

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i35.12431 World J Gastroenterol 2014 September 21; 20(35): 12431-12444 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

WJG 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Special Issues (5): Colorectal cancer

# **Occupational exposures and colorectal cancers: A quantitative overview of epidemiological evidence**

Enrico Oddone, Carlo Modonesi, Gemma Gatta

Enrico Oddone, Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic Medicine, Occupational Medicine Unit, University of Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

Carlo Modonesi, Department of Biosciences, Natural History Museum, University of Parma, 43100 Parma, Italy

Gemma Gatta, Department of Preventive and Predictive Medicine, Evaluative Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy

Author contributions: Oddone E, Modonesi C and Gatta G contributed equally to this work; Oddone E, Modonesi C and Gatta G designed the research; Oddone E, Modonesi C and Gatta G performed the research; Oddone E, Modonesi C and Gatta G analyzed the data; Oddone E, Modonesi C and Gatta G wrote the paper.

Correspondence to: Enrico Oddone, Assistant Professor, Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic Medicine, Occupational Medicine Unit, University of Pavia, Via Severino Boezio 24, 27100 Pavia, Italy. enrico.oddone@unipv.it Telephone: +39-382-592838 Fax: +39-382-593796

Received: January 27, 2014 Revised: March 21, 2014 Accepted: May 29, 2014

Published online: September 21, 2014

## Abstract

A traditional belief widespread across the biomedical community was that dietary habits and genetic predisposition were the basic factors causing colorectal cancer. In more recent times, however, a growing evidence has shown that other determinants can be very important in increasing (or reducing) incidence of this malignancy. The hypothesis that environmental and occupational risk factors are associated with colorectal cancer is gaining ground, and high risks of colorectal cancer have been reported among workers in some industrial branches. The aim of this study was to investigate the epidemiologic relationship between colorectal cancer and occupational exposures to several industrial activities, by means of a scientific literature review and meta-analysis. This work pointed out increased risks of colorectal cancer for labourers occupied in industries with a wide use of chemical compounds, such as leather (RR = 1.70, 95%CI: 1.24-2.34), basic metals (RR = 1.32, 95%CI: 1.07-1.65), plastic and rubber manufacturing (RR = 1.30, 95%CI: 0.98-1.71 and RR = 1.27, 95%CI: 0.92-1.76, respectively), besides workers in the sector of repair and installation of machinery exposed to asbestos (RR = 1.40, 95%CI: 1.07-1.84). Based on our results, the estimated crude excess risk fraction attributable to occupational exposure ranged from about 11% to about 15%. However, homogeneous pattern of association between colorectal cancer and industrial branches did not emerge from this review.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Colorectal cancer; Occupational exposures; Public health; Chemical compounds; Basic metals; Meta analysis

**Core tip:** The hypothesis that occupational risk factors are associated with colorectal cancer is gaining ground, and high risks of colorectal cancer have been reported among workers in some industrial branches. This study investigated the epidemiologic relationship between colorectal cancer and exposures in several industrial activities, by means of a literature review and meta-analysis. Results pointed out increased risks of colorectal cancer for labourers exposed to chemical compounds, besides workers in the sector of machinery installation exposed to asbestos. Based on our results, the estimated crude excess risk fraction attributable to occupational exposure ranged from about 11% to about 15%.

Oddone E, Modonesi C, Gatta G. Occupational exposures and colorectal cancers: A quantitative overview of epidemiological evidence. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20(35): 12431-12444 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v20/i35/12431.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20. i35.12431



## INTRODUCTION

In the world about 1234000 new colorectal cancer diagnoses were estimated in  $2008^{[1]}$ , less than 60% of them are from developed countries. From 15% to 25% of colorectal cancer deaths can be prevented by screening using fecal occult blood test<sup>[2]</sup>. Colon cancer most commonly occurs sporadically and is estimated to be inherited in 5%-15% of cases<sup>[3-5]</sup>.

Thus far, several risk factors are evaluated to be related to sporadic forms of colorectal cancer. Diet is definitely the most important exogenous factor identified up to now in the etiology of colorectal cancer. It has been estimated that 70% of colorectal cancers could be prevented by nutritional intervention<sup>[3]</sup>. Physical activity has consistently been associated with decreased risk of colon cancer in studies that have concentrated on occupational activity, leisure activity and total activity<sup>[6]</sup>. Furthermore, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption<sup>[6]</sup> and family history<sup>[7-9]</sup>, showed to increase risks of this malignancy. Approximately 20% of the large bowel cancers in men appear to be attributable to smoking<sup>[10]</sup> and individuals consuming the most alcohol had 60% greater risk of colorectal cancer compared with non- or light drinkers<sup>[11]</sup>. Moreover, disparities in the incidence of colorectal cancer by economic status and other socio-ecological parameters have been described<sup>[12,13]</sup>.

As above mentioned, a minor fraction of colorectal tumors shows inherited patterns, such as familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). FAP is thought to be the effect of a deletion in tumor suppressor genes (adenomatous polyposis coli or *APC* genes), leading in most cases to a drastically altered protein<sup>[14]</sup>. HNPCC appeared to be linked to mutated *MLH1* and *MLH2* genes, that are involved in DNA repair processes<sup>[4]</sup>. However, these inherited DNA mutations frequently did not seem to represent a sufficient condition to develop a cancer because other mutations or carcinogenic events must occur to produce malignant phenotypes<sup>[15]</sup>.

Evidence also showed that risk and protective factors<sup>[16-19]</sup> are differently associated with proximal and distal colon and with gender<sup>[20]</sup>.

Although colorectal cancer, as other tumors<sup>[21,22]</sup> or chronic degenerative diseases<sup>[23]</sup>, is not commonly considered to be occupational in etiology, elevated risks have been reported among workers in some industrial branches such as the textile industry<sup>[24,25]</sup>, automobile industry<sup>[26-28]</sup>, beverage industry<sup>[29]</sup> as well as in subjects exposed to asbestos<sup>[30-33]</sup>, dioxin<sup>[34]</sup>, wood dust<sup>[35]</sup>, organic solvents<sup>[36-38]</sup> and metal-working fluids<sup>[39]</sup>.

Iron and steel workers experienced higher relative risk (RR) for colorectal cancers<sup>[40.43]</sup>. These labourers could be exposed to mineral dusts and several chemical compounds. Some evidence are available on the possible relationship between exposure to oil mist and colorectal<sup>[44]</sup> and rectal cancer<sup>[45]</sup> and solvents and colon cancer<sup>[46]</sup>. A strongly increased mortality for colon cancer was observed among copper smelters<sup>[41]</sup> and steel foundry work-

ers employed for at least 5 years in non-oven unit<sup>[40]</sup>.

Dockyard workers experienced an higher mortality for colon cancer compared to general population<sup>[47,48]</sup>. This working category was likely exposed to many carcinogenic agents (*e.g.*, asbestos, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aromatic amines, welding smokes, *etc.*). Exposure to asbestos could account for a fraction of this risk, since several evidences are piling up on this issue<sup>[33,49-53]</sup>.

Also fur production workers are exposed to a wide variety of chemical compounds, considered to be carcinogenic (formaldehyde, para-phenylenediamine or others dyes and pigments) in tanning, cleaning and dyeing fur, as well as to fur dust<sup>[54]</sup>. Tannery workers are also exposed to tanning and dyeing chemical agents, including chromium<sup>[55]</sup>.

Sparse evidence of increased risk for colorectal cancers is available for workers in furniture industry<sup>[56]</sup>, meat workers<sup>[57-59]</sup>, workers exposed to hydrazine (contained in rocket fuels) in an aerospace industry plant<sup>[60]</sup>, workers occupied in production of lens and metal spectacle frames (due to exposures to abrasives or cutting oil mists or both, possibly by ingestion)<sup>[44]</sup> and printing machine operators<sup>[61]</sup>.

Rodu *et al*<sup>[62]</sup> observed an higher mortality for rectal cancer in workers of a petrochemical research facility, while plastic and rubber production industries showed only statistically borderline results. Workers occupied in these industrial branches were likely exposed to several chemical compounds in manufacturing of methilmetacrylate, polyurethane foam, resins and polypropylene<sup>[63-76]</sup>. Thus, these results strongly suggest a role for chemical compounds exposures, as a whole, in increasing the risk of colorectal cancers.

Results from manufacture of beverages relied on data on brewery workers<sup>[77,78]</sup> with a personal high beer intake. Thus, this observed most likely could not be referred to an occupational exposure in a narrow sense.

Despite this evidence entailing a role of occupational and environmental exposures in colorectal cancer onset, reduction in risk was observed in crop and animal production<sup>[79,80]</sup> and in some mining and quarrying activities. Agriculture, mining and quarrying are not sedentary occupations, therefore this physical activity could account for this lower risk. Moreover, farmers often have a lower prevalence of smoking compared to general population average<sup>[79]</sup> and when results are provided by cohort studies an "healthy worker" effect could be present. In spite of this, some sparse evidence of increased risk in workers exposed to pesticide or herbicide is provided by studies focused on specific compounds, such as Dicamba<sup>[81]</sup>, Imazethapyr<sup>[82]</sup>, Chlorpyrifos<sup>[83]</sup> or Toxaphene<sup>[83]</sup>.

Thus, a detailed exploration of the relationship between occupational exposure and colorectal cancer could improve the rational base to plan measures for risk prevention and public health protection, not to say that the interruption of hazardous exposures seemed also to have a positive impact on prognosis of cancer patients<sup>[84]</sup>.

To our knowledge, no comprehensive review and meta-analysis studies were carried out to date on this specific



topic.

The aim of this study was to investigate the epidemiologic relationship between colorectal cancer and occupational exposures in several industrial branches, by means of a scientific literature review and meta-analysis.

## LITERATURE SEARCH

A literature search for all manuscripts published up to June 2013 was performed by the authors. Queried databases were PUBMED (June 2013-form 1960) and EM-BASE (June 2013-form 1960).

The search strategy included terms (free text or MeSH terms) for occupational exposures, both stated as industrial branch (*e.g.*, textile industry) and single chemical compound (*e.g.*, asbestos), and colon cancer, rectal cancer or colorectal cancer. Moreover, the search on PUBMED database was carried out using specific search string for the study of putative occupational determinants of diseases<sup>[85]</sup>.

Authors also checked the literature cited and listed in the selected studies' references and included any that met the criteria of this study. Manuscripts were reviewed and initially selected on the basis of title and abstract.

Prospective, case-control and meta-analysis studies were eligible for this study and article had to report at least one risk or mortality estimate to be included in quantitative analysis, [standardized mortality ratio; standardised incidence ratio; hazard ratio; RR; odds ratio (OR)] and a precision estimate (95%CI) relating exposure to an industrial branch to colon, rectal, or colorectal cancer or enough data to calculate them. Articles reporting only exposure to a single chemical compound not related to a specific job task or industrial branch were not included in the quantitative analysis. When available, fully adjusted estimates were included and analyzed. Any industrial branch exposure was taken into consideration when the same article provided more than one. Moreover, industrial branches were reclassified by the authors, using the description of productive activities within the papers, according to the United Nation International Standard Industrial Classification of all economic activities Rev. 4 (ISIC rev. 4).

Data from duplicated publications or by the same authors in the same cohorts were removed and only one estimate was retained in the analysis, using the highest adjustment and largest sample size.

Data from cohort and non-cohort studies were also separately analyzed. Pooled estimates were computed when the number of studies permitted. The within-study pooled estimate of subgroups was included in the analysis when a study provided only separate risks subgroups of workers (*e.g.*, according to sex or job tasks or cancer site).

Studies that showed disaggregated estimates for gender allowed to calculate also pooled RR for colorectal, colon and rectal cancer separately in male and female.

Presence of heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the  $I^2$  statistic. Pooled risks were calculated applying a random-effect model to compensate for potential between-study heterogeneity<sup>[86]</sup>.

Given that positive studies are more likely to be published than negative ones (*i.e.*, publication bias) and the interpretation of funnel plots could be subjective and misleading<sup>[87,88]</sup>, the Duval and Tweedie<sup>[89]</sup> non parametric trim-and-fill procedure was used to address the publication bias issue. This statistical method assumes that the effect sizes of all the studies distribute normally around the center of a funnel plot, if asymmetry is found, it adjusts for the potential effect of non-published (imputed) studies. All statistical analysis were carried out using STATA/SE 11 (Stata College Statin, TX, United States) software.

# RESULTS OF CASE-CONTROL AND META-ANALYSIS STUDIES

A total amount of 83 papers, from 1976 to 2012, were selected and included in the review and meta-analysis. These articles provided for 141 different risk estimates, 60 (42.6%) for colorectal cancer, 57 (40.4%) for colon cancer and 24 (17.0%) for rectal cancer. Cohort study was the most frequent used study design (68, 81.9%), while the 12 case-control studies accounted for a lower percentage (14.5%). Crop and livestock farming (ISIC code 01) were the most represented occupational branches (12 studies, 15%), followed by exposures in chemical (ISIC code 20) and rubber and plastic (ISIC code 22) industries (11, 13.8%, and 6, 7.5%, studies, respectively). Also workers exposed in glass, ceramic or cement productions (ISIC code 23) were extensively studied (8 papers, 10.0%), as well as public administration personnel (6 studies, 7.5%). Details were shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows results of meta-analytic studies for colorectal cancer. Overall estimate underlined a slight and statistically significant increased risk, also when trim and fill adjusted result was considered. The increase in risk ranged from 12% to 19%. Taking into account only results for cohort studies, estimates were similar to overall ones and no statistical adjustment for publication bias was required. The effect size of the adjusted pooled RR carried out on non-cohort studies was close to adjusted overall results, although not statistically significant.

Taking into account results for specific industrial branches, tannery and fur industry workers (ISIC code 15) showed to have a significant increased risk (RR = 1.70, 95%CI: 1.24-2.34), while results for iron and steel workers (ISIC code 24) showed increased adjusted RR of about 30% (RR = 1.32, 95%CI: 1.07-1.65).

Manufacture of furniture (ISIC code 31), manufacture of machinery (ISIC code 28), manufacture of electronic products (ISIC code 26) and food (ISIC code 10) industries also showed significant increased RR ranging from 1.50 to 2.14, although estimates were only based on one study each.

Results underlined a pooled RR of colorectal cancer of 1.29 for brewery workers (ISIC code 11), but it seems probably due to an high personal alcohol intake more

#### Table 1 Articles included in the literature review and meta-analysis, by cancer site, International Standard Industrial Classification code and exposure features

Authors	Cancer site	ISIC	Exposure	Article type	Estimate
Cantor et al <sup>[94]</sup>	Colorectal	01 - Crop and animal production	Aerial pesticide applicators	Cohort	RR
Greenburg et al <sup>[95]</sup>			Pesticides applicators	Cohort	RR
Lee <i>et al</i> <sup>[83]</sup>			Farming	Cohort	RR
Lo et al <sup>[90]</sup>			Pesticides	Case-Control	OR
Lynch et al <sup>[96]</sup>			Pesticides applicators	Cohort	RR
Wiklund and Dich <sup>[97]</sup>			Agriculture	Cohort	SIR
Mikoczy and Rylander <sup>[98]</sup>		03 - Fishing and aquaculture	Fishermen	Cohort	SIR
Finkelstein <sup>[99]</sup>		08 - Other mining and quarrying	Dust	Meta-analysis	SMR
Kusiak <sup>[100]</sup>			Miners	Cohort	SMR
Gubéran <i>et al</i> <sup>[57]</sup>		10 - Manufacture of food products	Butchers	Cohort	SMR
Fritschi <i>et al</i> <sup>[58]</sup>			Meat Workers	Cohort	SMR
McLean <i>et al</i> <sup>[59]</sup>		11 . 14 . ( ( 1	Meat Workers	Cohort	SMR
Carstensen <i>et al</i> <sup>[77]</sup>		11 - Manufacture of beverages	Brevery workers	Cohort	RR
Thygesen <i>et al</i> <sup>[78]</sup>		12 Manufastura of toutila	Brevery workers	Cohort	SIR
Goldberg and Thériault G <sup>[101]</sup>		13 - Manufacture of textile	Synthetic textiles	Cohort Mata analasia	SMR
Mastrangelo <i>et al</i> <sup>[24]</sup> Vobecky <i>et al</i> <sup>[102]</sup>			Textile	Meta-analysis	RR
Guay and Siemiatycki <sup>[54]</sup>		15 Manufasture of loothor and related meduces	Carpet production	Cohort Cohort	SMR
Montanaro <i>et al</i> <sup>[55]</sup>		15 - Manufacture of leather and related products	Fur industry	Cohort	SMR SMR
Sweeney et al <sup>[103]</sup>			Tannery workers		
Sweeney et al $[104]$		16 Manufacture of wood and of meducts of	Fur industry	Cohort	SMR
Roscoe <i>et al</i> <sup>[104]</sup>		16 - Manufacture of wood and of products of	Automotive wood modelists	Cohort	SMR
Swanson <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>		wood and cork	Automobile in ductor	Cohort	SMR
Rodu <i>et al</i> <sup>[62]</sup>		19 - Manufacture of coke and refined notroloum	Automobile industry Petroleum	Cohort	SMR
Nouu et ul		19 - Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum	retroieum	Conort	SIVIK
Acquavella <i>et al</i> <sup>[70]</sup>		products 20 - Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	Alachlor (herbicide)	Cohort	SIR
Berger and Manz <sup>[64]</sup>		20 - Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	Coke gas	Cohort	SMR
Fraser <i>et al</i> <sup>[65]</sup>			Fertilisers manufacturing	Cohort	SMR
Leet et al <sup>[66]</sup>			Alachlor (herbicide)	Cohort	SMR
Schnorr <i>et al</i> <sup>[67]</sup>			Polyurethane foam	Cohort	SMR
Tomenson <i>et al</i> <sup>[68]</sup>			Methil-methacrylate	Cohort	RR
Walker <i>et al</i> <sup>[69]</sup>			Methil-methacrylate	Cohort	SMR
Acquavella <i>et al</i> <sup>[63]</sup>		22 - Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	Polypropylene manufacturing	Cohort	SIR
Cowles <i>et al</i> <sup>[71]</sup>		22 - Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	Plastic and resins	Cohort	SMR
Kaleja <i>et al</i> <sup>[72]</sup>			Polypropylene production	Cohort	SIR
Lagast <i>et al</i> <sup>[73]</sup>			Polypropylene production	Meta-analysis	RR
Lewis <i>et al</i> <sup>[74]</sup>			Polypropylene production	Cohort	SIR
Sathiakumar <i>et al</i> <sup>[75]</sup>			Rubber industry	Cohort	SMR
McMichael <i>et al</i> <sup>[76]</sup>			Rubber industry	Cohort	SMR
Albin <i>et al</i> <sup>[106]</sup>		23 - Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral	Asbestos cement workers	Cohort	RR
Albin et ut		products	Asbestos cement workers	Conort	IXIX
Jakobsson <i>et al</i> <sup>107]</sup>		products	Cement workers	Cohort	SMR
Seidman <i>et al</i> <sup>[108]</sup>			Asbestos	Cohort	SMR
Smailyte <i>et al</i> <sup>[52]</sup>			Cement workers	Cohort	SMR
Wingren <sup>[109]</sup>			Glass Workers	Cohort	SIR
Zhang et al <sup>[110]</sup>			Ceramic factories	Cohort	SMR
Jackobsson <i>et al</i> <sup>[43]</sup>		24 - Manufacture of basic metals	Stainless steel workers	Cohort	SIR
Redmond <i>et al</i> <sup>[40]</sup>		21 manufactore of busic metals	Steel workers	Cohort	SMR
Xu et al <sup>[42]</sup>			Iron and steel workers	Cohort	SMR
Wang <i>et al</i> <sup>[44]</sup>		26 - Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical	Optical industry	Case-Control	OR
thang of an		products	optical industry	cube control	en
Ritz et al <sup>[60]</sup>		28 - Manufacture of machinery and equipment	Aerospace workers	Cohort	RR
		n.e.c	Nr. 111	<u> </u>	
Delzell <i>et al</i> <sup>[111]</sup>		29 - Manufacture of motor vehicles	Motor vehicle manufacturing	Cohort	SMR
Innos et $al^{[56]}$		31 - Manufacture of furniture	Wood dust	Cohort	SIR
Puntoni <i>et al</i> <sup>[48]</sup>		33 - Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	Shipyard	Cohort	RR
Puntoni et al <sup>[47]</sup>		1.1	Shipyard	Cohort	SMR
Nasterlack et al <sup>[112]</sup>		37 - Sewerage	Wastewater treatment	Cohort	SIR
Reynolds and Austin <sup>[113]</sup>		72 - Scientific research and development	Physics laboratory workers	Cohort	SIR
Ahn $et al^{[114]}$		84 - Public administration and defence	Emergency responders	Cohort	SIR
Demers et al <sup>[115]</sup>			Firefighters	Cohort	SIR
Strand <i>et al</i> <sup>[116]</sup>			Asbestos (Military - Navy)	Cohort	SIR
Yamane <sup>[117]</sup>			United States Air Force workers	Cohort	SIR
Czene <i>et al</i> <sup>[118]</sup>		96 - Other personal service activities	Hairdressers	Cohort	SIR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>	Colon	01 - Crop and animal production	Agriculture	Case-control	OR
Cantor <i>et al</i> <sup>[94]</sup>		r Production	Aerial pesticide applicators	Cohort	SMR
			r		



Fredriksson et al <sup>[119]</sup>			Farmers	Case-Control	OR
Freedman et al <sup>[120]</sup>			Farmer s	Case-Control	OR
Koutros et al <sup>[82]</sup>			Aromatic amine pesticide	Cohort	RR
Rusiecki et al <sup>[121]</sup>			Pesticides	Cohort	RR
Wiklund and Dich <sup>[97]</sup>			Agriculture	Cohort	SIR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		02 - Forestry and logging	Gardeners	Case-Control	OR
Mikoczy and Rylander <sup>[98]</sup>		03 - Fishing and aquaculture	Fishermen	Cohort	SIR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		05 - Mining of coal and lignite	Coal Mining	Case-Control	OR
Tomaskova <i>et al</i> <sup>[122]</sup>		oo mining of cour and ngritte	Black coal miners	Cohort	SMR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		07 - Mining of metal ores	Metal mining	Case-control	OR
Gómez <i>et al</i> <sup>[123]</sup>		or mining of mean ores	Mercury miners	Cohort	SMR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		08 - Other mining and quarrying	Metal mining	Case-control	OR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		08 - Other mining and quarrying	Miners		
				Case-Control	OR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		10 - Manufacture of food products	Food industry	Case-control	OR
Johnson et al <sup>[124]</sup>			Food industry	Case-Control	OR
Carstensen <i>et al</i> <sup>[77]</sup>		11 - Manufacture of beverages	Brevery workers	Cohort	RR
Thygesen et al <sup>[78]</sup>			Brevery workers	Cohort	SIR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		14 - Manufacture of wearing apparel	Dressmakers and needle workers	Case-Control	OR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		15 - Manufacture of leather and related products	Leather production	Case-control	OR
Montanaro et al <sup>[55]</sup>			Tannery workers	Cohort	SMR
Fredriksson et al <sup>[119]</sup>		16 - Manufacture of wood and of products of	Lumberers and sawmill workers	Case-Control	OR
1001		wood and cork			
Roscoe et al <sup>[104]</sup>			Automotive wood model	Cohort	SMR
Simpson <i>et al</i> <sup>[125]</sup>			Wood dust	Case-Control	OR
Swanson <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>			Automobile industry	Cohort	SMR
Fredriksson et al <sup>[119]</sup>		17 - Manufacture of paper and paper products	Paper and pulp workers	Case-Control	OR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		18 - Printing and reproduction of recorded media	Printing	Case-control	OR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		19 - Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum	Petroleum	Case-control	OR
D (161]		products		<b>C 1</b>	OD
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		20 - Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	Chemical workers	Case-control	OR
Collins <i>et al</i> <sup>[126]</sup>			Methil-methacrylate	Cohort	SMR
Fraser <i>et al</i> <sup>[65]</sup>			Fertilisers manufacturing	Cohort	SMR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>			Chemical workers	Case-Control	OR
Schnorr <i>et al</i> <sup>[67]</sup>			Polyurethane foam industry	Cohort	SMR
Walker et al <sup>[69]</sup>			Methil-methacrylate	Cohort	SMR
Harrington and Goldblatt <sup>[105]</sup>		21 - Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations	Pharmaceutical industry	Cohort	SMR
McMichael et al <sup>[76]</sup>		22 - Manufacture of rubber and plastics product	Rubber industry	Cohort	SMR
Straif et al <sup>[127]</sup>		22 manufacture of rubber and photoes produce	Rubber industry	Cohort	SMR
Smailyte <i>et al</i> <sup>[52]</sup>		23 - Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral	Cement workers	Cohort	SIR
<b>,</b>		products			
Jakobsson <i>et al</i> <sup>[107]</sup>		24 - Manufacture of basic metals	Stainless steel workers	Cohort	SIR
Redmond <i>et al</i> <sup>[40]</sup>			Steel workers	Cohort	SMR
Tokudome and Kuratsune <sup>[41]</sup>			Metal refinery	Cohort	SMR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>		25 - Manufacture of fabricated metal products	Metal manufacturing	Case-control	OR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Metal workers	Case-Control	OR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		31 - Manufacture of furniture	Cabinet makers	Case-Control	OR
Innos et al <sup>[56]</sup>		of manufacture of furniture	Wood dust	Cohort	SIR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		33 - Repair and installation of machinery and	Mechanics	Case-Control	OR
		equipment	Wechanics	Case-Control	OK
Puntoni et al <sup>[48]</sup>		1 1	Shipyard	Cohort	SMR
Puntoni <i>et al</i> <sup>[47]</sup>			Shipyard	Cohort	SMR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		49 - Land transport and transport via pipelines	Rail and road workers	Case-Control	OR
Reynolds and Austin <sup>[113]</sup>		72 - Scientific research and development	Physics laboratory workers	Cohort	SIR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		1	•		OR
		81 - Services to buildings and landscape activities	Cleaners	Case-Control	
Baris <i>et al</i> <sup>[128]</sup>		84 - Public administration and defence	Firefighters	Cohort	SMR
Brownson <i>et al</i> <sup>[61]</sup>			National security	Case-control	OR
Youakim <sup>[129]</sup>			Firefighters	Cohort	RR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		86 - Human health activities	Nurses	Case-Control	OR
Fredriksson <i>et al</i> <sup>[119]</sup>		96 - Other personal service activities	Dry Cleaners and hairdresser	Case-Control	OR
Cantor <i>et al</i> <sup>[94]</sup>	Rectum	01 - Crop and animal production	Aerial pesticide applicators	Cohort	RR
Settimi <i>et al</i> <sup>[130]</sup>			Agriculture	Case-Control	OR
Wiklund and Dich <sup>[97]</sup>			Agriculture	Cohort	SIR
Mikoczy and Rylander <sup>[98]</sup>		03 - Fishing and aquaculture	Fishermen	Cohort	SIR
Carstensen et al <sup>[77]</sup>		11 - Manufacture of beverages	Brevery workers	Cohort	RR
Thygesen <i>et al</i> <sup>[78]</sup>			Brevery workers	Cohort	SIR
Montanaro et al <sup>[55]</sup>		15 - Manufacture of leather and related products	Tannery workers	Cohort	SMR
Roscoe et al <sup>[104]</sup>		16 - Manufacture of wood and of products of	Automotive wood model	Cohort	SMR
		wood and cork			
Rodu et al <sup>[62]</sup>		19 - Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum	Petroleum industry	Cohort	SMR
		products			
		-			



12435

Fraser <i>et al</i> <sup>[65]</sup>	20 - Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	Fertilisers manufacturing	Cohort	SMR
Manuwald <i>et al</i> <sup>[131]</sup>	-	Dioxine compounds	Cohort	SMR
Schnorr et al <sup>[67]</sup>		Polyurethane foam industry	Cohort	SMR
Walker <i>et al</i> <sup>[69]</sup>		Methil-methacrylate	Cohort	SMR
McMichael <i>et al</i> <sup>[76]</sup>	22 - Manufacture of rubber and plastics product	Rubber industry	Cohort	SMR
Smailyte et al <sup>[52]</sup>	23 - Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral	Cement workers	Cohort	SIR
	products			
Jakobsson <i>et al</i> <sup>[107]</sup>	24 - Manufacture of basic metals	Stainless steel workers	Cohort	SIR
Langård <i>et al</i> <sup>[132]</sup>		Ferrochromium/silicon	Cohort	SMR
Redmond et al <sup>[40]</sup>		Steel workers	Cohort	SMR
Malloy <i>et al</i> <sup>[133]</sup>	29 - Manufacture of motor vehicles	Metalworking fluids	Cohort	RR
Innos et al <sup>[56]</sup>	31 - Manufacture of furniture	Wood dust	Cohort	SIR
Puntoni et al <sup>[48]</sup>	33 - Repair and installation of machinery and	Shipyard	Cohort	SMR
	equipment			
Puntoni <i>et al</i> <sup>[47]</sup>		Shipyard	Cohort	SMR
Reynolds and Austin <sup>[113]</sup>	72 - Scientific research and development	Physics laboratory workers	Cohort	SIR

SMR: Standardized mortality ratio; SIR: Standardized incidence ratio; ISIC: International Standard Industrial Classification.

than an occupational exposure. Moreover, pooled RR for colorectal cancer was increased and statistically significant for workers occupied in repair and installation of machinery (ISIC code 33, RR = 1.40, 95%CI: 1.07-1.84): this interesting results was entirely driven from two cohort studies on Italian shipyard labourers, exposed to asbestos.

Results showed also an increased risk (RR = 1.34, 95%CI: 1.12-1.61) in textile industry (ISIC code 13), mainly based on estimates of a meta-analytic study<sup>[24]</sup>, while no increase in risk was observed in the adjusted cohort studies estimate. Thus, the overall result was not statistically significant, despite a two-fold increased RR.

Results of borderline significance were observed for chemical (ISIC code 20) and rubber and plastic (ISIC code 22) industries, while some mining and quarrying (ISIC code 08) and agricultural (ISIC code 01) occupations showed significant risk deficits. Moreover, the latter productive branch showed a statistically increase risk for colorectal cancer in only one case-control study on pesticide applicators<sup>[90]</sup>.

Table 3 shows results of meta-analytic studies for colon cancer. Overall RR showed an increase in risk (RR = 1.13, 95%CI: 1.05-1.23) similar to adjusted colorectal cancer one.

An increased and statistically significant risk for colon cancer was observed in beverage production industry (brewery workers), steel and metal workers, and in repair and installation of machinery labourers. These results are consistent with those of colorectal cancer (Table 2).

Moreover, this analysis showed borderline results for chemical and rubber and plastic industries, also consistent with those reported in Table 2.

In addition, results showed a RR = 1.80 (95%CI: 1.20-2.70), statistically significant, for colon cancer in workers exposed in printing industry (ISIC code 18), although only based on a single case-control study.

Excluding manufacture of wood and cork industries (ISIC code 16) and metal mining (ISIC code 07), no significant risk deficits for colon cancer were observed. These decreased risks were observed only in non-cohort and cohort studies, respectively.

Table 4 shows results of meta-analytic studies for

rectal cancer. Increased RR was observed in overall analysis, although the 95%CI includes the 1 value. This result is consistent with those of colon and colorectal cancer analyses.

Increased and significant risk estimates for rectal cancer were observed only in beverage industry, related to brewery workers, and in the manufacture of coke and refined petroleum product (ISIC code 19), though only based on a single cohort study each.

Risk in male did not significantly differ from risk in female, except for colorectal cancer in which the analysis showed an increased pooled estimate of borderline significance in men (Table 5).

### **OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURES**

Occupational exposures, in a broad sense, appear to be a risk factor for colorectal, colon and rectal cancers. Probably, a fraction of the total amount of cases of these malignancies could be explained considering occupational exposures as cofactors in the process leading to cancer.

Slight but significant increased RR were observed for colorectal and colon cancers, though the pooled estimate for rectal cancer did not reach the conventional statistical significance. Despite this issue, results were consistent each other and showed a similar effect size ranging from 12% to 15%. Consequently, a crude excess fraction of risk, attributable to occupational exposure considered as a whole, could be calculated, and its results range from 10.7% to 13.0%.

No significant difference between male and female was observed in analyses carried out by gender, although some evidences suggested a different association in the two sexes<sup>[20]</sup>.

Most of the papers included in this review reported a cohort design and, limiting the analysis to this sort of studies, the effect size appeared to be stronger than the overall results, except for rectal cancer. The large amount of cohort studies could be an advantage to investigate an etiologic relationship between risk factors and cancer, thus these results firmly stressed a role of exposures in workplace in increasing the risk of colon and colorectal cancers.

ISIC	Industrial branch	Coho	rt studies	Other stu	Other study design <sup>2</sup>		All
			5%CI)		%CI)		5%CI)
		Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>	Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>	Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>
1	Crop and animal production	0.86	-	2.6	-	0.86	-
	Training to the lateral	(0.81-0.91)		(1.12-6.02)		(0.76-0.97)	
3	Fishing and aquaculture <sup>1</sup>	0.98	-	-	-	0.98	-
8	Other mining and quarrying	(0.70-1.10) 0.8		0.84		(0.87-1.10) 0.83	
0	Other mining and quarrying	(0.70-0.92)	-	(0.77-0.92)	-	(0.77-0.89)	-
10	Manufacture of food products	1.21	-	-	-	1.21	-
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(0.76-1.92)				(0.76-1.92)	
11	Manufacture of beverages	1.29	-	-	-	1.29	-
	-	(1.19-1.40)				(1.19-1.40)	
13	Manufacture of textile	2.82	0.73	1.34	-	2	-
		(0.19-41.78)	(0.07-7.96)	(1.12-1.61)		(0.83-4.86)	
15	Manufacture of leather and related products	1.7	-	-	-	1.7	-
		(1.24-2.34)				(1.24-2.34)	
16	Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork	1.65	-	-	-	1.65	-
		(0.60-4.58)				(0.60-4.58)	
19	Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products <sup>1</sup>	1.21	-	-	-	1.21	-
•		(0.69-2.12)				(0.69-2.12)	
20	Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	1.27	-	-	-	1.27	-
22	Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	(0.92-1.76) 1.3		1.37		(0.92-1.76) 1.3	
22	Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	(0.94-1.79)	-	(0.86-2.18)	-	(0.98-1.71)	-
23	Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products	1.25	_	(0.80-2.18)	_	1.25	_
25	Manufacture of other non-metallic initial products	(0.85-1.85)				(0.85-1.85)	
24	Manufacture of basic metals	1.32	-	-	-	1.32	-
		(1.07-1.65)				(1.07-1.65)	
26	Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical	-	-	2.14	-	2.14	-
	products <sup>1</sup>			(1.02 - 4.50)		(1.02 - 4.50)	
28	Manufacture of machinery and equipment nec <sup>1</sup>	2.2	-	-	-	2.2	-
		(1.03-4.72)				(1.03-4.72)	
29	Manufacture of motor vehicles <sup>1</sup>	1.27	-	-	-	1.27	-
		(0.89-1.82)				(0.89-1.82)	
31	Manufacture of furniture <sup>1</sup>	1.5	-	-	-	1.5	-
		(1.21-1.87)				(1.21-1.87)	
33	Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	1.4	-	-	-	1.4	-
07	c <sup>1</sup>	(1.07-1.84)				(1.07-1.84)	
37	Sewerage <sup>1</sup>	1.14	-	-	-	1.14	-
72	Scientific research and development <sup>1</sup>	(0.47-2.77)				(0.47-2.77)	
12	Scientific research and development	1.18 (0.77-1.82)	-	-	-	1.18 (0.77-1.82)	-
84	Public administration and defence	(0.77-1.82) 0.97	0.87	_	_	(0.77-1.82) 0.97	0.87
	i done deministration and defence	(0.62-1.52)	(0.58-1.30)	-	-	(0.62-1.52)	(0.58-1.30)
96	Other personal service activities <sup>1</sup>	(0.02-1.02)	-	-	-	(0.02-1.02)	-
	F	(0.98-1.21)				(0.98-1.21)	
-	All industrial branches combined	1.18	1.18	1.36	1.13	1.19	1.12
		(1.08-1.30)	(1.08 - 1.30)	(0.94 - 1.97)	(0.81-1.57)	(1.09-1.33)	(1.03-1.23)
	Heterogeneity ( <i>l</i> <sup>2</sup> )	84.1%		87.9%		84.3%	,

Table 2 Pooled estimates for colorectal cancer, by industrial branch and study design

<sup>1</sup>Only one study; <sup>2</sup>Case-control studies and meta-analyses; <sup>3</sup>Duval and Tweedie trim and fill method. RR: Relative risk; ISIC: International Standard Industrial Classification.

Moreover, results from this review could promote public health measures: workers exposed in industrial branches with increased risk of colorectal cancers could be effectively addressed to screening and counselling programs, in order to prevent the onset of the neoplasia or to anticipate the diagnosis, with a positive effect on the chance of cure and survival.

#### Adjustment by possible bias

The effect size of occupational exposures, considered as a whole, on colorectal cancers is probably lower than

tobacco smoke<sup>[10]</sup> and alcohol consumption<sup>[11]</sup>, though in some industrial branches such as leather, beverages, manufacture of metals, repair and installation of machinery, rubber and plastic industries, the risk could rise to levels comparable to these major risk factors.

Furthermore, not all studies provided estimates fully adjusted for well known risk or protective factors, especially those not recent, and thus a residual confounding can not be excluded.

The choice of excluding studies devoted to analyse exposure to single substances or chemical compound

Table	e <b>3</b> Pooled estimates for colon cancer, by industrial	branch and	study design				
ISIC	Industrial branch	Cohor	t studies	Other stu	ıdy design <sup>2</sup>	Å	NII
			%CI)	(95	%CI)	(95	%CI)
		Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>		Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>	Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>
1	Crop and animal production	1	-	0.98	-	0.96	-
	1 1	(0.66-1.52)		(0.85-1.13)		(0.84 - 1.10)	
2	Forestry and logging <sup>1</sup>	- 1	-	1.48	-	1.48	-
				(0.28-7.92)		(0.28-7.92)	
3	Fishing and aquaculture <sup>1</sup>	0.93	-	-	-	0.93	-
		(0.79 - 1.09)				(0.79 - 1.09)	
5	Mining of coal and lignite	0.9	-	1.1	-	0.92	0.9
		(0.68 - 1.20)		(0.42 - 2.91)		(0.69-1.21)	(0.69 - 1.17)
7	Mining of metals	0.19	-	1.5	-	0.57	-
		(0.05-0.71)		(0.65-3.64)		(0.08-4.30)	
8	Other mining and quarrying <sup>1</sup>	-	-	0.91	-	0.91	-
				(0.45-1.83)		(0.45-1.83)	
10	Manufacture of food products	1.06	-	1.32	-	1.28	-
		(0.44-2.57)		(0.93-1.87)		(0.98 - 1.70)	
11	Manufacture of beverages	1.25	-	-	-	1.25	-
		(1.12 - 1.40)				(1.12-1.40)	
14	Manufacture of wearing apparel <sup>1</sup>	-	-	0.66	-	0.66	-
				(0.20-2.20)		(0.20-2.20)	
15	Manufacture of leather and related products	1.66	-	1.3	-	1.49	1.49
16		(0.85-3.24)	1.0	(0.61-2.76)		(0.90-2.46)	(0.90-2.46)
16	Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork	2.19	1.2	0.53	-	0.96	0.96
17		(0.56-8.52)	(0.35-4.16)	(0.37-0.78)		(0.46-1.97)	(0.46-1.97)
17	Manufacture of paper and paper products <sup>1</sup>	-	-	0.66	-	0.66	-
10	Detections and assume detections of assumed and assume dist			(0.17-2.61)		(0.17-2.61)	
18	Printing and reproduction of recorded media <sup>1</sup>	-	-	1.8	-	1.8 (1.20-2.70)	-
19	Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products <sup>1</sup>			(1.20-2.70) 1.3		(1.20-2.70)	
19	Manufacture of coke and renned perioleum products	-	-	(0.51-3.29)	-	(0.51-3.29)	-
20	Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	1.2	_	(0.51-5.29)	_	1.18	_
20	Walturacture of chemicals and chemical products	(0.99-1.45)	-	(0.68-1.71)	-	(0.99-1.40)	-
21	Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products and phar-	1.22	_	-	_	1.22	-
21	maceutical preparations <sup>1</sup>	(0.58-2.24)				(0.58-2.24)	
22	Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	1.31	-	1.16	-	1.19	1.16
	manuacture of rabber and photics produces	(0.88-1.95)		(0.95-1.41)		(1.00-1.42)	(0.99-1.36)
23	Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products <sup>1</sup>	0.77	-	-	-	0.77	-
	I	(0.29-2.06)				(0.29-2.06)	
24	Manufacture of basic metals	1.75	1.61	-	-	1.75	1.61
		(1.16-2.65)	(1.09-2.38)			(1.16-2.65)	(1.09-2.38)
25	Manufacture of fabricated metal products	-	-	0.83	-	0.83	-
	-			(0.55 - 1.24)		(0.55 - 1.24)	
31	Manufacture of furniture	1.65	-	0.8	-	1.4	-
		(1.24-2.20)		(0.27-2.36)		(0.77-2.54)	
33	Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	1.91	1.81	0.99	-	1.75	-
		(1.39-2.62)	(1.39-2.37)	(0.43-2.29)		(1.27-2.41)	
49	Land transport <sup>1</sup>	-	-	2.39	-	2.39	-
				(0.83-6.92)		(0.83-6.92)	
72	Scientific research and development <sup>1</sup>	1.23	-	-	-	1.23	-
		(0.72-2.11)				(0.72-2.11)	
84	Public administration and defence	1.32	-	0.9	-	1.19	-
		(0.85-2.05)		(0.59-1.38)		(0.85-1.67)	
86	Human health activities <sup>1</sup>	-	-	1	-	1	-
				(0.49-2.05)		(0.49-2.05)	
96	Other personal service activities <sup>1</sup>	-	-	1.37	-	1.37	-
		4.63	4.00	(0.51-3.72)	4.62	(0.51-3.72)	4.42
-	All industrial branches combined	1.23	1.23	1.03	1.03	1.13	1.13
	$U_{1}$	(1.09-1.39)	(1.09-1.39)	(0.92-1.15)	(0.92-1.15)	(1.05-1.22)	(1.05-1.22)
	Heterogeneity $(I^2)$	70.5%		42.3%		61.4%	

#### 

<sup>1</sup>Only one study; <sup>2</sup>Case-control studies and meta-analyses; <sup>3</sup>Duval and Tweedie trim and fill method. RR: Relative risk; ISIC: International Standard Industrial Classification.

if not specifically related to a definite industrial branch, may be questionable, but our aim was to show quantitative estimates in several productive divisions to provide a general overview on occupational exposures at a productive branch level.

In addition, an evident between-studies heterogene-



ISIC	Industrial branch	Coho	rt studies	Other st	udy design <sup>2</sup>	1	All
	(95%CI) (95%CI)		5%CI)	(95%CI)			
		Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>	Crude RR	Adjusted RR <sup>3</sup>	Crude RR	Adjusted RR
1	Crop and animal production	0.86	-	1.5	-	0.97	-
		(0.74 - 1.00)		(0.55 - 2.45)		(0.67 - 1.41)	
3	Fishing and aquaculture <sup>1</sup>	1.05	-	-	-	1.05	-
		(0.86 - 1.25)				(0.87-1.26)	
10	Manufacture of food products <sup>1</sup>	0.75	-	-	-	0.75	-
		(0.33 - 1.70)				(0.33 - 1.70)	
11	Manufacture of beverages	1.45		-	-	1.45	-
		(1.13-1.85)				(1.13-1.85)	
15	Manufacture of leather and related products	2.06	-	-	-	2.06	-
		(0.36-3.76)				(0.91 - 4.65)	
16	Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork <sup>1</sup>	0.4	-		-	0.4	-
		(0.06-2.53)				(0.06-2.53)	
19	Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products <sup>1</sup>	2.49	-	-	-	2.49	-
		(1.02-6.07)				(1.02-6.07)	
20	Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products	1.22	-	-	-	1.22	-
		(0.62 - 2.41)				(0.62 - 2.41)	
22	Manufacture of rubber and plastics products	0.82	-	-	-	0.82	-
		(0.55 - 1.22)				(0.55 - 1.22)	
23	Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products <sup>1</sup>	1.25	-	-	-	1.25	-
		(0.61 - 2.55)				(0.61-2.55)	
24	Manufacture of basic metals	1.25	-		-	1.25	-
		(0.77 - 2.04)				(0.77 - 2.04)	
29	Manufacture of motor vehicles	1.7	-	-	-	1.7	-
		(0.53-5.43)				(0.53-5.43)	
31	Manufacture of furniture	1.32	-	-	-	1.32	-
		(0.93 - 1.88)				(0.93 - 1.88)	
33	Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	0.76	-	-	-	0.76	-
		(0.42 - 1.38)				(0.42-1.38)	
72	Scientific research and development <sup>1</sup>	1.1	-	-	-	1.1	-
		(0.26-1.95)				(0.52-2.34)	
-	All industrial branches combined	1.15	1.15	1.5	1.5	1.15	1.15
		(0.99-1.34)	(0.99-1.34)	(0.55-2.45)	(0.55 - 2.45)	(0.99-1.34)	(0.99-1.34)
	Heterogeneity $(I^2)$	53.8%	. ,	-		53.8%	

<sup>1</sup>Only one study; <sup>2</sup>Case-control studies and meta-analyses; <sup>3</sup>Duval and Tweedie trim and fill method. RR: Relative risk; ISIC: International Standard Industrial Classification.

Table 5      Colorectal cancer risk in occupational exposures, by gender								
Site	Gender	Crude RR	95%CI	Adjusted RR <sup>1</sup>	95%CI			
Colorectal	М	1.21	1.09-1.35	1.10	1.00-1.22			
	F	0.94	0.85 - 1.04	0.93	0.84-1.04			
Colon	М	1.07	0.97-1.18	-	-			
	F	0.95	0.76-1.20	-	-			
Rectum	Μ	1.11	0.93-1.32	-	-			
	F	1.53	0.47-4.93	-	-			

<sup>1</sup>Duval and Tweedie trim and fill method. M: Male; F: Female; RR: Relative risk.

ity was observed, while the publication bias seemed to be weak. The latter issue was controlled adjusting the pooled estimates with the Duval and Tweedie<sup>[89]</sup> non parametric trim-and-fill procedure. The former was not surprisingly observed in a study collecting several different results from papers diverging in terms of study design, exposures assessment, adjustment for potential confounders, geographical area, thus this may have affected the precision of the pooled estimates.

# Interaction between working activity and genetic susceptibility

The most relevant findings emerged from this review indicate that, though there is no homogeneous pattern of association between colorectal cancer and working activities (in a broad sense), risk evaluation for this disease deserves much attention in occupational setting. The exposure to some industrial branches such as that for processing animal furs and leather, or others where toxic chemicals (*e.g.*, asbestos) are used, significantly increases the risk of colorectal cancer. As reported above, also agricultural activities should enter this domain even if a reduction in risk of colorectal cancer was observed in this work maybe due to a "healthy worker" effect or to some personal habits. Evidence on colorectal malignancies of farmers is provided by investigations strictly focused on the exposure to specific agro-chemicals.

Traditionally epidemiological and experimental data on colorectal cancer supported associations with diet and familial genetic factors<sup>[7,8]</sup>. Interestingly, a genetic susceptibility was thought to be involved not only in rare familial colon cancers but in more common sporadic forms as well<sup>[9]</sup>. Thus, a common belief in scientific community was that both dietary habits and genetic predisposition were the basic factors causing colorectal carcinogenesis<sup>[9]</sup>. In more recent times, however, a growing evidence has shown the relevant carcinogenic role played by general lifestyles (cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, diet, *etc.*) and much more important here exposure to environmental chemicals, so the attention on simple mechanisms of genetic-environmental determination of disease has been strongly resized.

On the other hand, studies based on genome-wide association approaches suggested that familial predisposition to develop malignancies does not depend on mendelian patterns but to complex interactions between genomic, epigenomic and environmental conditions<sup>[91]</sup>. In many cases, misconceptions on these inherited diseases depend on the erroneous assumption that heritability means "genetic determination". Estimates on heritability of multifactorial diseases do not provide reliable information on the proportion of cases really due to simple genetic factors, furthermore these estimates cannot be used to discover how many cases are due to environmental effects<sup>[92]</sup>.

Other studies on detrimental effects of the environment emphasize the essential role played by the environmental exposures on the environment residing within the organism. These studies revealed that both early and advanced stages of carcinogenesis can be promoted by means of chemical (or other) influences on the stromal micro-environment<sup>[84,93]</sup>. The nature of these dynamics is far from being clear and the relationship between micro- and macro-environment in tumor initiation and progression is only now starting to be appreciated. This picture provides strong reasons to act on environmental carcinogens by planning effective efforts to control incidence rates of colorectal and other tumors.

Despite advancements in developing tools to strengthen surveillance on occupational cancer, in Western countries still many workers are exposed to carcinogens in the workplace: a serious challenge not only for workers but also for entrepreneurs, trade unions, decision makers and health institutions. Managing the exposure to chemicals causing malignancies and other degenerative pathologies is not a mere biomedical affair but is a prerequisite for reducing the economic burden of work-related morbidity/mortality and avoiding social conflicts. In short, it is a matter of social cohesion and equity. This entails new political and economic paradigms in planning occupational safety and, especially, in addressing health expenditures. Education and public communication programs on primary prevention in the workplace will be essential. After all, innovative actions to protect human health often require solely good science, culture and common sense. Improving our environment and lifestyles is much more feasible and infinitely less expensive than improving our genetics.

To our knowledge, this article provides the largest review of papers regarding the risk of colorectal cancers in workers of several industrial branches. Our results pointed out increased risks for labourers occupied in industries with a wide use of chemical compounds, such as leather, basic metals, plastic and rubber manufacturing, besides workers in the sector of repair and installation of machinery exposed to asbestos.

#### REFERENCES

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010; 127: 2893-2917 [PMID: 21351269 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25516]
- 2 Hewitson P, Glasziou P, Watson E, Towler B, Irwig L. Cochrane systematic review of colorectal cancer screening using the fecal occult blood test (hemoccult): an update. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008; **103**: 1541-1549 [PMID: 18479499 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01875.x]
- 3 Stewart BW, Kleihus P. World Cancer Report. Lyon: IARC Press, 2003
- 4 Papadopoulos N, Nicolaides NC, Wei YF, Ruben SM, Carter KC, Rosen CA, Haseltine WA, Fleischmann RD, Fraser CM, Adams MD. Mutation of a mutL homolog in hereditary colon cancer. *Science* 1994; 263: 1625-1629 [PMID: 8128251 DOI: 10.1126/science.8128251]
- 5 Jackson-Thompson J, Ahmed F, German RR, Lai SM, Friedman C. Descriptive epidemiology of colorectal cancer in the United States, 1998-2001. *Cancer* 2006; **107**: 1103-1111 [PMID: 16835911 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22007]
- 6 World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition Phiysical Activity and Prevention of Cancer: a global perspective. Washington DC: AIRC, 2007
- 7 National Research Council. Committee on Diet, Nutrition and Cancer. Diet, nutrition and cancer. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 1982
- 8 National Research Council. Committee on Diet and Health. Implications for reducing chronic disease risk. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 1989
- 9 Shike M, Winawer SJ, Greenwald PH, Bloch A, Hill MJ, Swaroop SV. Primary prevention of colorectal cancer. The WHO Collaborating Centre for the Prevention of Colorectal Cancer. Bull World Health Organ 1990; 68: 377-385 [PMID: 2203551]
- Giovannucci E, Martínez ME. Tobacco, colorectal cancer, and adenomas: a review of the evidence. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996; 88: 1717-1730 [PMID: 8944002 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/88.23.1717]
- 11 Huxley RR, Ansary-Moghaddam A, Clifton P, Czernichow S, Parr CL, Woodward M. The impact of dietary and life-style risk factors on risk of colorectal cancer: a quantitative overview of the epidemiological evidence. *Int J Cancer* 2009; 125: 171-180 [PMID: 19350627 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.24343]
- 12 Matanoski G, Tao X, Almon L, Adade AA, Davies-Cole JO. Demographics and tumor characteristics of colorectal cancers in the United States, 1998-2001. *Cancer* 2006; 107: 1112-1120 [PMID: 16838314 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22008]
- 13 Wu X, Cokkinides V, Chen VW, Nadel M, Ren Y, Martin J, Ellison GL. Associations of subsite-specific colorectal cancer incidence rates and stage of disease at diagnosis with county-level poverty, by race and sex. *Cancer* 2006; 107: 1121-1127 [PMID: 16802324 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.22009]
- 14 Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. *Cell* 1996; 87: 159-170 [PMID: 8861899 DOI: 10.1016/ S0092-8674(00)81333-1]
- 15 Soto AM, Sonnenschein C. Emergentism as a default: cancer as a problem of tissue organization. *J Biosci* 2005; 30: 103-118 [PMID: 15824446 DOI: 10.1007/BF02705155]
- 16 Voorrips LE, Goldbohm RA, van Poppel G, Sturmans F, Her-

mus RJ, van den Brandt PA. Vegetable and fruit consumption and risks of colon and rectal cancer in a prospective cohort study: The Netherlands Cohort Study on Diet and Cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000; **152**: 1081-1092 [PMID: 11117618 DOI: 10.1093/aje/152.11.1081]

- 17 Toyomura K, Yamaguchi K, Kawamoto H, Tabata S, Shimizu E, Mineshita M, Ogawa S, Lee KY, Kono S. Relation of cigarette smoking and alcohol use to colorectal adenomas by subsite: the self-defense forces health study. *Cancer Sci* 2004; 95: 72-76 [PMID: 14720330 DOI: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2004. tb03173.x]
- 18 Mizoue T, Yamaji T, Tabata S, Yamaguchi K, Shimizu E, Mineshita M, Ogawa S, Kono S. Dietary patterns and colorectal adenomas in Japanese men: the Self-Defense Forces Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 2005; 161: 338-345 [PMID: 15692077 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwi049]
- 19 Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005; 97: 1679-1687 [PMID: 16288121 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/dji375]
- 20 Moradi T, Gridley G, Björk J, Dosemeci M, Ji BT, Berkel HJ, Lemeshow S. Occupational physical activity and risk for cancer of the colon and rectum in Sweden among men and women by anatomic subsite. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2008; **17**: 201-208 [PMID: 18414190 DOI: 10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3282b6fd78]
- 21 Oddone E, Edefonti V, Scaburri A, Vai T, Crosignani P, Imbriani M. Female breast cancer in Lombardy, Italy (2002-2009): a case-control study on occupational risks. *Am J Ind Med* 2013; 56: 1051-1062 [PMID: 23720359 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.22205]
- Saberi Hosnijeh F, Christopher Y, Peeters P, Romieu I, Xun W, Riboli E, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Tjønneland A, Becker N, Nieters A, Trichopoulou A, Bamia C, Orfanos P, Oddone E, Luján-Barroso L, Dorronsoro M, Navarro C, Barricarte A, Molina-Montes E, Wareham N, Vineis P, Vermeulen R. Occupation and risk of lymphoid and myeloid leukaemia in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Occup Environ Med* 2013; **70**: 464-470 [PMID: 23576671 DOI: 10.1136/oemed-2012-101135]
- 23 Oddone E, Scaburri A, Modonesi C, Montomoli C, Bergamaschi R, Crosignani P, Imbriani M. Multiple sclerosis and occupational exposures: results of an explorative study. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon* 2013; 35: 133-137
- 24 Mastrangelo G, Fedeli U, Fadda E, Milan G, Lange JH. Epidemiologic evidence of cancer risk in textile industry workers: a review and update. *Toxicol Ind Health* 2002; 18: 171-181 [PMID: 12974540 DOI: 10.1191/0748233702th139rr]
- 25 Andersen A, Barlow L, Engeland A, Kjaerheim K, Lynge E, Pukkala E. Work-related cancer in the Nordic countries. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1999; **25** Suppl 2: 1-116 [PMID: 10507118]
- 26 Swanson GM, Belle SH, Burrows RW. Colon cancer incidence among modelmakers and patternmakers in the automobile manufacturing industry. A continuing dilemma. J Occup Med 1985; 27: 567-569 [PMID: 3875701]
- 27 Hoar SK, Bang KM, Tillett S, Rodriguez M, Cantor KP, Blair A. Screening for colorectal cancer and polyps among pattern makers. *J Occup Med* 1986; 28: 704-708 [PMID: 3746494 DOI: 10.1097/00043764-198508000-00013]
- 28 Tilley BC, Johnson CC, Schultz LR, Buffler PA, Joseph CL. Risk of colorectal cancer among automotive pattern and model makers. *J Occup Med* 1990; 32: 541-546 [PMID: 2380803 DOI: 10.1097/00043764-199006000-00011]
- 29 Garabrant DH, Peters JM, Mack TM, Bernstein L. Job activity and colon cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984; 119: 1005-1014 [PMID: 6731427]
- 30 Ehrlich A, Rohl AN, Holstein EC. Asbestos bodies in carcinoma of colon in an insulation worker with asbestosis. JAMA 1985; 254: 2932-2933 [PMID: 4057514 DOI: 10.1001/jama.1985.033602000]
- 31 **Neugut AI**, Murray TI, Garbowski GC, Treat MR, Forde KA, Waye JD, Fenoglio-Preiser C. Association of asbestos exposure with colorectal adenomatous polyps and cancer. *J Natl*

Cancer Inst 1991; 83: 1827-1828 [PMID: 1744926 DOI: 10.1093/ jnci/83.24.1827]

- 32 Vineis P, Ciccone G, Magnino A. Asbestos exposure, physical activity and colon cancer: a case-control study. *Tumori* 1993; **79**: 301-303 [PMID: 8116070]
- 33 International Agency for Research on Cancer. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon: Vol. 100, 2012
- 34 Bertazzi PA, Consonni D, Bachetti S, Rubagotti M, Baccarelli A, Zocchetti C, Pesatori AC. Health effects of dioxin exposure: a 20-year mortality study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001; 153: 1031-1044 [PMID: 11390319 DOI: 10.1093/aje/153.11.1031]
- 35 Dement J, Pompeii L, Lipkus IM, Samsa GP. Cancer incidence among union carpenters in New Jersey. J Occup Environ Med 2003; 45: 1059-1067 [PMID: 14534447]
- 36 Berlin K, Edling C, Persson B, Ahlborg G, Hillert L, Högstedt B, Lundberg I, Svensson BG, Thiringer G, Orbaek P. Cancer incidence and mortality of patients with suspected solvent-related disorders. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1995; 21: 362-367 [PMID: 8571092 DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.50]
- 37 Anttila A, Pukkala E, Riala R, Sallmén M, Hemminki K. Cancer incidence among Finnish workers exposed to aromatic hydrocarbons. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1998; **71**: 187-193 [PMID: 9591160 DOI: 10.1007/s004200050269]
- 38 Dumas S, Parent ME, Siemiatycki J, Brisson J. Rectal cancer and occupational risk factors: a hypothesis-generating, exposure-based case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 2000; 87: 874-879 [PMID: 10956400]
- 39 Calvert GM, Ward E, Schnorr TM, Fine LJ. Cancer risks among workers exposed to metalworking fluids: a systematic review. Am J Ind Med 1998; 33: 282-292 [PMID: 9481427]
- 40 Redmond CK, Strobino BR, Cypess RH. Cancer experience among coke by-product workers. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1976; 271: 102-115 [PMID: 1069492 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1976. tb23099.x]
- 41 Tokudome S, Kuratsune M. A cohort study on mortality from cancer and other causes among workers at a metal refinery. *Int J Cancer* 1976; 17: 310-317 [PMID: 1254355 DOI: 10.1002/ ijc.2910170306]
- 42 Xu Z, Pan GW, Liu LM, Brown LM, Guan DX, Xiu Q, Sheng JH, Stone BJ, Dosemeci M, Fraumeni JF, Blot WJ. Cancer risks among iron and steel workers in Anshan, China, Part I: Proportional mortality ratio analysis. *Am J Ind Med* 1996; 30: 1-6 [PMID: 8837675]
- 43 Jakobsson K, Mikoczy Z, Skerfving S. Deaths and tumours among workers grinding stainless steel: a follow up. Occup Environ Med 1997; 54: 825-829 [PMID: 9538356 DOI: 10.1136/ oem.54.11]
- 44 Wang JD, Wegman DH, Smith TJ. Cancer risks in the optical manufacturing industry. *Br J Ind Med* 1983; 40: 177-181 [PMID: 6830714 DOI: 10.1136/oem.40.2.177]
- 45 Weiderpass E, Vainio H, Kauppinen T, Vasama-Neuvonen K, Partanen T, Pukkala E. Occupational exposures and gastrointestinal cancers among Finnish women. *J Occup Environ Med* 2003; 45: 305-315 [PMID: 12661188 DOI: 10.1097/01. jom.0000052963.43131.44]
- 46 Spiegelman D, Wegman DH. Occupation-related risks for colorectal cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1985; 75: 813-821 [PMID: 3863984]
- 47 **Puntoni R**, Russo L, Zannini D, Vercelli M, Gambaro RP, Valerio F, Santi L. Mortality among dock-yard workers in Genoa, Italy. *Tumori* 1977; **63**: 91-96 [PMID: 878025]
- 48 Puntoni R, Vercelli M, Merlo F, Valerio F, Santi L. Mortality among shipyard workers in Genoa, Italy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1979; 330: 353-377 [PMID: 230774 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1979. tb18738.x]
- 49 Raffn E, Villadsen E, Lynge E. Colorectal cancer in asbestos cement workers in Denmark. Am J Ind Med 1996; 30: 267-272 [PMID: 8876793]
- 50 Kang SK, Burnett CA, Freund E, Walker J, Lalich N, Sestito

J. Gastrointestinal cancer mortality of workers in occupations with high asbestos exposures. *Am J Ind Med* 1997; **31**: 713-718 [PMID: 9131226]

- 51 Reid A, Ambrosini G, de Klerk N, Fritschi L, Musk B. Aerodigestive and gastrointestinal tract cancers and exposure to crocidolite (blue asbestos): incidence and mortality among former crocidolite workers. *Int J Cancer* 2004; **111**: 757-761 [PMID: 15252847 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.20313]
- 52 Smailyte G, Kurtinaitis J, Andersen A. Cancer mortality and morbidity among Lithuanian asbestos-cement producing workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2004; **30**: 64-70 [PMID: 15018030 DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.766]
- 53 Clin B, Morlais F, Launoy G, Guizard AV, Dubois B, Bouvier V, Desoubeaux N, Marquignon MF, Raffaelli C, Paris C, Galateau-Salle F, Guittet L, Letourneux M. Cancer incidence within a cohort occupationally exposed to asbestos: a study of dose--response relationships. *Occup Environ Med* 2011; 68: 832-836 [PMID: 21406385 DOI: 10.1136/oem.2010.059790]
- 54 Guay D, Siemiatycki J. Historic cohort study in Montreal's fur industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1987; 12: 181-193 [PMID: 3661571 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.4700120207]
- 55 Montanaro F, Ceppi M, Demers PA, Puntoni R, Bonassi S. Mortality in a cohort of tannery workers. *Occup Environ Med* 1997; 54: 588-591 [PMID: 9326162 DOI: 10.1136/oem.54.8.588]
- 56 Innos K, Rahu M, Rahu K, Lang I, Leon DA. Wood dust exposure and cancer incidence: a retrospective cohort study of furniture workers in Estonia. *Am J Ind Med* 2000; **37**: 501-511 [PMID: 10723044]
- 57 Gubéran E, Usel M, Raymond L, Fioretta G. Mortality and incidence of cancer among a cohort of self employed butchers from Geneva and their wives. *Br J Ind Med* 1993; 50: 1008-1016 [PMID: 8280626 DOI: 10.1136/oem.50.11.1008]
- 58 Fritschi L, Fenwick S, Bulsara M. Mortality and cancer incidence in a cohort of meatworkers. *Occup Environ Med* 2003; 60: E4 [PMID: 12937200 DOI: 10.1136/oem.60.9.e4]
- 59 McLean D, Cheng S, 't Mannetje A, Woodward A, Pearce N. Mortality and cancer incidence in New Zealand meat workers. Occup Environ Med 2004; 61: 541-547 [PMID: 15150395]
- 60 Ritz B, Zhao Y, Krishnadasan A, Kennedy N, Morgenstern H. Estimated effects of hydrazine exposure on cancer incidence and mortality in aerospace workers. *Epidemiology* 2006; 17: 154-161 [PMID: 16477255 DOI: 10.1097/01. ede.0000199323.55534.fb]
- 61 Brownson RC, Zahm SH, Chang JC, Blair A. Occupational risk of colon cancer. An analysis by anatomic subsite. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989; 130: 675-687 [PMID: 2773916]
- 62 Rodu B, Delzell E, Beall C, Sathiakumar N. Mortality among employees at a petrochemical research facility. *Am J Ind Med* 2001; **39**: 29-41 [PMID: 11148013 DOI: 10.1002/1097-0274(20 0101)]
- 63 Acquavella JF, Douglass TS, Phillips SC. Evaluation of excess colorectal cancer incidence among workers involved in the manufacture of polypropylene. *J Occup Med* 1988; 30: 438-442 [PMID: 3373349 DOI: 10.1097/00043764-198805000-00012]
- Berger J, Manz A. Cancer of the stomach and the colon-rectum among workers in a coke gas plant. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;
  22: 825-834 [PMID: 1463028 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.4700220605]
- 65 Fraser P, Chilvers C, Day M, Goldblatt P. Further results from a census based mortality study of fertiliser manufacturers. Br J Ind Med 1989; 46: 38-42 [PMID: 2537650 DOI: 10.1136/ oem.46.1.38]
- 66 Leet T, Acquavella J, Lynch C, Anne M, Weiss NS, Vaughan T, Checkoway H. Cancer incidence among alachlor manufacturing workers. *Am J Ind Med* 1996; **30**: 300-306 [PMID: 8876798]
- 67 Schnorr TM, Steenland K, Egeland GM, Boeniger M, Egilman D. Mortality of workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate in the polyurethane foam industry. *Occup Environ Med* 1996; 53: 703-707 [PMID: 8943836 DOI: 10.1136/oem.53.10.703]

- 68 Tomenson JA, Bonner SM, Edwards JC, Pemberton MA, Cummings TF, Paddle GM. Study of two cohorts of workers exposed to methyl methacrylate in acrylic sheet production. *Occup Environ Med* 2000; 57: 810-817 [PMID: 11077009 DOI: 10.1136/ oem.57.12.810]
- 69 Walker AM, Cohen AJ, Loughlin JE, Rothman KJ, DeFonso LR. Mortality from cancer of the colon or rectum among workers exposed to ethyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1991; 17: 7-19 [PMID: 2047810 DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.1731]
- 70 Acquavella JF, Riordan SG, Anne M, Lynch CF, Collins JJ, Ireland BK, Heydens WF. Evaluation of mortality and cancer incidence among alachlor manufacturing workers. *Environ Health Perspect* 1996; **104**: 728-733 [PMID: 8841758 DOI: 10.1289/ehp.96104728]
- 71 **Cowles SR**, Tsai SP, Gilstrap EL, Ross CE. Mortality among employees at a plastics and resins research and development facility. *Occup Environ Med* 1994; **51**: 799-803 [PMID: 7849862 DOI: 10.1136/oem.51.12.799]
- 72 Kaleja R, Horbach L, Amsel J. Polypropylene production workers and colorectal cancer in Germany: a brief report. Occup Environ Med 1994; 51: 784-785 [PMID: 7849858]
- 73 Lagast H, Tomenson J, Stringer DA. Polypropylene production and colorectal cancer: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Occup Med* (Lond) 1995; 45: 69-74 [PMID: 7718822]
- 74 Lewis RJ, Schnatter AR, Lerman SE. Colorectal cancer incidence among polypropylene manufacturing workers. An update. J Occup Med 1994; **36**: 652-659 [PMID: 8071729]
- 75 Sathiakumar N, Graff J, Macaluso M, Maldonado G, Matthews R, Delzell E. An updated study of mortality among North American synthetic rubber industry workers. *Occup Environ Med* 2005; 62: 822-829 [PMID: 16299089 DOI: 10.1136/oem.2004.018176]
- 76 McMichael AJ, Andjelkovic DA, Tyroler HA. Cancer mortality among rubber workers: an epidemiologic study. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1976; **271**: 125-137 [PMID: 1069494 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1749-6632.1976.tb23101.x]
- 77 Carstensen JM, Bygren LO, Hatschek T. Cancer incidence among Swedish brewery workers. *Int J Cancer* 1990; 45: 393-396 [PMID: 2407667 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.2910450302]
- 78 Thygesen LC, Albertsen K, Johansen C, Grønbaek M. Cancer incidence among Danish brewery workers. *Int J Cancer* 2005; 116: 774-778 [PMID: 15838831 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.21076]
- 79 Alavanja MC, Sandler DP, Lynch CF, Knott C, Lubin JH, Tarone R, Thomas K, Dosemeci M, Barker J, Hoppin JA, Blair A. Cancer incidence in the agricultural health study. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2005; **31** Suppl 1: 39-45; discussion 5-7 [PMID: 16190148]
- 80 Alexander DD, Weed DL, Mink PJ, Mitchell ME. A weightof-evidence review of colorectal cancer in pesticide applicators: the agricultural health study and other epidemiologic studies. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2012; 85: 715-745 [PMID: 22159924 DOI: 10.1007/s00420-011-0723-7]
- 81 Samanic C, Rusiecki J, Dosemeci M, Hou L, Hoppin JA, Sandler DP, Lubin J, Blair A, Alavanja MC. Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed to dicamba in the agricultural health study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114: 1521-1526 [PMID: 17035136 DOI: 10.1289/ehp.9204]
- 82 Koutros S, Lynch CF, Ma X, Lee WJ, Hoppin JA, Christensen CH, Andreotti G, Freeman LB, Rusiecki JA, Hou L, Sandler DP, Alavanja MC. Heterocyclic aromatic amine pesticide use and human cancer risk: results from the U.S. Agricultural Health Study. *Int J Cancer* 2009; **124**: 1206-1212 [PMID: 19058219 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.24020]
- 83 Lee WJ, Sandler DP, Blair A, Samanic C, Cross AJ, Alavanja MC. Pesticide use and colorectal cancer risk in the Agricultural Health Study. *Int J Cancer* 2007; **121**: 339-346 [PMID: 17390374 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.22635]
- 84 **Oddone E**, Crosignani P, Modonesi C. Cancer as a continuum: a literature review and a biological interpretation. *Can*-

cer Oncol Res 2013: 1: 40-51 [DOI: 10.13189/cor.2013.010204]

- 85 Mattioli S, Zanardi F, Baldasseroni A, Schaafsma F, Cooke RM, Mancini G, Fierro M, Santangelo C, Farioli A, Fucksia S, Curti S, Violante FS, Verbeek J. Search strings for the study of putative occupational determinants of disease. *Occup Environ Med* 2010; 67: 436-443 [PMID: 19819858 DOI: 10.1136/ oem.2008.044727]
- 86 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-188 [PMID: 3802833 DOI: 10.1016/ 0197-2456(86)90046-2]
- 87 Tang JL, Liu JL. Misleading funnel plot for detection of bias in meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 2000; 53: 477-484 [PMID: 10812319 DOI: 10.1016/S0895-4356(99)00204-8]
- 88 Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Schmid CH, Olkin I. The case of the misleading funnel plot. *BMJ* 2006; **333**: 597-600 [PMID: 16974018 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.333.7568.597]
- 89 Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plotbased method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 2000; 56: 455-463 [PMID: 10877304]
- 90 Lo AC, Soliman AS, Khaled HM, Aboelyazid A, Greenson JK. Lifestyle, occupational, and reproductive factors and risk of colorectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2010; **53**: 830-837 [PMID: 20389219 DOI: 10.1007/DCR.0b013e3181d320b1]
- 91 Brena RM, Costello JF. Genome-epigenome interactions in cancer. Hum Mol Genet 2007; 16 Spec No 1: R96-105 [PMID: 17613554 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddm073]
- 92 Vineis P, Pearce NE. Genome-wide association studies may be misinterpreted: genes versus heritability. *Carcinogenesis* 2011; **32**: 1295-1298 [PMID: 21565827]
- 93 Maffini MV, Calabro JM, Soto AM, Sonnenschein C. Stromal regulation of neoplastic development: age-dependent normalization of neoplastic mammary cells by mammary stroma. *Am J Pathol* 2005; 167: 1405-1410 [PMID: 16251424 DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61227-8]
- 94 **Cantor KP**, Silberman W. Mortality among aerial pesticide applicators and flight instructors: follow-up from 1965-1988. *Am J Ind Med* 1999; **36**: 239-247 [PMID: 10398932]
- 95 Greenburg DL, Rusiecki J, Koutros S, Dosemeci M, Patel R, Hines CJ, Hoppin JA, Alavanja MC. Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed to captan in the Agricultural Health Study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2008; **19**: 1401-1407 [PMID: 18587656 DOI: 10.1007/s10552-008-9187-9]
- 96 Lynch SM, Rusiecki JA, Blair A, Dosemeci M, Lubin J, Sandler D, Hoppin JA, Lynch CF, Alavanja MC. Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed to cyanazine in the agricultural health study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114: 1248-1252 [PMID: 16882534 DOI: 10.1289/ehp.8997]
- 97 Wiklund K, Dich J. Cancer risks among female farmers in Sweden. *Cancer Causes Control* 1994; 5: 449-457 [PMID: 7999967 DOI: 10.1007/BF01694759]
- 98 Mikoczy Z, Rylander L. Mortality and cancer incidence in cohorts of Swedish fishermen and fishermen's wives: updated findings. *Chemosphere* 2009; 74: 938-943 [PMID: 19041115 DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.10.006]
- 99 Finkelstein MM. Does occupational exposure to dust prevent colorectal cancer? *Occup Environ Med* 1995; 52: 145-149 [PMID: 7735383 DOI: 10.1136/oem.52.3.145]
- 100 Kusiak R. Does occupational exposure to dust prevent colorectal cancer? Occup Environ Med 1995; 52: 699 [PMID: 7489062 DOI: 10.1136/oem.52.10.699]
- 101 Goldberg MS, Thériault G. Retrospective cohort study of workers of a synthetic textiles plant in Quebec: II. Colorectal cancer mortality and incidence. *Am J Ind Med* 1994; 25: 909-922 [PMID: 8067366 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.4700250613]
- 102 **Vobecky J**, Devroede G, Lacaille J, Water A. An occupational group with a high risk of large bowel cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1978; **75**: 221-223 [PMID: 669208]
- 103 Sweeney MH, Walrath J, Waxweiler RJ. Mortality among retired fur workers. Dyers, dressers (tanners) and service workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1985; 11: 257-264 [PMID: 4059889

DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.2222]

- 104 Roscoe RJ, Steenland K, McCammon CS, Schober SE, Robinson CF, Halperin WE, Fingerhut MA. Colon and stomach cancer mortality among automotive wood model makers. *J Occup Med* 1992; 34: 759-768; discussion 769-770 [PMID: 1506932 DOI: 10.1097/00043764-199208000-00008]
- 105 Harrington JM, Goldblatt P. Census based mortality study of pharmaceutical industry workers. Br J Ind Med 1986; 43: 206-211 [PMID: 3947585]
- 106 Albin M, Jakobsson K, Attewell R, Johansson L, Welinder H. Mortality and cancer morbidity in cohorts of asbestos cement workers and referents. *Br J Ind Med* 1990; **47**: 602-610 [PMID: 2207031 DOI: 10.1136/oem.47.9.602]
- 107 Jakobsson K, Horstmann V, Welinder H. Mortality and cancer morbidity among cement workers. Br J Ind Med 1993; 50: 264-272 [PMID: 8457494]
- 108 Seidman H, Selikoff IJ, Gelb SK. Mortality experience of amosite asbestos factory workers: dose-response relationships 5 to 40 years after onset of short-term work exposure. *Am J Ind Med* 1986; 10: 479-514 [PMID: 2880502]
- 109 Wingren G. Mortality and cancer incidence in a Swedish art glassworks--an updated cohort study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2004; 77: 599-603 [PMID: 15526213 DOI: 10.1007/ s00420-004-0553-y]
- 110 Zhang X, Wang H, Zhu X, Liu Y, Wang L, Dai Q, Cai N, Wu T, Chen W. Cohort mortality study in three ceramic factories in Jingdezhen in China. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci 2008; 28: 386-390 [PMID: 18704297 DOI: 10.1007/s11596-008-0404-2]
- 111 Delzell E, Beall C, Macaluso M. Cancer mortality among women employed in motor vehicle manufacturing. J Occup Med 1994; 36: 1251-1259 [PMID: 7861270 DOI: 10.1097/0004 3764-199411000-00015]
- 112 Nasterlack M, Messerer P, Pallapies D, Ott MG, Zober A. Cancer incidence in the wastewater treatment plant of a large chemical company. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2009; 82: 851-856 [PMID: 19190931 DOI: 10.1007/s00420-009-0397-6]
- 113 Reynolds P, Austin DF. Cancer incidence among employees of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1969-1980. West J Med 1985; 142: 214-218 [PMID: 4013251]
- 114 Ahn YS, Jeong KS, Kim KS. Cancer morbidity of professional emergency responders in Korea. *Am J Ind Med* 2012; 55: 768-778 [PMID: 22628010 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.22068]
- 115 Demers PA, Checkoway H, Vaughan TL, Weiss NS, Heyer NJ, Rosenstock L. Cancer incidence among firefighters in Seattle and Tacoma, Washington (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 1994; 5: 129-135 [PMID: 8167259]
- 116 Strand LA, Martinsen JI, Koefoed VF, Sommerfelt-Pettersen J, Grimsrud TK. Asbestos-related cancers among 28,300 military servicemen in the Royal Norwegian Navy. Am J Ind Med 2010; 53: 64-71 [PMID: 19921706 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.20778]
- 117 Yamane GK. Cancer incidence in the U.S. Air Force: 1989-2002. Aviat Space Environ Med 2006; 77: 789-794 [PMID: 16909871]
- 118 Czene K, Tiikkaja S, Hemminki K. Cancer risks in hairdressers: assessment of carcinogenicity of hair dyes and gels. *Int J Cancer* 2003; 105: 108-112 [PMID: 12672039 DOI: 10.1002/ ijc.11040]
- 119 Fredriksson M, Bengtsson NO, Hardell L, Axelson O. Colon cancer, physical activity, and occupational exposures. A case-control study. *Cancer* 1989; 63: 1838-1842 [PMID: 2702592]
- 120 Freedman DM, Dosemeci M, McGlynn K. Sunlight and mortality from breast, ovarian, colon, prostate, and non-melanoma skin cancer: a composite death certificate based casecontrol study. *Occup Environ Med* 2002; 59: 257-262 [PMID: 11934953 DOI: 10.1136/oem.59.4.257]
- 121 **Rusiecki JA**, Hou L, Lee WJ, Blair A, Dosemeci M, Lubin JH, Bonner M, Samanic C, Hoppin JA, Sandler DP, Alavanja MC. Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed

to metolachlor in the Agricultural Health Study. Int J Cancer 2006; **118**: 3118-3123 [PMID: 16425265 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.21758]

- 122 Tomaskova H, Jirak Z, Splichalova A, Urban P. Cancer incidence in Czech black coal miners in association with coalworkers' pneumoconiosis. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2012; 25: 137-144 [PMID: 22411070 DOI: 10.2478/S13382-012-0015-9]
- 123 Gómez MG, Boffetta P, Klink JD, Español S, Quintana JG, Colin D. [Cancer mortality in mercury miners]. *Gac Sanit* 2007; 21: 210-217 [PMID: 17565895]
- 124 Johnson ES, Dalmas D, Noss J, Matanoski GM. Cancer mortality among workers in abattoirs and meatpacking plants: an update. *Am J Ind Med* 1995; 27: 389-403 [PMID: 7747745 DOI: 10.1002/ajim.4700270308]
- 125 Simpson CL, Garabrant DH, Fryzek J, Homa DM, Peters RK. Wood-dust exposures and cancer of the colon. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1998; 4: 179-183 [PMID: 10026479 DOI: 10.1179/oeh.1998.4.3.179]
- 126 Collins JJ, Page LC, Caporossi JC, Utidjian HM, Saipher JN. Mortality patterns among men exposed to methyl methacrylate. J Occup Med 1989; 31: 41-46 [PMID: 2738750]
- 127 Straif K, Weiland SK, Werner B, Chambless L, Mundt KA, Keil U. Workplace risk factors for cancer in the German rubber industry: Part 2. Mortality from non-respiratory cancers. Occup Environ Med 1998; 55: 325-332 [PMID: 9764110]

- 128 Baris D, Garrity TJ, Telles JL, Heineman EF, Olshan A, Zahm SH. Cohort mortality study of Philadelphia firefighters. *Am J Ind Med* 2001; **39**: 463-476 [PMID: 11333408 DOI: 10.1002/ ajim.1040]
- 129 Youakim S. Risk of cancer among firefighters: a quantitative review of selected malignancies. Arch Environ Occup Health 2006; 61: 223-231 [PMID: 17891891 DOI: 10.3200/ AEOH.61.5.223-231]
- 130 Settimi L, Comba P, Bosia S, Ciapini C, Desideri E, Fedi A, Perazzo PL, Axelson O. Cancer risk among male farmers: a multi-site case-control study. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2001; 14: 339-347 [PMID: 11885917]
- 131 Manuwald U, Velasco Garrido M, Berger J, Manz A, Baur X. Mortality study of chemical workers exposed to dioxins: follow-up 23 years after chemical plant closure. *Occup Environ Med* 2012; 69: 636-642 [PMID: 22767868 DOI: 10.1136/ oemed-2012-100682]
- 132 Langård S, Andersen A, Ravnestad J. Incidence of cancer among ferrochromium and ferrosilicon workers: an extended observation period. *Br J Ind Med* 1990; 47: 14-19 [PMID: 2310703 DOI: 10.1136/oem.47.1.14]
- 133 Malloy EJ, Miller KL, Eisen EA. Rectal cancer and exposure to metalworking fluids in the automobile manufacturing industry. Occup Environ Med 2007; 64: 244-249 [PMID: 16912088]

P-Reviewer: Rutegard J S- Editor: Gou SX L- Editor: A E- Editor: Liu XM







# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.