



Published in final edited form as:

Chem Rev. 2014 May 14; 114(9): 5116. doi:10.1021/cr500124k.

## Correction to Classical Electrostatics for Biomolecular Simulations

**Celeste Sagui,**

North Carolina State University, Physics

**G. Andres Cisneros,**

Wayne State University, Department of Chemistry

**Pengyu Ren,** and

The University of Texas at Austin, Dept of Biomedical Engineering

**Mikko Karttunen**

University of Waterloo, Dept of Chemistry

---

Popelier and co-workers have developed the Quantum Chemical Topology Force Field (QCTFF), based on the Quantum Chemical Topology (QCT) method. The QCT method is a generalisation of the Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules, which generates topological atoms of finite size and particular shapes, using only the gradient of the electron density. QCTFF embraces multipolar electrostatics as a way to overcome the inherent limitations of point charge electrostatics. QCTFF captures polarisation effects (beyond dipole moments) through a machine learning method called kriging. Kriging establishes a *direct* mapping between a given atom's multipole moment and the coordinates of the atoms surrounding it. This procedure handles both inter<sup>1</sup>- and intramolecular<sup>2</sup> polarisation. QCTFF handles the remaining non-electrostatic energy contributions by kriging, thereby offering a seamless treatment of all energy contributions. All training information is sampled from supermolecular clusters, thereby abandoning the framework of long-range perturbation theory that underpins some multipolar force fields. This decision makes the modelling of an ion in aqueous solution<sup>3</sup> conceptually smooth<sup>4-10</sup>. In principle, the method is independent of the basis used, and the QCT partitioning naturally treats charge penetration effects and charge transfer<sup>6</sup>. QCT multipolar electrostatic models have also been used in molecular dynamics simulation, albeit still in the rigid body context. The fully flexible case is feasible and currently being implemented in the program DL\_POLY\_4. The simulation work shows *both quantitative and qualitative* differences in spatial distribution functions calculated for liquid water<sup>11</sup>, aqueous imidazole<sup>12</sup> and hydrated serine<sup>13</sup>, again demonstrating the need for multipole moments<sup>14</sup>.

## References

1. Handley CM, Hawe GI, Kell DB, Popelier PL. A. Phys.Chem.Chem.Phys. 2009; 11:6365.
2. Kandathil SM, Fletcher TL, Yuan Y, Knowles J, Popelier PLAJ. Comput.Chem. 2013; 34:1850.

---

The authors provide the following addition to include references that were inadvertently left out in the original review.

3. Mills MJL, Hawe GI, Handley CM, Popelier PL. A. *PhysChemChemPhys*. 2013; 15:18249.
4. Popelier, PLA. *Structure and Bonding. Intermolecular Forces and Clusters*. Wales, DJ., editor. Vol. 115. Springer; Heidelberg, Germany: 2005.
5. Popelier PL. A. *AIP Conf.Proc.* 2012; 1456:261.
6. a Popelier, PLA. *Modern Charge-Density Analysis*. Gatti, C.; Macchi, P., editors. Vol. 14. Springer; Germany: 2012. p. 505-526.b Popelier PLA. Banting L, Clark T. *Drug Design Strategies: Computational Techniques and Applications*. Royal Society of Chemistry. 2012; 20:120–163. Ch.6.
7. Popelier PL. A. *Current Topics in Med.Chem.* 2012; 12:1924.
8. Solano CJF, Pendás AM, Francisco E, Blanco MA, Popelier PLA. *J.Chem.Phys.* 2010; 132:194110. [PubMed: 20499954]
9. Yuan Y, Mills MJL, Popelier PLAJ. *Comp.Chem.* 2014; 35:343.
10. Devereux M, Popelier PLAJ. *Phys.Chem.A.* 2007; 111:1536.
11. Shaik MS, Liem SY, Popelier PLAJ. *Chem.Phys.* 2010; 132:174504.
12. Liem SY, Shaik MS, Popelier PLAJ. *Phys.Chem.B.* 2011; 115:11389.
13. Liem SY, Popelier PLA. *PhysChemChemPhys.* 2014; 16:4122.
14. Shaik MS, Devereux M, Popelier PLA. *Molec.Phys.* 2008; 106:1495.