Review Paper

The Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment **Project: Theory and Methodology**

Katherine A O'Donnell, MSc (PhD Candidate)1; Hélène Gaudreau, PhD2; Sara Colalillo, BA (MA Candidate)3; Meir Steiner, MD, MSc, PhD, FRCPC4,5; Leslie Atkinson, PhD6; Ellen Moss, PhD⁷; Susan Goldberg, PhD⁸; Sherif Karama, MD, PhD, FRCPC⁹; Stephen G Matthews, PhD5; John E Lydon, PhD10; Patricia P Silveira, MD, PhD11; Ashley D Wazana, MSc, MD¹²; Robert D Levitan, MD, FRCPC, MSc^{5,13}; Marla B Sokolowski, PhD¹⁴; James L Kennedy, MD^{5,13}; Alison Fleming, PhD, FRSC^{14,15}; Michael J Meaney, CM, CQ, FRSC, PhD10,16; on behalf of the MAVAN Research Team

Correspondence: Douglas Hospital Research Centre, 6875 LaSalle Boulevard, Montreal, QC H4H 1R3; michael.meaney@mcqill.ca.

Key Words: child development, longitudinal, early adversity, maternal sensitivity, attachment, gene-environment interactions

Received October 2013 revised, and accepted February 2014.

Objective: To describe the theory and methodology of the multi-wave, prospective Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment (MAVAN) study. The goal of MAVAN is to examine the pre- and postnatal influences, and their interaction, in determining individual differences in mental health.

Method: MAVAN is a community-based, birth cohort study of pregnant Canadian mothers and their offspring. Dyads are assessed longitudinally, with multiple assessments of both mother and child in home and laboratory across the child's development. Study measures, including assessments of cognitive and emotional function, are described. The study uses a candidate gene approach to examine gene-environment interdependence in specific developmental outcomes. Finally, the study includes measures of both brain-based phenotypes and metabolism to explore comorbidities associated with child obesity. One of the unique features of the MAVAN protocol is the extensive measures of the mother-child interaction. The relation between these measures will be discussed.

Results: Evidence from the MAVAN project shows interesting results about maternal care, families, and child outcomes. In our review, preliminary analyses showing the correlations between measures of maternal care are reported. As predicted, early evidence suggests that maternal care measures are positively correlated, over time.

Conclusions: This review provides evidence for the feasibility and value of laboratorybased measures embedded within a longitudinal birth cohort study. Though retention of the samples has been a challenge of MAVAN, they are within a comparable range to other studies of this nature. Indeed, the trade-off of somewhat greater participant burden has allowed for a rich database. The results yielded from the MAVAN project will not only

¹Student, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia.

²Study Coordinator, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Quebec.

³Student, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia.

⁴Professor Emeritus, McMaster University; Founding Director, Women's Health Concerns Clinic, St Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario.

⁵Professor, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.

⁶Professor, Ryerson University, Toronto, Ontario.

⁷Professor, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, Quebec.

⁸Professor [formerly], University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.

⁹ Assistant Professor, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Quebec; Researcher, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec.

¹⁰Professor, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec.

¹¹ Professor, Departamento de Pediatria, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

¹² Assistant Professor, McGill University; Director, The Center for Child Development and Mental Health, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec.

¹³Professor, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario.

¹⁴Professor, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.

¹⁵ Professor, Fraser Mustard Institute for Human Development, University of Toronto, Mississauga, Ontario.

¹⁶ Associate Director, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Quebec; Adjunct Senior Investigator, Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences, Singapore, Republic of Singapore.

describe typical development but also possible targets for intervention. Understanding certain endophenotypes will shed light on the pathogenesis of various mental and physical disorders, as well as their interrelation.



Le projet sur l'adversité maternelle, la vulnérabilité et le neurodéveloppement : théorie et méthodologie

Objectif: Décrire la théorie et la méthodologie de l'étude prospective en plusieurs cycles Adversité maternelle, vulnérabilité et neuro-développement (MAVAN), dont le but est d'examiner les influences prénatales et postnatales, et leur interaction pour déterminer les différences individuelles de santé mentale.

Méthode : MAVAN est une étude en communauté de cohorte de naissance de mères canadiennes enceintes et de leurs enfants. Les dyades sont évaluées longitudinalement, avec de multiples évaluations de la mère et de l'enfant à la maison et en laboratoire durant le développement de l'enfant. Les mesures de l'étude, y compris les évaluations de la fonction cognitive et émotionnelle, sont décrites. L'étude utilise une approche de gène candidat pour examiner l'interdépendance gène-environnement dans des résultats spécifiques du développement. Enfin, l'étude comporte des mesures des phénotypes du cerveau et du métabolisme pour explorer les comorbidités associées à l'obésité des enfants. L'une des caractéristiques du protocole de MAVAN consiste dans les mesures répétées de l'interaction mère-enfant. La relation entre ces mesures sera discutée.

Résultats: Les données probantes du projet MAVAN indiquent des résultats intéressants sur les soins maternels, les familles, et les résultats chez les enfants. Dans notre revue, les analyses préliminaires révélant les corrélations entre les mesures des soins maternels sont décrites. Comme prévu, les premières données probantes suggèrent que les mesures des soins maternels sont positivement corrélées, avec le temps.

Conclusions : Cette revue offre des preuves de la faisabilité et de la valeur des mesures en laboratoire intégrées dans une étude de cohorte de naissance longitudinale. Bien que la conservation des échantillons ait été une difficulté pour MAVAN, ils sont dans un intervalle comparable à d'autres études de cette nature. En fait, le choix d'une charge plus grande pour les participants a donné une base de données riche. Les résultats issus du projet MAVAN décriront le développement typique mais aussi des cibles d'intervention possibles. Comprendre certains endophénotypes éclairera la pathogenèse de divers troubles mentaux et physiques, et leur interrelation.

Individual differences in child development are associated ▲ with diverse, interrelated proximal influences that include genomic variation, materno-fetal interactions, and familial context. Distal forces, such as socioeconomic context, shape this developmental matrix.¹⁻³ These influences operate interdependently over time. Thus the influence of genomic variation on any developmental outcome is a function of both context and developmental stage. The interdependence of gene and environment⁴⁻⁶ reflects the biological reality of genomic structure and function; transcription is an environmentally regulated event. Likewise, environmental influences operate through neural processes to influence psychological function, and the activity of relevant brain

Abbreviations

EAS Etch A Sketch IQ intelligence quotient

MAVAN Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment

PFC prefrontal cortex mechanisms is influenced by genomic function that reflects both heritable sequence-based variation and epigenetic modifications.

The challenge is to identify the relevant gene–environment interactions regarding specific developmental outcomes. Emotional and cognitive function emerge as the result of activity within a hierarchically organized brain, reflecting top-down and bottom-up processes, that occur as a function of activity in cortical, limbic, and midbrain systems, as well as signals from peripheral systems, such as endocrine, immune, and gastrointestinal tissues. This is a moving target. For example, emotional function at 8 years of age will reflect a greater influence of the PFC than at 2 years. Genomic variants that are largely expressed in the PFC (for example, the *COMT* gene that encodes for catechol-*O*methyltransferase) may have a greater impact on emotional function at 8, compared with 2, years of age, as variation in emotional function comes under increasingly greater influence of the PFC. Thus we expect a dynamic relation between a specific gene-environment interaction and a

specific developmental outcome that can be examined only within a longitudinal study.

There is also evidence for the interdependency between environmental influences over time.8 Thus the relation between the quality of parenting and child development is substantially greater for children with a history of adversity, than for those who experience normal development. Any phenotypic outcome is a function of a cascade of influences operating over time and with the potential to influence sensitivity to subsequent conditions. Variation occurs as a function of phenotype by environment interactions, where phenotype at any point in time is defined by geneenvironment interactions during the developmental history of the person.

Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment Project

The MAVAN project was established in 2003 and designed to examine the consequences of fetal adversity as a function of the quality of the postnatal environment, focusing on mother-infant interactions (the focus exclusively on the mother rather than on both parents reflects funding constraints). The MAVAN study is a prospective, cohort study of mother-child dyads followed from mid-pregnancy.

The design of the MAVAN project reflects a series of critical decisions. First, MAVAN protocols reflect a commitment to laboratory-based testing based on the consideration of statistical power as a function not only of sample size but also of measurement error.9 Our assumption was that direct measures of the child would entail less measurement error than indirect measures, such as parental reports. We employed standard laboratory-based tests (for example, measures of attachment and computer-based cognitive tests) across the entire sample. Second, MAVAN emphasizes the study of comorbid conditions, which demands testing across multiple domains at the same developmental time points. This approach increases subject burden, but MAVAN is not a representative sample. Rather, it is an attempt to develop

Clinical Implications

- The findings of the MAVAN study may lead to the further identification, characterization, and validation of highrisk phenotypes.
- The longitudinal design may shed light on the etiological pathways of certain mental health problems, thus identifying areas to target for prevention and interventions

Limitations

- Extensive phenotyping and resulting participant burden is associated with a smaller sample size, as well as difficulties with sample retention for the MAVAN project.
- Additionally, the MAVAN sample is largely based on a Caucasian sample, from the provinces of Ontario and Quebec. Thus the generalizability of these results to other samples should be approached with caution.

databases from the analysis of genotype and precise measures of phenotype to provide unique opportunities for testing specific hypotheses, especially those involving gene-environment interdependency. Therefore, we are less concerned with the issue of subject attrition than would be true for an epidemiologic cohort study. The third consideration was based on our focus on developmental trajectories, and thus we interact with mothers and children extensively during the first 24 months of life, and annually thereafter.

Theory

Prenatal

The organism begins a dynamic, interactive relationship with the environment at conception. Indeed, development influences include those acting on the mother and grandparents through transgenerational effects that include the germline as well as the maternal phenotype. A life history perspective posits that the context of fetal development informs the developing organism about the nature of the postnatal environment.¹⁰ Critical environmental signals, including maternal nutrition and stress, both of which impair fetal growth, are thought to produce anticipatory responses that may prove adaptive, assuming the environmental conditions of postnatal life resemble those prevailing during fetal development. Exposure to poor maternal nutrition may signal the fetus about potential food scarcity, prompting a developmental strategy that favours insulin resistance, which then dampens satiety signals, permitting the increased consumption of available foods, as well as the increased capacity to retain and store fats. This physiological profile may be adaptive if nutrient supplies remain low. However, this same metabolic imprint set within conditions of nutritional abundance enhances the risk for obesity and associated states of metabolic dysregulation. This pathway is thought to mediate the wellestablished relation between birth weight and the risk for adult type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.¹¹

Fetal programming is also apparent in mental health outcomes. Birth weight, corrected for gestational age, predicts the risk for attentional-deficit hyperactivity disorder as well as internalizing and externalizing problems^{12–14} and associated endophenotypes. Children at 2 years of age who experienced intrauterine growth restriction are impaired in divided, focused, and sustained attention and are more impulsive.¹⁴ Nonhuman animal research report effects of both maternal nutrition and stress during pregnancy on endophenotypes, such as stress reactivity, that predict the risk for psychopathology in humans. 15,16 Birth weight in humans is inversely correlated with negative emotionality¹⁷ and adrenocortical responses to acute stress. 18,19 Thus the uterine environment that defines fetal development associates with important influences on mental health.

Postnatal Environment

There is compelling evidence for the importance of parenting for child development and health. First, parental style relates to emotional and cognitive development.^{3,8,20} Second, parenting predicts vulnerability or resilience for psychopathology. Child abuse greatly increases the risk for mental illness^{21–26}; children need not be overtly abused for development to be compromised. Persistent emotional neglect, family conflict, and conditions of harsh, inconsistent discipline all serve to constrain growth²⁷ and intellectual development, increase the risk for adult obesity,^{24,28} depression, and anxiety disorders.²⁹ More subtle relationships exist. Cold, distant parent—child relationships are associated with a significantly increased risk of chronic illness (for examples, see Parker et al,³⁰ Mäntymaa et al,³¹ Russak and Schwartz,³² Canetti et al,³³ and Parker³⁴).

Third, parenting is a critical mediator for the effects of socioeconomic conditions on child development. Poverty undermines parental emotional well-being and thus promotes family dysfunction and forms of parenting that endanger the health and development of the offspring. 1,3,35,36 Indeed, the effects of poverty on child development, especially those related to behavioural problems, are directly mediated by parenting.^{37–39} Fourth, programs that target parenting practices improve behavioural and cognitive outcomes. 40-42 Such effects are observed in randomized clinical trials and persist over time. Family life is also a source of resilience.⁴³ Warm, nurturing families promote resistance to stress and diminish vulnerability to stress-induced illness.44 Finally, individual differences in parenting appear to be transmitted across generations, 45-47 and thus contribute to estimates of the heritability of multiple complex traits.

Differential Effects of Parenting

The impact of the postnatal environment, including that of parenting, on any specific developmental outcome varies across people and is, in part, determined by the quality of fetal life. 8,42,48-50 In rodents, the effects of postnatal handling. a form of infantile environmental stimulation, are greater in the offspring of stressed mothers.⁵¹ In rhesus monkeys,⁵² anxious newborn infants cross-fostered onto highly nurturing mothers show dramatic decreases in timidity and behavioural inhibition. Less anxious infants are unaffected. This same point emerges from studies of environmental enrichment. In rodents, postweaning enrichment of the offspring of mothers who show a consistently reduced frequency of pup licking (an important maternal care behaviour) produces an increase in hippocampal synaptogenesis and cognitive performance, with little or no effect on the offspring of high licking mothers.⁵³

Similarly, in humans, parental style accounted for only 4% of the variance in behavioural inhibition among children initially evaluated as low on negative emotionality, but for almost 30% of the variance in behavioural inhibition among those high in negative emotionality.⁵⁴ Likewise, among children with a negative temperament in infancy, there are significant effects of parental care or daycare on emotional

problems, while no such effects emerge among children exhibiting a positive temperament.⁵⁵ The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (commonly referred to as the NICHD) Early Child Care Research Network⁵⁶ revealed a significant relationship between parental sensitivity and emotional and (or) behavioural disorders in childhood, but only among children with a negative infant temperament. Moreover, hostile and (or) harsh maternal care predicts behavioural problems in children, but again, only in children scoring high on irritability distress in infancy.⁵⁷ More phlegmatic infants are less affected by parental style.

These findings suggest that high-risk infants are more susceptible to the influence of postnatal family life than are less vulnerable children. Hence the proverbial 0.3 correlation that so routinely emerges between parenting styles and developmental outcomes in children may likely be the result of a null association in the nonsusceptible child, with stronger associations in more susceptible (that is, highly vulnerable) children.⁸ These findings dovetail with the notion of variation in biological sensitivity to context,⁵⁸ and suggest that prenatal conditions may contribute to differential susceptibility (also see Belsky and Pluess⁵⁹). Thus while the exact origins of such variations in susceptibility are unknown, the quality of fetal development may define variations in plasticity to postnatal environmental conditions.

The moderate correlations between maternal care and infant attachment⁶⁰ contrast with clinical data. van den Boom⁶¹ randomly assigned low socioeconomic status mothers with highly irritable infants to an experimental group that received an intervention structured to promote maternal sensitivity or to control conditions. The intervention significantly increased maternal sensitivity and secure infant attachment. Among control subjects, 22% of the infants showed secure attachment, compared with 66% of those in the treatment group. These findings suggest impressive treatment effects, with interventions focused on more vulnerable populations. Finally, among low birth weight babies from economically disadvantaged homes, an enriched form of education daycare, which included home visiting and parental support, significantly reduced the risk for emotional and (or) behavioural disorders, but only among children who exhibited highly negative emotionality in infancy; no treatment effect was detected among children with normal temperament.⁶² The same pattern is apparent with cognitive outcomes. Infants of difficult temperament enrolled in the enrichment program were 5 times less likely to exhibit cognitive impairments (IQ <75) than those in the control group; there were no treatment effects on cognitive development among children with a normal or positive infant temperament. Children with a history of negative mood and irritability in infancy were most affected by parental care. Evaluative research conducted with the Abecedarian Project shows that early (years 1 to 4) enrichment interventions have profound effects, in the order of 1.0 to 1.5 standard deviations on IQ tests, in

Table 1 Preliminary profile of MAVAN sample retention											
		Time point, months									
Variable	3ª	6	12	18	24	36	48	60	72		
Possible data available	402	551	548	540	528	504	460	427	309		
Actual data collected	402	551	512	464	448	390	329	260	161		
Any laboratory data	n/a	338	n/a	343	n/a	287	251	233	122		

This table represents a preliminary overview and approximation of the MAVAN retention data. Data available include whether the dyad had any data at the given time point. Whether all or part of laboratory data were collected is included in Any laboratory data from the laboratory assessments at that time point. This table is a work-in-progress as data collection and entry are ongoing.

MAVAN = Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment; n/a = no laboratory administered at this time point

children from seriously disadvantaged homes.63 There was little effect on children from more advantaged, bettereducated families. These findings suggest that the effective targeting of prevention programs will require a more effective definition of the determinants of vulnerability at the level of the individual child. An obvious challenge is to identify phenotypic markers in early life that better predict intervention outcomes. To meet this challenge, the MAVAN project emphasizes the importance of gene-environment interactions over time to define better predictors of vulnerability.

Genotype

Individual differences in complex traits are heritable and reflect the influence of genomic variation. There is considerable research examining the role of candidate genes on the expression of endophenotypes associated with psychopathology. Although the results of genomewide association studies are often controversial, there is emerging evidence for gene-environment interdependence, especially for genes that encode for proteins implicated in serotonergic and dopaminergic signalling. We focus on studies of a priori hypotheses considered within a relevant developmental context that includes genomic sequence variation. We adopted a candidate gene approach, focusing on selected polymorphisms previously associated with either target endophenotypes or disorders. In general, the genomic polymorphisms included in the MAVAN project, to date, focus on classic neurotransmitter systems associated with emotional and (or) cognitive function as well as for those regulating stress responses.

The Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and **Neurodevelopment Project**

The MAVAN project addresses the hypothesis that functional outcomes associated with vulnerability, defined by gene-environment interactions, are determined by the quality of subsequent environmental conditions. MAVAN

is a multidisciplinary, collaborative study that includes several Canadian laboratories.

Sample

The MAVAN sample was drawn from Montreal, Quebec, and Hamilton, Ontario. The sample was enriched for 2 sources of developmental adversity: fetal growth, examining birth weight corrected for gestational age, and maternal emotional distress. Our emphasis is on the influence of fetal growth across the entire population, and thus the birth weights of all MAVAN children fell within the normal range, using Canadian norms.⁶⁴ In Montreal, there was an attempt to recruit families with children born with lower birth weights. The Hamilton sample was also recruited from the general population, with a subsample of high-risk women recruited from a mental health clinic (undergoing treatment for depression or anxiety).

Therefore, pregnant women are recruited (usually at 13 to 20 weeks' gestation) from obstetric clinics in hospitals. Women were included in the study if they were 18 years of age and older, and fluent in either English or French. Exclusion criteria include serious obstetric complications during the pregnancy or delivery of the child, extremely low birth weight, prematurity (≤37 weeks' gestation), or any congenital diseases. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Douglas Mental Health University Institute (Montreal) and St-Joseph's Hospital (Hamilton).

The study is ongoing. However, we are beginning to get an idea of the sample's retention rate, outlined in Table 1. In light of several time points having multiple assessments, some dyads may be missing information. Additionally, it is possible that some families have skipped assessment. These data should be considered preliminary. Based on the calculations from other longitudinal studies, we consider official participants after the child's birth. The sample is predominantly Caucasian.

^a No 3-month data were collected for the first cohort.

	Time point administered, months										
Measure, study	PN	3	6	12	18	24	36	48	60	72	
Arizona Social Support Interview Schedule ⁶⁷	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
Beck Depression Inventory ⁶⁸								PN	PN	PI	
Breastfeeding Questions	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN					
Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale ⁶⁹	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery ⁷⁰								Н	Н	Н	
Childbearing Attitudes Questionnaire ⁷¹	PN	PN	PN								
Childhood Trauma Questionnaire ⁷²	Н					PN					
Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire ⁷³									PN		
Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale ⁷⁴	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN				
Family History–Research Diagnostic Criteria Data Sheet ⁷⁵	Н										
Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale ⁷⁶	Н	Н									
Health behaviours	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
Home observation for measurement of the environment ⁷⁷			PN		PN			PN			
Implicit Association ⁷⁸ and Lexical Decision Task									PN		
The Job Content Instrument ⁷⁹	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	Р	
Life Orientation Test ⁸⁰	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
Marital strain ⁸¹	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview82	Н										
Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale ⁸³	Н	Н									
Parental Authority Questionnaire84								PN			
Parental Bonding Inventory85		PN	PN								
Parental Health Beliefs Scale86	PN										
Parenting Stress Index87	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
The Prenatal Life Events Scale88	PN										
Perceived Stress Scale ⁸⁹	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	PI	
Quality of Marriage Index90	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	Р	
Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale ⁹¹	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	Р	
Seasonal Pattern Assessment ⁹²									PN		
Socioeconomic status information	PN		PN	PN		PN	PN	PN	PN	Р	
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory93	Н	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN			PN		

Procedure and Measures

Mothers were interviewed between 24 and 36 weeks of pregnancy. Dyads were assessed at 3, 6, 12, and 18 months, and yearly from age 24 months onwards. We assessed maternal health and well-being annually using a questionnaire composed of validated short versions of multiple measures (Table 1), as well as standardized measures of mental health focusing on mood. Children were assessed with age-appropriate measures. Children at 6, 12, and 18 months were administered the Bayley Scales of Infant Development for motor, socioemotional and cognitive development.65 There is an emphasis on school readiness using a validated test battery⁶⁶ at 48 months as well as a series of psychopathology screening tools (Table 2). MAVAN examines developmental trajectories in endophenotypes for psychopathology to associate differences in laboratory- or parent-based measures with those that more directly predict mental health outcomes. Thus assessment at 72 months includes validated screening tools for child mental health.

We worked with Brad Sheese to develop computer-based tests of cognitive function in children at 18 and 36 months of age, focusing on attention, habituation, and visual expectation (that is, the ability to anticipate the location of a target in a fixed sequence of presentations).94 The performance of the children was registered using eye-gaze coding, and the tests emphasize early features of executive functions.95 An obvious objective of any longitudinal study is that of establishing developmental trajectories within specific functional domains. The challenge is that of selecting tests that permit sufficient variation to meaningfully compare performance

	Time point administered, months										
Measure, study	3	6	12	18	24	36	48	60	72		
APGAR scores	S										
Attachment Security				PN^{98}		PN			PN ⁹		
Bayley Scales of Infant Development II ⁶⁶		PN	PN	PN		PN					
Behavioral Evaluation Strategies and Taxonomies ¹⁰⁰	PN	PN									
Body Composition						PN	PN	PN	PN		
Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery ⁷⁰							PN	PN	PN		
Children's Attributional Style Interview ¹⁰¹								PN			
Child Behaviour Checklist ¹⁰²							PN	PN			
Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire 103							PN				
Child's Health Questions	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN		
Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire104							PN	PN	PN		
Conners' Rating Scales—Revised ¹⁰⁵								PN	PN		
Conners' Kiddie Continuous Performance Test ¹⁰⁶							PN	PN			
Dominic/que ¹⁰⁷									PN		
Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire ¹⁰⁸				PN		PN					
Infant Behaviour Questionnaire ¹⁰⁹	PN	PN									
Infant-Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment ¹¹⁰				PN	PN						
Koala Fear Questionnaire ¹¹¹								PN			
Lollipop Test ¹¹²							PN	PN			
NEPSY ¹¹³							PN				
Number Knowledge ¹¹⁴							PN	PN			
Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test ¹¹⁵											
Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire ¹¹⁶								PN	PN		
Preschool Age Psychiatric Assessment ¹¹⁷									PN		
Questions About Sleeping Habits ¹¹⁸	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN						
Response to Challenge Puzzles ¹¹⁹								PN			
Random Object Span Task ¹²⁰							PN	PN	PN		
Separation Questionnaire	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN	PN		
Sensitivity to Punishment & Sensitivity to Reward ¹²¹								PN			
Snack Delay ¹²²						PN					
Snack Test ¹²³							PN				
Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire ¹²⁴								PN	PN		
Theory of Mind ¹²⁵								PN			
Visual Expectation Task ⁹⁴		PN		PN		PN					
Visual Cued Recall ¹²⁶					PN	PN	PN	PN			
Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence—Revised ¹²⁷							PN				

PN = prenatal assessment was administered at that time point; Apgar = Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration; MAVAN = Maternal Adversity, Vulnerability and Neurodevelopment; NEPSY = A Developmental NEuroPSYchological Assessment; S = telephone screening at 3 weeks' postpartum

across multiple ages. A test for 6-year-olds may be too difficult for 5-year-olds and too simple for 8-year-olds, and thus preclude the analysis of developmental changes in function. We selected the Cambridge Neuropsychological Testing Automated Battery (commonly referred to as CANTAB), which includes a series of tests derived from clinical neuropsychology, focusing largely on executive functions, and with a range that extends from normal adult to severely

impaired patients. Executive functions are critical intermediate phenotypes associated with academic performance, 96 and are better predictors of such than IQ. 97

Measures of Mother-Child Interactions

MAVAN examines mother—child interactions as a potential mediator or moderator of the influence of specific environmental and genomic factors using various approaches

Table 4 Correlations between s	subscales o	f the Ains	sworth S	cales at	age 6 an	d 18 mor	nths				
Measure, month assessed	Month, correlation (n)										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
1) Sensitivity, 6	_	0.95 ^a (363)	0.94ª (363)	0.95ª (363)	0.26 ^a (204)	0.27 ^a (204)	0.23 ^a (204)	0.27 ^a (204)			
2) Cooperation, 6		_	0.93ª (363)	0.93ª (363)	0.26 ^a (204)	0.29 ^a (204)	0.22 ^a (204)	0.29 ^a (204)			
3) Availability, 6			_	0.94ª (363)	0.28 ^a (204)	0.30 ^a (204)	0.25 ^a (204)	0.29 ^a (204)			
4) Acceptance, 6				_	0.31 ^a (204)	0.32 ^a (204)	0.27 ^a (204)	0.33 ^a (204)			
5) Sensitivity, 18					_	0.91 ^a (248)	0.93 ^a (248)	0.92 ^b (248)			
6) Cooperation, 18						_	0.87 ^a (248)	0.92ª (248)			
7) Availability, 18							_	0.88 ^a (248)			
8) Acceptance, 18											
All correlations are based on the Pea	rson product-	moment co	rrelation	·							
^a P < 0.01; ^b P < 0.05											

(Table 3). These include quantitative analyses of infant-directed behaviour, as well as measures of inferred maternal qualities, including sensitivity and attunement, using well-validated coding procedures of mother—infant interactions in the home environment as well as structured situations at the laboratory. These measures are acquired at various periods during development. A question of considerable interest is that of interrelation of such measures.

The measures included for comparison are a small selection of behaviours coded using the Behavioral Evaluation Strategies and Taxonomies (commonly referred to as BEST)¹⁰⁰ coding system (Educational Consulting, Inc, Hobe Sound, FL) at 6 months, postpartum. For the purpose of our paper, we focused on behaviours related to maternal sensitivity, that is, the duration the mother spends looking away from the infant (related to inattention) and maternal vocalization toward the child (related to prosocial speech). Also included are Ainsworth Maternal Sensitivity Scales¹²⁸ coded from home-videotaped mother-child interaction at 6 and 18 months, concurrently). The Ainsworth scales consist of 4 scales: Acceptance, Availability, Cooperation, and Sensitivity (for operational definitions see Ainsworth¹²⁸). As is typical, these scales were highly correlated at each time point (Table 4), with correlations of more than 0.91 at each time point, thus we used the mean scores in our analyses to represent Maternal Sensitivity. At age 18 and 36 months, we assessed children's attachment security. The present analyses include a measure of attachment at 36 months assessed using the modified Strange Situation paradigm designed for preschool-aged children. The task starts with a 5-minute habituation stage (dyad together), followed by four 5-minute separation and reunion episodes between the child and their mother. Lastly in this matrix is a measure

of mother-child interaction at age 48 months based on a laboratory task where the dyad is instructed to produce the image of a house together using an EAS toy. The mother and child each manipulated one of the EAS knobs. A coding system developed by Susan Pawlby and Gesine Schmücker, to measure child, maternal, and dyadic variables, scores included maternal attunement, engagement, and control. We hypothesized that measures of maternal sensitivity would be positive correlated.

Preliminary results (Table 5) indicate that maternal sensitivity significantly correlate with measures made 1.0 and 3.5 years later. The Ainsworth score at 18 months also modestly correlated with the 4-year assessment. This is consistent with research showing the stability of maternal care behaviours over time. 129 However, we extend the literature from previous findings, by showing the stability of maternal sensitivity, during a longer period of time and across types of tasks (for example, free-play and structured laboratory-based tasks). Note that we did not replicate the correlation between maternal sensitivity and child attachment security.60 This is consistent with meta-analytic evidence that temporally distal assessments of maternal sensitivity and child attachment are a statistically sufficient condition for low effect size linking the 2 constructs. 130 The finding has been interpreted as evidence of low stability in the child's cognitive and (or) emotional models of their world, and an explanation of why well-timed interventions may have dramatic impact on parent-child relations. 130

The strength of the maternal care analyses are the multiple types of assessment, and independent raters, blinded to child and maternal characteristics. However, these correlations were not exceptionally strong, suggesting that multiple

	Month, correlation (n)									
Measure, month assessed	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
1) BEST: Look Away, 6	_	-0.08 (335)	0.14 ^a (304)	-0.23 ^b (212)	-0.07 (124)	-0.07 (124)	-0.00 (125)	-0.03 (256)		
2) BEST: Vocalization, 6		_	0.03 (304)	-0.13 (212)	0.09 (109)	-0.05 (124)	0.03 (125)	0.04 (125)		
3) Ainsworth Maternal Sensitivity, 6			`-	0.29 ^b (204)	0.01 (213)	0.36 ^b (115)	0.17 (116)	0.26 ^b (116)		
4) Ainsworth Maternal Sensitivity, 18				_	0.07 (162)	0.34 ^b (121)	-0.11 (122)	0.35 ^b (122)		
5) Attachment, 36					-	0.02 (156)	0.12 (157)	0 (157)		
6) EAS Attunement, 48						`-	-0.12 (149)	0.79 ^b (149)		
7) EAS Control, 48							_	-0.28 ^b (150)		
8) EAS Engagement, 48								_		
All correlations are based on the Pearsor which are Spearman	n product-	moment co	rrelation, e	except the	correlatior	ns with atta	achment se	ecurity,		
^a P < 0.05; ^b P < 0.01										
BEST = Behavioral Evaluation Strategies	and Taxo	nomies; EA	S = Etch	A Sketch						

factors influence the stability of maternal care. It will be important to examine what factors influence the stability or change in maternal care and how these changes influence child outcomes within the full sample. Moreover, in the MAVAN sample, we have some sibling data, with which we may eventually compare within-family changes as well.

Conclusion

In sum, the MAVAN project has accumulated considerable data on children 3 months to 6 years of age, using a mixture of traditional rating scales and laboratory-based measures, targeting phenotypes associated with the risk for psychopathology. The present research is drawn from a hypothesis-driven, prospective longitudinal study. The strengths of the MAVAN project are its annual use of detailed laboratory-based measures and the ability to relate such findings to measures of the risk for psychopathology. The sample size of the MAVAN project is growing and will allow the replication of current studies with larger samples. Moreover, the MAVAN study has also come to include the siblings of our participants, providing unique opportunities for mother—child studies within the same family.

MAVAN is also expanding in relation to the current state of knowledge and technology. We have recently completed a genome-wide methylation examining the epigenetic state of about 500K CpG (cytosine and guanine separated by only 1 phosphate) dinucleotides using epithelial cells of buccal origin. There are clearly limitations associated with such so-called proxy measures, but embedding such data within the rich environmental and phenotypic information available within MAVAN and genotyping provides an ideal

platform for the integration of epigenetics. This approach certainly complements the overriding Gene × Environment theme. As with other measures of phenotype, there are unique opportunities to use longitudinal strategies, allowing researchers to examine changes in epigenetic marks in relation to selected forms of experience and in concert with phenotypic variation. Taken together, these data allow for a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the different types of maternal experiences and effects on offspring phenotypes.

Acknowledgements

The MAVAN project was funded by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) trajectory grant (191827) to principal investigators Dr Meaney and Dr Matthews, as well as several grants from the CIHR (to Dr Levitan, Dr Meaney, Dr Wazana, Dr Kennedy, Dr Silveira, and Dr Fleming). Private support was received from the Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, the Norlien Foundation (Calgary, Alberta), and the Woco Foundation (London, Ontario).

The MAVAN Research Team sincerely thanks the families that have participated in the MAVAN project for so generously giving their time as well as their ongoing support. Without all of you, none of this would be possible.

References

 Conger RD, Ge X, Elder GH Jr, et al. Economic stress, coercive family process, and developmental problems of adolescents. Child Dev. 1994;65(2 Spec No):541–561.

- McLoyd VC. The impact of economic hardship on black families and children: psychological distress, parenting, and socio-emotional development. Child Dev. 1990;61(2):311–346.
- Repetti RL, Taylor SE, Seeman TE. Risky families: social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. Psychol Bull. 2002;128(2):330–366.
- Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Gene–environment interactions in psychiatry: joining forces with neuroscience. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2006;7(7):583–590.
- Sokolowski M, Wahlsten D. Gene-environment interaction. In: Chin H, Moldin SO, editors. Methods in genomic neuroscience. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2001. p 3–23.
- Rutter M. Gene–environment interdependence. Dev Sci. 2007;10(1):12–18.
- 7. Meaney MJ. Epigenetics and the biological definition of gene × environment interactions. Child Dev. 2010;81(1):41–79.
- 8. Belsky J. Variation in susceptibility to environmental influence: an evolutionary argument. Psychol Inq. 1997;8(3):182–186.
- 9. Wong MY, Day NE, Luan JA, et al. The detection of gene–environment interaction for continuous traits: should we deal with measurement error by bigger studies or better measurement? Int J Epidemiol. 2003;32(1):51–57.
- 10. Horton TH. Fetal origins of developmental plasticity: animal models of induced life history variation. Am J Hum Biol. 2005;17(1):34–43.
- Barker DJ. Fetal programming of coronary heart disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2002;13(9):364–368.
- Costello EJ, Worthman C, Erkanli A, et al. Prediction from low birth weight to female adolescent depression: a test of competing hypotheses. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007;64(3):338–344.
- 13. Hack M, Youngstrom EA, Cartar L, et al. Behavioral outcomes and evidence of psychopathology among very low birth weight infants at age 20 years. Pediatrics. 2004;114 (4):932–940.
- 14. van der Reijden-Lakeman IEA, de Sonneville LMJ, Swaab-Barneveld HJT, et al. Evaluation of attention before and after 2 years of growth hormone treatment in intrauterine growth retarded children. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 1997;19(1):101–118.
- D'Asti E, Long H, Tremblay-Mercier J, et al. Maternal dietary fat determines metabolic profile and the magnitude of endocannabinoid inhibition of the stress response in neonatal rat offspring. Endocrinology. 2010;151(4):1685–1694.
- Sullivan EL, Grayson B, Takahashi D, et al. Chronic consumption of a high-fat diet during pregnancy causes perturbations in the serotonergic system and increased anxiety-like behavior in nonhuman primate offspring. J Neurosci. 2010;30(10):3826–3830.
- Pesonen AK, Räikkönen K, Kajantie E, et al. Fetal programming of temperamental negative affectivity among children born healthy at term. Dev Psychobiol. 2006;48(8):633–643.
- Jones A, Godfrey KM, Wood P, et al. Fetal growth and the adrenocortical response to psychological stress. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91(5):1868–1871.
- Schlotz W, Phillips DI. Fetal origins of mental health: evidence and mechanisms. Brain Behav Immun. 2009;23(7):905–916.
- Landry SH, Smith KE, Swank PR. Responsive parenting: establishing early foundations for social, communication, and independent problem-solving skills. Dev Psychol. 2006;42(4):627–642.
- Andersen CB, Yagi H, Manno M, et al. Branching in amyloid fibril growth. Biophys J. 2009;96(4):1529–1536.
- Bifulco A, Brown GW, Adler Z. Early sexual abuse and clinical depression in adult life. Br J Psychiatry. 1991;159:115–122.
- Brown GR, Anderson B. Psychiatric morbidity in adult inpatients with childhood histories of sexual and physical abuse. Am J Psychiatry. 1991;148(1):55–61.
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med. 1998;14(4):245–258.
- 25. Heim C, Newport DJ, Wagner D, et al. The role of early adverse experience and adulthood stress in the prediction of neuroendocrine stress reactivity in women: a multiple regression analysis. Depress Anxiety. 2002;15(3):117–125.

- McCauley J, Kern DE, Kolodner K, et al. Clinical characteristics of women with a history of childhood abuse: unhealed wounds. JAMA. 1997;277(17):1362–1368.
- 27. Montgomery SM, Bartley MJ, Wilkinson RG. Family conflict and slow growth. Arch Dis Child. 1997;77(4):326–330.
- Lissau I, Sorensen TI. Parental neglect during childhood and increased risk of obesity in young adulthood. Lancet. 1994;343(8893):324–327.
- Holmes SJ, Robins LN. The influence of childhood disciplinary experience on the development of alcoholism and depression.
 J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1987;28(3):399–415.
- Parker G, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Greenwald S, et al. Low parental care as a risk factor to lifetime depression in a community sample. J Affect Disord. 1995;33(3):173–180.
- Mäntymaa M, Puura K, Luoma I, et al. Infant–mother interaction as a predictor of child's chronic health problems. Child Care Health Dev. 2003;29(3):181–191.
- 32. Russak LG, Schwartz GE. Feelings of parental care predict health status in midlife: a 35-year follow-up of the Harvard Mastery of Stress Study. J Behav Med. 1997;20(1):1–11.
- Canetti L, Bachar E, Galili-Weisstub E, et al. Parental bonding and mental health in adolescence. Adolescence. 1997;32(126):381–394.
- 34. Parker G. Parental representations of patients with anxiety neurosis. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1981;63(1):33–36.
- McLoyd VC. The impact of economic hardship on black families and children: psychological distress, parenting, and socioemotional development. Child Dev. 1990;61(2):311–346.
- Yeung WJ, Linver MR, Brooks-Gunn J. How money matters for young children's development: parental investment and family processes. Child Dev. 2002;73(6):1861–1879.
- Hackman DA, Farah MJ, Meaney MJ. Socioeconomic status and the brain: mechanistic insights from human and animal research. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2010;11(9):651–659.
- Linver MR, Brooks-Gunn J, Kohen DE. Family processes as pathways from income to young children's development. Dev Psychol. 2002;38(5):719–734.
- Sarsour K, Sheridan M, Jutte D, et al. Family socioeconomic status and child executive functions: the roles of language, home environment, and single parenthood. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2011;17(1):120–132.
- Olds D, Henderson CR Jr, Cole R, et al. Long-term effects of nurse home visitation on children's criminal and antisocial behavior: 15-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 1998;280(14):1238–1244.
- 41. Olds DL, Kitzman H, Cole R, et al. Effects of nurse home-visiting on maternal life course and child development: age 6 follow-up results of a randomized trial. Pediatrics. 2004;114(6):1550–1559.
- van Zeijl J, Mesman J, van IJzendoorn MH, et al. Attachmentbased intervention for enhancing sensitive discipline in mothers of 1- to 3-year-old children at risk for externalizing behavior problems: a randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2006;74(6):994–1005.
- Rutter M. Protective factors in children's responses to stress and disadvantage. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 1979;8(3):324–338.
- 44. Smith J, Prior M. Temperament and stress resilience in schoolage children: a within-families study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1995;34(2):168–179.
- Fleming AS, O'Day DH, Kraemer GW. Neurobiology of mother—infant interactions: experience and central nervous system plasticity across development and generations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1999;23(5):673–685.
- Francis D, Diorio J, Liu D, et al. Nongenomic transmission across generations of maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. Science. 1999;286(5442):1155–1158.
- Meaney MJ. Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of individual differences in stress reactivity across generations. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2001;24(1):1161–1192.
- 48. Beauchaine TP, Webster-Stratton C, Reid MJ. Mediators, moderators, and predictors of 1-year outcomes among children

- treated for early-onset conduct problems: a latent growth curve analysis. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2005;73(3):371–388.
- Belsky J. Theory testing, effect-size evaluation, and differential susceptibility to rearing influence: the case of mothering and attachment. Child Dev. 1997;64(4):598–560.
- Velderman MK, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Juffer F, et al. Effects of attachment-based interventions on maternal sensitivity and infant attachment: differential susceptibility of highly reactive infants.
 J Fam Psychol. 2006;20(2):266–274.
- Smythe JW, McCormick CM, Meaney MJ. Median eminence corticotropin-releasing hormone content following prenatal stress and neonatal handling. Brain Res Bull. 1996;40(3):195–199.
- 52. Suomi SJ. Early determinants of behaviour: evidence from primate studies. Br Med Bull. 1997;53(1):170–184.
- Bredy TW, Humpartzoomian RA, Cain DP, et al. Partial reversal of the effect of maternal care on cognitive function through environmental enrichment. Neuroscience. 2003;118(2):571–576.
- 54. Belsky J, Hsieh K-H, Crnic K. Mothering, fathering, and infant negativity as antecedents of boys' externalizing problems and inhibition at age 3 years: differential susceptibility to rearing experience? Dev Psychopathol. 1998;10(2):301–319.
- Deater-Deckard K, Dodge KA. Spare the rod, spoil the authors: emerging themes in research on parenting and child development. Psychol Inq. 1997;8(3):230–235.
- 56. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. Early childcare and self-control, compliance, and problem behavior at twenty-four and thirty-six months. The NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Child Dev. 1998;69:1145–1170.
- Morris AS, Silk JS, Steinberg L, et al. Temperamental vulnerability and negative parenting as interacting predictors of child adjustment. J Marriage Fam. 2002;64:461–471.
- Boyce WT, Ellis BJ. Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary–developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. Dev Psychopathol. 2005;17(2):271–301.
- Belsky J, Pluess M. Beyond diathesis stress: differential susceptibility to environmental influences. Psychol Bull. 2009;135(6):885–908.
- De Wolff MS, van IJzendoorn MH. Sensitivity and attachment: a meta-analysis on parental antecedents of infant attachment. Child Dev. 1997;68(4):571–591.
- 61. van den Boom DC. The influence of temperament and mothering on attachment and exploration: an experimental manipulation of sensitive responsiveness among lower-class mothers with irritable infants. Child Dev. 1994;65(5):1457–1477.
- Blair C. Early intervention for low birth weight, preterm infants: the role of negative emotionality in the specification of effects. Dev Psychopathol. 2002;14(2):311–332.
- 63. Pungello EP, Kainz K, Burchinal M, et al. Early educational intervention, early cumulative risk, and the early home environment as predictors of young adult outcomes within a high-risk sample. Child Dev. 2010;81(1):410–426.
- 64. Kramer MS, Platt RW, Wen SW, et al. A new and improved population-based Canadian reference for birth weight for gestational age. Pediatrics. 2001;108(2):E35.
- Bayley N. Bayley Scales of Infant Development. 2nd ed. San Antonio (TX): Psychological Corp; 1993.
- Lemelin JP, Boivin M, Forget-Dubois N, et al. The genetic– environmental etiology of cognitive school readiness and later academic achievement in early childhood. Child Dev. 2007;78(6):1855–1869.
- Barrera M Jr. Arizona Social Support Interview Schedule. In: Gottlieb BH, editor. Social networks and social support. Beverly Hills (CA): Sage Publications; 1981.
- Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, et al. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1961;4(6):561–571.
- Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas. 1977;1(3):385–401.
- Luciana M, Nelson CA. Neurodevelopmental assessment of cognitive function using the Cambridge Neuropsychological

- Testing Automated Battery (CANTAB): validation and future goals. Cambridge (GB): Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- Ruble DN, Brooks-Gunn J, Fleming AS, et al. Transition to motherhood and the self: measurement, stability, and change. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1990;58(3):450–463.
- Bernstein DP, Stein JA, Newcomb MD, et al. Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Child Abuse Negl. 2003;27(2):169–190.
- 73. van Strien T, Frijters JER, Bergers GPA, et al. The Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ) for assessment of restrained, emotional, and external eating behavior. Int J Eat Disord. 1986;5(2):295–315.
- 74. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Br J Psychiatry. 1987;150(6):782–786.
- Endicott J, Andreasen N, Spitzer RL. Family History–Research Diagnostic Criteria. New York (NY): Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 1975.
- Hamilton M. The assessment of anxiety states by rating. Br J Med Psychol. 1959;32(1):50–55.
- Bradley RH, Caldwell BM. Home observation for measurement of the environment: a revision of the preschool scale. Am J Ment Defic. 1979;84(3):235–244.
- Greenwald AG, McGhee DE, Schwartz JKL. Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: the Implicit Association Test. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1998;74(6):1464–1480.
- Karasek R, Gordon G, Piotrowski C. The Job Content Instrument: questionnaire and user's guide. Los Angeles (CA): Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, University of Southern California.; 1986.
- Scheier MF, Carver CS. Optimism, coping, and health: assessment and implications of generalized outcome expectancies. Health Psychol. 1985;4(3):219–247.
- 81. Norton R. Measuring marital quality: a critical look at the dependent variable. J Marriage Fam. 1983;45:141–151.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Harnett-Sheehan K, et al. Reliability and validity of the MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): according to the SCID-P. Eur Psychiatry. 1997;12(5):232–241.
- Montgomery S, Åsberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry. 1979;134:382–389.
- Buri JR. Parental Authority Questionnaire. J Pers Assess. 1991;57:110–119.
- Parker G, Tupling H, Brown L. A parental bonding instrument. Br J Med Psychol. 1979;(52):1–10.
- 86. Tinsley BJ, Holtgrave DR. Maternal health locus of control beliefs, utilization of childhood preventive health services, and infant health. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 1989;10(5):236–241.
- 87. Abidin RR. Parenting Stress Index. Charlottesville (VA): Pediatric Psychology Press; 1986.
- Lobel M, Dunkel-Schetter C, Scrimshaw SC. Prenatal maternal stress and prematurity: a prospective study of socioeconomically disadvantaged women. Health Psychol. 1992;11(1):32–40.
- 89. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24(4):385–396.
- 90. Norton R. Measuring marital quality: a critical look at the dependent variable. J Marriage Fam. 1983;45(1):141–151.
- Rosenberg M. Society and the adolescent self-image. Revised ed. Middletown (CT): Wesleyan University Press; 1989.
- Rosenthal NE, Bradt GH, Wehr TA. Seasonal Pattern Assessment Questionnaire. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Mental Health; 1984.
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RC, Lushene RE. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo Alto (CA): Consulting Psychologists Press; 1970.
- Haith MM, Hazan C, Goodman GS. Expectation and anticipation of dynamic visual events by 3.5-month-old babies. Child Dev. 1988;59(2):467–479.

- 95. Rueda MR, Posner MI, Rothbart MK. The development of executive attention: contributions to the emergence of self-regulation. Dev Neuropsychol. 2005;28(2):573–594.
- Bull R, Scerif G. Executive functioning as a predictor of children's mathematics ability: inhibition, switching, and working memory. Dev Neuropsychol. 2001;19(3):273–293.
- Blair C, Razza RP. Relating effortful control, executive function, and false belief understanding to emerging math and literacy ability in kindergarten. Child Dev. 2007;78(2):647–663.
- Ainsworth MDS, Blehar MC, Waters E, et al. Patterns of attachment: a psychological study of the strange situation. Hillsdale (NJ): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1978.
- Clark R. The Parent–Child Early Relational Assessment: instrument and manual. Madison (WI): University of Wisconsin Medical School, Department of Psychiatry; 1985.
- 100. Krpan KM, Coombs R, Zinga D, et al. Experiential and hormonal correlates of maternal behavior in teen and adult mothers. Horm Behav. 2005;47(1):112–122.
- 101. Haines BA, Wells R, Rueger SY, et al. The Children's Attributional Style Interview: paper-and-pencil versions. Procedural manual. Appleton (WI): Lawrence University; 2005.
- 102. Achenbach TM. Integrative guide to the 1991 CBCL/4–18, YSR, and TRF profiles. Burlington (VT): University of Vermont, Department of Psychology; 1991.
- 103 Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, et al. Development of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2001;42(7):963–970.
- 104. Owens JA, Spirito A, McGuinn M. The Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ): psychometric properties of a survey instrument for school-aged children. Sleep. 2000;23(8):1043–1051.
- Conners CK. Rating scales for use in drug studies with children. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1973;9:24–29.
- 106. Conners CK. Conners' Kiddie Continuous Performance Test (K-CPT). Computer program for Windows technical guide and software material. North Tonawanda (NY): Multi-Health Systems; 2001.
- 107. Valla JP, Bergeron L, Berube H, et al. A structured pictorial questionnaire to assess DSM-III-R-based diagnoses in children (6–11 years): development, validity, and reliability. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1994;22(4):403–423.
- 108. Putnam SP, Gartstein MA, Rothbart MK. Measurement of finegrained aspects of toddler temperament: the Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire. Infant Behav Dev. 2006;29(3):386–401.
- Rothbart MK. Measurement of temperament in infancy. Child Dev. 1981;52:569–578.
- 110. Briggs-Gowan MJ, Carter AS. Preliminary acceptability and psychometrics of the Infant-Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment (ITSEA): a new adult-report questionnaire. Infant Ment Health J. 1998;19(4):422–445.
- 111. Muris P, Meesters C, Mayer B. The Koala Fear Questionnaire: a standardized, pictorial scale for measuring fears in young children. Maastricht (NL): Maastricht University; 2000.
- 112. Chew AL, Morris JD. Validation of the Lollipop Test: a diagnostic screening test of school readiness. Educ Psychol Meas. 1984;44(4):987–991.
- 113. Korkman M, Kirk U, Kemp S. NEPSY: a developmental neuropsychological assessment. San Antonio (TX): The Psychological Corporation; 1998.

- 114. Okamoto Y, Case R. Exploring the microstructure of children's central conceptual structures in the domain of number. Monogr Soc Res Child Dev. 1996;61(1–2):27–58.
- 115. Dunn LM, Dunn DM. Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test. Toronto (ON): Pearson; 2006.
- 116. Chervin RD, Hedger K, Dillon JE, et al. Pediatric sleep questionnaire (PSQ): validity and reliability of scales for sleepdisordered breathing, snoring, sleepiness, and behavioral problems. Sleep Med. 2000;1(1):21–32.
- 117. Egger HL, Ascher BH, Angold A. The Electronic Preschool Age Psychiatric Assessment: (ePAPA) [Internet]. Durham (NC): Center for Developmental Epidemiology, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center; 2004 [cited 2014 May 6]. Available from: http://devepi.duhs.duke.edu/ eMeasures/PAPA%20(for%20review%20only).pdf.
- 118. Petit D, Touchette E, Paquet J, et al. The Quebec Longitudinal Study of Child Development (QLSCD 1998–2002) from birth to 29 months. Sleep: development and associated factors. Quebec Institute of Statistics. 2002;2(4):1–67.
- 119. Cole DA, Warren DE, Dallaire DH, et al. Early predictors of helpless thoughts and behaviors in children: developmental precursors to depressive cognitions. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2007;12(2):295–312.
- 120. Longwanishkul D, Happaney KR, Lee WSC, et al. Assessment of hot and cool executive function in young children: age-related changes and individual differences. Dev Neuropsychol. 2005;28(2):617–644.
- 121. Torrubia R, Avila C, Molto J, et al. The Sensitivity to Punishment and Sensitivity to Reward Questionnaire (SPSRQ) as a measure of Gray's anxiety and impulsivity dimensions. Pers Individ Dif. 2001;31(6):837–862.
- Kochanska G, Murray K, Jacques TY, et al. Inhibitory control in young children and its role in emerging internalization. Child Dev. 1996;67(2):490–507.
- 123. Silveira PP, Agranonik M, Faras H, et al. Preliminary evidence for an impulsivity-based thrifty eating phenotype. Pediatr Res. 2012;71(3):293–298.
- 124. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. J Child Psychol Psychiatry, 1997;38(5):581–586.
- 125. Gopnik A, Astington JW. Children's understanding of representational change and its relation to the understanding of false belief and the appearance–reality distinction. Child Dev. 1988;59(1):26–37.
- 126. Zelazo PD, Muller U, Frye D, et al. The development of executive function in early childhood. Monogr Soc Res Child Dev. 2003;68(3):vii–137.
- 127. Wechsler D. Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence—revised. San Antonio (TX): The Psychological Corporation; 1989.
- 128. Ainsworth MDS. Maternal Sensitivity Scales. Baltimore (MD): Johns Hopkins University; 1969.
- 129. Behrens KY, Hart SL, Parker AC. Maternal sensitivity: evidence of stability across time, contexts, and measurement instruments. Infant Child Dev. 2012; 21(4):325–441.
- 130. Atkinson L, Niccols GA, Paglia A, et al. A meta-analysis of time between maternal sensitivity and attachment assessments: implications for internal working models in infancy/toddlerhood. J Soc Pers Relat. 2000;17(6):791–810.