]

- 71. Nyman JS, Lynch CC, Perrien DS, Thiolloy S, O'Quinn EC, Patil CA, Bi X, Pharr GM, Mahadevan-Jansen A, Mundy GR. Differential effects between the loss of MMP-2 and MMP-9 on structural and tissue-level properties of bone. J Bone Miner Res. 2011; 26:1252–60. [PubMed: 21611966]
- 72. Li CY, Jepsen KJ, Majeska RJ, Zhang J, Ni R, Gelb BD, Schaffler MB. Mice lacking cathepsin K maintain bone remodeling but develop bone fragility despite high bone mass. J Bone Miner Res. 2006; 21:865–75. [PubMed: 16753017]
- 73•. Kühnisch J, Seto J, Lange C, Schrof S, Stumpp S, Kobus K, Grohmann J, Kossler N, Varga P, Osswald M, Emmerich D, Tinschert S, Thielemann F, Duda G, Seifert W, El Khassawna T, Stevenson DA, Elefteriou F, Kornak U, Raum K, Fratzl P, Mundlos S, Kolanczyk M. Multiscale Converging Defects of Macro-Porosity, Microstructure and Matrix Mineralization Impact Long Bone Fragility in NF1. PLoS one. 2014; 9:e86115. This article demonstrates the critical role of a signaling intermediate, NF1, in the control of bone quality. [PubMed: 24465906]
- 74•. Weinstein RS. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and osteonecrosis. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2012; 41:595–611. This article reviews the role of glucocorticoids in osteoporosis and osteonecrosis. [PubMed: 22877431]
- 75•. Moutsatsou P, Kassi E, Papavassiliou AG. Glucocorticoid receptor signaling in bone cells. Trends Mol Med. 2012; 18:348–59. This review dissects the the role of glucocorticoids in osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. [PubMed: 22578718]
- 76•. Tang SY, Alliston T. Regulation of postnatal bone homeostasis by TGFbeta. Bonekey Rep. 2013; 2:255. This review describes the mechanisms by which TGFβ maintains the biological and mechanical homeostasis of bone. [PubMed: 24404376]
- 77. Alliston, T.; Piek, E.; Derynck, R. The TGF-β Family. Derynck, R.; Miyazono, K., editors. Cold Spring Harbor Press; Woodbury, NY: 2008. p. 667-723.
- Dallas, SL.; Alliston, T.; Bonewald, LF. Principles of Bone Biology. Bilezikian, JP.; Raisz, LG.; Rodan, GA., editors. Academic Press; San Diego, CA: 2008. p. 1145-1166.
- 79. Zhang YW, Yasui N, Ito K, Huang G, Fujii M, Hanai J, Nogami H, Ochi T, Miyazono K, Ito Y. A RUNX2/PEBP2alpha A/CBFA1 mutation displaying impaired transactivation and Smad interaction in cleidocranial dysplasia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:10549–54. [PubMed: 10962029]
- Alliston T, Choy L, Ducy P, Karsenty G, Derynck R. TGF-beta-induced repression of CBFA1 by Smad3 decreases cbfa1 and osteocalcin expression and inhibits osteoblast differentiation. EMBO J. 2001; 20:2254–72. [PubMed: 11331591]
- 81. Mohammad KS, Chen CG, Balooch G, Stebbins E, McKenna CR, Davis H, Niewolna M, Peng XH, Nguyen DH, Ionova-Martin SS, Bracey JW, Hogue WR, Wong DH, Ritchie RO, Suva LJ, Derynck R, Guise TA, Alliston T. Pharmacologic inhibition of the TGF-beta type I receptor kinase has anabolic and anti-catabolic effects on bone. PLoS One. 2009; 4:e5275. [PubMed: 19357790]
- 82. Edwards JR, Nyman JS, Lwin ST, Moore MM, Esparza J, O'Quinn EC, Hart AJ, Biswas S, Patil CA, Lonning S, Mahadevan-Jansen A, Mundy GR. Inhibition of TGF-β signaling by 1D11 antibody treatment increases bone mass and quality in vivo. J Bone Miner Res. 2010; 25:2419–26. [PubMed: 20499365]
- Ammann P, Brennan TC, Mekraldi S, Aubert ML, Rizzoli R. Administration of growth hormone in selectively protein-deprived rats decreases BMD and bone strength. Bone. 2010; 46:1574–81. [PubMed: 20178866]
- 84. Tseng KF, Bonadio JF, Stewart TA, Baker AR, Goldstein SA. Local expression of human growth hormone in bone results in impaired mechanical integrity in the skeletal tissue of transgenic mice. J Orthop Res. 1996; 14:598–604. [PubMed: 8764869]
- Williams GA, Callon KE, Watson M, Costa JL, Ding Y, Dickinson M, Wang Y, Naot D, Reid IR, Cornish J. Skeletal phenotype of the leptin receptor-deficient db/db mouse. J Bone Miner Res. 2011; 26:1698–709. [PubMed: 21328476]
- 86. Chang JL, Brauer DS, Johnson J, Chen CG, Akil O, Balooch G, Humphrey MB, Chin EN, Porter AE, Butcher K, Ritchie RO, Schneider RA, Lalwani A, Derynck R, Marshall GW, Marshall SJ,

Lustig L, Alliston T. Tissue-specific calibration of extracellular matrix material properties by transforming growth factor- β and Runx2 in bone is required for hearing. EMBO Rep. 2010; 11:765–71. [PubMed: 20847738]

- Schroeder TM, Kahler RA, Li X, Westendorf JJ. Histone deacetylase 3 interacts with runx2 to repress the osteocalcin promoter and regulate osteoblast differentiation. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:41998–2007. [PubMed: 15292260]
- 88•. McGee-Lawrence ME, Bradley EW, Dudakovic A, Carlson SW, Ryan ZC, Kumar R, Dadsetan M, Yaszemski MJ, Chen Q, An K-N, Westendorf JJ. Histone deacetylase 3 is required for maintenance of bone mass during aging. Bone. 2013; 52:296–307. This article describes the role of a histone deacetylase in the control of bone ECM quality. [PubMed: 23085085]
- Yang X, Matsuda K, Bialek P, Jacquot S, Masuoka HC, Schinke T, Li L, Brancorsini S, Sassone-Corsi P, Townes TM, Hanauer A, Karsenty G. ATF4 is a substrate of RSK2 and an essential regulator of osteoblast biology; implication for Coffin-Lowry Syndrome. Cell. 2004; 117:387–98. [PubMed: 15109498]
- 90•. Makowski AJ, Uppuganti S, Wadeer SA, Whitehead JM, Rowland BJ, Granke M, Mahadevan-Jansen A, Yang X, Nyman JS. The loss of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) reduces bone toughness and fracture toughness. Bone. 2014; 62:1–9. This article describes the role of a transcripton factor, ATF4, in control of bone quality. [PubMed: 24509412]
- Selvamurugan N, Kwok S, Alliston T, Reiss M, Partridge NC. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 regulation of collagenase-3 expression in osteoblastic cells by cross-talk between the Smad and MAPK signaling pathways and their components, Smad2 and Runx2. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:19327–34. [PubMed: 14982932]
- Jepsen KJ, Courtland HW, Nadeau JH. Genetically determined phenotype covariation networks control bone strength. J Bone Miner Res. 2010; 25:1581–93. [PubMed: 20200957]
- Havill LM, Allen MR, Bredbenner TL, Burr DB, Nicolella DP, Turner CH, Warren DM, Mahaney MC. Heritability of lumbar trabecular bone mechanical properties in baboons. Bone. 2010; 46:835–40. [PubMed: 19900599]
- Butcher DT, Alliston T, Weaver VM. A tense situation: forcing tumour progression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9:108–122. [PubMed: 19165226]
- 95•. Mullen CA, Haugh MG, Schaffler MB, Majeska RJ, McNamara LM. Osteocyte differentiation is regulated by extracellular matrix stiffness and intercellular separation. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2013; 28:183–94. This article demonstrates the importance of cellular tension in osteocyte differentiation. [PubMed: 23994943]
- Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. Cell. 2006; 126:677–689. [PubMed: 16923388]
- 97•. Choi JS, Harley BA. The combined influence of substrate elasticity and ligand density on the viability and biophysical properties of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Biomaterials. 2012; 33:4460–8. This article demonstrates the importance of cellular tension in HSC differentiation. [PubMed: 22444641]
- Kavukcuoglu NB, Denhardt DT, Guzelsu N, Mann AB. Osteopontin deficiency and aging on nanomechanics of mouse bone. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2007; 83:136–44. [PubMed: 17390367]
- Boskey AL, Spevak L, Paschalis E, Doty SB, McKee MD. Osteopontin deficiency increases mineral content and mineral crystallinity in mouse bone. Calcif Tissue Int. 2002; 71:145–54. [PubMed: 12073157]
- 100•. Kacena MA, Gundberg CM, Kacena WJ, Landis WJ, Boskey AL, Bouxsein ML, Horowitz MC. The effects of GATA-1 and NF-E2 deficiency on bone biomechanical, biochemical, and mineral properties. Journal of cellular physiology. 2013; 228:1594–600. This article describes the role of megakaryocyte transcription factors in bone quality. [PubMed: 23359245]



Figure 1. Perilacunar Remodeling by Osteocytes

Bone health is maintained through dynamic remodeling executed by osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and osteocytes. While osteocytes direct osteoclast and osteoblast activity, osteocytes also play a direct role in remodeling bone matrix. Through a dynamic process called perilacunar remodeling (PLR), osteocytes secrete protons and proteases to resorb the perilacunar bone matrix, often to accommodate metabolic demands. After mineral homeostasis is restored, osteocytes refill the lacunar spaces with new bone matrix. Several osteocyte-derived proteins have been implicated in PLR including cathepsin K, MMP13, MT-1MMP, MMP2, TRAP, the Na/H+ exchanger, but not the osteoclast marker, RANK. Recent studies using genetically modified mice demonstrate that MMP13-dependent PLR is an essential constitutive process that actively maintains bone quality.

Table 1

Extracellular proteins implicated in the control of bone ECM material properties

Protein	Reference
Ligands	
vitamin D	13 14
TGFb	34
PTH	35 36
glucocorticoids	37
growth hormone	83, 84
IGF-1	83
Secreted Proteases	
MMP2	71
MMP13	61
MMP9	71
Cathepsin K	72
Extracellular Matrix Proteins	
osteocalcin	24
osteopontin	24, 25, 98, 99
collagen I	38–43
biglycan	26
Fibrillin	29
DMP1	27

Table 2

Cellular proteins implicated in the control of bone ECM material properties

Devedator	Deferrer	
Protein	Reference	
Receptors		
ΤβRΙ	81, 82	
ΤβRII	34, 86	
Leptin Receptor	85	
Transcriptional Regulators		
Smad3	34	
Runx2	86	
ATF4	90	
GATA1	100	
HDAC3	87	
Effector		
NF1	73	
Transporter		
ANK	8	
Enzymes		
TNAP	9–11	
Phex	28	