# **Europe PMC Funders Group Author Manuscript**

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 26.

Published in final edited form as:

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012; 2012(5): . doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009874.

# Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables for the primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases

Louise Hartley<sup>1</sup>, Ewemade Igbinedion<sup>1</sup>, Margaret Thorogood<sup>2</sup>, Aileen Clarke<sup>1</sup>, Saverio Stranges<sup>1</sup>, Lee Hooper<sup>3</sup>, and Karen Rees<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

<sup>2</sup>Public Health and Epidemiology, Division of Health Sciences, Coventry, UK

<sup>3</sup>Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

#### Abstract

This is the protocol for a review and there is no abstract. The objectives are as follows:

The primary objective is to determine the effectiveness of i) advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption ii) the provision of fruit and vegetables to increase consumption, for the primary prevention of CVD.

#### **BACKGROUND**

# Description of the condition

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide (WHO 2011). In 2008 it accounted for 30% of total global deaths, with 6.2 million deaths the consequence of stroke and 7.2 million due to coronary heart disease (CHD) (WHO 2011). The burden of CVD also varies hugely between regions (Müller-Nordhorn 2008; Reddy 1998), for example, death from ischaemic heart disease in France is a quarter of that of the United Kingdom (UK) (Law 1999).

Dietary factors may play a vital role in the development of CVD and its risk factors and may contribute to the geographic variability in CVD morbidity and mortality (Epstein 1996). Such factors are important not only because they have been linked to CVD development but also because they can be modified. This makes them the main target for interventions aimed at primary prevention and management of CVD.

Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Contact address: Karen Rees, Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry,

Warwickshire, CV4 7AL, UK. Karen.Rees@warwick.ac.uk. rees\_karen@yahoo.co.uk.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

All authors contributed to the protocol development.

Editorial group: Cochrane Heart Group.

**Publication status and date:** New, published in Issue 5, 2012.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known.

One dietary factor that should be considered is fruit and vegetable intake. Indeed, a low consumption of fruit and vegetables (less than 400 grammes [g] per day) is thought to be one of the top ten risk factors for global mortality and it is estimated results in 1.7 million global deaths a year (WHO 2004). Of these global deaths, 14% are from gastrointestinal cancer, 11% are due to ischaemic heart disease and 9% are from stroke. In the European Union, New Zealand and Australia 3.5%, 2.1% and 2.8% respectively of disease burden is considered to be a consequence of low fruit and vegetable intake (Begg 2007; Pomerleau 2004; Tobias 2001), with, in particular, 9.6% of the CVD disease burden in Australia due to a low intake of fruit and vegetables (Begg 2007).

Conversely, it has been shown that a high consumption of fruit and vegetables can have a protective role for some chronic diseases including CVD (Hooper 2007). A number of cohort studies have shown that the risk of CHD is decreased by a high consumption of fruit and vegetables (Bazzano 2002; Liu 2000; Liu 2001). Joshipura and colleagues, for example, showed that in 84,251 women and 42,148 men a high intake of fruit of vegetables was associated with a protective effect against developing CHD. This was particularly the case for those fruit and vegetables rich in vitamin C and leafy green vegetables (Joshipura 2001). Evidence also suggests that an increase in fruit and vegetable intake could reduce the burden of ischaemic stroke and ischaemic heart disease by as much as 19% and 31% respectively (Lock 2005). Furthermore, it is estimated that approximately 2.7 million lives a year could be saved by increasing fruit and vegetable consumption to 400g per day or over (WHO 2004).

# **Description of the intervention**

Evidence suggests that high levels of fruit and vegetable intake reduce the risk of CVD (Joshipura 2001; Liu 2000; Liu 2001). This may be the result of confounding by physical activity (Serdula 1996), socio-economic status (Dubowitz 2008), smoking status (Serdula 1996) or other unidentified factors, but may also be due to several mechanisms such as an increase in potassium which lowers blood pressure or an increase in antioxidant vitamins. As a result, many national and international guidelines recommend at least five portions of fruit and/or vegetables a day (a portion equates to 80g) (Agudo 2004; Cardiovascular Review Group 1994; Johansson 1998; NHS 2009; U.S. Department of Agriculture 2005).

However, such guidelines are not always followed. This appears to be the case in the UK where it is estimated that only 40% of the general population reach this target (Hunt 2000). The interventions investigated in this review will include those that provide advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption or those that provide fruit and vegetables themselves to increase consumption. Results from these two different types of interventions will be analysed separately. Advice can take many forms in that it may be written or verbal, involve a single or multiple contact and may be delivered by commercial organisations, health professionals or government organisations. Provision may include only one, or more fruit(s) and/or vegetable(s). If we find sufficient trials we will examine the effects of intensity and duration of the intervention. The present review is concerned with trials of the effect of such interventions in healthy populations or those who are at high risk of CVD to

investigate the effectiveness of fruit and vegetable consumption for the primary prevention of CVD.

# How the intervention might work

Evidence from observational and experimental studies suggests that a high consumption of fruit and vegetables, that is more than 400g or more than five portions a day, is beneficial for the prevention and treatment of CVD (Ness 1997). However, the exact mechanisms by which increased fruit and vegetable consumption reduce CVD risk are not known. It may be due to fruit and vegetables containing protective elements including vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, micronutrients and phytochemicals (Department of Health 2010; Miller 2000; Van Duyn 2000). There are many potential mechanisms through which these protective elements can act to reduce blood pressure, reduce antioxidant stress, lower the serum level of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and improve the regulation of hemostasis (Asgard 2007; Dauchet 2006; Suido 2002).

### Why it is important to do this review

Many factors determine the intake of fruit and vegetables in adults (Pollard 2002). These include not only demographic and lifestyle factors but also sensory appeal, the media and availability (Anderson 1994; Brug 1995; Clark 1998; Lennernas 1997; Thompson 1999). Although studies investigating the factors that determine fruit and vegetable intake provide considerable information to aid in the development of interventions, they do not examine the effectiveness of interventions to increase fruit and vegetable consumption. Some systematic reviews have attempted to do this (Ammerman 2002; Brunner 2007; Contento 1995; Pomerleau 2005; Miller 2000a). Pomerleau et al. (Pomerleau 2005), for example, conducted a systematic review that investigated the effectiveness of interventions designed to promote the intake of fruit and vegetables. They found that the largest increase in fruit and vegetable consumption was for interventions that targeted high risk populations or those with a preexisting disease, whilst a small increase of between 0.1 and 1.4 servings of fruit and vegetables a day was found for interventions promoting fruit and vegetable intake in healthy adults. This was similar to the findings of Brunner et al. (Brunner 2007) who found that dietary advice, when compared to no advice, increased the consumption of fruit and vegetables by 1.25 servings per day in healthy adults.

However, these systematic reviews do not always focus soley on the intake of fruit and vegetables (Brunner 2007; Contento 1995). For instance, the systematic review by Brunner (2007) investigated dietary advice in general and did not specifically examine interventions aimed at increasing fruit and vegetable consumption. What is more, the review by Brunner (2007) only considered interventions concerned with advice and did not investigate interventions involving the provision of foods. The systematic review by Pomerleau (2005) does not solely focus on CVD (Pomerleau 2005), other reviews include children (Burchett 2003; Miller 2000a) and many of these systematic reviews do not involve meta-analysis.

We are focusing our attention on adults since a Cochrane review is already being undertaken in assessing the evidence for interventions for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption in children aged up to five years (Wolfenden 2010). A comprehensive systematic review is

therefore needed which thoroughly examines interventions providing advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption and the provision of fruit and vegetables to increase consumption, in healthy adults or those with cardiovascular risk factors to determine their effectiveness in CVD prevention. This will provide guidance not only for national and international governments but also for local authorities, practitioners and members of the public.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective is to determine the effectiveness of i) advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption ii) the provision of fruit and vegetables to increase consumption, for the primary prevention of CVD.

# **METHODS**

# Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies—Randomised Controlled Trials

**Types of participants**—Adults (people from the age of 18 onwards) of all ages from the general population and those who are at high risk of CVD due to the presence of major CVD risk factors such as smoking, dyslipidemia, diabetes or hypertension. Those who have had a myocardial infarction (MI), a stroke, undergone a revascularisation procedure (coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA)), have angina or angiographically defined CHD will be excluded.

**Types of interventions**—The interventions will include i) specific dietary advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption or ii) the provision of fruit and vegetables (participants are provided with fruits and vegetables as part of the intervention) as a means to increase consumption.

Studies examining advice to increase fruit and vegetable intake will be examined separately from those investigating the provision of fruit and vegetables. Studies will also be stratified by baseline risk. If sufficient trials are available we will attempt to examine the "intensity" of the intervention by sub-grouping interventions by the number of fruit and vegetable components they contain and duration, and for interventions involving advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption, by the number of scheduled personal contacts made with participants in the intervention arm, the support provided and duration. Multi-factorial dietary interventions studies will not be included in this review in order to avoid confounding.

In addition, we will also focus on follow-up periods of six months or more as these are the most relevant to public health interventions. Follow-up is considered to be the time elapsed since the start of the intervention. Trials will also only be considered where the comparison group is either no intervention or minimal intervention (e.g. leaflets with no person to person intervention or reinforcement).

# Types of outcome measures

<u>Primary outcomes:</u> Clinical outcomes (mortality (CVD and all-cause), non-fatal CVD endpoints (MI, CABG or PTCA, angiographically defined angina pectoris, stroke, carotid endarterectomy, peripheral arterial disease (PAD)).

<u>Secondary outcomes:</u> Changes in major CVD risk factors (blood pressure, blood lipids, type 2 diabetes), adverse events and costs.

#### Search methods for identification of studies

**Electronic searches**—The following electronic databases will be searched: the Cochrane Library (including the Cochrane Central Register of controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases Health Technology Assessment (HTA), Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) and NHS Economic Evaluation Database (NEED)), MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Web of Science (Conference Proceedings Citation Index - Science (CPCI-S)).

Medical Subject Headings (MsSH) or equivalent and text word terms will be use with searches designed in accordance with Cochrane Heart Group methods and guidance. There will be no language restrictions.

Searches will be tailored to individual databases. The search strategy for MEDLINE is shown in Appendix 1.

**Searching other resources**—Reference lists of reviews and retrieved articles will be checked for additional studies.

We will search the metaRegister of controlled trials (mRCT) (www.controlled-trials.com/mrct), Clinicaltrials.gov (www.clinicaltrials.gov) and the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/) for ongoing trials and unpublished or part-published trials.

Citation searches will be performed on key articles. Google Scholar will also be used to search for further studies.

Experts in the field will be contacted for unpublished and ongoing trials and authors will be contacted where necessary for additional information.

# Data collection and analysis

**Selection of studies**—The search strategy will identify titles and abstracts which will be reviewed by two reviewers (LH, EI). Potentially relevant references will then be retrieved. Following this initial screen, the full text reports of the potentially relevant studies will be obtained and two reviewers (LH, EI) will independently select relevant studies using predetermined inclusion criteria. In all cases, disagreements concerning study inclusion will be resolved by consensus with a third reviewer being consulted if disagreement persists.

**Data extraction and management**—Data extraction will be carried out independently by two reviewers (LH, EI) using a proforma and chief investigators will be contacted to provide additional relevant information if necessary.

The following details will be extracted from each study:

- 1. Study design.
- 2. Study setting.
- 3. Participant characteristics.
- **4.** Intervention (advice or provision of fruit and vegetables, personnel, intensity, duration, follow up).
- **5.** Comparison group (no intervention or details of minimal intervention).
- **6.** Outcome data (outcome assessment, adverse effects).
- 7. Methodological quality (randomisation, blinding, attrition).

Disagreements about extracted data will be resolved by consensus and a third reviewer will be consulted if disagreement persists.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies—Risk of bias will be assessed independently by two reviewers (LH, EI). This will be done by examining the quality of the random sequence generation and allocation concealment, description of drop-outs and withdrawals (including intention-to-treat analysis), blinding (participant, personnel and outcome assessment) and selective outcome reporting (Higgins 2011).

**Measures of treatment effect**—Data will be processed in accordance with the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011). Dichotomous outcomes will be expressed as relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) will be calculated for each study. For continuous outcomes net changes will be compared (i.e. intervention group minus control group differences) and a weighted mean difference (WMD) or standardised mean difference (SMD) and 95% CI's calculated for each study.

**Assessment of heterogeneity—**For each outcome tests of heterogeneity will be conducted (using chi-squared test of heterogeneity and I<sup>2</sup> statistic). If no heterogeneity is present a fixed effect meta-analysis will be performed. If there is substantial heterogeneity the reviewers will look for possible explanations for this (e.g. intervention and participants). If the heterogeneity cannot be explained, the reviewers will consider the following options:

- **1.** provide a narrative overview and not aggregate the studies at all;
- 2. use a random effects model with appropriate cautious interpretation.

**Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity—**Results will be stratified by i) advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption and ii) the provision of fruit and vegetables to increase consumption. Trials will also be stratified by baseline risk; i.e. healthy participants versus participants at high risk.

If sufficient trials are available we will attempt to examine the "intensity" of the intervention by sub-grouping interventions by the number of fruit and vegetable components they contain and duration, and for interventions involving advice to increase fruit and vegetable consumption, by the number of scheduled personal contacts made with participants in the intervention arm, the support provided and duration.

**Sensitivity analysis**—We will carry out sensitivity analysis excluding studies of low methodological quality; i.e. trials with unclear or inadequate allocation concealment. Funnel plots and tests of asymmetry (Egger 1997) will be conducted to assess the possibility of publication bias. We will also examine the impact of "time and attention" given to participants in the intervention and control groups as a potential confounder in the relationship by excluding studies where the intervention group had more "time and attention" than the control group in a sensitivity analysis.

# **Acknowledgments**

No acknowledgements as yet at the protocol stage.

#### SOURCES OF SUPPORT

#### Internal sources

- Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK.
- Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, UK.

#### **External sources**

• NIHR Cochrane Programme Grant, UK.

# Appendix 1. MEDLINE search strategy

# **MEDLINE OVID**

The Cochrane sensitive maximising RCT filter has been applied to the search (Lefebvre C, Manheimer E, Glanville J. Chapter 6: Searching for studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochranehandbook.org.)

- 1. exp Fruit/
- 2. exp Citrus/
- 3. exp Vegetables/
- 4. fruit\*.tw.
- 5. vegetable\*.tw.
- 6. orange\*.tw.
- 7. apple\*.tw.
- **8.** (pear or pears).tw.

- **9.** (grape or grapes).tw.
- 10. banana\*.tw.
- 11. (berry or berries).tw.
- 12. citrus.tw.
- 13. carrot\*.tw.
- 14. greens.tw.
- 15. cabbage\*.tw.
- 16. brassica\*.tw.
- 17. blackberr\*.tw.
- 18. blueberr\*.tw.
- 19. cranberr\*.tw.
- **20.** guava\*.tw.
- 21. kiwi\*.tw.
- 22. lingonberr\*.tw.
- 23. mango\*.tw.
- **24.** melon\*.tw.
- 25. papaya\*.tw.
- 26. pineapple\*.tw.
- 27. raspberr\*.tw.
- 28. strawberr\*.tw.
- 29. tomato\*.tw.
- 30. potato\*.tw.
- 31. onion\*.tw.
- 32. grapefruit\*.tw.
- 33. mandarin\*.tw.
- 34. satsuma\*.tw.
- 35. tangerine\*.tw.
- **36.** (plum or plums).tw.
- 37. apricot\*.tw.
- **38.** (cherry or cherries).tw.
- **39.** nectarine\*.tw.
- **40.** (peach or peaches).tw.

- 41. celery.tw.
- 42. spinach\*.tw.
- **43.** (salad or salads).tw.
- 44. (pea or peas).tw.
- 45. (bean or beans).tw.
- 46. broccoli.tw.
- 47. cauliflower\*.tw.
- 48. beetroot\*.tw.
- **49.** turnip\*.tw.
- 50. rhubarb.tw.
- **51.** legume\*.tw.
- 52. cucumber\*.tw.
- **53.** leek\*.tw.
- **54.** aubergine\*.tw.
- 55. pepper\*.tw.
- **56.** okra.tw.
- **57.** pumpkin\*.tw.
- 58. squash\*.tw.
- **59.** artichoke\*.tw.
- 60. lettuce\*.tw.
- **61.** kale.tw.
- 62. chard.tw.
- 63. parsnip\*.tw.
- 64. asparagus.tw.
- 65. fennel.tw.
- 66. chickpea\*.tw.
- 67. five-a-day.tw.
- **68.** 5-a-day.tw.
- **69.** or/1-68
- **70.** exp Cardiovascular Diseases/
- 71. cardio\*.tw.
- 72. cardia\*.tw.

- **73.** heart\*.tw.
- 74. coronary\*.tw.
- 75. angina\*.tw.
- 76. ventric\*.tw.
- 77. myocard\*.tw.
- 78. pericard\*.tw.
- **79.** isch?em\*.tw.
- 80. emboli\*.tw.
- 81. arrhythmi\*.tw.
- 82. thrombo\*.tw.
- **83.** atrial fibrillat\*.tw.
- 84. tachycardi\*.tw.
- 85. endocardi\*.tw.
- 86. (sick adj sinus).tw.
- **87.** exp Stroke/
- **88.** (stroke or stokes).tw.
- 89. cerebrovasc\*.tw.
- 90. cerebral vascular.tw.
- 91. apoplexy.tw.
- 92. (brain adj2 accident\*).tw.
- 93. ((brain\* or cerebral or lacunar) adj2 infarct\*).tw.
- **94.** exp Hypertension/
- 95. hypertensi\*.tw.
- **96.** peripheral arter\* disease\*.tw.
- 97. ((high or increased or elevated) adj2 blood pressure).tw.
- **98.** exp Hyperlipidemias/
- 99. hyperlipid\*.tw.
- 100.hyperlip?emia\*.tw.
- 101. hypercholesterol\*.tw.
- 102.hypercholester?emia\*.tw.
- 103. hyperlipoprotein? emia\*.tw.
- 104.hypertriglycerid?emia\*.tw.

105.or/70-104

106.randomized controlled trial.pt.

107.controlled clinical trial.pt.

108.randomized.ab.

109.placebo.ab.

110.drug therapy.fs.

111.randomly.ab.

112.trial.ab.

113.groups.ab.

**114.**106 or 107 or 108 or 109 or 110 or 111 or 112 or 113

115.exp animals/ not humans.sh.

**116.**114 not 115

117.69 and 105 and 116

# **HISTORY**

Protocol first published: Issue 5, 2012

#### Additional references

- Agudo 2004 . Agudo, A. Measuring Intake of Fruit and Vegetables; Background Paper for Joint FAO/WHO Workshop on Fruit and Vegetables for Health; Kobe, Japan. 2004; Sep 1-3.
- Ammerman 2002 . Ammerman AS, et al. The efficacy of behavioural interventions to modify dietary fat and fruit and vegetable intake: a review of the evidence. Preventive Medicine. 2002; 35:25–41. [PubMed: 12079438]
- Anderson 1994 . Anderson AS, Marshall D, Lean ME, Foster A. Five a day? Factors affecting fruit and vegetable consumption in Scotland. Nutrition and Food Sciences. 1994; 5:14–16.
- Asgard 2007 . Asgard R, Rytter E, Basu S, Abramsson-Zettererg L, Moller L, Vessby B. High intake of fruit and vegetables is related to low oxidative stress and inflammation in a group of patients with type 2 diabetes. Scand J Food Nutr. 2007; 51:149–58.
- Bazzano 2002 . Bazzano LA, He J, Ogden LG, Loria CM, Vupputuri S, Myers L, et al. Friut and Vegetable Intake and Risk of Cardiovascular disease in U.S Adults: The First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2002; 76:93–99. [PubMed: 12081821]
- Begg 2007 . Begg, S.; Vos, T.; Barker, B.; Stevenson, C.; Stanley, L.; Lopez, A. The burden of disease and injury in Australia 2003. AIHW; Canberra: 2007. Cat. no. PHE 82
- Brug 1995 . Brug J, Debi S, van Assema P, Weijts W. Psychosocial determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among adults: results of focus group interviews. Food quality and Preference. 1995; 6:99–107.
- Brunner 2007 . Brunner E, Rees K, Ward K, Burke M, Thorogood M. Dietary advice for reducing cardiovascular risk. Cochrane Databaseof Systematic Reviews. 2007; 17(4) Art. No.: CD002128. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002128.pub3.
- Burchett 2003 . Burchett H. Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption among British primary schoolchildren: a review. Health Educ. 2003; 103:99–109.

Cardiovascular Review Group 1994 . Cardiovascular Review group. Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy (COMA). Nutritional aspects of cardiovascular disease (46): Department of Health report on health and social subjects. HMSO; London: 1994. Nutritional Aspects of cardiovascular disease (46): Department of Health report on health and social subjects.

- Clark 1998 . Clark JE. Taste and flavour: their importance in food choice and acceptance. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 1998; 57:639–643. [PubMed: 10096128]
- Contento 1995 . Contento I, et al. The effectiveness of nutrition education and implication for nutrition education policy, programs, and research: a review of research. Journal of Nutrition Education. 1995; 27:277–418.
- Dauchet 2006 . Dauchet L, Amouyel P, Hercberg S, Dallongeville J. Fruit and Vegetable Consumption and Risk of Cornary Heart Disease: A Meta-anlaysis of Cohort Studies. J Nutr. 2006; 136(10):2588–93. [PubMed: 16988131]
- Department of Health 2010 . Department of Health. [Accessed 27 October 2011] 5A DAY general information. 2010. Available at: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publichealth/Healthimprovement/FiveADay/FiveADaygeneralinformation/DH\_4002343
- Dubowitz 2008 Dubowitz T, Heron M, Bird CE, Lurie N, Finch BK, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic status and fruit and vegetable intake among whites, blacks, and Mexican Americans in the United States. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2008; 87:1883–1891. [PubMed: 18541581]
- Egger 1997 . Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple graphical test. British Medical Journal. 1997; 315:629–34. [PubMed: 9310563]
- Epstein 1996 . Epstein F. Cardiovascular Disease Epidemiology: A Journey From the Past Into the Future. Circulation. 1996; 93:1755–1764. [PubMed: 8653883]
- Higgins 2011 . Higgins, JPT.; Green, S., editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of interventions Version 5.1.0. [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org2011
- Hooper 2007 . Hooper L. Primary Prevention of CVD: Diet and Weight Loss. Clin Evid (online). 0219. Oct 1.2007 :pii0219.
- Hunt 2000 . Hunt CJ, Nichols RN, Pryer JA. Who complied with national fruit and vegetable population goals? Eur J Public Health. 2000; 10:178–84.
- Johansson 1998 Johansson L, Andersen LF. Who eats 5 a day?: Intake of fruits and vegetables among Norwegians in relation to gender and lifestyle. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 1998; 98:689–691. [PubMed: 9627628]
- Joshipura 2001 . Joshipura KJ, Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, et al. The effect of fruit and vegetable intake on risk for coronary heart disease. Ann Intern Med. 2001; 134:116–1114.
- Law 1999 . Law M, Wald N. Why heart disease mortality is low in France: the time lag explanation. BMJ. 1999; 318:1471–1480. [PubMed: 10346778]
- Lennernas 1997 . Lennernas M, Ijellstrom C, Becker W, Giachetti I, Schmitt A, et al. Influences on food choice perceived to be important by nationally-representative samples of adults in the European union. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1997; 51((suppl) 2):S8–S15. [PubMed: 9222718]
- Liu 2000 . Liu S, Manson JE, Lee IM, Cole SR, Hennekens CH, Willett WC, Buring JE. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: the Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000; 72:922–928. [PubMed: 11010932]
- Liu 2001 . Liu S, Lee IM, Ajani U, Cole SR, Buring JE, et al. Intake of vegetables rich in carotenoids and risk of coronary heart disease in men: the Physician's Health Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2001; 30:130–135. [PubMed: 11171873]
- Lock 2005 . Lock K, Pomerleau J, Causer L, Altmann D, McKee M. The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables: implications for the global strategy on diet. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2005; 83:100–108. [PubMed: 15744402]
- Miller 2000 . Miller, He; Rigelhof, F.; Marquart, L.; Prakash, A.; Kanter, M. Antioxidant content of whole grain breakfast cereal, fruit and vegetables. J Am Coll Nutr. 2000; 19:312–9S.

Miller 2000a . Miller, M.; Stafford, H. An intervention portfolio to promote fruit and vegetable consumption; review of interventions. National public Health partnership; Melbourne: 2000. p. 1-32.

- Müller-Nordhorn 2008 . Müller-Nordhorn J, Binting S, Roll S, Willich S. An update on regional variation in cardiovascular mortality within Europe. European Heart Journal. 2008; 29:1316–1326. [PubMed: 18256043]
- Ness 1997 . Ness AR, Powles JW. Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. Int J Epidemiol. 1997; 26:1–13. [PubMed: 9126498]
- NHS 2009 . NHS. Why 5 a Day?. 2009. http://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/5ADAY/Pages/Why5ADAY.aspxhttp://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/5ADAY/Pages/Why5ADAY.aspx
- Pollard 2002 . Pollard J, Kirk S, Cade J. Factors affecting food choices in relation to fruit and vegetable intake: a review. Nutrition Research Reviews. 2002; 15:373–387. [PubMed: 19087412]
- Pomerleau 2004 . Pomerleau, J.; Lock, K.; McKee, M. Effectiveness of interventions and programmes promoting Fruit and Vegetable intake: Background paper for the joint FAO/WHO workshop on fruit and vegetables for health. Kobe, Japan: Sep 1–3. 2004
- Pomerleau 2005 . Pomerleau J, Lock K, Knai C, McKee M. Interventions Designed to Increase Adult Fruit and Vegetable Intake Can BeEffective: A Systematic Review of the Literature. J. Nutr. 2005; 135:2486–2495. [PubMed: 16177217]
- Reddy 1998 . Reddy KS, Yusuf S. Emerging Epidemic of Cardiovascular Disease in Developing Countries. Circulation. 1998; 97:596–601. [PubMed: 9494031]
- Serdula 1996 . Serdula M, Byers T, Mokdad A, Simoes E, Mendlein J, Coates R. The Association between Fruit and Vegetable Intake and Chronic Disease Risk Factors. Epidemiology. 1996; 7:161–165. [PubMed: 8834556]
- Suido 2002 . Suido H, Tanaka T, Tabei T, Takeuchi A, Okita M, et al. A mixed green vegetable and fruit beverage decreased the serum level of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in hypercholesterolemic patients. J Agric Food Chem. 2002; 22:3346–50. [PubMed: 12010009]
- Thompson 1999 . Thompson RL, Margetts BM, Speller VM, McVey D. The Health Eductaion Authority's health and lifestyle survey 1993: who are the low fruit and vegetable consumers? Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 1999; 53:294–299. [PubMed: 10396536]
- Tobias 2001 . Tobias, M. Ministry of Health. Ministry of Health; New Zealand: 2001. The Burden of Disease and Injury in New Zealand, Wellington: New Zealand; p. 1-64.
- US Department of Agriculture 2005 . U.S. Department of Agriculture. Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. US Government Printing Office; Washington DC: 2005. 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans; p. 1-72.
- Van Duyn 2000 . Van Duyn MA, Pivonka E. Overview of the health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption for the dietetics professional: Selected literature. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000; 100:1511–21. [PubMed: 11138444]
- WHO 2004 . Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity. World Health Organisation. 2004. http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/strategy/eb11344/strategy\_english\_web.pdfp. 1-19.http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/strategy/eb11344/strategy\_english\_web.pdf
- WHO 2011 . Cardiovascular Diseases (CVDs). World Health Organisation. Sep. 2011 http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/index.htmlhttp://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/index.htmlVol. Fact Sheet Number 317
- Wolfenden 2010 . Wolfenden L, Wyse RJ, Britton BI, Campbell KJ, Hodder RK, et al. Interventions for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption in children aged up to 5 years. Cochrane Database for Systematic Reviews. 2010; (6) Art. No.: CD008552. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008552.
- st Indicates the major publication for the study