

In Vitro Activities of Nine Antifungal Drugs and Their Combinations against Phialophora verrucosa

Yali Li, Zhe Wan, Ruoyu Li

Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Peking University First Hospital, Research Center for Medical Mycology, Peking University, and Beijing Key Laboratory of Molecular Diagnosis of Dermatoses, Beijing, People's Republic of China

The *in vitro* activities of nine antifungal drugs and their combinations against 31 clinical and 15 environmental *Phialophora verrucosa* strains were tested. The $MIC_{90}/90\%$ minimum effective concentration (MIC/MEC_{90}) values (μ g/ml) across all strains were as follows: for terbinafine, 0.25; for posaconazole, 0.5; for voriconazole, 1; for itraconazole, 2; for amphotericin B, 4; for caspofungin and micafungin, 16; and for fluconazole and flucytosine, 64. The highest synergy was shown by the combination of itraconazole plus caspofungin (with synergy against 100% of the 31 clinical strains), followed by amphotericin B plus flucytosine (45.2%) and itraconazole plus terbinafine or micafungin (25.8% or 12.9%, respectively).

Dhialophora verrucosa is one of the major dematiaceous fungi causing intractable chromoblastomycosis, phaeohyphomycosis, and other infections such as mycetoma and endophthalmitis (1-3). With the chronic repetitious nature of the infections, traditional drugs and physical therapies cannot deal with the relapse, resistance, and incomplete cures of chromoblastomycosis and phaeohyphomycosis (1). Currently, clinical P. verrucosa infection cases and antifungal therapies, including drug combination therapies, have been reported (2, 4-10), but effective therapies are still limited. Previous studies have indicated that terbinafine combined with itraconazole, amphotericin B, or voriconazole showed synergy against dematiaceous molds such as Fonsecaea pedrosoi and Exophiala jeanselmei (11); amphotericin B combined with terbinafine also showed synergy for six *P. verrucosa* isolates (12). Our group had also explored the susceptibilities of three drugs against only 20 P. verrucosa isolates, including 1 clinical isolate, and no synergy or antagonism was observed when terbinafine was combined with itraconazole or amphotericin B (13). At present, there is limited information available on common antifungals and effective combinations against numerous clinical P. verrucosa isolates. This study aimed to investigate the in vitro susceptibilities of clinical and some environmental strains of P. verrucosa to nine antifungal drugs (fluconazole, flucytosine, amphotericin B, itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole, caspofungin, micafungin, and terbinafine) and the potential synergy and antagonism of these drugs when combined in pairs.

Forty-six *P. verrucosa* strains were obtained from the Research Center for Medical Mycology at Peking University, comprising 31 clinical isolates originating from patients with chromoblastomycosis (n = 11), phaeohyphomycosis (n = 19), and subcutaneous cysts (n = 1) and 15 isolates originating from environments in northern China (n = 8), southern China (n = 3), and the garden of a patient's house (n = 4) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Antifungals alone or in combination were tested against clinical strains. Environmental strains were used only in the single antifungal susceptibility test. All strains were identified by morphological methods and sequencing of the conserved ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (14-17).

In vitro susceptibilities were determined as described in the CLSI M38-A2 document (18). Synergy testing was evaluated using the checkerboard technique, as follows. Serial twofold dilutions

with 50 μ l each of drugs A and B were dispensed along the vertical and horizontal directions to yield 100 μ l per well in a 96-well microtiter plate (19). Isolates were cultured on potato dextrose agar at 28°C for 7 days or longer, until the spores were rich. Inocula were prepared by gently scraping the surfaces of the fungal colonies by use of a sterile tip with 2.5 to 3 ml of sterile physiological saline containing 0.05% Tween 20. Large particles in the cell suspensions were allowed to settle for 3 to 5 min at room temperature, and the final concentration of spores dispensed into the wells was adjusted to approximately 2.5 \times 10⁴ CFU/ml, as determined by quantitative spore counts obtained using a hemocytometer.

Antifungal drugs were obtained as reagent-grade powders. When the drugs were used alone, the final concentrations of fluconazole (Sunve Pharm, Shanghai, China) and flucytosine (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) ranged from 0.5 to 256 µg/ml, those of amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich), itraconazole (Shouguang Pharm, Shangdong, China), voriconazole (Shouguang Pharm), and posaconazole (Merck, Rahway, NJ, USA) ranged from 0.031 to 16 µg/ml, those of caspofungin (Merck) and micafungin (Astellas Pharma, Tokyo, Japan) ranged from 0.063 to 32 µg/ml, and that of terbinafine (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) ranged from 0.002 to 1 µg/ml. When the drugs were combined in pairs, the final concentrations of itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole ranged from 0.031 to 2 µg/ml, that of amphotericin B ranged from 0.125 to 8 μ g/ml, that of caspofungin ranged from 0.25 to 128 μ g/ml, that of fluconazole ranged from 4 to 256 μ g/ml, those of flucytosine and micafungin ranged from 0.5 to 256 µg/ ml, and that of terbinafine ranged from 0.004 to 2 μ g/ml. The quality control strains Candida parapsilosis ATCC 22019, Candida

Received 25 March 2014 Returned for modification 17 May 2014 Accepted 23 June 2014

Published ahead of print 30 June 2014

Address correspondence to Ruoyu Li, mycolab@126.com.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AAC.02875-14.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.02875-14

krusei ATCC 6258, *Aspergillus flavus* ATCC 204304, and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* ATCC-MYA 4439 were included in each assay run.

After 72 h of incubation at 35°C or 28°C (six isolates could not grow at 35°C), MICs were determined visually by comparison of the growth in the wells containing the drug to that of the drug-free control. When the drugs were tested alone, amphotericin B, itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole were found to require the lowest drug concentrations for prevention of any discernible growth (100% inhibition), whereas fluconazole and flucytosine required the lowest concentrations for \geq 50% inhibition and terbinafine required the lowest concentration for \geq 80% inhibition (18). The minimum effective concentration (MEC) was read as the lowest concentration of drug causing the growth of small, rounded, compact hyphal forms in comparison to the hyphal growth seen in the growth control well for caspofungin and micafungin (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). For synergy testing, 100% inhibition was determined for all drugs, including echinocandins. The fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was calculated using the equation $FICI = (A_c/A_a) + (B_c/A_b)$ B_a), where A_c and B_c are the MICs of drugs A and B in combination, respectively, and A_a and B_a are the MICs of the drugs alone, respectively. FICIs of ≤ 0.5 indicate synergy, FICIs of >4 indicate antagonism, and FICIs of >0.5 and ≤ 4 indicate no interaction (20). Each assay was performed twice for every isolate.

The geometric mean MIC/MECs, MIC/MEC₅₀s, MIC/ MEC₉₀s, and ranges of MIC/MECs for *P. verrucosa* are presented in (Table 1). For all clinical strains, the $MIC_{90}s$ of itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole were low ($\leq 0.5 \mu g/ml$), but for environmental strains, itraconazole and voriconazole became less active (MIC₉₀s of $\geq 2 \mu g/ml$). Additionally, among the nine drugs, only the MICs of itraconazole and voriconazole (P < 0.001) were significantly different between the clinical and environmental strains. In the treatment of dematiaceous fungal infections, MICs of $\leq 1 \,\mu$ g/ml are generally used as an indicator of potential susceptibility to most drugs (21). So, we can predict that the newer triazoles are active against P. verrucosa isolates from clinical samples, and this activity has already been observed in other dematiaceous fungi (22-24). Previous studies had showed that the MEC₉₀s of caspofungin and anidulafungin against *Fonsecaea* spp. were both 2 μ g/ml (22) and that the MEC₉₀s of caspofungin and micafungin against Cladophialophora carrionii (23), Cyphellophora spp., and Phialophora spp. (24) (not including P. verrucosa) were 2 µg/ml and 4 µg/ml, respectively. The present study showed relatively high MECs for caspofungin and micafungin against P. verrucosa, and moreover, we did not observe the variability of caspofungin MICs in our P. verrucosa strains that had previously been reported for Candida spp. (25). Therefore, the in vitro activities of echinocandin drugs against clinically important dematiaceous fungi appeared to be weak.

Thirty-one clinical strains were chosen for the synergy studies. We tested 12 pairs of drug combinations: amphotericin B combined with fluconazole, terbinafine, flucytosine, itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole, caspofungin, or micafungin; itraconazole combined with terbinafine, caspofungin, or micafungin; and fluconazole combined with flucytosine. Synergy was observed for four pairs of combinations: itraconazole combined with terbinafine, caspofungin and amphotericin B combined with flucytosine (Table 2). Other combinations showed no interactions.

 TABLE 1 MIC/MEC values of nine antifungal drugs against 46 P.

 verrucosa strains

	MIC/MEC (µg/ml)							
Strain group (no. of strains)				Geometric mean				
and drug	Range	50%	90%					
Total $(n = 46)$								
Fluconazole	8-256	32	64	55.826				
Flucytosine	2-256	16	64	32.609				
Amphotericin B	2-4	4	4	3.261				
Itraconazole	0.25-4	0.5	2	0.973				
Voriconazole	0.063-4	0.25	1	0.58				
Posaconazole	0.031-1	0.125	0.5	0.232				
Caspofungin	2-16	8	16	9.174				
Micafungin	0.5-32	4	16	8.63				
Terbinafine	0.002-1	0.125	0.25	0.152				
Clinical $(n = 31)$								
Fluconazole	8-256	32	64	43.097				
Flucytosine	2-256	16	64	36.387				
Amphotericin B	2-4	4	4	3.29				
Itraconazole	0.25-1	0.5	0.5	0.476				
Voriconazole	0.063-1	0.25	0.5	0.361				
Posaconazole	0.031-1	0.125	0.5	0.196				
Caspofungin	2-16	8	16	8.903				
Micafungin	0.5-32	8	16	8.968				
Terbinafine	0.002 - 1	0.125	0.25	0.143				
Environmental ($n = 15$)								
Fluconazole	16-256	64	256	82.133				
Flucytosine	2-64	16	64	24.8				
Amphotericin B	2-4	4	4	3.2				
Itraconazole	1-4	2	4	2				
Voriconazole	0.25-4	1	2	1.033				
Posaconazole	0.031-1	0.25	0.5	0.308				
Caspofungin	2-16	8	16	9.733				
Micafungin	1-32	4	16	7.933				
Terbinafine	0.063-0.5	0.125	0.25	0.171				

Combinations of itraconazole with caspofungin or micafungin were not only usually used to treat infections caused by *Aspergillus fumigatus* but also showed synergy against clinically important fungi *in vitro* (26–29). Synergy was observed in all 31 clinical isolates when itraconazole was combined with caspofungin, with FICIs ranging from 0.125 to 0.5. These results suggest that itraconazole with caspofungin seems to be the most potent combination against *P. verrucosa in vitro*.

When flucytosine was combined with amphotericin B, 45.2% of the clinical strains showed synergy. This combination had already been reported to observably ameliorate skin lesions and to achieve a mycological cure of chromoblastomycosis for a patient who had been infected by *P. verrucosa* and had not been responsive to itraconazole, fluconazole, or terbinafine (5). The present study showed that the combination of terbinafine and itraconazole had a synergistic response against a small portion of *P. verrucosa* isolates as well as other dematiaceous molds (11), but no interaction was observed when terbinafine was combined with amphotericin B. This result was unlike that observed in the previous study (12).

In conclusion, this study indicated that the newer triazoles had low MICs for *P. verrucosa* but that amphotericin B, fluconazole, flucytosine, caspofungin, micafungin, and terbinafine had rela-

	, .0		-	0						0	, 0	,
	Value for indicated antifungal(s) ^a											
	ITC +			ITC +			ITC +			AMB +		
Characteristic	CAS	ITC	CAS	MCFG	ITC	MCFG	TRB	ITC	TRB	5FC	AMB	5FC
MIC range (µg/ml)		0.031-0.25	0.5–16		0.031-1	0.5–64		0.031-0.5	0.063-1		0.125-4	0.5-256
GM ^b MIC (µg/ml)		0.088	5.435		0.347	3.161		0.152	0.371		1.21	47.951
FICI range	0.125-0.5			<0.141-1.25 ^c			0.245-2			0.094-2		
Ratio $(\%)^d$	100			12.9			25.8			45.2		

TABLE 2 MIC ranges, geometric mean MICs, FICI ranges, and ratios for 31 clinical strains for which combinations of drugs showed synergy

^a ITC, itraconazole; CAS, caspofungin; MCFG, micafungin TRB, terbinafine; AMB, amphotericin B; 5FC, flucytosine.

^b GM, geometric mean.

 c The MIC of MCFG used alone was ${>}256~\mu\text{g/ml}$ for 100% inhibition.

^d The higher the ratio, the more potent the combination.

tively high MICs/MECs *in vitro*. When itraconazole was combined with terbinafine, caspofungin, or micafungin and amphotericin B was combined with flucytosine, synergy but no antagonism was observed. However, the *in vitro* results presented here need to be confirmed by using the appropriate animal models of *P. verrucosa* infection or clinical validation *in vivo*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our gratitude to all colleagues who provided us with fungal strains.

This work was supported by the Ladder Program of Beijing Key Laboratory of Molecular Diagnosis of Dermatoses in 2012 (grant no. Z121107009212026) and the National Science and Technology Key Projects on Major Infectious Diseases Such as HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis Prevention and Treatment during the 12th 5-year plan period of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grant no. 2013ZX10004612-002).

We declare that we have no relevant conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- McGinnis MR. 1983. Chromoblastomycosis and phaeohyphomycosis: new concepts, diagnosis, and mycology. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 8:1–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0190-9622(83)70001-0.
- Tong Z, Chen SCA, Chen L, Dong B, Li R, Hu Z, Jiang P, Li D, Duan Y. 2013. Generalized subcutaneous phaeohyphomycosis caused by *Phialophora verrucosa*: report of a case and review of literature. Mycopathologia 175:301–306. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-013-9626-3.
- Sun S, Yuan G, Zhao G, Chen H, Yu B. 2010. Endophthalmitis caused by *Phialophora verrucosa* and Streptococcus intermedius: a case report. Med. Mycol. 48:1108–1111. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2010.511283.
- 4. Tendolkar UM, Kerkar P, Jerajani H, Gogate A, Padhye AA. 1998. Phaehyphomycotic ulcer caused by *Phialophora verrucosa*: successful treatment with itraconazole. J. Infect. 36:122–125. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/S0163-4453(98)93666-0.
- Park SG, Oh SH, Suh SB, Lee KH, Chung KY. 2005. A case of chromoblastomycosis with an unusual clinical manifestation caused by *Phialophora verrucosa* on an unexposed area: treatment with a combination of amphotericin B and 5-flucytosine. Br. J. Dermatol. 152:560–564. http://dx .doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.06424.x.
- Gao L, Yu J, Wang D, Li R. 2013. Recalcitrant primary subcutaneous phaeohyphomycosis due to *Phialophora verrucosa*. Mycopathologia 175: 165–170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-012-9602-3.
- Guerriero C, De Simone C, Tulli A. 1998. A case of chromoblastomycosis due to *Phialophora verrucosa* responding to treatment with fluconazole. Eur. J. Dermatol. 8:167–168.
- Bonifaz A, Saul A, Paredes-Solis V, Araiza J, Fierro-Arias L. 2005. Treatment of chromoblastomycosis with terbinafine: experience with four cases. J. Dermatolog. Treat. 16:47–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080 /09546630410024538.
- Hofmann H, Choi SM, Wilsmann-Theis D, Horré R, de Hoog GS, Bieber T. 2005. Invasive chromoblastomycosis and sinusitis due to *Phia-lophora verrucosa* in a child from northern Africa. Mycoses 48:456–461. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2005.01150.x.
- 10. Hochfelder J, Fetto J. 2013. Phialophora verrucosa as a cause of deep

infection following total knee arthroplasty. Am. J. Orthop. (Belle Mead, NJ) 42:515–518.

- Biancalana FSC, Lyra L, Schreiber AZ. 2011. In vitro evaluation of the type of interaction obtained by the combination of terbinafine and itraconazole, voriconazole, or amphotericin B against dematiaceous molds. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55:4485–4487. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.01015-10.
- Daboit TC, Massotti Magagnin C, Heidrich D, Czekster Antochevis L, Vigolo S, Collares Meirelles L, Alves K, Scroferneker ML. 2014. In vitro susceptibility of chromoblastomycosis agents to five antifungal drugs and to the combination of terbinafine and amphotericin B. Mycoses 57:116– 120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/myc.12111.
- Yu J, Li R, Zhang M, Liu L, Wan Z. 2008. In vitro interaction of terbinafine with itraconazole and amphotericin B against fungi causing chromoblastomycosis in China. Med. Mycol. 46:745–747. http://dx.doi .org/10.1080/13693780802163438.
- 14. **de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gen Jìe Figueras MJ.** 2000. Hyphomycetes. Genus: Phaeoacremonium, p 878–879. *In* de Hoog GS, Guarro J (ed), Atlas of clinical fungi, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Yan ZH, Rogers SO, Wang CJK. 1995. Assessment of Phialophora species based on ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers and morphology. Mycologia 1995:72–83.
- Caligiorne RB, Licinio P, Dupont J, de Hoog GS. 2005. Internal transcribed spacer rRNA gene-based phylogenetic reconstruction using algorithms with local and global sequence alignment for black yeasts and their relatives. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43:2816–2823. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /JCM.43.6.2816-2823.2005.
- 17. de Hoog GS, Weenink XO, Gerrits van den Ende AHG. 1999. Taxonomy of the *Phialophora verrucosa* complex with the description of two new species. Stud. Mycol. **43**:107–122.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi; approved standard—2nd ed. CLSI document M38-A2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Drogari-Apiranthitou M, Mantopoulou FD, Skiada A, Kanioura L, Grammatikou M, Vrioni G, Mitroussia-Ziouva A, Tsakris A, Petrikkos G. 2012. In vitro antifungal susceptibility of filamentous fungi causing rare infections: synergy testing of amphotericin B, posaconazole and anidulafungin in pairs. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67:1937–1940. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks137.
- Odds FC. 2003. Synergy, antagonism, and what the chequerboard puts between them. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093 /jac/dkg301.
- Revankar SG, Sutton DA. 2010. Melanized fungi in human disease. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 23:884–928. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-10.
- 22. Najafzadeh MJ, Badali H, Illnait-Zaragozi MT, de Hoog GS, Meis JF. 2010. *In vitro* activities of eight antifungal drugs against 55 clinical isolates of *Fonsecaea spp*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54:1636–1638. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01655-09.
- Deng S, de Hoog GS, Badali H, Yang L, Najafzadeh MJ, Pan B, Curfs-Breuker I, Meis JF, Liao W. 2013. *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility of *Cladophialophora carrionii*, an agent of human chromoblastomycosis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:1974–1977. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.02114-12.
- 24. Feng P, Najafzadeh MJ, Sun J, Ahmed S, Xi L, de Hoog GS, Lai W, Lu C, Klaassen CH, Meis JF. 2012. *In vitro* activities of nine antifungal drugs

against 81 *Phialophora* and *Cyphellophora* isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **56**:6044–6047. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01112-12.

- 25. Espinel-Ingroff A, Arendrup MC, Pfaller MA, Bonfietti LX, Bustamante B, Canton E, Chryssanthou E, Cuenca-Estrella M, Dannaoui E, Fothergill A, Fuller J, Gaustad P, Gonzalez GM, Guarro J, Lass-Flörl C, Lockhart SR, Meis JF, Moore CB, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Pelaez T, Pukinskas SR, St-Germain G, Szeszs MW, Turnidge J. 2013. Interlaboratory variability of caspofungin MICs for Candida spp. using CLSI and EUCAST methods: should the clinical laboratory be testing this agent? Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:5836–5842. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01519-13.
- Rubin MA, Carroll KC, Cahill BC. 2002. Caspofungin in combination with itraconazole for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis in humans. Clin. Infect. Dis. 34:1160–1161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/339755.
- Fujita M, Tao Y, Kajiki A, Ouchi H, Harada E, Ikegame S, Matsumoto T, Uchino J, Watanabe K, Nakanishi Y. 2012. The clinical efficacy and safety of micafungin-itraconazole combination therapy in patients with pulmonary aspergilloma. J. Infect. Chemother. 18:668–674. http://dx.doi .org/10.1007/s10156-012-0391-x.
- Ruíz-Cendoya M, Rodriguez M, Mariné M, Pastor FJ, Guarro J. 2008. In vitro interactions of itraconazole and micafungin against clinically important filamentous fungi. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 32:418–420. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.05.015.
- Mariné M, Serena C, Pastor J, Quindós G, Carrillo AJ, Guarro J. 2007. In vitro activity of micafungin combined with itraconazole against Candida spp. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 30:463–465. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.06.022.