

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cell Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 May 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell Metab. 2014 May 6; 19(5): 849–860. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2014.01.017.

The GPIHBP1–LPL complex is responsible for the margination of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in capillaries

Chris N. Goulbourne1,* , **Peter Gin**1,* , **Angelica Tatar**1, **Chika Nobumori**1, **Andreas Hoenger**2, **Haibo Jiang**3, **Chris R. M. Grovenor**3, **Oludotun Adeyo**1, **Jeffrey D. Esko**4, **Ira J. Goldberg**5, **Karen Reue**1,6, **Peter Tontonoz**7,8, **André Bensadoun**9, **Anne P. Beigneux**1, **Stephen G. Young**1,6,‡, and **Loren G. Fong**1,‡

¹Department of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

²Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, US

³Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Oxford OX13PH, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

⁵Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA

⁶Department of Human Genetics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁷Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁸Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁹Division of Nutritional Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Abstract

Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs) undergo lipolysis by lipoprotein lipase (LPL), an enzyme that is transported to the capillary lumen by an endothelial cell protein, GPIHBP1. For LPLmediated lipolysis to occur, TRLs must bind to the lumen of capillaries. This process is often assumed to involve heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), but we suspected that TRL margination might instead require GPIHBP1. Indeed, TRLs marginate along the heart capillaries of wild-type but not *Gpihbp1*−/− mice, as judged by fluorescence microscopy, quantitative assays

The authors have no financial interests related to this study to declare.

^{© 2014} Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[‡]Address correspondence to Loren G. Fong or Stephen G. Young, Department of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, 695 Charles E. Young Dr. South, 4506 Gonda Bldg., Los Angeles, CA 90095. Tel: (310) 825-4997; Fax: (310) 267-2722; lfong@mednet.ucla.edu, sgyoung@mednet.ucla.edu. *Co-first authors

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

with infrared-dye–labeled lipoproteins, and EM tomography. Both cell culture and *in vivo* studies showed that TRL margination depends on LPL bound to GPIHBP1. Of note, the expression of LPL by endothelial cells in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice did not restore defective TRL margination, implying that the binding of LPL to HSPGs is ineffective in promoting TRL margination. Our studies show that GPIHBP1-bound LPL is the main determinant of TRL margination.

INTRODUCTION

The triglycerides within the core of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs; chylomicrons and VLDL) undergo hydrolysis by lipoprotein lipase (LPL) in the capillary lumen, mainly in heart, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue (Brunzell and Deeb, 2001; Havel and Kane, 2001). LPL is synthesized by parenchymal cells, but its site of action is within the capillary lumen. The mechanism by which LPL reaches the capillary lumen was recently solved. LPL in the interstitial spaces is bound by GPIHBP1, a GPI-anchored protein of endothelial cells, and then transported across the cells to the capillary lumen (Davies et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2010). In the setting of GPIHBP1 deficiency, LPL accumulates in the interstitial spaces and cannot reach the capillary lumen, resulting in severe hypertriglyceridemia (chylomicronemia) (Beigneux et al., 2007; Davies et al., 2010) and reduced delivery of lipid nutrients to parenchymal cells (Weinstein et al., 2011). GPIHBP1 is not expressed in endothelial cells of larger blood vessels (*e.g.*, arteries, veins), nor is it expressed in capillaries of the brain (Beigneux et al., 2007; Davies et al., 2010), an organ that primarily uses glucose for fuel.

For lipolysis to proceed, TRLs in the bloodstream need to stop at the luminal face of capillaries. The partitioning of large TRLs along the capillary endothelium has been aptly called "margination" (Stalenhoef et al., 1986). The molecule(s) on endothelial cells responsible for capturing TRLs in the bloodstream have remained unclear. One possibility, proposed in several reviews (Cryer, 1989; Goldberg, 1996), is that TRLs bind to the luminal surface of capillaries by interacting with heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) lining capillary endothelial cells. This model seems plausible, given that several apolipoproteins on TRLs contain positively-charged heparin–binding domains (*e.g.,* apo-B, apo-AV, apo-E) and are known to bind to negatively-charged HSPGs (Brown and Goldstein, 1986; Cardin et al., 1984; Cardin et al., 1986; Lookene et al., 2005; Mullick et al., 2002). According to this model, lipolysis of TRLs proceeds because of the proximity of HSPG-bound TRLs to LPL in the capillary lumen. In a variation of this model, HSPG-bound LPL contributes to TRL binding. LPL contains heparin-binding domains that interact with HSPGs and also contains lipid-binding sequences that bind (at least in biochemical assays) TRLs and triglyceride-rich emulsion particles (Lookene et al., 1997; Olivecrona et al., 1977). Thus, LPL could bridge capillary HSPGs and TRL particles (Merkel et al., 1998). There is indirect support for this model. When LPL is added to isolated and perfused arteries (where the LPL is presumably attached to HSPGs), there is increased binding of fluorescently labeled TRLs to the arterial wall (Mullick et al., 2002). However, direct investigations of TRL margination *in capillaries* have lagged behind, at least in part because of the absence of experimental approaches to visualize and quantify TRL margination within the microvasculature.

In this study, we sought to define mechanisms for TRL margination in capillaries. We developed techniques for imaging and quantifying TRL margination and examined the possibility that GPIHBP1 might be crucial for this process. We found that GPIHBP1—and more specifically GPIHBP1-bound LPL—is the main determinant of TRL margination in the microvascular circulation.

RESULTS

Binding of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs) to small blood vessels in the heart in wildtype mice but not in Gpihbp1 knockout mice

We hypothesized that TRL margination might require GPIHBP1 and/or GPIHBP1-bound LPL. We began by testing whether TRLs would stop along capillaries in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. We labeled TRLs (*d* < 1.006 g/ml lipoproteins from *Gpihbp1*−/− mice) with Alexa555 and injected them intravenously (along with FITC-labeled tomato lectin) into wild-type and *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* mice. After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, fixed *in situ*, and tissue samples prepared for microscopy. As expected, the tomato lectin bound to endothelial cells (both in capillaries and larger blood vessels). However, the TRLs bound only to heart capillaries in wild-type mice and did not bind to larger blood vessels (Fig. 1A, arrowheads) or to capillaries in the brain (Fig. S1A) (two sites where GPIHBP1 expression is absent) (Beigneux et al., 2007; Davies et al., 2010). TRL margination was nearly absent in heart capillaries of *Gpihbp1^{-/−}* mice (Fig. 1A). The TRLs in wild-type mice colocalized with GPIHBP1 and LPL (Fig. S1B) and were located along the luminal side of capillary endothelial cells (Fig. 1B). Transmission electron microscopy (EM) demonstrated binding of TRLs to the luminal face of heart capillaries in wild-type mice (Fig. 1C), but there was no TRL binding to heart capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig 1C). The identity of injected TRL particles along the surface of capillaries was confirmed by nanosecondary ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS) imaging (Moore et al., 2012). This technique makes it possible to visualize stable isotopes (*e.g.*, ¹³C) in biological samples with ~50 nm lateral resolution. For these studies, 13C-labeled TRLs from a *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse were injected intravenously into a wild-type and *Gpihbp1^{-/−}* mouse. After 8 min, the hearts were perfused extensively, fixed, and tissue sections analyzed by nanoSIMS imaging. ${}^{13}C$ enrichment in the nanoSIMS images often coincided with lipoproteins at the luminal surface of capillaries in wild-type mice (visualized by low-voltage back-scattered electron microscopy from the same section). No lipoproteins were detected in capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Figs. S1C).

TRLs bind to the open spaces inbetween patches of the glycocalyx

The luminal surface of vascular endothelial cells is covered by a glycocalyx that is rich in glycoproteins and proteoglycans (Arkill et al., 2012; Reitsma et al., 2007). To characterize the binding of TRLs in relation to the endothelial cell glycocalyx, unlabeled TRLs were injected into a wild-type mouse, perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, and stained with Alcian blue to visualize the glycocalyx (Reitsma et al., 2007). The glycocalyx in large blood vessels (*e.g.*, venules) of the heart appears as a continuous dense "forest" extending \sim 200 nm above the luminal surface (Fig. 2). In contrast, the glycocalyx in heart capillaries is patchy, with "tufts" of glycocalyx $(\sim 75$ -nm tall) interspersed between open

spaces where the plasma membrane is exposed (Fig. 2). A patchy appearance of the glycocalyx has also been observed in rat peritubular capillaries (Arkill et al., 2012). TRLs bound to the gaps between tufts of glycocalyx (Fig. 2; also see tomogram movies S1 and S2).

Identification of "nanovilli" by dual-axis EM tomography and their association with TRLs

We also examined the margination of TRLs by dual-axis electron tomography, making it possible to visualize TRLs in 250-nm-thick sections (Mastronarde, 1997). Consistent with the routine transmission EM studies, multiple TRLs were found on the endothelial cell surface in wild-type mice, but not in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. S2). Many TRL particles appeared to be attached to thin plasma membrane projections located at the luminal surface (Figs. 3A–C and S2). The projections on the luminal surface were 6.6 ± 0.3 nm ($n = 21$) in diameter and ranged in height from 100 to 200 nm. The same membrane projections were also found within caveolar-like invaginations of endothelial cells (Fig. 3D–E), in transcytotic vesicles or channels (Fig. 3D–F), and on the plasma membrane at the basolateral face of cells (Fig. 3E). These structures were also found in heart capillary endothelial cells of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice, but not in adjacent myocytes (Fig. S2). Electron microscopy did not reveal any cytoplasm or structural elements (*e.g.*, actin filaments) within the membrane projections, but they had the hallmark "railroad-track morphology" of lipid bilayers (Robertson, 1960) (Fig. 3F). These structures, which we have called "nanovilli," appear as "sticks" on individual EM micrographs. However, when examined step-wise along the zaxis, an individual nanovillus often appears in many micrographs, as many as 15–20 (see Supplemental movies S4–S6). This suggests that the membrane projections are actually lipid bilayer "planes" (~6 nm thick, 30–40 nm long, and 100–200 nm tall). These structures had not been noted previously, almost certainly because clear visualization of these structures requires EM tomography (they are infrequent and subtle by routine transmission EM). To determine whether nanovilli might contain GPIHBP1, we performed immunogold labeling with a GPIHBP1-specific rat monoclonal antibody. In preliminary studies, detection of GPIHBP1 was limited when primary or secondary antibodies were conjugated to colloidal gold. We therefore used unlabeled anti-GPIHBP1 antibodies along with anti-rat Fab´ fragments conjugated to 1.4-nm gold beads. Using 1.4-nm beads requires that tissue sections be treated with silver enhancement solutions to produce particles large enough to detect by EM. Transmission EM demonstrated many silver-enhanced gold particles on capillary endothelial cells in wild-type mice, but none in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. S2). Most of the gold particles were located on the luminal surface, especially within caveolae-like invaginations, but they were also detected within intracellular vesicles and on the basolateral surface. To determine if gold particles were also on nanovilli, EM tomography was performed. Unfortunately, the silver enhancement procedure interferes with the osmium and uranyl acetate staining of membranes, including nanovilli. However, linear "strings" of gold particles projecting into the capillary lumen (and within endothelial cell vesicles) were often found by EM (Fig. 3G–I).

Quantitative measurement of TRL margination in vivo

To quantify TRL margination, mice were injected with lipoproteins that had been labeled with infrared (IR) dyes. In these studies, wild-type and *Gpihbp1^{-/−}* mice were pretreated

with tetrahydrolipstatin (THL) to prevent lipolysis. After 30 sec, the mice were perfused extensively, first with PBS and then with fixative. Next, frozen sections of mouse tissues were cut, and the level of each IR-dye in tissue sections was quantified with an infrared scanner. In initial studies, we compared the binding of IR800-dye–labeled TRLs and IR680 dye–labeled cholesterol ester–rich mouse β-VLDL. IR800-dye–labeled TRLs bound avidly to the heart, liver, and brown adipose tissue (BAT) of wild-type mice, while TRL binding in the brain was nearly undetectable (Fig. 4A–B). In *Gpihbp1*−/− mice, the binding of TRLs to the liver was similar to that observed in wild-type mice, but the binding of TRLs to heart and BAT was lower. IR680-dye–labeled β-VLDL bound avidly to the liver, but binding to heart and adipose tissue was low in both wild-type and *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. 4C–D).

The binding of IR-dye–TRLs to the heart was heparin-sensitive and was lower in *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* mice than in wild-type mice when normalized to tissue area or to endothelial cell content (Fig. S3A–B). Like TRLs isolated from the plasma of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice, IRdye–labeled human VLDL bound avidly to wild-type mouse hearts, but binding to *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* hearts was low (Fig. S3C). In contrast, IR-dye–labeled HDL bound poorly to wild-type mouse hearts but bound avidly to adrenal glands in both wild-type and *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. S3D). The binding of TRLs to the heart was also examined with other IR dyes (*e.g.,* IR680, maleimide-IR800) but the results were the same: the binding of TRLs to the heart depended on GPIHBP1 and could be blocked with heparin.

The reduced binding of TRLs in Gpihbp1−/− mouse hearts is not due to high plasma triglyceride levels

We considered the possibility that reduced binding of labeled TRLs to heart capillaries in *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* mice was somehow the consequence of higher levels of TRLs in the plasma of those mice. However, two lines of evidence showed that this was not the case. The first involved studies with isolated, perfused hearts. Hearts from *Gpihbp1*−/− mice were perfused extensively with buffer (to remove all lipoproteins) and then with buffer containing Alexa555-labeled–TRLs, Alexa647-labeled–rat IgG, and FITC-labeled–lectin. After 5 min at 4° C, hearts were perfused extensively with buffer to remove unbound materials. The tomato lectin bound to endothelial cells in wild-type and *Gpihbp1*−/− mice; however, TRLs bound only to the capillaries of wild-type mice and not to capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. S4A). Occasional spots of "TRL binding" were detected in *Gpihbp1*−/− hearts, but those were invariably explained by inadequate perfusion with buffer (the same spots were positive for Alexa647-labeled–rat IgG). The second line of evidence came from *in vivo* TRL margination studies in *Gpihbp1^{-/−}Angptl4^{-/−}* mice (Sonnenburg et al., 2009), which have much lower plasma triglyceride levels than *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (132 mg/dl in *Gpihbp1^{-/-}Angptl4^{-/-}* mice *vs.* 1742 mg/dl in *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* mice; *n* = 3/group). The lower triglyceride levels are consistent with the marked increase in chylomicron metabolism by macrophages in the lymphatics of *Angptl4*−/− mice (Lichtenstein et al., 2010). The margination of IR-dye–labeled TRLs was absent in the heart capillaries of both *Gpihbp1*−/− *Angptl4*−/− and *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. S4B). As expected, LPL was absent from the capillary lumen in both *Gpihbp1*−/− and *Gpihbp1*−/−*Angptl4*−/− mice (Fig. S4C).

The binding of TRLs and lipid emulsions (Intralipid) to cultured cells depends on GPIHBP1 and on the lipid-binding domain of LPL

The failure of TRLs to marginate within heart capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice indicates the importance of GPIHBP1 in this process. However, given GPIHBP1's role in binding LPL and shuttling it to the capillary lumen, it seemed possible that TRL binding might also require GPIHBP1-bound LPL. Indeed, previous studies have suggested that GPIHBP1 expressing CHO cells have little ability to bind TRLs in the absence of LPL (Gin et al., 2011). This would also be consistent with the inhibition of TRL margination in wild-type mice by heparin (Fig. S3A). Here, we pursued this possibility by examining TRL binding to GPIHBP1-expressing CHL-11 cells, which produce only negligible amounts of LPL (Gin et al., 2011). Again, we found no TRL binding to those cells unless they were first preincubated with LPL (Fig. 5A). To further explore the role of GPIHBP1-bound LPL in TRL binding, we tested whether the LPL-specific monoclonal antibody 5D2 would be capable of blocking TRL binding. [Antibody 5D2 binds to residues 380–410 of human LPL and blocks the delivery of long-chain triglyceride substrates to LPL's catalytic domain (Chang et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1992; Lookene et al., 1997).] Interestingly, antibody 5D2 nearly abolished binding of TRLs to GPIHBP1–LPL complexes on the surface of CHL-11 cells, whereas a mouse monoclonal antibody against the V5-tag had no effect (Fig. 5A). To further assess the role of GPIHBP1-bound LPL in capturing TRL particles, we mutated a cluster of tryptophans in LPL (W390A/W393A/W394A) that are known to be important for triglyceride hydrolysis by LPL's catalytic domain (and for the epitope of antibody 5D2) (Lookene et al., 1997); and see Fig. S5C). The mutant LPL bound avidly to GPIHBP1, but the mutant LPL–GPIHBP1 complex could not bind TRLs (Fig. S5A). Mutating single tryptophan residues yielded an intermediate phenotype (Fig. S5A). These studies implied that GPIHBP1-bound LPL binds TRLs and that the same LPL sequences that are important for delivering triglyceride substrates to LPL's catalytic domain are responsible for binding TRL particles on the surface of cells.

We also examined the ability of GPIHBP1–LPL complexes on cells to bind triglyceride emulsion particles (Intralipid). Fluorescently-labeled (DiI) Intralipid did not bind to nontransfected or GPIHBP1-transfected CHL-11 cells but did bind to GPIHBP1-expressing cells that had been pre-incubated with LPL (Fig. 5B). The binding of Intralipid to cells could be blocked with antibody 5D2 (Fig. 5B) or by blocking LPL binding to GPIHBP1 with heparin (Fig. S5B).

TRLs bind to a GPIHBP1–LPL complex in vivo

The cell culture studies indicated that GPIHBP1 has little ability to bind TRLs in the absence of LPL. To assess the *in vivo* relevance of these findings, we pursued two experimental approaches. The first was to investigate the ability of TRLs to stop in lung capillaries. Unlike heart and BAT, which express high levels of both LPL and GPIHBP1, the lung expresses high levels of GPIHBP1 but almost no LPL (Olafsen et al., 2010). IR-dye– labeled TRLs did not marginate along lung capillaries in wild-type mice (Fig. S6). However, the lungs are able to capture LPL from the circulation (Garcia-Arcos et al., 2013; Olafsen et al., 2010), and after an intravenous injection of purified bovine LPL, LPL levels increased in the lung (Fig. S7A) and bound TRLs avidly (Fig. 6A). In contrast, when bovine LPL was

The second approach to investigate the importance of GPIHBP1-bound LPL for TRL binding was to assess TRL margination in the hearts of "L0-MCK" mice [homozygous *Lpl* knockout mice that carry a human LPL transgene driven by the muscle creatine kinase (MCK) promoter]. These mice express small amounts of human LPL in the heart (Levak-Frank et al., 1997) which is transported to the capillary lumen by GPIHBP1. The binding of IR-dye–labeled TRLs to hearts of L0-MCK mice was greater than in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice but less than in wild-type mice (Fig. 6B). An intravenous injection of antibody 5D2 (which binds to human LPL) lowered TRL binding in hearts of L0-MCK mice to levels observed in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. Antibody 5D2 does not bind to mouse LPL and did not inhibit TRL binding to hearts of wild-type mice (Fig. S7B).

Measurement of HSPG's role in TRL margination in vivo

Our studies showed that GPIHBP1-bound LPL has a major role in TRL margination, but based on the residual binding of TRLs in *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse tissues it seemed possible that endothelial cell HSPGs might play a role. LPL contains positively charged heparin-binding domains that are known to bind to negatively charged sulfates on HSPGs. We tested the role of HSPG-bound LPL in TRL margination in two ways. First, we quantified the margination of TRLs in mice that lack NDST1 (*N*-deacetylase/*N*-sulfotransferase1) in endothelial cells (*Ndst1*fl/fl*Tek-Cre*) (Wang et al., 2005). NDST1 adds sulfates to HSPGs, and when this enzyme is absent, HSPG sulfation is reduced by ~50% (Grobe et al., 2002). When IR-dye– labeled TRLs were injected into *Ndst* $I^{f|f|}Tek-Cre$ mice, the binding of the TRLs to the heart was not reduced and actually appeared to be increased. In the same hearts, the binding of IRdye–labeled acetyl-LDL (a chemically modified LDL that binds to endothelial cells) was unaffected by a deficiency of NDST1 (Fig. S7C).

In a second approach, we measured TRL margination in mice that express human LPL in endothelial cells [EC-hLPLH transgenic mice; (Takahashi et al., 2008)]. LPL is normally produced by myocytes in the heart and requires GPIHBP1 to move it across endothelial cells to the capillary lumen. However, in EC-hLPLH mice, catalytically active LPL would likely be secreted directly into the circulation and have the opportunity to bind to endothelial cell HSPGs. If some of this LPL attaches to HSPGs, and if the HSPG–LPL complex is involved in TRL margination, then TRL margination should be higher in *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-hLpLH mice than in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. However, TRL margination in the heart was unaffected; the binding of TRLs to heart capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-hLpLH mice was no greater than in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice (Fig. 7). To verify that some of the EC-derived hLPL was *intravascular*, plasma hLPL levels were measured after an injection of heparin. To circumvent potential problems relating to the release of *mouse* LPL by heparin, we measured hLPL levels in mice that lacked mouse LPL (*i.e.*, *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-hLpLH*Lpl*−/− and EC-hLpLH*Lpl*−/− mice). Plasma samples were obtained 5 minutes after heparin because LPL appearance at that time point reflects release from intravascular sites (Weinstein et al., 2008). As expected, preheparin hLPL levels were low in both *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-hLpLH*Lpl*−/− and EChLpLH*Lpl*−/− mice [0.13 ± 0.004 µg/ml (*n* = 4) and 0.13 ± 0.05 µg/ml (*n* = 5), respectively].

The postheparin LPL levels were markedly increased in both groups of mice: 19.88 ± 2.18 µg/ml (*n* = 4) in EC-hLpLH*Lpl*−/− mice and 3.61 ± 0.80 µg/ml (*n* = 5) in *Gpihbp1*−/−EChLpLH*Lpl*−/− mice.

DISCUSSION

In the current studies, we show that GPIHBP1 is crucial for TRL margination in the heart. Two observations support this conclusion. First, in wild-type mice, the ability of endothelial cells to bind fluorescently labeled TRLs correlates with GPIHBP1 expression. TRL binding was robust in capillaries, where GPIHBP1 is expressed at high levels, but virtually undetectable in larger blood vessels, where GPIHBP1 is absent. Second, TRL margination is negligible in capillaries of *Gpihbp1^{-/−}* mice, as judged by immunofluorescence microscopy, transmission EM, and laser-scanning quantification of IRdye-labeled TRL binding in tissues. The failure of TRLs to bind to capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/− mice was not due to high plasma triglyceride levels because we observed the same results in experiments with isolated, perfused hearts. Also, TRLs failed to marginate in heart capillaries of *Gpihbp1*−/−*Angptl4*−/− mice and *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-hLpLH mice, where plasma triglyceride levels are far lower. Studies in cultured cells and live mice demonstrated the importance of GPIHBP1-bound LPL for TRL margination. In cultured cells, neither TRLs nor Intralipid particles bound to GPIHBP1-expressing cells unless the cells were first pre-incubated with LPL. Also, the binding of TRLs and Intralipid to GPIHBP1–LPL complexes on the surface of cells could be blocked with the LPL-specific monoclonal antibody 5D2—or by releasing LPL from GPIHBP1 with heparin. In live mice, heparin lowered TRL margination to the low levels observed in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. Also, TRLs did not bind to GPIHBP1-rich capillaries of the lung, a tissue that does not express LPL, unless the mice were first injected with LPL. Finally, the margination of TRLs in hearts of L0-MCK mice could be blocked with monoclonal antibody 5D2.

It has often been proposed that TRL margination depends on interactions between positively charged regions in TRL apolipoproteins with negatively charged HSPGs (Cryer, 1989; Goldberg, 1996). This model seems plausible, given that several apolipoproteins on the surface of TRLs (*e.g.,* apo-B, apo-AV, apo-E) have heparin–binding domains and bind to negatively-charged HSPGs (Brown and Goldstein, 1986; Cardin et al., 1984; Cardin et al., 1986; Lookene et al., 2005). In a variation on this model, HSPG-bound LPL plays a role in TRL binding. However, we were unable to document a major role for HSPGs in TRL margination in the heart. TRL margination in heart capillaries of endothelial cell-specific *Ndst1* knockout mice was not reduced. Consistent with this finding, inactivation of *Ndst1* in endothelial cells did not affect the amount of LPL that enters the plasma after an injection of heparin (Weinstein et al., 2008). Moreover, an endothelial cell-specific LPL transgene was unable to increase the low TRL margination in *Gpihbp1* knockout mice. In these mice, substantial amounts of human LPL could be released into the bloodstream with an injection of heparin (3.6 µg hLPL/ml plasma). Presumably, this LPL was bound to HSPGs on the luminal surface of blood vessels. If an LPL–HSPG complex was highly effective in mediating TRL binding, one might have expected higher TRL binding in *Gpihbp1*−/−EChLpLH mice than in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. However, this was not the case, underscoring the importance of endothelial cell GPIHBP1 in LPL binding and TRL margination in the heart.

A recent study by Bartelt *et al*. (Bartelt et al., 2011) showed that an injection of heparin into wild-type mice blocked the margination of triglyceride-rich particles along capillaries of brown adipose tissue (BAT). Their studies did not address whether the inhibition of margination was due to heparin's ability to block interactions between HSPGs and the particles or to heparin's ability to release LPL from the surface of capillaries. The current studies show that the inhibition is mainly due to the heparin-mediated release of LPL from GPIHBP1.

LPL is enzymatically active only as a head-to-tail homodimer (Wong et al., 1997) and it appears likely that a tryptophan-rich motif within the carboxyl terminus of one monomer delivers triglyceride substrates to the amino-terminal catalytic domain of the partner monomer. In support of this idea, transfection of two catalytically inactive LPLs—one with a mutation in the carboxyl-terminal lipid-binding motif and the other with a mutation in the amino-terminal catalytic domain—yields catalytically active LPL (Kobayashi et al., 2002). Mutating LPL's carboxyl-terminal tryptophan-rich cluster or incubating LPL with antibody 5D2 (which binds to this region of the molecule) blocks the hydrolysis of triolein (Liu et al., 1992; Lookene et al., 1997). Our current studies showed that the same interventions block TRL binding to GPIHBP1–LPL complexes, both on cultured cells and in capillaries of live mice. Thus, we identified an unexpected simplicity in LPL action: the same carboxylterminal LPL sequences required for catalysis are critical for the margination of TRL particles in capillaries.

An intriguing finding in the current studies was the discovery, by dual-axis EM tomography, of ~6-nm-thick planes of lipid bilayer, which we have called nanovilli, that extend from the surface of endothelial cells into the capillary lumen. The same membrane bilayer structures are found in transcytotic vesicles and on the basolateral face of endothelial cells. The membrane bilayer structures appear as "sticks" on single EM micrographs; however, when tomographic images are assembled into a movie, the overall structure is evident—they are not sticks but lipid bilayer "planes" (~6 nm thick, 30–40 nm long, and 100–200 nm tall). As far as we are aware, these membrane bilayers have not been described previously, likely because most ultrastructural studies of heart capillary endothelial cells have been conducted with routine transmission EM. While these structures can be identified by transmission EM, they are not as clear and they are easier to overlook and/or dismiss. It seems likely that these structures contain GPIHBP1 and LPL because they were found in close association with TRLs and because we often detected, by immunogold EM, linear "strings" of gold particles extending into the capillary lumen and in intracellular vesicles (the same sites where nanovilli are observed by dual-axis tomography). In the immunogold EM studies, silver enhancement of the 1.4-nm gold beads interfered with osmium tetroxide/uranyl acetate staining of membranes, making it difficult to visualize lipid bilayers underlying the strings of gold particles. Earlier EM studies described endothelial cell "projections" that bulged into the capillary lumen, especially near endothelial cell junctions (Blanchette-Mackie et al., 1989; Moore and Ruska, 1957). However, those projections contain abundant cytoplasm and are quite distinct from the membrane bilayer structures that we have described.

In summary, we have used biochemical, imaging, and electron microscopy approaches to study the mechanisms of TRL margination in cultured cells and in new mouse models. We

have also developed new quantitative methods to assess TRL margination in live animals. Our studies show that TRL margination depends on GPIHBP1-bound LPL and specifically on sequences within the carboxyl terminus of LPL. HSPGs do not appear to have a large quantitative role in margination. The studies also identified a new endothelial cell structure, which we have called nanovilli. Given the association of nanovilli with TRLs, we speculate that these structures play a role capturing TRL particles along capillaries.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Measurement of lipoprotein binding in tissues

Mice were injected intravenously with 50 μ l of 5 mM tetrahydrolipstatin (THL). After 2 min, the mice were injected intravenously with 50–100 µg IR-dye–labeled lipoproteins (see Supplemental information). After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with 15 ml ice-cold PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, followed immediately by 10 ml ice-cold 3% PFA in PBS. Tissue samples were frozen in O.C.T., and 10-µm-thick sections were placed onto glass slides and scanned with an Odyssey infrared imager. The IR signal for each channel was measured and normalized to tissue area. Tissue area was determined with ImageJ software. The results are reported as the mean \pm s.d. Each experiment was done at least three times and only representative experiments are shown, except where indicated.

Lipoprotein binding in isolated perfused hearts

Anesthetized mice were injected intravenously with 50 µl of 5 mM THL. After 2 min, the mice were perfused with 10 ml of Tyrode's solution [136 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.33 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 10 mM glucose] through the inferior vena cava. The hearts were removed and the aorta cannulated with a blunt-end 20-gauge needle and secured with a suture. The hearts were flushed with Tyrode's solution, submerged in 30 ml Tyrode's solution, and perfused with a 1-ml solution containing 100 μ g/ml Alexa555labeled TRLs, 50 µg/ml FITC-labeled lectin, and 25 µg/ml Alexa647-labeled rat IgG. After 5 min, the hearts were perfused with 10 ml Tyrode's solution followed by 5 ml of 3% PFA in PBS. The hearts were frozen in O.C.T. and processed for fluorescence microscopy (see Supplemental information).

Detection of TRL binding in the heart by transmission electron microscopy and dual-axis electron tomography

Mice were injected with THL and TRLs (50–100 µg) as described for the IR-dye–labeled lipoproteins. After 30 sec, tissues were perfusion-fixed *in situ* with 2.5% glutaraldehyde containing 2 mM MgCl₂ in 100 mM cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated in the fixation solution at 4° C overnight. The following day, the tissues were incubated in an equal volume of 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.1 M imidazole (pH 7.5). The samples were then washed three times in distilled water (10 min each). Samples were then treated with 1% osmium tetroxide in 100 mM cacodylate buffer for 1 h, washed in distilled water four times (10 min each), and then treated with 1–2% aqueous uranyl acetate overnight at 4° C in the dark. The samples were sequentially dehydrated with increasing concentrations of acetone (20, 30, 50, 70, 90, and 100%) for 30 min each, followed by three additional treatments with 100% acetone for 20 min each. Samples were then infiltrated with increasing concentrations of epon or Spurr's

resin (25% for 1 h, 50% for 1 h, 75% for 1 h, 100% for 1 h, 100% overnight at room temperature), and then incubated overnight at 70° C in a resin mold. 50–90-nm-thick sections were cut with a Leica ultramicrotome.

For routine transmission electron microscopy (EM), samples were examined with a 100CX JEOL electron microscope. For EM tomography, 250-nm thick sections were collected on formvar-coated copper slot grids. Following staining, 15-nm colloidal gold particles were applied to both surfaces of the grid to serve as fiducial markers for subsequent image analysis. Dual-axis tilt series (−65° to +65° at 1° intervals) were obtained with a computerized tilt stage with an FEI Tecnai TF30 and Tecnai TF20 electron microscopes operating at 300 kV and 200 kV, respectively. Tomographic reconstruction and modeling was performed with the IMOD software package (Mastronarde, 1997).

Detection of TRL margination by high-resolution (nano) secondary ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS)

Endogenously labeled 13C-TRLs were harvested from *Gpihbp1*−/− mice after delivering a mixture of 13C-labeled Algal fatty acids (Sigma 487937) by gavage. TRLs were isolated by ultracentrifugation and 50 µg were injected into mice. After 8 min, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, followed by glutaraldehyde fixative. Tissue samples were processed as described for transmission EM except that 500-nm thick sections were cut and placed onto platinum-coated coverslips.

A CAMECA NanoSIMS 50 was used to acquire chemical and isotopic images. The instrument uses a 16 keV primary $Cs⁺$ ion beam to bombard the sample surface and five selected secondary ions were detected to form composition maps with ~50-nm spatial resolution. The ratio between the counts of ${}^{12}C$ - and ${}^{13}C$ -secondary ions was used to show the distribution of ¹³C-labeled lipids; the ¹⁶O-, ¹²C¹⁴N-, and ³¹P-signals were also collected to show the morphology of the samples. The smallest primary aperture $(D1=4)$ was used to achieve high spatial resolution images of capillaries ($10 \times 10 \mu$ m, 256×256 pixels). The ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ -hue saturation images (HSI) were processed by the OpenMIMS plug-in (MIMS, Harvard University; [www.nrims.harvard.edu\)](http://www.nrims.harvard.edu) in ImageJ software, and processed by a median filter with three-pixel radius. All sections analyzed by NanoSIMS were also studied by low voltage Back Scattered Electron (BSE) imaging at 2kV in a Zeiss NVision FIB to allow direct correlation of the chemical information with the sample structure.

Detection of GPIHBP1 by transmission electron microscopy

Isolated mouse hearts were perfused with 1.0 ml Tyrode's buffer containing 50 µg/ml of a rat anti-GPIHBP1 antibody (clone 11A12). After incubating for 5 min at RT, unbound antibody was removed by perfusing with 5 ml of Tyrode's buffer. Bound antibody was detected by incubation with 36 µg/ml Alexa488-labeled goat anti–rat Fab´ fragments coupled to 1.4-nm gold particles (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY). The heart was perfusionfixed with glutaraldehyde and incubated in the fixative at 4° C. Small pieces of tissue (~1 mm cubes) were treated with an HQ Silver Enhancement Kit (Nanoprobes) according to the manufacturer's instructions and then processed for EM as described earlier.

Binding of TRLs to Gpihbp1-transfected cells

CHL-11 cells were plated on coverslips in 24-well plates and transfected with either 0.8 µg of an S-protein–tagged *Gpihbp1* expression vector or empty vector using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 24 h, the cells were washed with binding buffer (PBS containing 1.0 mM CaCl₂, 1.0 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% BSA) and incubated at 4° C for 1 h with 400 µl of concentrated conditioned medium from cells expressing V5-tagged human LPL. LPL mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis with the QuikChange Lightning kit (Agilent). All constructs were validated by DNA sequencing. Some cells were also incubated with mouse monoclonal antibody 5D2 (10 µg/ml) or a mouse monoclonal antibody against the V5 tag (10 μ g/ml, Invitrogen) at 4° C for 1 h. Cells were then washed three times with binding buffer and incubated with 0.5 ml DiI-labeled TRLs (1 mg/ml) in binding buffer at 4° C for 2 h (Gin et al., 2011). The cells were washed to remove unbound TRLs, fixed with 3% PFA, blocked, and incubated with a rabbit polyclonal antibody against the S-protein tag (0.4 µg/ml) and a mouse monoclonal antibody against V5 (4 µg/ml) . After washing, the cells were incubated with Alexa488-labeled donkey anti–rabbit IgG (1:500) and an Alexa647-labeled donkey anti–mouse IgG (1:500). After the removal of unbound secondary antibodies, the cells were stained with DAPI to visualize nuclei. Images were captured on an Axiovert 200M microscope (equipped with an LSM 700 confocal scanning module) and processed with the Zen 2010 software. The exposure conditions for each experimental condition were fixed and identical.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad QuickCalcs ([http://](http://www.graphpad.com/) [www.graphpad.com/\)](http://www.graphpad.com/). Differences in levels of TRL margination were analyzed by a twotailed Student's *t*-test.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. John Brunzell (University of Washington) for monoclonal antibodies 5D2 and 5F9, Ms. Jinny Wong (Gladstone Institutes) for assisting with some of the EM studies, Ms. Cynthia Page (University of Colorado) for collecting EM tomograms, and Mr. Richard Barnes for measuring triglyceride levels in the *Gpihbp1*−/−*Angptl4*−/− mice. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (HL089781, HL090553, HL087228, HL094732, HL45095, and GM33063), the Leducq Transatlantic Network TNT (12CVD04), the American Heart Association, Western States Affiliate (11POST6600001 and 13POST15700001), and the China Scholarship Council.

REFERENCES

- Arkill KP, Neal CR, Mantell JM, Michel CC, Qvortrup K, Rostgaard J, Bates DO, Knupp C, Squire JM. 3D reconstruction of the glycocalyx structure in mammalian capillaries using electron tomography. Microcirculation. 2012; 19:343–351. [PubMed: 22324320]
- Bartelt A, Bruns OT, Reimer R, Hohenberg H, Ittrich H, Peldschus K, Kaul MG, Tromsdorf UI, Weller H, Waurisch C, Eychmuller A, Gordts PL, Rinninger F, Bruegelmann K, Freund B, Nielsen P, Merkel M, Heeren H. Brown adipose tissue activity controls triglyceride clearance. Nat Med. 2011; 17:200–205. [PubMed: 21258337]
- Beigneux AP, Davies B, Gin P, Weinstein MM, Farber E, Qiao X, Peale P, Bunting S, Walzem RL, Wong JS, Blaner WS, Ding ZM, Melford K, Wongsiriroj N, Shu X, de Sauvage F, Ryan RO, Fong LG, Bensadoun A, Young SG. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored high density lipoprotein– binding protein 1 plays a critical role in the lipolytic processing of chylomicrons. Cell Metab. 2007; 5:279–291. [PubMed: 17403372]
- Blanchette-Mackie EJ, Masuno H, Dwyer NK, Olivecrona T, Scow RO. Lipoprotein lipase in myocytes and capillary endothelium of heart: Immunocytochemical study. Am J Physiol. 1989; 256:E818–E828. [PubMed: 2735404]
- Brown MS, Goldstein JL. A receptor-mediated pathway for cholesterol homeostasis. Science. 1986; 232:34–47. [PubMed: 3513311]
- Brunzell, JD.; Deeb, SS. Familial lipoprotein lipase deficiency, apo C-II deficiency, and hepatic lipase deficiency. In: Scriver, CR.; Beaudet, AL.; Sly, WS.; Valle, D.; Childs, B.; Kinzler, KW.; Vogelstein, B., editors. The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2001. p. 2789-2816.
- Cardin AD, Barnhart RL, Witt KR, Jackson RL. Reactivity of heparin with the human plasma heparinbinding proteins thrombin, antithrombin III, and apolipoproteins E and B-100. Thromb Res. 1984; 34:541–550. [PubMed: 6740570]
- Cardin AD, Hirose N, Blankenship DT, Jackson RL, Harmony JAK. Binding of a high reactive heparin to human apolipoprotein E: Identification of two heparin-binding domains. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1986; 134:783–789. [PubMed: 3947350]
- Chang S-F, Reich B, Brunzell JD, Will H. Detailed characterization of the binding site of the lipoprotein lipase-specific monoclonal antibody 5D2. J Lipid Res. 1998; 39:2350–2359. [PubMed: 9831623]
- Cryer A. The role of the endothelium in myocardial lipoprotein dynamics. Mol Cell Biochem. 1989; 88:7–15. [PubMed: 2674668]
- Davies BS, Goulbourne CN, Barnes RH 2nd, Gin P, Vaughan S, Vaux DJ, Bensadoun A, Beigneux AP, Fong LG, Young SG. Assessing mechanisms of GPIHBP1 and lipoprotein lipase movement across endothelial cells. J Lipid Res. 2012; 53:2690–2697. [PubMed: 23008484]
- Davies BSJ, Beigneux AP, Barnes RH II, Tu Y, Gin P, Weinstein MM, Nobumori C, Nyrén R, Goldberg IJ, Olivecrona G, Bensadoun A, Young SG, Fong LG. GPIHBP1 is responsible for the entry of lipoprotein lipase into capillaries. Cell Metab. 2010; 12:42–52. [PubMed: 20620994]
- Garcia-Arcos I, Hiyama Y, Drosatos K, Bharadwaj KG, Hu Y, Son NH, O'Byrne SM, Chang CL, Deckelbaum RJ, Takahashi M, Westerterp M, Obunike JC, Jiang H, Yagyu H, Blaner WS, Goldberg IJ. Adipose-specific lipoprotein lipase deficiency more profoundly affects brown than white fat biology. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:14046–14058. [PubMed: 23542081]
- Gin P, Beigneux AP, Voss C, Davies BS, Beckstead JA, Ryan RO, Bensadoun A, Fong LG, Young SG. Binding preferences for GPIHBP1, a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein of capillary endothelial cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2011; 31:176–182. [PubMed: 20966398]
- Goldberg IJ. Lipoprotein lipase and lipolysis: Central roles in lipoprotein metabolism and atherogenesis. J Lipid Res. 1996; 37:693–707. [PubMed: 8732771]
- Grobe K, Ledin J, Ringvall M, Holmbom K, Forsberg E, Esko JD, Kjellen L. Heparan sulfate and development: differential roles of the N-acetylglucosamine N-deacetylase/N-sulfotransferase isozymes. Biochem Biophys Acta. 2002; 1573:209–215. [PubMed: 12417402]
- Havel, RJ.; Kane, JP. Introduction: Structure and metabolism of plasma lipoproteins. In: Scriver, CR.; Beaudet, AL.; Sly, WS.; Valle, D.; Childs, B.; Kinzler, KW.; Vogelstein, B., editors. The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2001. p. 2705-2716.
- Kobayashi Y, Nakajima T, Inoue I. Molecular modeling of the dimeric structure of human lipoprotein lipase and functional studies of the carboxy-terminal domain. Eur J Biochem. 2002; 269:4701– 4710. [PubMed: 12230584]
- Levak-Frank S, Weinstock PH, Hayek T, Verdery R, Hofmann W, Ramakrishnan R, Sattler W, Breslow JL, Zechner R. Induced mutant mice expressing lipoprotein lipase exclusively in muscle

have subnormal triglycerides yet reduced high density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in plasma. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:17182–17190. [PubMed: 9202040]

- Lichtenstein L, Mattijssen F, de Wit NJ, Georgiadi A, Hooiveld GJ, van der Meer R, He Y, Qi L, Koster A, Tamsma JT, Tan NS, Muller M, Kersten S. Angptl4 protects against severe proinflammatory effects of dietary saturated fat by inhibiting lipoprotein lipase-dependent uptake of fatty acids in mesenteric lymph node macrophages. Cell Metab. 2010; 12:580–592. [PubMed: 21109191]
- Liu MS, Ma Y, Hayden MR, Brunzell JD. Mapping of the epitope on lipoprotein lipase recognized by a monoclonal antibody (5D2) which inhibits lipase activity. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1992; 1128:113–115. [PubMed: 1382603]
- Lookene A, Beckstead JA, Nilsson S, Olivecrona G, Ryan RO. Apolipoprotein A-V-heparin interactions: implications for plasma lipoprotein metabolism. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:25383– 25387. [PubMed: 15878877]
- Lookene A, Groot NB, Kastelein JJ, Olivecrona G, Bruin T. Mutation of tryptophan residues in lipoprotein lipase. Effects on stability, immunoreactivity, and catalytic properties. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:766–772. [PubMed: 8995362]
- Mastronarde DN. Dual-axis tomography: an approach with alignment methods that preserve resolution. J Struct Biol. 1997; 120:343–352. [PubMed: 9441937]
- Merkel M, Kako Y, Radner H, Cho IS, Ramasamy R, Brunzell JD, Goldberg IJ, Breslow JL. Catalytically inactive lipoprotein lipase expression in muscle of transgenic mice increases very low density lipoprotein uptake: Direct evidence that lipoprotein lipase bridging occurs *in vivo*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95:13841–13846. [PubMed: 9811888]
- Moore DH, Ruska H. The fine structure of capillaries and small arteries. J Biophys, and Biochem Cytol. 1957; 3:457–475. [PubMed: 13438930]
- Moore KL, Lombi E, Zhao F-J, Grovenor CRM. Elemental imaging at the nanoscale: NanoSIMS and complementary techniques for element localisation in plants. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2012; 402:3263–3273. [PubMed: 22052155]
- Mullick AE, Deckelbaum RJ, Goldberg IJ, Al-Haideri M, Rutledge JC. Apolipoprotein E and lipoprotein lipase increase triglyceride-rich particle binding but decrease particle penetration in arterial wall. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002; 22:2080–2085. [PubMed: 12482838]
- Olafsen T, Young SG, Davies BS, Beigneux AP, Kenanova VE, Voss C, Young G, Wong KP, Barnes RH 2nd, Tu Y, Weinstein MM, Nobumori C, Huang SC, Goldberg IJ, Bensadoun A, Wu AM, Fong LG. Unexpected expression pattern for glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored HDL-binding protein 1 (GPIHBP1) in mouse tissues revealed by positron emission tomography scanning. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:39239–39248. [PubMed: 20889497]
- Olivecrona T, Bengtsson-Olivecrona G, Marklund SE, Lindahl U, Hook M. Heparin-lipoprotein lipase interactions. Fed Proc. 1977; 36:60–65. [PubMed: 830558]
- Reitsma S, Slaaf DW, Vink H, van Zandvoort MAMJ, oude Egrink MGA. The endothelial glycocalyx: composition, functions, and visualization. Pfugers Arch Eur J Physiol. 2007; 454:345–359.
- Robertson JD. The molecular structure and contact relationships of cell membranes. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 1960; 10:343–418. [PubMed: 13742209]
- Sonnenburg WK, Yu D, Lee EC, Xiong W, Gololobov G, Key B, Gay J, Wilganowski N, Hu Y, Zhao S, Schneider M, Ding Z-M, Zambrowicz BP, Landes G, Powell DR, Desai U. GPIHBP1 stabilizes lipoprotein lipase and prevents its inhibition by angiopoietin-like 3 and angiopoietin-like 4. J Lipid Res. 2009; 50:2421–2429. [PubMed: 19542565]
- Stalenhoef AFH, Malloy MJ, Kane JP, Havel RJ. Metabolism of apolipoproteins B-48 and B-100 of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in patients with familial dysbetalipoproteinemia. J Clin Invest. 1986; 78:722–728. [PubMed: 3745433]
- Takahashi M, Hiyama Y, Yokoyama M, Yu S, Hu Y, Melford K, Bensadoun A, Goldberg IJ. In vivo arterial lipoprotein lipase expression augments inflammatory responses and impairs vascular dilatation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008; 28:455–462. [PubMed: 18258818]
- Wang L, Fuster M, Sriramarao P, Esko JD. Endothelial heparan sulfate deficiency impairs L-selectinand chemokine-mediated neutrophil trafficking during inflammatory responses. Nature Immunol. 2005; 6:902–910. [PubMed: 16056228]

- Weinstein MM, Goulbourne CN, Davies BS, Tu Y, Barnes RH 2nd, Watkins SM, Davis R, Reue K, Tontonoz P, Beigneux AP, Fong LG, Young SG. Reciprocal metabolic perturbations in the adipose tissue and liver of GPIHBP1-deficient mice. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2011; 32:230–235. [PubMed: 22173228]
- Weinstein MM, Yin L, Beigneux AP, Davies BSJ, Gin P, Estrada K, Melford K, Bishop JR, Esko JD, Dallinga-Thie GM, Fong LG, Bensadoun A, Young SG. Abnormal patterns of lipoprotein lipase release into the plasma in GPIHBP1-deficient mice. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:34511–34518. [PubMed: 18845532]
- Wong H, Yang D, Hill JS, Davis RC, Nikazy J, Schotz MC. A molecular biology-based approach to resolve the subunit orientation of lipoprotein lipase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:5594– 5598. [PubMed: 9159117]

HIGHLIGHTS

- **•** TRLs bind to capillaries of wild-type but not *Gpihbp1*−/− mice
- **•** TRLs attach to endothelial cell membrane structures, which we have named nanovilli
- **•** Endothelial cell–derived LPL does not restore defective binding in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice
- **•** TRLs bind to the LPL–GPIHBP1 complex in the capillary lumen

Goulbourne et al. Page 17

Fig. 1. Binding of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs) to small blood vessels in the heart

(A) FITC-labeled lectin and Alexa555-labeled TRLs were mixed together and injected into a *Gpihbp1^{+/+}* and *Gpihbp1^{-/−}* mouse. The lectin binds to endothelial cells and is used to identify all blood vessels (green). TRLs (red) bound exclusively to small capillaries in the wild-type heart and were absent from larger blood vessels (see arrowhead). DAPI was used to visualize nuclei (blue). (B) High-magnification confocal fluorescence microscopy images showing TRL binding in the lumen of a capillary. A wild-type mouse was injected with Alexa555-labeled TRLs (red), and after 30 sec, unbound lipoproteins were removed by perfusion. Heart sections were stained with antibodies against GPIHBP1 (green) and CD31 (magenta), a marker of endothelial cells, and DAPI to visualize nuclei (blue). (C) Transmission EM showing numerous TRLs along the luminal surface of capillaries in the *Gpihbp1*^{+/+} heart but none in capillaries of the *Gpihbp1^{-/-}* heart. In the wild-type heart capillary, there were a few lipoproteins that appeared separated from the endothelial cell surface (arrow). Scale bar, 200 nm. (D) NanoSIMS analysis showing TRL binding to capillary endothelial cells in the heart. A wild-type mouse was injected with 13C-labeled TRLs, and after 8 min was perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins. Heart tissue sections were analyzed by nanoSIMS and back-scattered electron (BSE) imaging. The ¹³Csignal was normalized to the ¹²C-signal. A ¹³C/¹²Csignal in the natural abundance range appears blue, whereas an increased ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ -signal appears yellow–red. Areas of ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$

enrichment corresponded to TRLs at the capillary lumen (detected by high-resolution BSE imaging on the same sections; arrows).

Fig. 2. Alcian blue staining and dual-axis electron microscopy tomography of hearts from mice showing TRL binding inbetween patches of glycocalyx

Unlabeled TRLs were injected into wild-type mice. After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, followed immediately with glutaraldehyde fixative containing Alcian blue to stain the glycocalyx. Embedded heart tissues were sectioned and examined by dual-axis electron tomography. TRLs bind to gaps inbetween patches of glycocalyx. Higher-magnification images of the boxed areas are shown in the lower panels. Note the close apposition of the TRLs with the endothelial cell plasma membrane. Scale bar, 800 nm. The complete tomogram can be viewed in Videos S1–S3.

Goulbourne et al. Page 20

Fig. 3. Dual-axis electron microscopy tomography of hearts from mice injected with TRLs Unlabeled TRLs were injected into a wild-type mouse. After 30 sec, the mouse was perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins. (A–C) TRLs on the surface of capillary endothelial cells were in many cases attached to thin membrane structures protruding from the luminal surface of endothelial cells—which we have called nanovilli (NV). Nanovilli were also observed within intracellular vesicles (D–F) and at the basolateral plasma membrane (E). Scale bar, 70 nm. Nanovilli had the "railroad-track" morphology of lipid bilayers (F). Immunogold EM studies to determine the subcellular localization of GPIHBP1.

We found many instances of linear arrays of gold particles extending into the capillary lumen or within intracellular vesicles (arrows) (G–I). Scale bar, 100 nm. For panels A–F, see also Videos S4–S6.

Fig. 4. The binding of TRLs in the heart is dependent on GPIHBP1 expression

IR800-dye–labeled TRLs (green) and IR680-dye–labeled β-VLDL (red) were mixed together and injected into a *Gpihbp1*+/+ and a *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse. After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, followed immediately by fixative. Tissue sections (10-µm thick) were scanned on an Odyssey infrared imager, and the amounts of TRL and β-VLDL binding were measured and normalized to tissue area. (A) Images of tissue sections from the heart, brain, liver, and brown adipose tissue (BAT) showing TRL binding in the heart in *Gpihbp1*+/+ but not *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. (C) Images of the same tissue sections showing β-VLDL (red) binding, which was mainly in the liver. Quantification of TRL and β-VLDL binding is shown in panels B and D, respectively. *, $p < 0.01$ (*Gpihbp1^{+/+} vs Gpihbp1^{-/-}*).

Goulbourne et al. Page 23

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Actror Manuscript

20× objective.

Fig. 6. Binding of TRLs in the lung and heart is dependent on both GPIHBP1 and LPL (A) Wild-type and *Gpihbp1* knockout mice were injected intravenously with bovine LPL (65 µg in saline) or saline alone, followed by IR800-dye–labeled TRLs (green). After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound lipoproteins, and the amount of TRL binding determined by infrared scanning. In saline injected animals, TRL binding (green) was detected in the heart of the wild-type mouse but very little in the *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse. TRL binding in the lung was negligible for both the wild-type and *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse. After the injection of bLPL (+bLPL), there was a substantial increase in TRL binding in the lung

of wild-type mice, but not in the *Gpihbp1*−/− mouse. The results normalized to tissue area are shown in the bar graph. *, *p* < 0.01 (saline *vs* +bLPL). (B) IR800-dye–labeled TRLs (green) were injected into wild-type (WT), *Gpihbp1*−/− (GpiKO), or *Lpl*−/− mice expressing a human *LPL* transgene in muscle ("L0-MCK"). In another group of L0-MCK mice, a monoclonal antibody against human LPL (5D2) was injected 3 min before the injection of TRLs. After 30 sec, the amount of TRL binding was measured as described in A (with the WT set at a value of one). Representative images of heart tissue sections are shown in the insets. The anti-human LPL antibody reduced TRL binding in the hearts of L0-MCK mice to levels observed in *Gpihbp1*−/− mice. The same antibody had no effect on TRL binding in wild-type mice (Fig. S7B). *, *p* < 0.01 (*vs* control).

Wild-type (WT), *Gpihbp1*−/−, and *Gpihbp1*−/− mice expressing human LPL from an endothelial cell–specific *LPL* transgene (*Gpihbp1*−/−EC-*hLPL*) were injected with IR800 dye–labeled TRLs and IR680-dye–labeled lectin. After 30 sec, the mice were perfused with PBS to remove unbound materials, and the amounts of TRL and lectin binding were measured by infrared scanning. The expression of human LPL in endothelial cells increased preheparin plasma LPL levels more than 8-fold and reduced plasma triglyceride levels by more than 90% (for panel A, triglycerides were 3192 mg/dl *vs.* 301 mg/dl; for panel B,

triglycerides were 3968 mg/dl *vs.* 231 mg/dl). Quantitative analyses showed that LPL synthesized and secreted by endothelial cells did not increase TRL binding in the heart. Representative images of heart tissue sections from wild-type (WT), *Gpihbp1*−/− (KO), and *Gpihbp1*−/−EC-*hLPL* (KOT) mice are shown in the insets.