

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i34.12277 World J Gastroenterol 2014 September 14; 20(34): 12277-12282 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

PROSPECTIVE STUDY

# Heartburn and regurgitation have different impacts on life quality of patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease

Shou-Wu Lee, Han-Chung Lien, Teng-Yu Lee, Sheng-Shun Yang, Hong-Jeh Yeh, Chi-Sen Chang

Shou-Wu Lee, Han-Chung Lien, Teng-Yu Lee, Sheng-Shun Yang, Hong-Jeh Yeh, Chi-Sen Chang, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung 40242, Taiwan

Shou-Wu Lee, Teng-Yu Lee, Chi-Sen Chang, Department of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40242, Tai-wan

Han-Chung Lien, Sheng-Shun Yang, Hong-Jeh Yeh, Department of Medicine, Yang Ming University School of Medicine, Taipei 11217, Taiwan

Author contributions: Lee SW and Chang CS contributed equally to this work; Lee SW, Lien HC and Lee TY designed the research; Yang SS and Yeh HJ performed the research; Lee TY and Chang CS analyzed the data; and Lee SW and Lien HC wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Shou-Wu Lee, MD, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, No. 160, Sec. 3, Chung-Kang Rd., Taichung 40705, Taiwan. ericest429@yahoo.com.tw Telephone: +886-4-23592525 Fax: +886-4-23595046 Received: January 23, 2014 Revised: April 9, 2014

Accepted: May 25, 2014

Published online: September 14, 2014

# Abstract

**AIM:** To investigate the impact of heartburn and regurgitation on the quality of life among patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

**METHODS:** Data from patients with GERD, who were diagnosed according to the Montreal definition, were collected between January 2009 and July 2010. The enrolled patients were assigned to a heartburn or a regurgitation group, and further assigned to an erosive esophagitis (EE) or a non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) subgroup, depending on the predominant symptoms and endoscopic findings, respectively. The general demographic data, the scores of the modified Chinese version of the GERDQ and the Short-form 36 (SF-36) questionnaire scores of these groups of patients were compared.

**RESULTS:** About 108 patients were classified in the heartburn group and 124 in the regurgitation group. The basic characteristics of the two groups were similar, except for male predominance in the regurgitation group. Patients in the heartburn group had more sleep interruptions (22.3% daily *vs* 4.8% daily, P = 0.021), more eating or drinking problems (27.8% daily *vs* 9.7% daily, P = 0.008), more work interferences (11.2% daily *vs* none, P = 0.011), and lower SF-36 scores (57.68 *vs* 64.69, P = 0.042), than patients in the regurgitation group did. Individuals with NERD in the regurgitation group had more impaired daily activities than those with EE did.

**CONCLUSION:** GERD patients with heartburn or regurgitation predominant had similar demographics, but those with heartburn predominant had more severely impaired daily activities and lower general health scores. The NERD cases had more severely impaired daily activity and lower scores than the EE ones did.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Erosive esophagitis; Gastroesophageal reflux disease; Gender; Life quality; Non-erosive reflux disease

**Core tip:** The study was aimed to investigate the impact of heartburn and regurgitation on the quality of life among patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The results found GERD patients with heartburn predominant had more severely impaired daily activities and lower general health scores than those with regurgitation predominant. Non-erosive reflux disease greatly impaired daily function in regurgitationpredominant patients, but did not have such impact in those with heartburn predominant.

Lee SW, Lien HC, Lee TY, Yang SS, Yeh HJ, Chang CS. Heartburn and regurgitation have different impacts on life quality



of patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(34): 12277-12282 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v20/i34/12277.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i34.12277

## INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a common disorder of the upper gastrointestinal tract that is typically characterized by heartburn and acid regurgitation. According to the Montreal definition, GERD is a condition which develops when the reflux of stomach contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications<sup>[1]</sup>. GERD has an impact on the daily lives of affected individuals, interfering with physical activity, impairing social functioning, disturbing sleep and reducing productivity at work<sup>[2-5]</sup>. According to the Genval guidelines, a negative impact on the quality of life is a criterion for reflux disease in patients with frequent heartburn<sup>[6]</sup>. GERD patients can be classified as having either erosive esophagitis (EE) or non-erosive reflux disorder (NERD) depending on endoscopic findings<sup>[7]</sup>. The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of two cardinal GERD symptoms, heartburn and regurgitation, on the quality of life of patients with EE and those with NERD.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data from consecutive adult patients with GERD in our hospital, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, who were diagnosed according to the Montreal definition, were prospectively collected from January 2009 to July 2010. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) GERD combined with other structural gastrointestinal disorders, such as peptic ulcer disease, esophageal or gastric malignancy; (2) prior gastric surgery; (3) use of chronic anti-acid medication, such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) or H2-receptor antagonists (H2RAs), for more than 2 mo prior to enrollment; and (4) pregnancy. This study was conducted with the approval of the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Taichung Veterans General Hospital.

The general data of enrolled patients, including age, gender, body weight, body mass index (BMI), symptom duration and lifestyle habits, were recorded. All patients underwent an open-access transoral upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and the findings of esophagocardiac mucosal break, esophagocardiac junction (ECJ) ulcer, hiatal hernia or *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection of each case were collected. All patients were asked to complete the questionnaires, including the modified Chinese version of GERDQ, the modified GERD impact scale, and the Short-form 36 (SF-36) questionnaire (Chinese version).

The modified Chinese version of GERDQ included questions about the severity of the symptoms of heartburn ("a burning feeling behind the breastbone") and regurgitation ("unpleasant movement of material upwards from the stomach"). Answers were graded on a three-

Table 1 Severity distribution of	patients in	the l	heartburn	and
regurgitation group <i>n</i> (%)				

Groups		Total		
	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Heartburn group	4 (3.7)	85 (78.7)	19 (17.6)	108
Regurgitation group	6 (4.8)	102 (82.3)	16 (12.9)	124

point Likert scale, as follows: mild was defined as symptoms that can be easily ignored; moderate, awareness of symptoms but easily tolerated; and advanced symptoms sufficient to cause an interference with normal activities. The enrolled patients were classified as having heartburn, defined as more severe symptoms of heartburn than regurgitation, and the regurgitation group, defined as more severe symptoms of regurgitation than heartburn.

The modified GERD impact scale measures the frequency of imparied daily activity, including sleep interruption, eating or drinking problems, and work interferences, graded on a three-point Likert scale, as follows: never, sometimes and daily. The SF-36 questionnaire measures generic quality of life, which allows comparisons between different disease states. It also measures health status in eight domains: physical functioning, role limitations-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role limitations-emotional, and mental health. Two summary scores were also calculated from subject responses: the physical health (PH) score and the mental health (MH) score. Scores on the SF-36 range from 0 to 100 in each dimension and on the summary scales, with higher scores indicating better quality of life.

Data are expressed as standard derivation of the mean for each of the measured parameters. Gender, hiatal hernia, *H. pylori*, ECJ ulcer, endoscopic findings and lifestyle habits, are expressed as a percentage of the total patient number. A *P* value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were made using Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test to compare the effects of gender, hiatal hernia, lifestyle habits and GERD impact scale scores; ANOVA test was used to analyze age, body weight, BMI, and scores of the SF-36 questionnaires.

## RESULTS

A total of 290 consecutive patients were enrolled, and 58 cases had similar severity of symptoms of heartburn and regurgitation. Among the remaining 232 patients, 108 (46.6%) were classified in the heartburn group and 124 (53.4%) were classified in the regurgitation group. The symptom severities were similar in these two groups, as shown in Table 1.

The characteristics of the heartburn group and regurgitation group are presented in Table 2. The heartburn group had more males than the regurgitation group did (57.4% vs 38.7%, P = 0.044). Other general data, including age, body weight and BMI, were similar between the two groups. There were no significant differences in en-

Table 2 Basic characteristic of the of patients in the heartburn and regurgitation group								
Characteristics		Heartburn group	(n = 108, 46.6%)	Regurgitation gro	P value			
		<i>n</i> (%)	mean ± SD	<i>n</i> (%)	mean ± SD			
Mean age (yr)			$48.72 \pm 13.97$		$44.92 \pm 15.30$	$0.130^{1}$		
Gender	М	62 (57.4)		48 (38.7)		$0.044^{2}$		
	F	46 (42.6)		76 (61.3)				
Weight (kg)			$62.30 \pm 13.56$		$63.33 \pm 11.85$	$0.834^{1}$		
BMI $(kg/m^2)$			$22.97 \pm 3.87$		$23.38 \pm 3.42$	0.825 <sup>1</sup>		
H. pylori		28 (25.9)		22 (17.7)		$0.285^{2}$		
Hiatal hernia		30 (27.8)		34 (27.4)		0.966 <sup>2</sup>		
ECJ ulcer		8 (7.4)		12 (9.7)		$0.664^{2}$		
Endoscopic finding	NERE	54 (50.0)		56 (45.2)		0.836 <sup>2</sup>		
	EE	54 (50.0)		68 (54.8)				
Life style	Coffee	70 (64.8)		76 (61.3)		0.695 <sup>2</sup>		
	Alcohol	44 (40.7)		48 (38.7)		$0.823^{2}$		
	Tea	84 (77.8)		104 (83.9)		$0.404^{2}$		
	Smoke	20 (18.5)		16 (12.9)		$0.405^{2}$		

<sup>1</sup>*t* test; <sup>2</sup>Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test. BMI: Body mass index; ECJ: Esophagocardiac junction; EE: Erosive esophagitis; F: Female; M: Male; NERD: Non-erosive reflux disease; *H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori.* 



Figure 1 Results of the modified gastroesophageal reflux disease impact scale of patients in the heartburn and regurgitation groups. H: Heartburn group; R: Regurgitation group.

doscopic findings, such as NERD/EE ratio, ECJ ulcer, hiatal hernia, *H. pylori* infection rate, or lifestyle habits, such as tea, alcohol, coffee consumption, and cigarette smoking.

The results of the modified GERD impact scale and the SF-36 questionnaire scores of the two groups are displayed in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The heartburn group had significantly more sleep interruptions (22.3% daily vs 4.8% daily, P = 0.021), more eating or drinking problems (27.8% daily vs 9.7% daily, P = 0.008), and more work interferences (11.2% daily vs none, P = 0.011), than the regurgitation group did. Similarly, according to the results of the SF-36 questionnaire, the heartburn group had lower scores than the regurgitation group did, including total scores (57.68 vs 64.69, P = 0.042), PH scores (58.53 vs 63.52, P = 0.126), and MH scores (53.04 vs 59.31, P = 0.071).

There were 54 (50%) NERD patients and 54 (50%) EE patients in the heartburn group, and 56 (45.2%)



Figure 2 Results of the SF-36 questionnaire of patients in the heartburn and regurgitation groups. SF-36: Short-form 36; PH: Physical health; MH: Mental health.

NERD cases and 68 (54.8%) EE cases in the regurgitation group, respectively. The two main groups, the heartburn group and the regurgitation group, were further divided into four subgroups: NERD with heartburn, EE with heartburn, NERD with regurgitation and EE with regurgitation, depending on individual endoscopic findings.

The basic characteristics of the NERD and EE patients in the heartburn group and regurgitation group are shown in Table 3. Male predominance in the EE with heartburn subgroup (74.1%) and female predominance in the NERD with regurgitation subgroup (71.4%) were noted. More severe obesity, including higher body weight and BMI, were found in EE patients than in NERD cases in both subgroups, particularly in the EE with heartburn subgroup (mean body weight, 68 *vs* 56.59, P =0.001; mean BMI, 24.24 *vs* 21.68, P = 0.014). ECJ ulcers were found in the EE with heartburn subgroup (14.8%) and the EE with regurgitation subgroup (17.6%). In the EE with heartburn subgroup, there were more cases of hiatal hernia than in the NERD with heartburn subgroup (40.7% *vs* 14.8%, P = 0.033), but the difference was not

#### Lee SW et al. Heartburn and regurgitation in GERD

 Table 3
 Basic characteristic of the of non-erosive reflux disease and erosive esophagitis patients in the heartburn and regurgitation group

Characteristics	acteristics Heartburn group		<i>P</i> value	Regurgitation group				<i>P</i> value			
NERD $(n = 54, 50\%)$		EE $(n = 54, 50\%)$			NERD ( <i>n</i> = 56, 45.2%)		EE (n = 68, 54.8%)				
		n (%)	mean ± SD	n (%)	mean ± SD		n (%)	mean ± SD	n (%)	mean ± SD	
Mean age (yr)			$46.89 \pm 14.75$		$50.56 \pm 13.15$	$0.340^{1}$		$39.64 \pm 10.23$		$48.21 \pm 19.77$	0.043 <sup>1</sup>
Gender	Μ	22 (40.7)		40 (74.1)		$0.013^{2}$	16 (28.6)		32 (47.1)		$0.137^{2}$
	F	32 (59.3)		14 (25.9)			40 (71.4)		36 (52.9)		
Weight (kg)			$56.59 \pm 10.07$		$68.00 \pm 14.34$	$0.001^{1}$		$60.28 \pm 8.71$		$64.85 \pm 13.67$	$0.131^{1}$
BMI $(kg/m^2)$			$21.68 \pm 3.43$		$24.24 \pm 3.92$	$0.014^{1}$		$22.59 \pm 2.90$		$23.52 \pm 3.65$	$0.293^{1}$
H. pylori		14 (25.9)		14 (25.9)		$1.000^{2}$	8 (14.3)		14 (20.6)		$0.518^{2}$
Hiatal hernia		8 (14.8)		22 (40.7)		$0.033^{2}$	16 (28.6)		18 (26.5)		$0.854^{2}$
ECJ ulcer		0		8 (14.8)		$0.050^{3}$	0		12 (17.6)		$0.028^{3}$

<sup>1</sup>*t* test; <sup>2</sup>Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test; <sup>3</sup>Fisher exact test. BMI: Body mass index; ECJ: Esophagocardiac junction; EE: Erosive esophagitis; F: Female; M: Male; NERD: Nonerosive reflux disease; *H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori*.



Figure 3 Results of the modified gastroesophageal reflux disease impact scale of non-erosive reflux disease and erosive esophagitis patients in the heartburn and regurgitation groups. EE: Erosive esophagitis; NERD: Non-erosive reflux disease; GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease.

significant in the NERD with regurgitation subgroup. The ratio of *H. pylori* infection was similar among all 4 subgroups.

The results of the modified GERD impact scale of these four subgroups are shown in Figure 3. The NERD and EE with heartburn subgroups had a similar presentation of daily activities. However, the NERD with regurgitation subgroup had a significantly higher ratio of impaired daily activity than the EE with regurgitation subgroup did, in particular, eating or drinking problems (11.8% daily *vs* 7.1% daily, P = 0.002), and work interferences (50% "sometimes" *vs* 26.5% "sometimes", P = 0.046).

The SF-36 questionnaire scores of these four subgroups are listed in Figure 4. In general, the NERD patients had lower scores, both in the physical and mental dimensions, than the EE cases did, but the difference was not significant. The EE with regurgitation subgroup had the highest scores (mean total score 65.68, PH score 63.76, MH score 60.62), and the NERD with heartburn subgroup had the worst scores (mean total score 57.52, PH score 58, MH score 52.88).

## DISCUSSION

GERD is a chronic disease that tends to relapse and cause complications. Typical symptoms associated with GERD include heartburn, acid regurgitation, and chest pain, as well as extraesophageal manifestations such as nausea, chronic cough, asthma, and hoarseness. Previous studies indicated that the risk factors in patients with GERD include age<sup>[4]</sup>, obesity<sup>[5,8]</sup>, hiatal hernia<sup>[9]</sup>, and an unhealthy lifestyle, including alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking. The two typical presentations of GERD are heartburn and regurgitation. Heartburn is usually characterized as a burning substernal or epigastric discomfort which radiates towards the mouth, and regurgitation refers to reflux of food or bitter-tasting gastric contents from the stomach into the mouth. Though these two symptoms are often paired, they are different conditions, and sometimes one can affect a patient without the occurrence of the other.

Patients with GERD may present with a broad range of troublesome symptoms that can affect the quality of their daily lives<sup>[2-5]</sup>. The negative effects of GERD are



WJG | www.wjgnet.com

12280



Figure 4 Results of the short-form 36 questionnaireof non-erosive reflux disease and erosive esophagitis patients in the heartburn and regurgitation groups. EE: Erosive esophagitis; NERD: Non-erosive reflux disease; SF-36: Short-form 36; PH: Physical health; MH: Mental health.

dependent on the frequency and severity of symptoms rather than the presence of esophagitis. Studies conducted in Sweden's general population, which assessed the impact of the severity and frequency of GERD symptoms, showed that even symptoms rated as mild are associated with a clinically meaningful reduction in wellbeing<sup>[10]</sup>. One large European multicenter observational study noted more than half of GERD cases had sleep disturbance, and persistent regurgitation was associated with more hours of work missed<sup>[11]</sup>. Other two previous studies documented increasing symptom frequency of heartburn that led to significant decreases in wellbeing<sup>[12,13]</sup>.

Our study disclosed that patients with heartburn predominant had more severely impaired daily activity, including sleep interruption, eating or drinking problems and work interferences, than those with regurgitation predominant. Furthermore, the individuals with heartburn predominant also had lower SF-36 health scores. These results implied that there might be a difference in the presentation of symptoms and quality of life of GERD patients.

Furthermore, recent analyses of clinical trial data suggest regurgitation is less responsive to acid suppression than heartburn, and may be a common cause of incomplete treatment response<sup>[14,15]</sup>. To investigate the physicopathological presentations of heartburn and regurgitation, one study monitored 32 patients with symptoms suggesting GERD using 24-h ambulatory pH and impedance. The results showed that reflux episodes inducing regurgitation had a higher proximal extent of liquid component, but less esophageal pH drop, than episodes inducing heartburn<sup>[16]</sup>.

Although patients with GERD have a broad range of troublesome symptoms that can adversely affect the quality of life, studies have shown that individuals with EE and NERD have similar total quality of life scores<sup>[17,18]</sup>. In our study, patients with EE and those with NERD had similar general quality of life scores, both in the heart-burn subgroup and the regurgitation subgroup. However,

the NERD patients with regurgitation predominant had more significantly impaired daily functions, including eating or drinking problems and work interferences, than the EE cases did. The results implied that NERD regurgitation predominance has a more negative impact on life quality than EE regurgitation predominance, but there were no differences in the heartburn-predominant cases.

There are some limitations in our study. Firstly, comorbid diseases that tend to influence the severity of GERD, such as chronic heart failure or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, were not considered, and this might have led to inaccurate outcomes. Secondly, the endoscopic findings were recorded by individual endoscopists, and inter-observer conflict and misclassification might have occurred. Thirdly, gender predominant was found in some subgroups, and that might influence the finial results. Fourthly, not all of our cases with NERD have accepted pH-metry monitoring, and it might lead to a misclassification of the individuals with functional heartburn as NERD. Fively, the lifestyle characteristics in our study only reflect the patients' current status. Lastly, our study was hospital-based designed. Further research using representative samples of the general population are needed to confirm these results.

In conclusion, in the present study, GERD patients with heartburn and regurgitation predominant had similar demographics, but heartburn predominance had a more negative impact on daily activity and general health scores. In general, the NERD cases had more severely impaired daily activity and lower scores than the EE ones did.

## COMMENTS

#### Background

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is typically characterized by heartburn and acid regurgitation. GERD has an impact on the daily lives of affected individuals, interfering with physical activity, impairing social functioning and reducing productivity at work.

### Research frontiers

The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of two cardinal GERD symptoms, heartburn and regurgitation, on the quality of life of patients with GERD.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

Patients in the heartburn group had more sleep interruptions, more eating or drinking problems, more work interferences, and lower SF-36 scores than patients in the regurgitation group did. Non-erosive reflux disease greatly impaired daily function in regurgitation-predominant cases, but did not have such impact in those with heartburn predominant.

#### Applications

GERD patients with heartburn predominant had a more negative impact on daily activity and general health scores than those with regurgitation predominant.

#### Terminology

This is an interesting original manuscript assessing the impact of heartburn and regurgitation on quality of life in GERD patients.

#### Peer review

This is an interesting original manuscript assessing the impact of heartburn and regurgitation on quality of life in GERD patients.

### REFERENCES

1 Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. [The



### Lee SW et al. Heartburn and regurgitation in GERD

Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global, evidence-based consensus paper]. *Z Gastroenterol* 2007; **45**: 1125-1140 [PMID: 18027314 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-963633]

- 2 Wiklund I. Review of the quality of life and burden of illness in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis* 2004; **22**: 108-114 [PMID: 15383750 DOI: 10.1159/000080308]
- 3 Wahlqvist P, Karlsson M, Johnson D, Carlsson J, Bolge SC, Wallander MA. Relationship between symptom load of gastrooesophageal reflux disease and health-related quality of life, work productivity, resource utilization and concomitant diseases: survey of a US cohort. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008; 27: 960-970 [PMID: 18315585 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03671. x]
- 4 Lee SW, Chang CM, Chang CS, Kao AW, Chou MC. Comparison of presentation and impact on quality of life of gastroesophageal reflux disease between young and old adults in a Chinese population. World J Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 4614-4618 [PMID: 22147968 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i41.4614]
- 5 Lee SW, Lien HC, Chang CS, Peng YC, Ko CW, Chou MC. Impact of body mass index and gender on quality of life in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; 18: 5090-5095 [PMID: 23049219 DOI: 10.3748/ wjg.v18.i36.5090]
- 6 An evidence-based appraisal of reflux disease managementthe Genval Workshop Report. *Gut* 1999; **44** Suppl 2: S1-16 [PMID: 10741335 DOI: 10.1136/gut.44.2008.S1]
- 7 Armstrong D, Bennett JR, Blum AL, Dent J, De Dombal FT, Galmiche JP, Lundell L, Margulies M, Richter JE, Spechler SJ, Tytgat GN, Wallin L. The endoscopic assessment of esophagitis: a progress report on observer agreement. *Gastroenterol*ogy 1996; 111: 85-92 [PMID: 8698230 DOI: 10.1053/gast.1996. v111.pm8698230]
- 8 **El-Serag H**. The association between obesity and GERD: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008; **53**: 2307-2312 [PMID: 18651221 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-008-0413-9]
- 9 Iovino P, Angrisani L, Galloro G, Consalvo D, Tremolaterra F, Pascariello A, Ciacci C. Proximal stomach function in obesity with normal or abnormal oesophageal acid exposure. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2006; 18: 425-432 [PMID: 16700721 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2006.00768.x]
- 10 Wiklund I, Carlsson J, Vakil N. Gastroesophageal reflux symp-

toms and well-being in a random sample of the general population of a Swedish community. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; **101**: 18-28 [PMID: 16405529 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.00343.x]

- 11 Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Wernersson B, Denison H, Nuevo J, Gisbert JP. Impact of persistent, frequent regurgitation on quality of life in heartburn responders treated with acid suppression: a multinational primary care study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013; **37**: 1005-1010 [PMID: 23557078 DOI: 10.1111/apt.12298]
- 12 Revicki DA, Zodet MW, Joshua-Gotlib S, Levine D, Crawley JA. Health-related quality of life improves with treatment-related GERD symptom resolution after adjusting for base-line severity. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 73 [PMID: 14641914 DOI: 10.1186/1477-7525-1-73]
- 13 Kaplan-Machlis B, Spiegler GE, Revicki DA. Health-related quality of life in primary care patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Ann Pharmacother* 1999; 33: 1032-1036 [PMID: 10534213 DOI: 10.1345/aph.18424]
- 14 Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Hughes N. Response of regurgitation to proton pump inhibitor therapy in clinical trials of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2011; 106: 1419-125; quiz 1426 [PMID: 21537361 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2011.146]
- 15 Kahrilas PJ, Jonsson A, Denison H, Wernersson B, Hughes N, Howden CW. Regurgitation is less responsive to acid suppression than heartburn in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; 10: 612-619 [PMID: 22343515 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2012.01.022]
- 16 Bredenoord AJ, Weusten BL, Curvers WL, Timmer R, Smout AJ. Determinants of perception of heartburn and regurgitation. *Gut* 2006; 55: 313-318 [PMID: 16120760 DOI: 10.1136/ gut.2005.074690]
- 17 Oh JH, Kim TS, Choi MG, Lee H, Jeon EJ, Choi SW, Lee C, Chung IS. Relationship between Psychological Factors and Quality of Life in Subtypes of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Gut Liver* 2009; **3**: 259-265 [PMID: 20431758 DOI: 10.5009/gnl.2009.3.4.259]
- 18 Kulig M, Leodolter A, Vieth M, Schulte E, Jaspersen D, Labenz J, Lind T, Meyer-Sabellek W, Malfertheiner P, Stolte M, Willich SN. Quality of life in relation to symptoms in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease-- an analysis based on the ProGERD initiative. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; 18: 767-776 [PMID: 14535869 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003.01770.x]

P- Reviewer: Blonski W, Homan M, Lai YC S- Editor: Nan J L- Editor: A E- Editor: Ma S







# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.