

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Hypertension. 2014 October; 64(4): 852-859. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03848.

Vasopressin in Preeclampsia: A Novel Very-Early Human **Pregnancy Biomarker and Clinically-Relevant Mouse Model**

Mark K. Santillan^{1,5,6}, Donna A. Santillan¹, Sabrina M. Scroggins¹, James Y. Min², Jeremy A. Sandgren², Nicole A. Pearson², Kimberly K. Leslie¹, Stephen K. Hunter¹, Gideon K.D. Zamba³, Katherine N. Gibson-Corley⁴, and Justin L. Grobe^{2,5,6,7,8}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Iowa

²Department of Pharmacology, University of Iowa

³Department of Biostatistics, University of Iowa

⁴Department of Pathology, University of Iowa

⁵The Francois M. Abboud Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Iowa

⁶The Obesity Research and Education Initiative, University of Iowa

⁷The Fraternal Order of Eagles' Diabetes Research Center, University of Iowa

⁸Department of The Center on Functional Genomics of Hypertension, University of Iowa

Abstract

Preeclampsia, a cardiovascular disorder of late pregnancy, is characterized as a low-renin hypertensive state relative to normotensive pregnancy. As other non-pregnant low-renin hypertensive disorders often exhibit and are occasionally dependent upon elevated arginine vasopressin (AVP) secretion, we hypothesized a possible use for plasma AVP measurements in the prediction of preeclampsia. Copeptin is an inert pro-segment of AVP that is secreted in a 1:1 molar ratio and exhibits a substantially longer biological half-life than AVP, rendering it a clinically useful biomarker of AVP secretion. Copeptin was measured throughout pregnancy in maternal plasma from preeclamptic and control women. Maternal plasma copeptin was significantly higher throughout preeclamptic pregnancies versus control pregnancies. While controlling for clinically significant confounders (age, BMI, chronic essential hypertension, twin gestation, diabetes, and history of preeclampsia) using multivariate regression, the association of higher copeptin concentration and the development of preeclampsia remained significant. Receiver operating characteristic analyses reveal that as early as the 6th week of gestation, elevated maternal plasma copeptin concentration is a highly significant predictor of preeclampsia throughout pregnancy. Finally, chronic infusion of AVP during pregnancy (24 ng/hr) is sufficient

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

Please address correspondence to both: Mark K. Santillan, M.D., Department of, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, 200 Hawkins Dr., 31146 PFP, Iowa City, IA 52242, Tel: (319) 356-3180, Fax: (319) 353-6759, marksantillan@uiowa.edu. Justin L. Grobe, Ph.D., F.A.H.A., Department of Pharmacology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, 51 Newton Rd., 2-307 BSB, Iowa City, IA 52242, Tel: (319) 353-5789, Fax: (319) 335-8930, justin-grobe@uiowa.edu.

Authors MKS and JLG currently hold a provisional patent addressing the use of copeptin and AVP measurements for the early pregnancy prediction of preeclampsia, and a second provisional patent addressing the inhibition of the AVP system for the treatment of preeclampsia. No direct financial or other conflicts of interest exist.

to phenocopy preeclampsia in C57BL/6J mice, causing *pregnancy-specific* hypertension, renal glomerular endotheliosis, proteinuria, and intrauterine growth restriction. These data (1) implicate AVP release as a novel predictive biomarker for preeclampsia *very early* in pregnancy, (2) identify chronic AVP infusion as a novel and *clinically-relevant* model of preeclampsia in mice, and are (3) consistent with a potential causative role for AVP in preeclampsia in humans.

Keywords

Preeclampsia; Vasopressin; Human Biologic Marker; Animal Model

INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia affects 2–8% of all pregnancies, approximately 300,000 per year in the U.S. It causes 10–15% of all maternal mortality with close to 100,000 preeclampsia-related annual maternal deaths worldwide ¹. Maternal death due to preeclampsia disproportionately affects developing countries with 99% of preeclampsia-related maternal deaths occurring in low and middle income countries. In addition, 25% of stillbirths and neonatal deaths in these developing countries are associated with preeclampsia/eclampsia ². Preeclampsia is known to cause immediate and long term maternal-fetal morbidities such as fetal growth restriction, maternal-fetal death, and future adult neurological and cardiovascular diseases for mother and child ^{3–8}. Because its pathogenesis is poorly understood, preventive, and therapeutic modalities for preeclampsia are elusive. This emphasizes the importance of identifying unifying pathways to predict and treat preeclampsia. One candidate is the vasopressin (AVP) pathway.

Selected non-pregnant populations including African Americans ⁹, the elderly ¹⁰, and patients with chronic heart ¹¹ or renal failure ¹² exhibit AVP-dependent hypertension ¹³. These populations are also characterized by low circulating renin-angiotensin system activity. Relative to non-preeclamptic pregnant women, preeclamptic pregnant women exhibit reduced circulating activity of the renin-angiotensin system ¹⁴. This body of literature led us to hypothesize a relationship between AVP hypersecretion and the development of preeclampsia.

AVP exhibits a short biological half-life (5–20 minutes in blood), which complicates assessment of AVP secretion by direct measurement of this hormone. AVP is translated in 1:1 stoichiometric ratio with a small, inactive pro-segment, copeptin. Copeptin is eliminated primarily by renal excretion and is very stable in plasma. Consequently, it is a very useful and reliable biomarker for AVP secretion ¹⁵. Zulfikaroglu *et al.* recently documented a late second/early third trimester elevation in circulating copeptin in preeclamptics¹⁶. Similarly, Foda *et al.* demonstrated increased maternal copeptin levels in preeclamptics at time of delivery ¹⁷. The first objective of the current study was to determine if there are differences in *first* trimester copeptin concentrations between pregnant women who did and did not develop preeclampsia. The second objective of this study was to determine whether chronic AVP infusion during pregnancy is sufficient to induce preeclampsia phenotypes in mice.

METHODS

The Methods section is available in the online-only Data Supplement.

RESULTS

A total of 54 control pregnant, non-preeclamptic women, 50 pregnant, preeclamptic women, and 33 non-pregnant women were analyzed in this study. A full complement of first, second, and third trimester pregnant plasma samples was not available for each pregnant participant. The numbers of analyzed samples for each trimester were as follows: first trimester: 26 controls and 20 cases; second trimester: 19 controls and 20 cases; and third trimester: 38 controls and 50 cases. Maternal age, body mass index, and percentage of those with chronic essential hypertension were similar between the non-pregnant, control, and preeclamptic groups (Table 1). The non-pregnant group had significantly fewer previous pregnancies (lower Gravida), lower percentage of subjects with a history of preeclampsia and history of preexisting diabetes in comparison to the control and preeclamptic pregnant groups. These data may suggest that the non-pregnant cohort represents a cohort at lower risk for preeclampsia. Yet when gravida, history of preeclampsia, and preexisting diabetes was compared between control and preeclamptic pregnant women, no statistically significant differences were noted (gravida: p=0.99, history of preeclampsia: p=0.97, preexisting diabetes: p=0.33). In addition, the racial distribution between these groups were also similar and reflective of the Iowa population with a predominantly Caucasian populace based on current Iowa census data ¹⁸. When evaluating the pregnancy characteristics between the control and preeclampsia groups (Table 1), typical differences were observed between groups. The preeclampsia group exhibited a significantly lower gestational age at delivery $(36.1 \pm 3.1 \text{ vs. } 38.8 \pm 3.1 \text{ weeks}, p<0.001)$, lower birthweight $(2749.6 \pm 800.1 \text{ vs. } 3386.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ vs. } 3386.5 \pm 1$ 644.8 grams, p<0.001), and higher blood pressures particularly in the third trimester. These findings are consistent with the known clinical factors associated with preeclampsia: higher rate of preterm delivery, higher rate of twin gestation, and lower birthweight due to vascular causes and earlier delivery ¹⁹.

As seen in Figure 1a and 1b, maternal plasma copeptin concentration is significantly higher in pregnant women who developed preeclampsia in comparison with control, nonpreeclamptic women in the first trimester, second trimester, and third trimester. In addition, the trimester-specific copeptin concentrations in preeclamptic women are higher than the plasma copeptin concentration of non-pregnant women presenting to our institution for gynecologic care. The non-pregnant cohort data suggests that the rise in copeptin concentration is both *pregnancy- and preeclampsia-specific*. These group differences in plasma copeptin are likely not associated with changes in renal function and AVP degradation as measured by plasma Cystatin-C and vasopressinase (LNPEP), respectively, as these levels were similar between groups in each trimester (Figure 1c and 1d). Furthermore, significant differences in soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFLT-1) were not detected between the two groups but with a trend of increased sFLT-1 in preeclamptics in the second and third trimesters (Figure 1e).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for each trimester were constructed to interrogate if this elevated plasma copeptin concentration was predictive of the development of preeclampsia. Furthermore, optimal copeptin concentration cutoffs were determined from these curves. As seen in Figure 2a and 2b, the ROC curves demonstrated significant areas under the curve in the first trimester (AUC=0.90 \pm 0.05, p<0.0001), second trimester (AUC=0.90 \pm 0.06, p<0.0001), and third trimester (AUC=0.78 \pm 0.05, p<0.0001). These data indicate that maternal plasma copeptin concentration is very strongly predictive of the development of preeclampsia in all three trimesters with clinically significant sensitivities and specificities. Copeptin prediction of preeclampsia demonstrates significantly high negative predictive values at all ranges of clinically significant preeclampsia risk and high positive predictive values in those who would be at higher risk of developing preeclampsia (Figure 2c).

Further, we determined if clinically significant and regression identified covariates would alter the association of preeclampsia and copeptin concentration at particular trimesters. Logistic regression models were constructed with the diagnosis of preeclampsia as the dependent variable. Participants were dichotomized according to being above or below the ROC curve determined cutoff for a particular trimester (Figure 2b). Models were generated using the status of being above or below the cutoff as an independent variable while controlling for significant covariates such as maternal age, body mass index, diabetes, chronic essential hypertension, history of preeclampsia, and twin gestation. Copeptin concentration remained the *only* variable in all the models that continued to be significantly associated with preeclampsia (Table 2). These clinical data in total suggest that the robust elevation in copeptin concentration occurs early in the first trimester and remains elevated throughout pregnancy despite potential confounding effects of clinically significant obstetrical and vascular covariates.

Finally, to examine a causal role for AVP in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia, we tested the sufficiency of chronic AVP infusion throughout gestation to induce the major preeclampsia phenotypes in wildtype C57BL/6J mice. AVP infusion (24 ng/hr) had no effect on systolic blood pressure (SBP) in non-pregnant female mice, but caused a robust elevation in SBP in pregnant mice (Figure 3a and Figure S1). Heart rate (HR) was increased during pregnancy, but vasopressin had no significant modulatory effect (non-pregnant+saline 494±13, non-pregnant+AVP 491±21, pregnant+saline 546±12, pregnant+AVP 516±14 beats/min; pregnancy P=0.01, infusion P=0.29, infusion x pregnancy interaction P=0.38). Pregnant mice infused with AVP exhibited a gross elevation in protein loss to urine (Figure 3b). This proteinuria was associated with robust renal glomerular endotheliosis (Figure 3c), a pathognomonic finding in preeclampsia.

Chronic AVP infusion during pregnancy also significantly influenced fetal growth and development. Fetus masses on gestational day 18 were suppressed approximately 24% by AVP infusion (Figure 3d and 3e). One of seventeen AVP-infused dams underwent preterm labor on GD17. AVP infusion may also increase the rate of spontaneous feto-placental unit resorption (Figure 3f). In the AVP-infused (n=16) and saline-infused (n=16) pregnancies, similar numbers of fetuses were carried to GD18 (AVP: 115 vs. Saline: 117). Yet, there was

a trend for increased feto-placental resorption in the AVP infused pregnancies (12% vs. 6%; P=0.17).

DISCUSSION

Significant information regarding the relationship between AVP/copeptin and preeclampsia are clear from these data. First, AVP hypersecretion, assessed via maternal plasma copeptin, is grossly elevated in the first few weeks of human pregnancies that eventually develop preeclampsia. Second, maternal plasma copeptin is significantly predictive of the development of preeclampsia, regardless of clinical covariates, at least as early as the sixth week of pregnancy. Third, chronic infusion of AVP during pregnancy in wildtype C57BL/6J mice is sufficient to induce all of the major maternal and fetal phenotypes associated with human preeclampsia including *pregnancy-specific hypertension*. Together, these findings support the use of AVP/copeptin measurements as a novel *very early*-pregnancy predictive biomarker for the late-pregnancy development of preeclampsia. Further, these findings are consistent with a causal role for AVP in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia.

The determination that AVP hypersecretion predicts preeclampsia as early as the sixth week of pregnancy represents a major advance in the prediction of this disorder. Currently, antiangiogenic factors like soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFLT-1) and endoglin are elevated only as early as 12 weeks before the diagnosis of preeclampsia²⁰. Follow up analyses of sFLT-1, endoglin, and other anti-angiogenic factors suggest that testing characteristics of these factors are poor in application to clinical practice $^{21-23}$. Additional studies have examined first-trimester circulating interleukin-1b²⁴, high sensitivity Creactive protein ²⁵, and pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) ²⁵, but these factors have been shown to be poor to moderately predictive of preeclampsia. Given the promise of antiangiogenic markers such as sFLT-1, endoglin, and placental growth factor (PIGF) in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia, they have also been investigated in the first trimester as possible predictors of preeclampsia. In conjunction with uterine artery Doppler (UAD) analyses, these anti-angiogenic factors have been shown to be predictive (AUC=0.74) of preeclampsia but the requirements of performing both an assay and a UAD analysis is not practical for clinical screening, particularly in developing countries ²². An elevated uterine artery Doppler pulsatile index (UAD-PI) alone in the first trimester is strongly correlated with the development of preeclampsia. Poon et al. demonstrated that UAD-PI coupled with maternal history and aneuploidy markers in the first trimester can be very predictive of preeclampsia with AUC=0.96. In and of itself, UAD-PI has an AUC of 0.91^{26,27}. Although UAD-PI may be a powerful, predictive tool, reliable measurement requires substantial training for sonographers through verified programs such as the Fetal Medicine Foundation ²⁸ to decrease significant interassay variability. Such training may not be as available in all hospital settings, again with the most susceptible populations in developing countries having the least access. In comparison, we report that first trimester plasma copeptin measurement, which could be easily simplified for point of care testing, is independently, highly predictive of preeclampsia much earlier in pregnancy, with clinically significant sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, and positive predictive value. Clearly, there is utility in finding a simple, high-fidelity predictor of preeclampsia as early in

pregnancy as possible, and copeptin represents exactly this type of simple, powerful and individually predictive biomarker.

Modeling preeclampsia in animals is difficult, both because of a lack of spontaneous preeclampsia in most species, and a general lack of understanding of the early-pregnancy events that initiate this disorder. One common, powerful model of preeclampsia is the reduced uterine perfusion pressure (RUPP) model, which involves physical constriction of the uterine arteries ²⁹. This model is effective to emulate the late-pregnancy vascular dysfunction that is typical of preeclampsia by modeling uterine artery vasoconstriction. Similarly, viral delivery of sFLT-1 during pregnancy in mice results in many of the phenotypes associated with preeclampsia 30 . While both methods effectively model the latepregnancy pathogenesis of preeclampsia, neither intervention can recapitulate the earlypregnancy initiating stimuli that cause sFLT-1 elevation and subsequent vascular dysfunctions. One genetic mouse model of preeclampsia (the BPH/5 model) has been documented, which may help inform the search for the early-pregnancy pathogenic processes 31,32 . The primary criticism of the BPH/5 model is the presence of a baseline hypertension which models chronic hypertension as opposed to pregnancy induced elevations in blood pressure. The AVP infusion of preeclampsia model demonstrates a pregnancy-specific hypertension, a phenotype that is not associated with any of these models. This finding represents a major breakthrough in the modeling of preeclampsia. Our study strongly supports the clinical and translational relevance of this new model as the model was based on our observation that AVP secretion is chronically and robustly elevated throughout preeclamptic human pregnancies. Further studies into the mechanisms that cause elevated AVP secretion may lead to the discovery of even earlier-pregnancy biomarkers.

Our human prediction and murine model data leads us to hypothesize that AVP production, secretion, steady-state, and/or action at various receptors may represent novel and rational therapeutic targets for the prevention and treatment of preeclampsia. Pregnancy mechanisms that mediate preeclampsia such as immune dysfunction, endothelial and vascular dysfunction, oxidative stress, and angiogenesis ³³, must be initiated by an earlier event or signal. AVP is known to interact with essentially all of these processes ^{34,35}. Therefore, we contend that AVP hypersecretion represents an initiating event / signal (Figure 4).

AVP induces expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) at nanomolar concentrations in human vascular smooth muscle cells ³⁶ and human mesangial cells ³⁷. As AVP and VEGF act to increase vascular resistance and may therefore contribute to reduced uterine blood flow in preeclampsia, one may hypothesize a reflexive and protective induction of sFLT-1, as sFLT-1 functions to reduce VEGF signaling ³⁸. Excessive subsequent induction of sFLT-1 then contributes to the pathogenesis of preeclampsia through its anti-angiogenic effects.

Furthermore, AVP is known to be affected and is an effector of multiple immune cells. The Redman and Sargent model of the pathogenesis of preeclampsia holds that poor immunoregulation leads to immune rejection of the placenta. This immune rejection leads to downstream poor placentation and placental vascular dysfunction leading to the phenotype of preeclampsia ³⁹. Natural (NK) cells are in direct contact with the placenta and are likely

to play a critical role in the tolerance of the placenta as a significant source of IFN γ and TNF α ^{40,41}. IFN γ and TNF α are elevated in preeclamptic women with a concurrent decrease in IL-10 and IL-4 production. This creates a milieu rich in cytokines that drive a T helper 1 T cell (T_H1) response promoting a placental cytotoxic response leading to poor placentation. Production of AVP is stimulated by proinflammatory cytokines including: IL-6, IL-1 β , IFNg, and TNF-a, which are affected by preeclampsia ^{35,42}. Furthermore, Johnson *et al.* demonstrated AVP replaces the IL-2 help requirement for production of IFNg and that it acts upon an AVP receptor on lymphocytes ^{43,44}. These processes are very important in the development of the immune phenotype of preeclampsia ³⁹. Future studies to understand the complex interactions among AVP, immunologic dysregulation, angiogenesis, and vascular dysfunction are clearly needed to understand the early-pregnancy mechanisms that initiate preeclampsia. These mechanisms can identify additional molecular targets to treat – and more importantly, prevent – preeclampsia.

The current study has benefitted from high quality clinical data and biosamples from the University of Iowa Department of OB/Gyn Maternal Fetal Tissue Bank and Women's Health Tissue Repository. Further, the study was appropriately powered to evaluate our desired outcomes. One weakness of our study is the predominantly Caucasian population of our sample. As aforementioned, the racial distribution in this cohort is consistent with the current population of the state of Iowa. Even though the relationship of copeptin and preeclampsia is robust after clinical covariate adjustment, we are not appropriately powered to analyze potential variance due to race. Numerous additional human and animal translational studies are also required to identify the sites and causes of AVP hypersecretion, to identify the tissue sites of action and receptors involved, and to isolate the critical timeframes for AVP hypersecretion to elicit various preeclampsia phenotypes. Such knowledge will identify the specific targets and timing at which interference with AVP signaling could prevent or treat preeclampsia. Future, large-scale clinical studies confirming the prediction of preeclampsia by copeptin and investigating the safety and effectiveness of interference with AVP signaling during pregnancy are required, as are studies to investigate further improvements in predictive power of copeptin in combination with other predictor of preeclampsia.

PERSPECTIVES

We posit that a *very early*-pregnancy role for AVP in preeclampsia supports the novel concept that the central nervous system, and more specifically the neurohypophysis, is critically involved in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. This challenges and expands the current understanding of preeclampsia as a second-trimester disease of the vasculature and immune system. Measurement of AVP release in the first few weeks of pregnancy holds great promise as a novel diagnostic tool to predict the development of preeclampsia, and inhibition of AVP release or action may represent a novel and rational therapeutic approach to preventing and treating preeclampsia. Finally, these data identify AVP infusion as a new, simple, and clinically-relevant means of modeling preeclampsia in rodents.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely acknowledge the technical support of the staff of the University of Iowa Maternal-Fetal Tissue Bank and Women's Health Tissue Repository, the Santillan laboratory, the Grobe laboratory, and Amy Trent in the University Of Iowa Department Of Pathology for her expertise in electron microscopy. The authors also thank James N. Martin, MD, University of Mississippi, and Allyn L. Mark, MD, and Curt D. Sigmund, PhD, University of Iowa, for their critical reviews of the manuscript.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

This work was supported through grants from the NIH to MKS (HD000849, RR024980) and JLG (HL098276, HL084207), American Heart Association to JLG and MKS (14IRG18710013), American Diabetes Association to JLG (1-14-BS-079), Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust to MKS and JLG, University of Iowa Office of the Vice President for Research and Economic Development to JLG, Preeclampsia Foundation to MKS, Immunology Postdoctoral Training Grant to SMS (5T32AI007260-27), University of Iowa Medical Student Research Program to JYM, and the University of Iowa Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

REFERENCES

- Villar, J.; Say, L.; Gulmezoglu, AM.; Merialdi, M.; Lindheimer, MD.; Betran, AP.; Piaggio, G. Eclampsia and preeclampsia: a worldwide health problem for 2000 years. In: H, Critchley; A, MacLean; L, Poston; J, Walker, editors. Preeclampsia. London (UK): RCOG Press; 2003.
- 2. Duley L. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Semin Perinatol. 2009; 33:130–137. [PubMed: 19464502]
- Garovic VD, Hayman SR. Hypertension in pregnancy: An emerging risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol. 2007; 3:613–622. [PubMed: 17957198]
- Lykke JA, Langhoff-Roos J, Sibai BM, Funai EF, Triche EW, Paidas MJ. Hypertensive pregnancy disorders and subsequent cardiovascular morbidity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the mother. Hypertension. 2009; 53:944–951. [PubMed: 19433776]
- Magnussen EB, Vatten LJ, Smith GD, Romundstad PR. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and subsequently measured cardiovascular risk factors. Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 114:961–970. [PubMed: 20168095]
- Kajantie E, Eriksson JG, Osmond C, Thornburg K, Barker DJ. Pre-eclampsia is associated with increased risk of stroke in the adult offspring: The helsinki birth cohort study. Stroke. 2009; 40:1176–1180. [PubMed: 19265049]
- Wu CS, Sun Y, Vestergaard M, Christensen J, Ness RB, Haggerty CL, Olsen J. Preeclampsia and risk for epilepsy in offspring. Pediatrics. 2008; 122:1072–1078. [PubMed: 18977989]
- Wu CS, Nohr EA, Bech BH, Vestergaard M, Catov JM, Olsen J. Health of children born to mothers who had preeclampsia: A population-based cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 201:269 e261–269 e210. [PubMed: 19733276]
- Bakris G, Bursztyn M, Gavras I, Bresnahan M, Gavras H. Role of vasopressin in essential hypertension: Racial differences. J Hypertens. 1997; 15:545–550. [PubMed: 9170008]
- de Paula RB, Plavnik FL, Rodrigues CI, Neves Fde A, Kohlmann O Jr. Ribeiro AB, Gavras I, Gavras H. Contribution of vasopressin to orthostatic blood pressure maintenance in essential hypertension. Am J Hypertens. 1993; 6:794–798. [PubMed: 8110434]
- Gavras H. Pressor systems in hypertension and congestive heart failure. Role of vasopressin. Hypertension. 1990; 16:587–593. [PubMed: 2228158]
- Argent NB, Burrell LM, Goodship TH, Wilkinson R, Baylis PH. Osmoregulation of thirst and vasopressin release in severe chronic renal failure. Kidney international. 1991; 39:295–300. [PubMed: 2002642]

- Burrell LM, Risvanis J, Johnston CI, Naitoh M, Balding LC. Vasopressin receptor antagonism--a therapeutic option in heart failure and hypertension. Experimental physiology. 2000; 85(Spec No): 2598–265S. [PubMed: 10795930]
- Shah DM. The role of ras in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. Current hypertension reports. 2006; 8:144–152. [PubMed: 16672148]
- Argent NB, Burrell LM, Goodship TH, Wilkinson R, Baylis PH. Osmoregulation of thirst and vasopressin release in severe chronic renal failure. Kidney international. 1991; 39:295–300. [PubMed: 2002642]
- Zulfikaroglu E, Islimye M, Tonguc EA, Payasli A, Isman F, Var T, Danisman N. Circulating levels of copeptin, a novel biomarker in pre-eclampsia. The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2011; 37:1198–1202. [PubMed: 21501333]
- Foda AA, Abdel Aal IA. Maternal and neonatal copeptin levels at cesarean section and vaginal delivery. European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology. 2012; 165:215– 218.
- 18. Bureau USC. Iowa state and county quickfacts. 2013
- Steegers EA, von Dadelszen P, Duvekot JJ, Pijnenborg R. Pre-eclampsia. Lancet. 2010; 376:631– 644. [PubMed: 20598363]
- Levine RJ, Lam C, Qian C, Yu KF, Maynard SE, Sachs BP, Sibai BM, Epstein FH, Romero R, Thadhani R, Karumanchi SA. Soluble endoglin and other circulating antiangiogenic factors in preeclampsia. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355:992–1005. [PubMed: 16957146]
- 21. Kleinrouweler CE, Wiegerinck MM, Ris-Stalpers C, Bossuyt PM, van der Post JA, von Dadelszen P, Mol BW, Pajkrt E, Collaboration EC. Accuracy of circulating placental growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 and soluble endoglin in the prediction of pre-eclampsia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG. 2012; 119:778–787. [PubMed: 22433027]
- 22. Odibo AO, Rada CC, Cahill AG, Goetzinger KR, Tuuli MG, Odibo L, Macones GA, England SK. First-trimester serum soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1, free vascular endothelial growth factor, placental growth factor and uterine artery doppler in preeclampsia. J Perinatol. 2013; 33:670–674. [PubMed: 23558430]
- McElrath TF, Lim KH, Pare E, Rich-Edwards J, Pucci D, Troisi R, Parry S. Longitudinal evaluation of predictive value for preeclampsia of circulating angiogenic factors through pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012; 207:407. e401–407. [PubMed: 22981320]
- 24. Siljee JE, Wortelboer EJ, Koster MP, Imholz S, Rodenburg W, Visser GH, de Vries A, Schielen PC, Pennings JL. Identification of interleukin-1 beta, but no other inflammatory proteins, as an early onset pre-eclampsia biomarker in first trimester serum by bead-based multiplexed immunoassays. Prenat Diagn. 2013:1–6.
- 25. D'Antonio F, Rijo C, Thilaganathan B, Akolekar R, Khalil A, Papageourgiou A, Bhide A. Association between first-trimester maternal serum pregnancy-associated plasma protein-a and obstetric complications. Prenat Diagn. 2013; 33:839–847. [PubMed: 23613261]
- 26. Poon LC, Kametas NA, Chelemen T, Leal A, Nicolaides KH. Maternal risk factors for hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: A multivariate approach. J Hum Hypertens. 2009
- 27. Poon LC, Karagiannis G, Leal A, Romero XC, Nicolaides KH. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: Screening by uterine artery doppler imaging and blood pressure at 11–13 weeks. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 34:497–502. [PubMed: 19827052]
- Papageorghiou AT, To MS, Yu CK, Nicolaides KH. Repeatability of measurement of uterine artery pulsatility index using transvaginal color doppler. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 18:456–459. [PubMed: 11844164]
- Hodari AA. Chronic uterine ischemia and reversible experimental "toxemia of pregnancy". American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 1967; 97:597–607. [PubMed: 6018981]
- 30. Lu F, Longo M, Tamayo E, Maner W, Al-Hendy A, Anderson GD, Hankins GD, Saade GR. The effect of over-expression of sflt-1 on blood pressure and the occurrence of other manifestations of preeclampsia in unrestrained conscious pregnant mice. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2007; 196:396. e391–397; discussion 396.e397. [PubMed: 17403433]

- 31. Hoffmann DS, Weydert CJ, Lazartigues E, Kutschke WJ, Kienzle MF, Leach JE, Sharma JA, Sharma RV, Davisson RL. Chronic tempol prevents hypertension, proteinuria, and poor fetoplacental outcomes in bph/5 mouse model of preeclampsia. Hypertension. 2008; 51:1058-1065. [PubMed: 18259014]
- 32. Davisson RL, Hoffmann DS, Butz GM, Aldape G, Schlager G, Merrill DC, Sethi S, Weiss RM, Bates JN. Discovery of a spontaneous genetic mouse model of preeclampsia. Hypertension. 2002; 39:337-342. [PubMed: 11882569]
- 33. Santillan MK, Santillan DA, Sigmund CD, Hunter SK. From molecules to medicine: A future cure for preeclampsia? Drug News Perspect. 2009; 22:531-541. [PubMed: 20072730]
- 34. Russell JA, Walley KR. Vasopressin and its immune effects in septic shock. Journal of innate immunity. 2010; 2:446-460. [PubMed: 20606409]
- 35. Chikanza IC, Petrou P, Chrousos G. Perturbations of arginine vasopressin secretion during inflammatory stress. Pathophysiologic implications. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2000; 917:825-834. [PubMed: 11268412]
- 36. Tahara A, Saito M, Tsukada J, Ishii N, Tomura Y, Wada K, Kusayama T, Yatsu T, Uchida W, Tanaka A. Vasopressin increases vascular endothelial growth factor secretion from human vascular smooth muscle cells. European journal of pharmacology. 1999; 368:89-94. [PubMed: 10096773]
- 37. Tahara A, Tsukada J, Tomura Y, Yatsu T, Shibasaki M. Vasopressin induces human mesangial cell growth via induction of vascular endothelial growth factor secretion. Neuropeptides. 2011; 45:105-111. [PubMed: 21196048]
- 38. Mutter WP, Karumanchi SA. Molecular mechanisms of preeclampsia. Microvasc Res. 2008; 75:1– 8. [PubMed: 17553534]
- 39. Redman CW, Sargent IL. Immunology of pre-eclampsia. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2010; 63:534-543. [PubMed: 20331588]
- 40. Moffett-King A. Natural killer cells and pregnancy. Nat Rev Immunol. 2002; 2:656–663. [PubMed: 12209134]
- 41. Wilczynski JR. Immunological analogy between allograft rejection, recurrent abortion and preeclampsia - the same basic mechanism? Human immunology. 2006; 67:492–511. [PubMed: 16829304]
- 42. Campos LA, Couto AS, Iliescu R, Santos JA, Santos RA, Ganten D, Campagnole-Santos MJ, Bader M, Baltatu O. Differential regulation of central vasopressin receptors in transgenic rats with low brain angiotensinogen. Regul Pept. 2004; 119:177-182. [PubMed: 15120478]
- 43. Johnson HM, Farrar WL, Torres BA. Vasopressin replacement of interleukin 2 requirement in gamma interferon production: Lymphokine activity of a neuroendocrine hormone. The Journal of Immunology. 1982; 129:983–986. [PubMed: 6180008]
- 44. Johnson HM, Torres BA. Regulation of lymphokine production by arginine vasopressin and oxytocin: Modulation of lymphocyte function by neurohypophyseal hormones. Journal of immunology. 1985; 135:773s-775s.

NOVELTY AND SIGNIFICANCE

1. What Is New?

- Maternal plasma copeptin predicts late-pregnancy onset of preeclampsia at least as early as the sixth week of pregnancy, regardless of clinical covariates.
- Infusion of vasopressin during pregnancy is sufficient to induce the cardinal phenotypes of preeclampsia in mice.

2. What Is Relevant?

- Current diagnostic biomarkers for preeclampsia are largely limited to the second trimester, shortly before the onset of clinical symptoms.
- *Early*-pregnancy events that activate known *late*-pregnancy mediators of preeclampsia (e.g. vascular, immune, renal) are essentially unknown.

3. Summary

- Copeptin represents a novel diagnostic biomarker for the prediction of preeclampsia, and is predictive far earlier in pregnancy than all other known biomarkers.
- Chronic vasopressin infusion represents a new, rational, clinicallyrelevant method to model preeclampsia in mice.
- These findings are consistent with a role for the neurohypophysis in the very-early pregnancy pathogenesis of preeclampsia.

Santillan et al.

Page 12



Figure 1. Maternal plasma copeptin is significantly elevated throughout pregnancies that eventually develop preeclampsia

(A) Maternal plasma copeptin concentrations throughout gestation from women with normal pregnancies and pregnancies that eventually developed preeclampsia. (B) Comparison of plasma copeptin concentrations within each trimester of pregnancy among control pregnancies, pregnancies that eventually developed preeclampsia, and in non-pregnant women (n=33). (C) Maternal plasma cystatin C within each trimester from pregnancies with and without preeclampsia. (D) Plasma LNPEP within each trimester from pregnancies with and without preeclampsia. (E) Plasma sFLT-1 within each trimester from pregnancies with and without preeclampsia. Data points all represent individual maternal blood samples from control pregnancies n=26, 19, and 38, and preeclamptic pregnancies n=20, 20, and 50 for first, second and third trimesters, respectively. Boxes illustrate median, 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers illustrate 10th and 90th percentiles. *p<0.05.



Trimester	AUC	P-value	(pg/mL)	Sensitivity	Specific
First	0.90 ± 0.05	< 0.0001	811	88%	81%
Second	0.90 ± 0.06	< 0.0001	866	81%	84%
Third	0.78 ± 0.05	< 0.0001	758	78%	71%
	First Tri	mastar Ta	sting Chars	octoristics	

1 1131 11111	lester resting one	aracteristics
Incidence of	Positive	Negative
Preeclampsia	Predictive Value	Predictive Value
10%	34%	98%
20%	54%	96%
30%	67%	94%
40%	76%	91%
50%	82%	87%
40% 50%	76% 82%	91% 87%

Figure 2. Maternal plasma copeptin concentration is significantly predictive of the development of preeclampsia in all three trimesters of pregnancy

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves illustrate performance of maternal plasma copeptin in each trimester to identify pregnancies that will eventually develop preeclampsia (area under the curve, AUC). Trimester-specific cutoffs were calculated to maximize the true positive rate (sensitivity), while minimizing the false positive rate (1-specificity). AUC values from all three trimesters are statistically significant at p<0.0001 (A and B). Furthermore, first trimester maternal plasma copeptin concentrations exhibit clinically significant positive and negative predictive values (C).



Figure 3. Infusion of vasopressin during pregnancy is sufficient to cause the cardinal phenotypes of preeclampsia in mice

(A) Systolic blood pressure on gestational days 15 and 16. Non-pregnant mice infused with saline (n=15) or AVP at 24 ng/hr (n=5) for 15–16 days, and pregnant mice infused with saline (n=16) or AVP (n=11). * P<0.05 versus all other groups. (B) Total protein lost to urine per day, on gestational day 17. Pregnant mice infused with saline (n=5) or AVP at 24 ng/hr (n=9). * P<0.05 versus saline. (C) Electron micrographs of renal cortex, illustrating glomerular endotheliosis. Left panels are from a saline infused animal which had a glomerular basement membrane thickness within normal limits (thin white arrow). Right

panels are from animals that received vasopressin infusion (24 ng/hr). Redundant endothelial cell membrane is present (thick black arrow), and basement membranes are moderately to markedly thickened with electron dense material (thick white arrow). (D) Average GD18 fetus mass from mice infused with saline (117 fetuses from 16 pregnancies) or AVP at 24 ng/hr (115 fetuses from 16 pregnancies). * P<0.05 versus saline. (E) Photographs of representative gestational day 18 fetuses from pregnant dams infused with saline and 24 ng/hr AVP, illustrating intrauterine growth restriction. (F) Photographs of fetoplacental units *in utero* on gestational day 18 during 24 ng/hr AVP infusion. White arrows indicate resorbed feto-placental units.



Figure 4. Working model

Conceptualized time-course for the development of maximal plasma concentrations of copeptin (and thus vasopressin release), markers and mediators of immune function, vascular function, angiogenesis and clinical symptoms of preeclampsia. We posit that the hypersecretion of AVP in the first trimester is an initiator of other previously-identified mediators of preeclampsia, which are known to become more active later in pregnancy.

Table 1

Group Characteristics

Maternal Characteristics 314 ± 7.2 299 ± 5.2 300 ± 5.6 0.47 Gravida 1.0 2.0 2.0 0.001 Body Mass Index (ggm^2) 2.9 ± 5.2 30.0 ± 5.6 0.47 Gravida 1.0 2.0 2.0 0.001 Body Mass Index (ggm^2) 29.6 ± 8.5 30.0 ± 8.7 31.9 ± 9.2 0.41 Chronic Essential Hypertension 9.1% 25.9% 0.05 $(g^2 = 5.9)$ Precisiting Diabetes 3.0% 29.6% 81.0% 0.05 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic 0.0% 25.9% 0.05 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 29.6% 9.5 0.56 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 0.9% 0.56 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 0.9% 0.56 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% 0.56 $(g^2 = 6.8)$ Systofic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $-1.$	Group Characteristics	Nonpregnant (n=33)	Control (n=54)	Preeclampsia (n=50)	P Value for all groups compared
Maternal Age (years) 31.4 ± 7.2 29.9 ± 5.2 30.0 ± 5.6 0.47 Gravida 1.0 2.0 2.0 0.001 Body Mass Index (kg/m ²) 2.96 ± 8.5 30.0 ± 8.7 31.9 ± 9.2 0.41 Chronic Essential Hypertension 9.1% 25.9% 20.0% 0.001 Preceising Diabetes 3.0% $2.5.9\%$ 20.0% 0.005 $c^{-5.3.7}$ Preceising Diabetes 3.0% $2.5.9\%$ $2.0.9\%$ 0.05 $c^{-5.6.8}$ History of Precelampsia 0.0% $2.9.6\%$ $2.0.9\%$ 0.56 $c^{-5.6.8}$ Race: Arisan, not Hispanic $0.9.9\%$ $2.6.4\%$ 0.05 $c^{-5.6.8}$ Race: Arisan American 0.0% 2.0% 1.9% 0.56 $c^{-5.6.8}$ Race: Aristan American 6.1% 1.8% $0.\%$ 0.56 $c^{-5.6.8}$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 0.56 $c^{-6.8.8}$ 0.95 $c^{-5.6.8}$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 1.9% c^{-	Maternal Characteristics				
Gravida 1.0 2.0 2.0 $< < 0.001$ Body Mass Index (kg/n^2) 29.6 ± 8.5 30.0 ± 8.7 31.9 ± 9.2 0.41 Chronic Essential Hypertension 9.1% 29.6 ± 8.5 30.0 ± 8.7 31.9 ± 9.2 0.41 Precvisiting Diabetes 3.0% 29.4% 20.0% 0.05 $(\gamma^2-5.3)$ History of Preclampsia 0.0% 29.6% 29.0% 0.05 $(\gamma^2-5.8)$ Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic 9.0% 2.6% 90.0% 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 3.7% 4.0% 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 0.56 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 1.9% 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ 0.64 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ Race: Aristan American 0.0% 1.9% 0.56 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ 0.95 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ 0.95 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$ 0.95 $(\gamma^2-6.8)$	Maternal Age (years)	31.4 ± 7.2	29.9 ± 5.2	30.0 ± 5.6	0.47
Body Mass Index (kym ³) 29.6 \pm 8.5 30.0 \pm 8.7 31.9 \pm 9.2 041 Chronic Essential Hypertansion 9.1% 25.9% 20.0% 0.16 ($x^2 \pm 5.9$) Prexisting Diabetes 3.0% 29.6% 18.0% 0.05 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) History of Preclampsia 0.0% 29.6% 90.0% 0.5($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic 90.9% 3.7% 4.0% 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Race: Hispanic 0.0% 3.7% 4.0% 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Race: Hispanic 0.90 9.2.6% 0.00% 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% 4.0% 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% 0.96 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) 0.001 Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) - 120.4 \pm 13.5 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) 0.56 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) Disstolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 120.4 \pm 13.6 0.76 ($x^2 \pm 6.8$) 0.901 Disstolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 120.4 \pm 13.6 0.74 \pm 11.3 0.991 Disstolic Blood Pr	Gravida	1.0	2.0	2.0	<0.001
Chronic Essential Hypertension 9.1% 25.9% 20.0% $0.16(x^2-3.7)$ Preexisting Diabetes 3.0% 29.6% 18.0% $0.05(x^2-5.9)$ History of Preeclampsia 0.0% 29.6% 18.0% $0.05(x^2-6.8)$ Race: Caucasian. not Hispanic 0.0% 3.7% 4.0% $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Race: Hispanic 0.0% 3.7% 4.0% $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.8% $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.8% $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) -10.0% 1.9% $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $-10.12.1.3$ 129.5 ± 12.3 0.41 Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) -10.24 ± 18.5 $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) -10.44 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 12.3 0.41 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) -10.44 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 16.6 $0.56(x^2-6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) -10.44 ± 12.3 0.94	Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	29.6 ± 8.5	30.0 ± 8.7	31.9 ± 9.2	0.41
Prexisting Diabetes 3.0% 20.4% 22.0% 0.05 $(\gamma_2^2 - 5.9)$ History of Preciampsia 0.0% 29.6% 18.0% 0.05 $(\gamma_2^2 - 5.8)$ Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic 90.9% 92.6% 90.0% 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Flispanic 0.0% 3.7% 4.0% 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Arian 6.1% 1.8% 0% 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Arican-American 3.0% 1.9% 0.6% 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Arrican-American 3.0% 1.9% 0.6% 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) - 1.20.4 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 12.3 0.041 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.56 $(\gamma_2^2 - 6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 121.0 ± 13.6 129.5 ± 11.3 0.99 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - - 121.0 ± 13.6 0.041 0.06 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 120.4 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 16.7 0.049 Diastoli	Chronic Essential Hypertension	9.1%	25.9%	20.0%	0.16 $(\chi^2=3.7)$
History of Precelampsia00%29.6%18.0%0.002 $(\chi^2 = 12.1)$ Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic90.9%92.6%90.0%0.56 $(\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Race: Hispanic0%3.7%4.0%0.56 $(\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American3.0%1.8%0%0.56 $(\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Race: African-American3.0%1.9%0%0.56 $(\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg)120.4 \pm 12.3129.5 \pm 12.30.041Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)121.0 \pm 13.6124 \pm 18.50.58Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)0.7 \pm 9.070.7 \pm 11.30.98Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)121.0 \pm 13.6129.5 \pm 12.30.041Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)0.7 \pm 9.070.7 \pm 11.30.998Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)121.0 \pm 13.6129.5 \pm 12.30.041Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)0.7 \pm 9.070.7 \pm 11.30.998Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.0 \pm 13.60.0290.56 $(\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.0 \pm 13.60.049Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.9 \pm 17.7129.2 \pm 16.70.049Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.9 \pm 17.9129.2 \pm 16.70.049Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.9 \pm 17.9129.2 \pm 16.70.049Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)121.9 \pm 17.9 <t< td=""><td>Preexisting Diabetes</td><td>3.0%</td><td>20.4%</td><td>22.0%</td><td>0.05 ($\chi^2 = 5.9$)</td></t<>	Preexisting Diabetes	3.0%	20.4%	22.0%	0.05 ($\chi^2 = 5.9$)
Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic 90.9% 92.6% 90.0% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Hispanic 0% 3.7% 4.0% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Asian 6.1% 1.8% 0% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Aritan 6.1% 1.8% 0% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Aritan 6.1% 1.8% 0% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Aritan-American 3.0% 1.9% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Race: Aritican-American 5.1% 1.9% $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ $0.56 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $ 1.20.4 \pm 12.3$ 1.9% $0.64 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 6.1 \pm 9.8$ 72.2 ± 5.6 $0.26 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.9$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.941 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.9$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.7$ 0.999 $0.66 (\chi^2 - 6.8)$ </td <td>History of Preeclampsia</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>29.6%</td> <td>18.0%</td> <td>0.002 (χ^2=12.1)</td>	History of Preeclampsia	0.0%	29.6%	18.0%	0.002 (χ^2 =12.1)
Race: Hispanic0% 3.7% 4.0% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Race: Asian6.1% 1.8% 0% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Race: Arian 3.0% 1.9% 1.0% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% 4.0% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $$ 12.04 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 12.3 0.041 Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $$ 69.1 ± 9.8 72.2 ± 5.6 0.26 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 0.07 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.026 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 $0.001 (\chi^2-16.6$ Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 10.7 $0.001 (\chi^2-16.6$ Mode of Delivery: Vaginal Delivery $$ $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 $<0.001 (\chi^2-16.6$	Race: Caucasian, not Hispanic	%6.06	92.6%	90.0%	0.56 (χ^2 =6.8)
Race: Asian 6.1% 1.8% 0% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% $0.56 (\chi^2-6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $$ 12.04 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 12.3 0.041 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 69.1 ± 9.8 72.2 ± 5.6 0.26 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.358 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $$ 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.6 $0.001 (\chi^2-16.6$ Mode of Delivery Vaginal $$ $$ 58.5% 32.7% $6.001 (\chi^2-16.6$ Mode of De	Race: Hispanic	%0	3.7%	4.0%	0.56 (χ^2 =6.8)
Race: African-American 3.0% 1.9% 4.0% $0.56 (\chi^2 = 6.8)$ Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) $ 120.4 \pm 12.3$ 129.5 ± 12.3 0.041 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 69.1 \pm 9.8$ 72.2 ± 5.6 0.26 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 120.4 \pm 13.6$ 124 ± 18.5 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 121.9 \pm 17.9$ 129.2 ± 16.7 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 76.3 ± 11.6 $0.001 (\chi^2 - 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 $< 0.001 (\chi^2 - 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 30.2\%$ 0% $< 0.001 (\chi^2 - 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: Operativ	Race: Asian	6.1%	1.8%	0%	0.56 (χ^2 =6.8)
Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) 120.4 ± 12.3 129.5 ± 12.3 0.041 Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) 69.1 ± 9.8 72.2 ± 5.6 0.26 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.558 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.558 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 $0.001 (\chi^2 - 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $11.3.96$ 67.396 $6.0001 (\chi^2 - 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $11.3.96$ 27.096 $0.34 (\chi^2 - 0.92)$ Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery $38.6.5 \pm 644.8$ <td>Race: African-American</td> <td>3.0%</td> <td>1.9%</td> <td>4.0%</td> <td>0.56 (χ^2=6.8)</td>	Race: African-American	3.0%	1.9%	4.0%	0.56 (χ^2 =6.8)
Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg) - 69.1 ± 9.8 72.2 ± 5.6 0.26 Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.558 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) - 7 0.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.026 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - - 38.8 ± 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal - - 38.8 ± 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 $\sqrt{2^2-16.6}$ Mode of Delivery: Vaginal Delivery	Systolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg)		120.4 ± 12.3	129.5 ± 12.3	0.041
Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)- 121.0 ± 13.6 124 ± 18.5 0.558 Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)- 70.7 ± 9.0 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)-121.9 \pm 17.9 129.2 ± 16.7 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)-7 0.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics38.8 \pm 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 0.001 Mode of Pelivery (wk)- 38.8 ± 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal- 58.5% 32.7% < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery- 30.2% 0% < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery- 30.2% 0% < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery- 338.5 ± 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 < 0.001 I minute APGAR-8.00.00.0 0.64	Diastolic Blood Pressure 1 (mmHg)		69.1 ± 9.8	72.2 ± 5.6	0.26
Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.0$ 70.7 ± 11.3 0.998 Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 12.1.9 \pm 17.9$ 129.2 ± 16.7 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.9$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics $ 70.7 \pm 9.9$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 $\sqrt{2}=16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 $\sqrt{2}=16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% < 0.001 $\sqrt{2}=16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% < 0.001 $\sqrt{2}=16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 22.0% <	Systolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)	I	121.0 ± 13.6	124 ± 18.5	0.558
Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - 121.9 ± 17.9 129.2 ± 16.7 0.049 Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics - 70.7 ± 9.9 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics - 38.8 \pm 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal - - 38.5% 32.7% < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 87.5% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 0.52$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3\% 279.6 ± 800.1 < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 0.52$ Twin Gestation - 38.6.5 \pm 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 < 0.001	Diastolic Blood Pressure 2 (mmHg)		70.7 ± 9.0	70.7 ± 11.3	0.998
Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg) $ 70.7 \pm 9.9$ 76.3 ± 11.6 0.028 Pregnancy Characteristics $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 0.001 Gestational Age at Delivery (wk) $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 58.5\%$ 32.7% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 58.5\%$ 32.7% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 58.5\%$ 32.7% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Twin Gestation $ 11.3\%$ 279.6 0.001 $\chi^2 = 0.92$ Twin Gestation $ 13.0\%$ 2749.6 ± 800.1 < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 0.92$ I minute APGAR $ 0.0$	Systolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)	I	121.9 ± 17.9	129.2 ± 16.7	0.049
Pregnancy Characteristics 38.8 ± 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 <0.001 Gestational Age at Delivery (wk) $ 38.8 \pm 1.7$ 36.1 ± 3.1 <0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal $ 58.5\%$ 32.7% <0.001 χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% <0.001 χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% <0.001 χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: C-Section $ 11.3\%$ 67.3% <0.001 χ^2 =16.6 Wode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery $ 30.2\%$ 0.34 χ^2 =0.92) Twin Gestation $ 33.6.5 \pm 644.8$ 2749.6 ± 800.1 <0.001 χ^2 =0.92) Birthweight (grams) $ 8.0$ 0.64 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Immute APGAR $ 9.0$ 9.0 $0.0 0.76 <0.001 $	Diastolic Blood Pressure 3 (mmHg)		70.7 ± 9.9	76.3 ± 11.6	0.028
Gestational Age at Delivery (wk) - 38.8 ± 1.7 36.1 ± 3.1 < 0.001 Mode of Delivery: Vaginal - 58.5% 32.7% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3% 67.3% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery - 30.2% 0% < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 16.6$ Twin Gestation - 13.0% 22.0% 0.34 $\chi^2 = 0.92$ Birthweight (grams) - 13.0% 22.0% 0.34 $\chi^2 = 0.92$ I minute APGAR - 8.0 0.64 < 0.001 7.5	Pregnancy Characteristics				
Mode of Delivery: Vaginal - 58.5% 32.7% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3% 67.3% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3% 67.3% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery - 30.2% 0% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Twin Gestation - 13.0% 22.0% 0.34 (χ^2 =0.92) Birthweight (grams) - 3386.5 \pm 644.8 2749.6 \pm 800.1 <0.001	Gestational Age at Delivery (wk)		38.8 ± 1.7	36.1 ± 3.1	< 0.001
Mode of Delivery: C-Section - 11.3% 67.3% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery - 30.2% 0% <0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Twin Gestation - 13.0% 22.0% 0.34 (χ^2 =0.92) Birthweight (grams) - 3386.5 ± 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 <0.001 1 minute APGAR - 8.0 0.64 0.64	Mode of Delivery: Vaginal		58.5%	32.7%	$< 0.001 \ (\chi^2 = 16.6)$
Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery — 30.2% 0% < 0.001 (χ^2 =16.6 Twin Gestation — 13.0% 22.0% 0.34 (χ^2 =0.92) Birthweight (grams) — 3386.5 ± 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 < 0.001 1 minute APGAR — 8.0 0.64 5 minute APGAR — 9.0 0.76	Mode of Delivery: C-Section		11.3%	67.3%	$< 0.001 \ (\chi^2 = 16.6)$
Twin Gestation13.0%22.0% 0.34 (χ^2 =0.92)Birthweight (grams) 3386.5 ± 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 <0.001 1 minute APGAR 8.0 0.64 5 minute APGAR 9.0 0.76	Mode of Delivery: Operative Vaginal Delivery		30.2%	%0	$< 0.001 \ (\chi^2 = 16.6)$
Birthweight (grams) 3386.5 ± 644.8 2749.6 ± 800.1 < 0.0011 minute APGAR8.0 0.64 5 minute APGAR9.0 0.76	Twin Gestation		13.0%	22.0%	0.34 ($\chi^2=0.92$)
1 minute APGAR 8.0 0.64 5 minute APGAR 9.0 0.76	Birthweight (grams)	I	3386.5 ± 644.8	2749.6 ± 800.1	< 0.001
5 minute APGAR - 90 0.76	1 minute APGAR		8.0	8.0	0.64
	5 minute APGAR		9.0	9.0	0.76

Table 2

Using trimester specific cutoffs, maternal plasma copeptin remains significantly associated to the development of preeclampsia despite adjustment of significant clinical covariates

Santillan et al.

First Trimester Model [Copepin] Cutoff = 811 pg/mL	β[Copeptin]	Adjusted Odds Ratio	P Value
1st Trimester [Copeptin]	3.5	33.1	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + Maternal Age	3.8	44.7	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + Body Mass Index	3.5	33.1	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + Diabetes	3.8	44.7	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + Chronic Essential Hypertension	3.7	40.4	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + History of Preeclampsia	4.5	0.06	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + Twin Gestation	3.4	30.0	< 0.001
1st Trimester [Copeptin] + All Clinical Covariates	6.1	446.0	= 0.001
Second Trimester Model [Copeptin] Cutoff = 866 pg/mL	β[Copeptin]	Adjusted Odds Ratio	P Value
2nd Trimester [Copeptin]	3.1	22.2	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + Maternal Age	3.4	30.0	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + Body Mass Index	3.2	24.5	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + Diabetes	3.1	22.2	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + Chronic Essential Hypertension	3.8	44.7	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + History of Preeclampsia	3.8	44.7	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + Twin Gestation	3.4	30.0	< 0.001
2nd Trimester [Copeptin] + All Clinical Covariates	7.1	1212.0	= 0.015
Third Trimester Model [Copeptin] Cutoff = 758 pg/mL	β [Copeptin]	Adjusted Odds Ratio	P Value
3rd Trimester [Copeptin]	2.1	8.2	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + Maternal Age	2.2	9.0	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + Body Mass Index	2.1	8.2	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + Diabetes	2.5	12.2	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + Chronic Essential Hypertension	2.3	10.0	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + History of Preeclampsia	2.3	10.0	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + Twin Gestation	2.5	12.2	< 0.001
3rd Trimester [Copeptin] + All Clinical Covariates	2.9	18.2	< 0.001