

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Hepatitis C virus RNA kinetics: Drug efficacy and the rate of HCV-infected cells loss

Harel Dahari, Alan S Perelson

Harel Dahari, Alan S Perelson, Theoretical Biology and Biophysics, MS-K710, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico 87545, United States

Supported by NIH grants RR06555 and P20-RR18754 and the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396

Correspondence to: Alan S Perelson, Theoretical Biology and Biophysics, MS-K710, T-10, Los Alamos National, Laboratory, New Mexico 87545, United States. asp@lanl.gov

Telephone: +1-505-667-6829 Fax: +1-505-6653493

Received: 2007-03-18 Accepted: 2007-04-16

© 2007 The WJG Press. All rights reserved.

Dahari H, Perelson AS. Hepatitis C virus RNA kinetics: Drug efficacy and the rate of HCV-infected cells loss. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; 13(21): 3020-3021

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/3020.asp>

TO THE EDITOR

We read the study by Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] with much interest. The study shed light on early HCV RNA kinetics in conjunction with liver cirrhosis, different genotypes (gen-1 *vs* gen-3) of HCV and sustained viral response (SVR) rates. In particular, Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] showed that the HCV RNA first phase decline, under interferon- α (IFN) and ribavirin therapy, which represents the effectiveness (ϵ) of IFN to block viral production^[2,3], was significantly larger in gen-3 cirrhotic patients (mean $\epsilon = 0.99$) than gen-1 cirrhotic patients (mean $\epsilon = 0.8$). In addition, in these cirrhotic patients, they found that the HCV RNA second phase decay slope in gen-3 patients was significantly faster than in gen-1 patients, and suggested that the immune response against infected HCV cells in gen-1 patients may be less potent than in gen-3 patients.

We recently introduced the notion of a critical drug efficacy ϵ_c , such that if the drug efficacy, ϵ , is higher than the critical drug efficacy, i.e., $\epsilon > \epsilon_c$, then viral levels will continually decline on therapy, while if $\epsilon < \epsilon_c$, then viral loads will initially decline but ultimately stabilize at a steady state level lower than baseline (i.e., exhibit a flat phase)^[4,5]. We have shown that the flat phase may be a simple consequence of liver homeostasis in which proliferation of hepatocytes compensates for the loss of infected cells, hence observing a flat phase does not imply a poor or absent immune response.

In light of these predictions, the interpretation of

Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] on the difference in viral kinetics between gen-1 and gen-3 in cirrhotic patients needs to be further addressed. First, if $\epsilon < \epsilon_c$, then following the first phase viral decay, the virus will reach a steady state lower than its baseline viral load very rapidly (i.e., flat phase). However, if ϵ is close to ϵ_c (but still $\epsilon < \epsilon_c$), then after the rapid viral decay phase a second slower phase of decay is predicted followed by a flat phase. Since in Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] data was obtained only until d28 one can speculate that the drug efficacy in gen-1 cirrhotic patients, which are known to be difficult to treat, was lower than the critical drug efficacy ($\epsilon < \epsilon_c$) and that the 2nd slower phase reflects the flat phase or is just intermediate in an approach to reach a flat phase. Indeed, 4 of 7 gen-1 cirrhotic patients had a second phase slope equal to 0, which represents a flat phase, where the rest had a positive second phase decline slope but one that was lower than the predictive cut-off slope of SVR (i.e., 0.3 log IU/mL per week^[1]), that may indicate an intermediate in an approach to reach the aforementioned flat phase.

Second, if $\epsilon > \epsilon_c$, then the viral second phase slope represents the death/loss rate of HCV-infected cells only if $\epsilon \sim 1$ ^[4,5]. Thus, if ϵ in some gen-1 cirrhotic patients from Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] was higher than ϵ_c , then the 2nd slope decay still does not reflect with confidence the actual death/loss rate of HCV-infected cells, since the IFN effectiveness, ϵ , was < 1 (mean $\epsilon = 0.8$). However, in gen-3 cirrhotic patients for which the mean value of the IFN effectiveness was close to 1 (mean $\epsilon = 0.99$), the second phase slope could well reflect the immune-mediated loss rate of HCV-infected cells. Thus, we argue that the mechanisms that lead to different viral kinetics between gen-1 and gen-3 cirrhotic patients may be attributed to different drug effectivenesses and not solely to the immune response against HCV-infected cells.

In conclusion, Medeiros-Filho *et al*^[1] made an important step towards understanding why cirrhotic patients have lower SVR rates (see also review on therapy in HCV decompensated cirrhotic patients by Navasa & Forns^[6]). However, we suggest that in future studies data sampling longer than d28 needs to be done in order to better capture the viral kinetic profiles in treated cirrhotic patients.

REFERENCES

- 1 Medeiros-Filho JE, de Carvalho Mello IM, Pinho JR, Neumann AU, de Mello Malta F, da Silva LC, Carrilho FJ. Differences in viral kinetics between genotypes 1 and 3 of hepatitis C

- virus and between cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients during antiviral therapy. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; **12**: 7271-7277
- 2 **Neumann AU**, Lam NP, Dahari H, Gretch DR, Wiley TE, Layden TJ, Perelson AS. Hepatitis C viral dynamics in vivo and the antiviral efficacy of interferon-alpha therapy. *Science* 1998; **282**: 103-107
- 3 **Perelson AS**, Herrmann E, Micol F, Zeuzem S. New kinetic models for the hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology* 2005; **42**: 749-754
- 4 **Dahari H**, Ribeiro RM, Perelson AS. Triphasic decline of hepatitis C virus RNA during antiviral therapy. *Hepatology* 2007; **46**: 16-21
- 5 **Dahari H**, Lo A, Ribeiro RM, Perelson AS. Modeling hepatitis C virus dynamics: Liver regeneration and critical drug efficacy. *J Theor Biol* 2007; **247**: 371-381
- 6 **Navasa M**, Forns X. Antiviral therapy in HCV decompensated cirrhosis: to treat or not to treat? *J Hepatol* 2007; **46**: 185-188

S- Editor Liu Y L- Editor Kumar M E- Editor Wang HF