ANALYSIS OF VARIATIONS IN HOSPITAL USE BY MEDICARE PATIENTS IN PSRO AREAS, 1974-1977

by Ronald Deacon, James Lubitz, Marian Gornick, and Marilyn Newton

A study of the use of short-stay hospitals in PSRO areas by Medicare enrollees aged 65 and over for the period 1974 through 1977 revealed that discharge rates increased, average length of stay (ALOS) decreased, and days-of-care rates remained relatively constant in nearly all of the PSRO areas. The data show large variations in hospital use in PSRO areas within States and HEW regions, and suggest that factors within the area are critical determinants of hospital utilization. This study presents important implications for PSRO program policy for it suggests that factors other than physician and hospital behavior should also be considered when setting objectives for reducing misutilization and improving the quality of health care.

For the growing segment of the 65 population aged and over. Medicare is by far the greatest source of protection against the costs of hospital care. An estimated 95 to 98 percent of this age group are covered by Medicare. In 1975 persons aged 65 years and over comprised 10.7 percent of the population. but accounted for 20 percent of total discharges and 33 percent of all days of care from short-stay hospitals. no doubt reflecting their greater health care needs.

Mr. Deacon and Mr. Lubitz are research analysts in the Analytic Studies Branch, Office of Research. Ms. Gornick is branch chief. Ms. Newton is a statistical systems analyst in the Office of Statistics and Data Management. All are in the Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics, HCFA.

Since the Medicare program began striking variations in 1966. in hospital use have been noted. raising questions about quality of levels care and appropriate of hospital use. Responding to concern about the sharp rise in hospital expenses and the quality of federally reimbursed care, the Congress set up, through the 1972 Security Amendments, Social the Standards Professional Review Organization (PSRO) program to monitor the quality of federally and to its funded care assure efficient delivery in and an economical This 1 aw manner. designated 203 PSRO areas throughout the Nation and mandated each to develop PSR0 to review a care provided to Medicare, Medicaid, and Maternal and Child Health program patients.

This article discusses geographic variations in hospital use by

Medicare enrollees aged 65 and over in PSRO areas during the period 1974 through 1977. Possible causal factors influencing these variations in hospital use are analyzed.

Knowledge of the extent and causes of variations in hospital use among PSRO areas is vital to the PSROs themselves and to others involved in the PSRO effort. It is basic to the setting of program objectives and to program evaluation. It is also of interest health areat to care researchers and administrators for illustrates the range of options it the way health care can be in Comparison of provided. low and high use PSRO areas raises questions about the cost and appropriateness of hospital care and about access and equity in its delivery.

As the data will illustrate, in some PSRO areas, Medicare is paying for a volume of care (days of care enrollees) more 1,000 than per twice that in other areas. Some areas have a rate of hospitalization (discharges per 1,000 enrollees) twice that of others. In these PSRO areas, a significantly larger part of the area's Medicare enrollees are exposed to the benefits and risks of hospital care. In some areas, the length average of stav is considerably more than twice the average stay in other areas. These differences are focused upon in considerable detail.

Although the data presented here aggregated by PSRO area, the are purpose of this report is not to evaluate the effects of the PSRO program upon hospital use. Rather. these data are primarily intended to provide baseline and statistical information utilization for both review and health planning purposes and to furnish overall analysis of basic utilization trends. 1/

Data Sources

The figures presented here were developed from the Health Care Financing Administration's Medicare Statistical System. Data were derived primarily from three centrally maintained files: the master enrollment file, the hospital bill file, and the provider of service file. (For а fuller discussion of the data sources see note. the technical end ٨f article.) This study is confined to Medicare enrollees; similar data are not yet available for Medicaid and Maternal and Child Health program enrollees.

Methodology

Traditionally, measures of hospital use by Medicare enrollees have been based on the experience of enrollees living in a defined area. These measures are referred to as beneficiary because based thev depend solelv upon where the beneficiary lives; the location of the hospital stay does not enter into the calculation. With the implementation of major areawide programs such as the PSRO and health planning 2/ programs, it was necessary to develop new measures based upon use in a specific group of hospitals located in a defined area. These rates are referred to as hospital based.

Hospital-based rates are constructed by including in the numerator all discharges or days of care which occur in a specific group of hospitals and including in the denominator the beneficiary population-at-risk for care in the group of hospitals.

The denominator used for beneficiary-based rates--the number of beneficiaries residing in an area--is not appropriate for hospital-based rates because some residents of an area use hospitals outside the area and some nonresidents use hospitals in the area.

The following table indicates that in 1977 for 21.8 percent of the PSRO areas, 20 percent or more of residents' hospital stays occurred outside their PSRO area.

Percentage of discharges of residents of a PSRO Distribution area occurring of PSRO areas outside the PSRO Area (percent) 0-9 27.6

0-9	27.0	
10-19	50.6	
20-29	15.6 ገ	
30-39	4.2	
40-49	1.0 \	21.8
50-59	1.0)	

From the opposite perspective of patient flow, nonresidents come into a PSRO area for hospital services. The distribution of PSRO areas by the percentage of discharges that were for nonresidents in 1977 is given below:

Percentage of

discharges in the	Distribution
PSRO area for	of PSRO areas
nonresidents	(percent)
0-9 10-19 20-29 30-39 40-49 50-59	$ \begin{array}{c} 34.9\\ 47.4\\ 13.5\\ 3.1\\ .5\\ .5 \end{array} $ 17.6

The data indicate that in 17.6 the PSRO areas, 20 percent of percent or more of the hospital stays were for nonresidents. These facts led to the development ٥f techniques to account for patient migration. The method used for this study est imates the number of

beneficiaries-at-risk in a given PSRO area by allocating portions of Medicare enrollment from all PSRO PSR0's areas based upon each contribution to patient load in the given PSRO area. This method is an adaptation of one proposed by Bailey, <u>3</u>/ which estimated the population-at-risk for a selected group of hospitals. The methodology PSRO developed for areas is presented in equation 1 below.

Equation (1):
$$E_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{ij}}{D_{j}} e_{j}$$
 $i = 1, 2, ..., n$

- Nore E₁ = total number of Medicare baneficiaries-at-risk in the ith PSRO area
 - ij mumber of discharges from hospitals in the ith PSRO area of patients who resided in the jth PSRO area
 - $D_j = \text{total number of discharges of patients who resided$ $in the jth FSHO area <math>(D_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{kj})$
 - Nedicare enrollment in the jth PSRO area
 - total number of PERO areas under consideration

(For illustration of this methodology and a discussion of its limitations, see the technical note at the end of this article.)

Findings

provide background for the To findings in this study, a summary of national trends in hospital use by Medicare beneficiaries for the 1967 1977 period through is Using the methodology presented. described above, data are presented for (1) PSRO area trends, 1974 through 1977, (2) a cross-sectional

analysis of Medicare utilization by PSRO area, and (3) correlation and regression analysis of the relationships between utilization and area characteristics.

National Trends, 1967 through 1977

During the period 1967-77, the discharge rate for Medicare patients in the Nation increased 28 percent, rising from 271 discharges per 1,000 enrollees in 1967 to 346 per 1,000 in 1977 (See fig. 1.)

Nationally, this rise in the discharge rate was offset by an opposite trend in the ALOS. As indicated in figure 1, ALOS was 13.8 days in 1967 and declined to 10.9 days by 1977. As a result of these opposing trends, the days-of-care rate has changed little over the 11-year interval, registering 3,740 days of care per 1,000 enrollees in 1967 and 3,767 in 1977. It should be noted, however, that during this period new technologies and services were introduced and the intensity and quantity of services changed. Thus, the "nature" of a day of care has changed over this period.

PSRO Area Trends, 1974 through 1977

The hospital-based measures generated for PSRO areas during 1974 through 1977 are listed within their HEW regions in table 1 (at end of article). 4/ (For boundaries of the 10 HEW regions, see fig. 2.) The national pattern of an increasing discharge rate and a decreasing ALOS was followed by nearly all PSRO areas during the period 1974-77. Figure 3 summarizes these changes and displays the distribution of PSRO areas by the percent change in these utilization measures. For the discharge rate, most of the PSRO areas are to the right of the "no change" or zero point of the horizontal axis, which illustrates that the discharge rate increased in most PSRO areas during this period. The figure shows that for 31 percent of the PSRO areas the discharge rate increased 5 to 7 percent and, for 23 percent of the PSRO areas, the discharge rate rose 8 to 10 percent.

In contrast, for average length of stay, most of the PSRO areas are to the left of the "no change" point, showing that average length of stay decreased in nearly all PSRO areas. The figure indicates that for 32 percent of the PSRO areas, ALOS decreased 5 to 7 percent and, for 33 percent of the PSRO areas, ALOS decreased 8 to 13 percent.

The result of these opposite trends are reflected in the days-of-care rate, with the last graph in figure 3 illustrating the relatively even distribution of PSRO areas around the "no change" point. The figure shows that for 22 percent of the PSRO areas the days-of-care rate changed 1 percent or less and for 57 percent of the PSRO areas the days-of-care rate changed 4 percent or less.

It has sometimes been hypothesized declines that the greatest in utilization are more likely to occur where utilization in 🛛 areas is highest and the least declines in areas where utilization is lowest. Analysis of the data for all PSRO for of the three areas each utilization show measures mixed results. For the discharge rate--which did not decrease but in increased most areas--the hypothesis is somewhat confirmed. The discharge rate for the Nation in 1974 was 326 discharges per 1,000 enrollees with the rate ranging in the Nation from a high of 453 to a low of 244. The 20 PSRO areas with the greatest declines (or smallest rises) during the period 1974-77 had an average discharge rate of 355 in









Figure 3: Percent Distributions of PSRO Areas by Change in Discharge Rates, Average Length of Stay, and Daysof-Care Rates, for Medicare Beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, 1974-77.



Percent Change in Days-of-Care Rate

1974 whereas the 20 areas, with the least declines (or greatest rises) in the discharge rate had an average of only 274 discharges in 1974.

For ALOS, the hypothesis does not appear to hold true. The average length of stay in the Nation was 11.6 days in 1974, ranging from a high of 18.4 to a low of 7.5 days. The 20 PSRO areas with the greatest declines during this period had an average stay in 1974 of 12.3 days, a figure that was identical to the average for the 20 PSRO areas with the least declines during this period.

The changes in the days-of-care rate for this period do not confirm hypothesis either. the The days-of-care rate for the Nation in 1974 was 3,777 days per 1,000 enrollees, with the rate ranging from a high of 5,283 days to a low of 2,037 days. The 20 PSRO areas with the greatest declines during this period averaged 3,797 days per 1,000 in 1974 compared to the 20 PSRO areas with the least declines (or greatest rises) which averaged 3,583 days per 1,000--rates that are not notably different from the mean for the Nation. Further, two PSRO areas in the United States that experienced decreases of 16 percent and 13 percent in the days-of-care (the rate. greatest declines recorded) had rates in 1974 that were 2.923 and 2,881 days per 1,000 respectively. These figures were considerably below the mean that year.

<u>Cross-sectional Analysis of Hospital</u> Utilization by PSRO Area, 1977

Also studied were 1977 utilization rates at the PSRO level. Analysis of the data indicated a dramatic range in all three measures of use.

Discharge Rates

An examination of discharge rates by PSRO area (table 1) revealed almost a two-fold difference between the lowest rate of 257 per 1,000 enrollees in the Pacific PSRO in Hawaii 5/ and the highest discharge rate of 468 per 1,000 enrollees in Texas PSRO area 1. Table 2 shows the 20 PSRO areas with the highest and the 20 with the lowest discharge rates in 1977. The PSRO areas with highest discharge rates the are predominantly large rural areas located in the central and southern parts of the country. Of the 20 PSRO areas with the lowest discharge rates, 15 are in the Northeast and include the PSRO areas consisting of cities of Baltimore and the Washington, D.C., the boroughs of Brooklyn and Queens, the Hartford metropolitan area, and two PSRO areas in northern New Jersey.

determine the To amount of variation within HEW regions, the data in table 3 were assembled to show the range in the utilization measures. Within each region, the values for the PSRO areas with the highest and lowest discharge rate, average length of stay. and _days-of-care rate are shown.

The data in table 3 indicate that the variations in the discharge rate within regions are similar to the differences across regions. Within region 6 (Dallas), the difference in the discharge rate between the lowest and highest PSRO areas was 139 discharges per 1,000 enrollees. In region 2 (New York) the range was discharges. 104 By way of comparison, the difference in the regional discharge rate between the lowest region (New York) and the 110 highest region (Dallas) was discharges per 1.000.

Average Length of Stay

Observation of individual PSR0 area measurements reveals that the length of stav highest average occurred in PSRO area 13 in New York (17.1 days) and was almost 2.5 times greater than the lowest rate of 7.1 days in PSRO area 11 in Central California (table 1). Nineteen of the 20 PSRO areas with the highest values of ALOS are in the Northeast and 19 of the 20 PSRO areas with the lowest values are in the West (table Six areas (National Capital 4). PŚRO. County PSRO, Oueens Kinas County PSRO, Baltimore City PSRO, Bergen County PSRO, Nassau Physician Review PSRO) were in the group of 20 PSRO areas with the lowest values of discharge rates, as well as the 20 areas with the highest values of ALOS reflecting the fact that an inverse relationship often exists between the two measures.

The data indicate that large variations in ALOS also occur within regions (table 3). In the New York reaion--with greatest the in ALOS difference variation--the between the lowest and highest PSRO area was 5.1 days. This difference was nearly as great as the 6.5 day difference between the ALOS values for the New York region and the Seattle region. In eight of the ten regions, differences in ALOS between the lowest and highest PSRO areas were 31 percent or more.

Days-of-Care Rates

The highest rate of days of care for an individual PSRO area was 5,123 per 1,000 enrollees in the Adirondack PSRO area--a rate more than 2.5 times greater than the lowest rate of 2,022 in PSRO area 11 in Central California (table 1). Nine of the 20 highest days-of-care rates occur in PSRO areas in New York State and 17 are east of the Mississippi River (table 5). The lowest rates of days of care are found, with only one exception, in PSRO areas in the West. PSRO areas in California alone account for 14 of the 20 lowest rates.

variations in the Large days-of-care rate across PSRO areas occur within regions (table 3). Region 8 (Denver) had the greatest variation, ranging from 2,370 days per 1.000 enrollees in the lowest PSRO area to 4.209 days per 1,000 in PSRO the hiahest area. The reflects. the. days-of-care rate discharge rate and the average length of stay. Wennberg analyzed the importance of variations in the discharge rate compared tovariations in the average length of stay in determining the consumption of patient days. The data studied were for 13 hospital service areas in Vermont and for the population under 21 years of age. He found that variations in the incidence of hospitalization, that is, variations in the discharge rate, for most conditions were pediatric more important than length of stav variations in determinina the days-of-care rate. 6/

data for Medicare the aged population lead to opposite conclusions. The variation in length of stay is the more important factor in determining days of care used for the population aged 65 years and over. Of the 20 PSR0 areas with the highest days-of-care rate (table 5), 11 were among the extremely high ALOS areas with Similarly, of the 20 with the lowest (table 4). PSRO areas days-of-care rate, 15 were among the extremely low ALOS. areas with Clearly, extremes in average length of stay have a strong impact on the days-of-care rate for the aged population. strona The same influence on the days-of-care rate is not found for extremes in the discharge rates. Areas with the highest (or lowest) discharge rates (table 2) are not frequently found to be the same areas with the highest (or lowest) days-of-care rates.

PSRO area 13 in New York illustrates the effect that extremes in average length of stay can have. Despite the fact that the discharge rate in PSRO area 13, New York, was among the lowest in the Nation, the impact of its high average length of stay places this area among the highest in the days-of-care rate.

In further support of this point, coefficients of determination (R^2) were computed using Medicare data from each of the PSRO areas. The discharge rate was found to explain only 9 percent of the variation in days-of-care whereas ALOS explained 52 percent of the variation.

<u>Relationships Between Utilization</u> and Area Characteristics

Previous analyses of Medicare data have indicated that patient characteristics of age, sex, and race influence short-stay hospital utilization, with age being one of the strongest factors.

The rate of discharge and the average length of stay both increase substantially with age. Men have a slightly higher discharge rate compared to women, but men have a lower average length of stay. White persons have a higher discharge rate compared to persons of all other races, but white persons have a lower average length of stay.

Medicare data also have shown that population density tends to be related to hospital utilization. In general, discharge rates are higher in sparsely populated areas compared to more densely populated areas, although sparsely populated areas tend to have lower average lengths of stay.

Many investigators have examined area resource factors to determine if there are relationships between the supply of health care resources and utilization. Factors that are often considered are the supply of short-stay hospital beds as well as hospital occupancy rates, the supply of long-term beds, the supply of physicians, and the presence of teaching or specialty hospitals.

Given these considerations. nine factors were selected to determine their influence PSR0 on area hospital utilization. The variables selected were (1) proportion of enrollees aged 75 and over; (2)proportion of female enrollees; (3) proportion of nonwhite enrollees: density; (4) population (5)short-stay hospital bed supply: 6) nursing home bed supply; (7) physician supply; (8) influence of teaching hospitals; (9) and hospital occupancy rates.

Partial Correlation

Table 6 shows partial correlation coefficients. derived from the rearession models. for ALOS. davs-of-care discharge rate, and rate. 7/ Blank cells indicate that the partial correlation coefficients were not statistically significant at the 95 percent level.

The proportion of enrollees over age 75 correlated positively with all three measures of use (though only minimally with discharge rate). These results were expected and confirmed earlier findings from Medicare data. Population density correlated highly with ALOS and days-of-care rate suggesting that in more densely populated areas--where

ambulatory services are generally available--a higher proportion of hospitalized patients have more severe illnesses, requiring longer stays. In sparser populated areas, the shorter ALOS suggests that a higher proportion of patients are hospitalized with less severe would illnesses--that have been treated on an outpatient basis had those services been available.

Although it has often been suggested that a low supply of nursing home beds results in longer hospital stays, the absence of any correlation between the supply of nursing home beds and ALOS may indicate that this explanation for longer stays in some areas does not hold.

The supply of physicians in a PSRO area correlated inversely with the discharge rate and days-of-care rate, suggesting the effect of the availability of alternatives to inpatient care upon hospitalization rates.

The percentage of admissions to teaching hospitals was slightly with ALOS correlated which mav indicate the combined effects of complicated more case load and training programs upon duration of inpatient stays.

Hospital occupancy rates correlated positively with ALOS and days-of-care rate and correlated negatively with discharge rate. By far these were the most significant correlations observed.

The demographic and health variables resource explained - 76 percent of the variation in ALOS, 49 percent of the variation in discharge rate, and 60 percent of the variation in days-of-care rate. Demographic variables (age, sex. race, population density) accounted for two-thirds of the explained variation in ALOS but only one-third of the explained variation in discharge rate and days-of-care rate.

Predictions

Data presented earlier in this report indicate that ALOS values in PSRO areas in the northeastern HEW regions are significantly higher than those HEW in the western regions. Prediction models for ALOS in these two areas were developed in table 7. (The and appear includes HEW northeastern area regions 1, 2, and 3; the western area includes HEW regions 8, 9, and 10.) The model for the Northeast has an R^2 value of .67, a maximum residual value of 3.2 days, and an average residual value of .8 days and may be used to predict ALOS in the Northeast.

Three arbitrarily selected PSRO areas in the West (Redwood Coast Region, Superior California. Tulane-Kings Counties) each had low values of ALOS in 1976 (7.3 days, 8.0 days, 7.4 days). If the values of the nine independent variables for each of these three PSRO areas are entered into the model for the Northeast, predicted values of ALOS are 7.1 days, 7.3 days, and 7.7 areas. if davs. Thus, these hypothetically placed into the northeastern regional grouping, would still have low values of Actual ALOS values in the ALOS. Northeast range from 10.2 to 19.9 days, considerably higher than any of these predicted by the model.

The same phenomenon occurs if characteristics of PSRO areas in the Northeast are substituted into the regression model for the West. The model for the West has an R^2 value of .67, a maximum residual value of 1.0 days, and an average residual

value of .5 days. Again, three PSRO areas were selected: New York County with an actual ALOS of 17.7 days; National Capital with an ALOS of 14.3 days; Philadelphia with an ALOS of 14.8 days. Predicted values of ALOS using the regression model for the West are 16.5 days, 13.6 days, and 12.7 days, respectively. In comparison to the range of ALOS values in the West (7.3 to 10.9 days), the predicted values indicate that these PSRO areas would also have high values of ALOS in the West.

These illustrations indicate that PSRO areas have high (or low) values of ALOS not necessarily because of their geographic location, but in large measure because of the characteristics of the area. The examples illustrate extreme cases. but emphasize the importance of the area-specific characteristics in explaining variations in ALOS.

Summary_and_Discussion

For some time it has been known t hat Medicare utilization in short-stav hospitals varies considerably by geographic area. The development of utilization data by PSRO area along with a method to estimate the population-at-risk for using services in a defined group of hospitals enabled the present analyses to be made.

Study of utilization measures over the period 1974 to 1977 indicates that the national pattern of an increasing discharge rate and а decreasing ALOS, established in the early years of the Medicare program, has been followed by individual PSRO In the great majority of areas. PSRO areas, the discharge rate rose while ALOS fell. Overall the while days-of-care rate remained steady. This steadiness implies that the Medicare large rise in hospital expenditures has not been due to an

increase in the use of hospital days, but to input price increases and changes in the nature of hospital services.

The reasons for the steady rise in the discharge rate and the steady in ALOS decline are not well Other investigations understood. with Medicare data are examining the roles of increases in the rate of using hospital persons care. increases in the rate of multiple hospitalizations, shifts in the distribution of discharges by length of stay interval and changes in case time mix over possible as contributing factors.

A tendency was noted for the smallest increases in the discharge rate to occur in areas that already had high rates. However, for ALOS there was no relation between initial value of ALOS and change in ALOS during the study period--some areas with low ALOS displayed large percentage decreases in ALOS.

Analysis also revealed that the days-of-care rate among PSRO areas was closely correlated with ALOS but not with the discharge rate. Areas with extreme values of days-of-care were likely to have extreme values of ALOS, while there was no relation between extremes in the days-of-care rate and extremes in the discharge This finding rate. on the importance of ALOS in determining consumption of hospital days. coupled with the finding of great variation in ALOS among PSRO areas, may indicate that increased emphasis should be placed on review of length of stav in hiah ALOS areas. Considerably fewer hospital davs would be used if the ALOS in areas with very high values could be brought closer to the national average (while, of course, holding the discharge rate constant).

Area characteristics expected to have some relation to hospital use were examined as possible factors contributing variations to in hospital use measures. The results of correlation rearession and studies indicate that variations in population density, physician supply, and a supply measure related population density--short-stay to hospital bed supply--are associated variations in measures of with hospital The demographic use. characteristics of Medicare enrollees, the influence of teaching hospitals, and hospital occupancy rates in PSRO areas are also related to hospital use.

significant relationships The found between hospital utilization and supply of health services have implications important for **PSRO** program policy. The main components of PSRO activity--concurrent review of hospital cases, retrospective review of care via medical care evaluation studies (MCEs) and profile analyses--have focused on physician and hospital behavior in order to reduce misutilization and improve the quality of health care. The present study seems to show that changes in the supply variables and changes in occupancy rate can also have a great impact on levels of hospital utilization. Thus, PSROs in areas greatly above or below the utilization norms should look to variations in supply variables. as physician well as to practice explanation. for Where patterns. problems in utilization patterns are uncovered, attention should be given to alterations in the availability of services. This means that PSROs need to work closely with other programs, such as the Health Planning Program, whose purpose is to rationalize the amount and types of health facilities and services.

Acknowledgments

Many individuals in the Office of Statistics and Data Management contributed to the desian and development of data used in this report. Special acknowledgments are made to Irvina Goldstein. who designed a major modification to the flow of data resulting in the timely production of hospital data by PSRO and to Carol Walton, who area. contributed to the desion of computer techniques for generating patient origin and destination data.

Nathanie] Μ. Pigman. Jr.. and James C. Beebe of the Statistical and Research Services Branch provided statistical consultation. advice, and assistance in developing hospital-based and in rates computing their standard errors.

The Statistical Services Unit in the Office of Research, supervised by Kathryn D. Barrett, provided considerable assistance in producing the data presented in this article.

Footnotes

- 1/ Tables similar to those presented in this report by PSRO area have been developed by health service area and will be made available to all Health Systems Agencies.
- 2/ The "Health Planning and Resources Development Act of 1974" authorized the creation of Health Systems Agencies (HSAs) Service in about 200 Health Nation-wide to Areas carrvout various health planning functions in their areas.
- <u>3</u>/ Norman T. J. Bailey, "Statistics in Hospital Planning and Design," Applied Statistics, November 1965, pp. 146-157.

- The 4/ data presented in this article were also used as the basic data set in the 1978 PSRO evaluation study. It 15 important to note that in the PSRO evaluation. study. rearession analysis techniques were used to focus on changes in utilization from 1974 to 1977, after adjusting for variables hospital bed (e.q., supply) which might affect PSRO impact. Consequently, actual changes in use rates shown in table 1 will differ from the rearession result of the PSRO evaluation These differences are studv. also discussed on page 67 of the 1978 PSRO evaluation study (HEW Pub. No. HCFA-03000, Jan. 1979).
- 5/ Only PSRO areas in the 50 States were considered.
- 6/ Wennberg, John E., "A Small Area Epidemiologic Approach to Health Care Data," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Public Health Conference on</u> <u>Records and Statistics</u>, June 14-16, 1976, p. 334-351).
- 7/ To check for multicollinearity. all explanatory variables were entered stepwise into the regression model and successive lists of regression coefficients were examined for stability. Two variables--short-stay bed supply and proportion of female enrollees--were correlated with other variables causing unstable coefficients. regression The r-values in table 6 are derived from rearession models which do not include these two variables.

Technical Note

Included here are information on data sources used, an example illustrating the methodology for estimating population-at-risk, a discussion of its limitations, and the formula for the sampling error associated with hospital-based rates.

Data Sources

Medicare data used in this report were derived primarily from three basic files maintained centrally in the Medicare Statistical System:

- 1. The master enrollment file contains information about all Medicare enrollees including age, sex, race, and state and county of residence.
- 2. The hospital bill file contains information taken from the claim submitted for payment, including dates of admission and discharge.
- 3. The provider file contains information about certified Medicare providers such as the location of the hospital, number of beds, and teaching status.

For 100 percent of hospital stays in the Nation, one record was created contained information taken that from all three files listed above. Two additional data elements were incorporated into the record to indicate (1) the PSRO area where the patient resided and (2) the PSRO area where the hospital stav occurred.

All hospital stays that occurred in the period 1974 through 1977 and processed centrally as of March 1978 were included in the file. The file contains about 95 percent of all hospital stays in a year within 3 months after the end of the year and about 98 to 99 percent within 15 months after the end of the year. Although there was only a small shortfall in the file, another more current file (query file) was used to correct the shortfall. The query file comes from the system employed by fiscal intermediaries to query the Medicare central office on eligibility and benefits available to Medicare patients admitted to a hospital. This file, which contains nearly a complete count of all admissions within a month after they occur, was used in conjunction with the hospital bill file to obtain complete counts of hospital stavs.

Example Illustrating Adjustment for Patient Migration

The diagram below represents a configuration hypothetical us ina only four PSRO areas. The number of enrollees-at-risk to hospital care in PSRO area 1 is calculated by allocating port ion of a the enrollees from each of the four PSRO areas. The proportion is based upon the fraction of total discharges for residents of each of the four PSRO areas which occurred in hospitals in PSR0 area 1.



The patient origin matrix below shows the total number of discharges for residents of each of four PSRO and the location of the areas hospitals in which the discharges Suppose for residents of occurred. area 1 there were a total of 32,500 discharges of which 30,000 were from hospitals located in area 1; 500 discharges from hospitals in area 2; 1,250 discharges from hospitals in area 3; and 750 discharges from **PSRO**

hospitals in area 4. Similarly, for residents of area 4, there were a total of 30,250 discharges of which 4,000 were from hospitals in area 150 from hospitals in area 2; 100 from hospitals in area 3; and 26,000 from hospitals in area 4.

Area Where Discharge Occurred	 	Dischar Residen PSRO Ar	ges for ts of eas	
	PSR0	PSRO 2	PSR0 3	PSRO 4
PSRO 1	30,000	6,000	5,000	4,000
PSRO 2	500	5,750	500	150
PSRO 3	1,250	1,000	20,000	100
PSR0 4	750	250	200	26,000
Tot al	_32,500	13,000	25,700	30,250

To estimate the beneficiaries-atrisk in PSRO area 1, the proportion of total discharges from hospitals in PSRO area 1 is determined for possible PSRO each area of residence. A fraction of enrollment from each area is then allocated to PSRO area 1 based upon the fraction of discharges that occurred in PSRO The calculation is area 1. demonstrated in the following chart.

Patient Origin Matrix

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Residence of beneficiary	Total Discharges	Discharges from hospitals in PSRO 1	Proportion of total discharges from hos- pitals in PSRO 1	Medicare enrollment	(4)X(3) Enrollment allocated to PSRO 1
PSRO 1	32,500	30,000	.92	75,000	69,000
PSR0_2	13,000	6,000	.46	30,000	13,800
PSRO 3	25,700	5,000	.19	30,000	5,700
PSRO 4	30,250	4,000	. 13	50,000	6,500
Total	101,450	45,000	······································	185,000	95,000

Limitations in Methodology to Produce Hospital-Based Rates

The need to develop information to compare the rate of hospital use in one area with the rate of hospital use in another area, as well as to analyze changes over time, led to the development of a method to produce hospital-based measures. The validity of this method depends upon its basic assumption that a population-at-risk can he constructed by observing where the patients come from. Although future efforts could refine the calculation, for example, by taking into account the characteristics of the patients, such as age, sex, and race, and relating them to the allocation of enrollees, there would remain some limitations that are inherent in the basic approach.

One inherent limitation is that hospital-based rates as constructed here are subject to a "dampening" If, for example, the phenomenon. number of discharges in a specific PSRO area is reduced due to PSRO review--while the number of hospital stays remains constant in all other areas--the new discharge rate for the second year in the PSRO area in which utilization was reduced will register a smaller reduction than actually occurred. This result methods used to stems from the generate the denominator for the rate. Because the proportion of discharges received in the total PSRO area declined, the number of enrollees allocated the to population-at-risk to services in the PSR0 automatically area At the same time, the declines. other PSRO areas are necessarily allocated more enrollees. thus falsely decreasing their discharge rate.

Another limitation of the methodology is that different

HEALTH CARE FINANCING REVIEW/Summer 1979

est imates for population-at-risk different result when geographic units are used. For example, the population-at-risk for a particular PSR0 will area be different depending on whether data on patient origin are aggregated by PSRO area or country.

Sampling Errors

In the calculation of enrolleesat-risk required for hospital-based rates, the information contained in the patient-origin matrix is based upon a 20 percent sample file of inpatient bills. Thus, there is a sampling error associated with the estimated number of enrollees-atrisk in each PSRO area. The error is given by the following formula:

Variance of
$$E_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d_{1j} D_{j} - d_{ij}^{2}}{\frac{2}{2} D_{j}^{3}} e_{j}^{2}$$

Where $E_{\underline{1}}$ = Estimated total number of Medicare enrollees at tisk in the ith PSNO area

d 1 = Number of discharges from hospitals in the ith PSRO area of patients who resided in the jth PSRO area

 $D_j = Total number of discharges of patients who resided in$ $the jth PSRC area <math>(D_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 4_{kj})$

e, - Medicare enroliment in the jth PSRO area

n - Total number of PSRO areas

Since the denominator used for the rate calculation (enrollees-at-risk) is an estimate, the rate itself is an estimate whose standard error is given by

 $\frac{K}{E_{1}^{2}} \qquad (Variance E_{1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Where K in the numerator of the expression above is either discharges of days-of-care. Table T1 which contains the standard errors for both the discharge rate and days-of-care rate for all PSRO areas is available upon request.

Region, State, and PSRO	(P	Dischar er 1,000	ge rate enrollee	.5)	Percent Change	Ave	rage lang (Day	jth of el ra)	tay	Percent Change	(P	bys of c ir 1,000	are rate engollee	8)	Percent Change
	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77
ALL RECIONS	326	3,30	341	346	6.1	11.6	11.4	u.2	10.9	- 0.0	3,772	3,761	3,817	3,767	- 0.3
BOSTON REGION	299	302	316	320	7.0	12,6	12.4	12.3	12.0	- 4.8	3,772	3,748	3,884	3,834	1,0
Connecticut, i Fairfield County	269 279 258 270 275	27 <u>3</u> 283 260 275 278	281 299 265 283 280	286 307 269 287 284	6.3 10.0 4.3 6.3 3.3	12.4 12.9 12.6 12.5 11.1	12.2 12.6 12.5 12.2 10.8	12.0 12.4 12.3 12.1 10.3	11.6 12.1 11.9 11.0 10.0	- 6.5 - 6.2 - 5.0 - 7.2 - 9.9	3,334 3,594 3,246 3,377 3,047	3,328 3,571 3,254 3,357 3,005	3,368 3,706 3,256 3,429 2,888	3,215 3,717 3,205 3,331 2,841	- 0.6 3.4 - 1.3 - 1.4 - 6.8
Neine		322	340	345	6.8	10.6	10,3	10.2	10.1	- 4.7	3,422	3,315	3,466	3,479	1.7
Medetchusetts.,	307	309	325	329	7.2	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.1	- 3.0	4.141	4,146	4,320	4,311	4_1
New Reupthire.,	316	316		332		10.2	10.5	10.3	10.0	- 8.3	3,445	3,320	3,397	3,321	- 3.*
Rhode Island	276	281	295	297	7.6	10,1	12.1	12.8	11,6	-11.5	3,616	3.396	3,484	3,443	- 4.8
Vermont	348	358	373	367	\$.5	10.9	10.6	11.0	10,8	- 0.9	3,792	3,793	4,101	3,960	4.4
NBA YORK REGION	272	278	290	296	8.8	15.3	15.3	15.)	14.4	- 5.9		4,260	4,377	4,266	2.6
New Jetsey	273	280	291	299	9.5	13.9	14.1	13.9	13.8	× 0.7	3,799	3,943	4,050	4,132	8,8
2 Pagado Vollay	294	298	310	314	6.8	13.2	13.5	13.0	13.1	- 0.8	3,861	4,029	4,027	4,114	6.0
3 Bergen County	258	264	272	286	9.7	13.5	13.6	12.8	12.6	2.7	3.574	3,583	3,477	3,657	12.5
4 Essex Physicians Review	290	296	307	320	10.3	14.8	14.9	14.6	16 1	- 4.7	3,824	J, 9944	4,071	A 505	5.1
5 Hudson County	262	275	293	308	17.0	15.8	15.6	15.3	15.3	- 3.2	4,136	4.282	4.486	4,707	13.8
6 Union County	276	278	296	301	9,1	1 13.1	13.5	13.2	13.0	- 0.8	3,610	3.751	3,910	3.911	8.3
7 Area VII	267	275	282	288	7.9	13.2	13.6	13.7	13.5	2.3	3.529	3,741	3,859	3,885	10.1
8 Southern New Jersey	276	283	301	305	10.5	13.5	13.8	13.9	13.8	2.2	3,724	3,907	4,184	4,204	12.9
New York.	276.	283	295	303	9.8	16,0	16.1	15.9	15.0	- 6.2	4,421	4,563	4,693	4,542	3.0
Caroose Book	287	299	312	317	10.5 -	17.1	16.9	16.6	16.1	- 5.8	4,915	5,044	5,174	5,108	3.9
Central New York	278	287	305	30.3	9.0	19.1	13,1	13.1	12.9	1	3,636	3,76L	3,998	3,906	7.4
4 FivesCounty Organization	202	31.9	327	331	7.9	13.4	13.3	10.4	13.0		4,234	4,240	4,375	4,303	
5 Adirondack	357	350	345	325	5.6	14.5	13.7	14.1	13.4	6.1	4,380	4,25/	4,235	6,303 1 1 7 7	3.0
6 Area VI	296	301	312	316	7.5	14.0	16.2	14 4	14.6	4,3	4 109	4 974	6,488	4.616	12.3
7 Eastern New York	305	312	324	331	8.5	15.0	25.0	14.9	14.3	- 4.7	4.573	4.685	4,833	4,730	3,4
8 Area 8	302	313	326	335	10,9	14.2	13.9	13.8	13.7	- 3.5	4,292	4,344	4,492	4,584	b.8
9 Ares 9	284	286	297	311	.9.5	14.9	14.7	14.5	13.8	7.4	4,234	4,200	4,310	4,286	1.2
11 New York County	285	289	314	331	10-1	13.4	13.8	12.9	12.0	10.4	3,616	3,988	4,048	3,968	4.0
12 Richmond County	256	271	282	291	22.4	18.3	18.0	17.7	16.2	1-11-5	4,784	4,876	4,998	4,721	
13 Kings County	247	258	251	374	11.3	17.4	17.1	17.3	15.3	1.44	4,510	4,078	2,020	4,048	1 35
14 Queens County	255	255	269	273	7.1	16.4	20.4	19-9	15.9	1.55	4,344	3,239	A 551	4 147	0.7
15 Nessau Physicians' Organization	263	272	279	287	9.1	14.4	14 4	15.0	14 4	0.0	1 946	4.014	4,186	4.184	8.8
16 Brony Hedical Services	270	280	282	Z92	8.1	17.1	17.3	16.9	16.4	1 4.1	4.619	4,842	4,757	4,789	3.7
17 Sutfolk Physicians Review	265	273	295	304	14.7	12.8	13.0	13.4	13.7	7.0	3,395	3,554	3,947	4,170	22.8

TABLE 1.--Hospital-based measures of short-stay hospitals use adjusted for patient origin, for Medicare beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, by FSRO area, State, and region, 1974-77

Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Health Care Pinancing Administration

Begion, State, and PSR0	(P	Dischar, er 1,000	ge rate enrolle	HE)	Ecimies Chraige	Ave	cegë len (Daj	gth of \$1 (B)	tsy	Forcerd Thoogy	[(Pe	bays of c r 1,000	enrollee	s)	Corearis Skange
· · · ·	1974	1975	1976	1977	1972-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	19?/.=''?	1974	1975	1976	1977	197%-77
NEW YORK REGION (CONTINUED)					Į										
Puerto Rico	227	228	237	228	0.1	12.5	11.8	11.3	10.4	-16+1	2,834	2,694	2,680	2,374	-16.9
Yirgin Islandø	248	231	285	391	37.7	17.1	20.7	17.7	16.5		4,236	4.787	5,044	6,446	
PHTLADELPEIA REGION	_296	305	317	322	2.7	13.0	12,6	12.5	12.3	-1.4	3,852	3,845	3,961	3,960	7.7
Delaware	260	267	28]	290	11.5	13.3	12.6	13.0	12.5	-6.0	3.459	3,361	3,651	3,630	5.9
District of Columbia	249	256	269	273	9.4	14.2	14,7	14.3	13.7	-3.5	3,530	3,757	3,849	3,738	<u></u>
Mary Lond	257	265	280	289	22.5	13.2	13.2	13.2	12.9	-2.1	3,386	3,496	3,6 <u>89</u>	3,725	20.0
1 Western Haryland. 2 Boltfmore Cfcy. 3 Montgemery County	252 264 274 261 244 260 265 317 281 244 339 312 325 317 281 244 339 312 325 317 281 244 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265	265 295 256 258 258 269 269 301 343 332 294 264 264 342 322 327 341 262 262	280 305 270 281 312 267 290 284 <u>312</u> 359 304 276 345 346 345 273	289 281 281 281 287 326 269 302 305 339 339 339 339 339 339 330 330 330 330	10.3 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4	13.2 12.2 14.7 12.2 13.4 12.9 11.4 11.0 13.3 12.2 11.4 13.0 14.1 10.6 13.4 12.2 1.4 13.0 14.1 10.6 13.4 13.9 14.7 13.9	12.4 12.4 14.8 11.9 13.0 12.9 11.4 11.2 12.8 11.4 11.2 12.8 10.4 13.5 10.4 13.5 10.4 13.0 11.8 11.9 13.1	11.9 11.9 15.2 12.1 12.8 12.8 11.2 10.8 11.2 10.8 10.4 12.6 13.2 10.4 12.9 11.9 12.9 12.9	12.9 11.9 14.8 11.7 14.8 11.7 12.5 11.0 10.7 12.5 10.4 12.5 12.5 10.4 12.5 10.5	-201 -201 -201 -201 -201 -3.1 -3.2 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.7 -3.4 -3.6 -3.7 -3.6 -3.7	3,434 3,590 3,343 3,496 3,150 3,195 2,919 3,868 3,612 3,652 3,652 3,652 3,442 3,595 4,174 3,555 4,071 3,565	2,663 3,761 3,776 3,781 3,787 3,294 3,207 3,207 3,207 3,207 3,207 3,518 4,050 4,050 4,180 3,559 4,180 3,559 4,054 4,180 3,843 4,054	3,627 4,106 3,403 3,419 3,253 3,062 4,233 3,062 4,233 3,528 3,641 3,771 4,202 4,081 4,134 4,028	3,701 4,153 3,362 4,334 3,360 3,321 3,260 3,984 4,176 4,003 3,984 4,003 3,964 3,964 4,023 4,122 3,509 3,924	10000 000 0000000000000000000000000000
li Hontgemery/Bucks 12 Philadelphia,	265 281	283 289	294 301	303 312	11.0	13.2	12.5 14,8	12.3 14.8	12.1 14.9	-9.3 -0.7	3,501 4,213	3,941 4,276	3,614 4,460),650 4,644	10.2
Virginia. 1 Shenandoah Foundation 2 Northern Virginia 3 Southwast Virginia 5 Colonial Virginia Vast Virginia	317 318 296 349 300 300 380	325 321 294 364 315 304 385	333 337 304 366 318 318 400	337 335 313 372 324 320 390	5.3 5.7 6.6 8.0 6.7	13.0 12.5 12.6 12.5 13.7 13.8 11.5	12.3 11.6 12.2 11.8 12.8 12.9 10.9	12.3 11.6 11.9 11.6 13.2 12.9 10.8	11.9 11.4 \$L,3 11.4 13.0 12.1 10.5	-X-5 -W-5 -7-1 -12-3 	4, <u>118</u> 3,974 3,727 4,367 4,110 4,143 4,369	3,998 3,728 3,586 4,295 4,027 3,917 4,199	4,101 3,906 3,622 4,247 4,197 4,105 4,325	4,013 3,823 3,540 4,241 4,207 3,871 4,099	-3.6 -5.0 -1.9 -1.6 -5.0

TABLE 1.--Hospital-based measures of short-stay hospitals use adjusted for parient origin, for Medicare beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, by PSRO srea, State, and region, 1974-77

Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Health Care Financing Administration

Region, State, and PSRO		r 1,000	earolles	HF)	Percent Average length of stay Change (Days)				Change	(P	45)	Percent Change			
······································	1974	1975	1976	1.977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77
LANTA REGION	337	343	356	365	8.3	10.6	10.3	10.2	10.0	- 5,7	3,573	3,531	3, <u>628</u>	3,652	2,2
Alabama	362	.363	382	. 394	8.8	10.3	10.1	9.9	9.5	- 7.8	3,723	3,665	3,779	3,738	0,4
floridz	315	322	338	345	9,5	10,3	10.1	10.0	9.8	+ 4.9	3.242	3,253	3.379	3,383	4.3
1 Foundation for PSR	394	392	419	433	9,9	9.1	8.6	6.8	6.7	- 4,4	3,564	3,450	3,689	3,765	5,1
2 Community Medical Services	329	336	345	350	9.7	9.6	9.3	9,3	8.9	+ 7.3	3,058	3,122	3,209	3,119	2.0
3 Jacksonville Area	346	352	346	386	11.0	10.5	10.2	10.2	9.9	- 5.7	3,632	3,565	3,753	3,825	5.3
4 Winelles County	264	268	290	301	14.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	10.6	- 3.6	2,906	2,943	3,185	3,185	9.0
5 Professional Foundation	310	91.9	320	327	3.9	10.2	10.0	9.7	9.6	- 5.9	3.161	9.131	3,102	3,094	-2.1
6 Polk+Highlands-Hardes,	320	336	\$47	951	9.7	9.9	9.7	9.6	9.3	- 6.3	3.163	3,243	3.327	3.259	3.0
7 Centrel Ploride	945	350	34.2	370	7.2	1 10.0	9.9	9.6	9.3	- 7.0	3.451	3.465	3.549	3.437	-0,4
S Brauard-Wainets	3.26	326	197	337	3.4	1 10.7	10.4	10.4	10.2	- 4.7	3.484	3,391	3.462	3.438	6.1-
a West Central Florida	204	204	210	211	8.7	1 10 4	10.2	10 2		- 6.7	1 3 005	3.014	3,159	3 044	1.3
10 Regulation for Medical Case	260	274	210	204	10.9	1	6.7	6 1	0.2	- 2.1	2 690	7.520	2,692	2.705	8,6
10 Foundation and Indexed Coppeting	202	201	290	234	13.4	1 10 1				- 5.0	1 3 004	3 197	7 307	1 141	7.9
11 Drowero-Continents and a second second second second	303	221	337	346	0.1	10.1	343		7.0	. 1.7	3,070	3 032	4 005	6 020	5.5
	334	362	381	38/		10.8	10.8	10.3	10.4		1,021	27022	4,000	4,040	
Georgia	<u>¥42.</u>	344	357	374	y.4	<u>∦ 2,6</u> .	9,2	2.0	8.9	- 7.9	3.285	3,163	3.216	3,325	+
Kentucky,	3,51	358	370	378	7.7	10.3	10.3	10.2	10,0	- 2.9	3.616	3.684	3,770	3,784	4.6
%18#1##1pp1	417	426	434	446	7.0	10,3	10,0	10.1	10,0	2.9	4.298	4,263	4,387	4,458.	3.7
North Caroline	315	324	331	336	6.7	12.3	11.7	n.5	11,3	- 8,1	3,879	3,786	3,806	3,800	-2.0
1 Western North Caroling	341	369	372	37	8.8	11.8	10.8	10.5	10.4	+11.9	4,025	3.981	3,910	3,857	-4.2
2 Findmont Madical Foundation	330	330	337	34.2	3.0	12.5	11.7	11.4	11.3	- 9.6	4 128	3.857	3.843	3,667	+0.5
3 North Centuri	276	273	282	207	7.0	1 12.2	11.5	11.8	11.4	- 0.0	1 3.363	3.143	3.323	3.389	0.8
4 Central Piednont	787	781	202	302	5.2	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.5	- 1.7	3,358	3.293	3,383	3.475	3,5
5 Capical Awas	322	331	964	941	3.9	13.8	13.5	12.5	12.9		4.448	4.471	6.305	4.400	-1.1
6 Howtheestern Howth Carolins	200	102	599	241	10.6	11.7	11.9	11.1	11.1		3.616	3.660	3.764	3.787	4.7
7 Ketwoline	111	301	335	3341	6.1	12.1	11.6	11.9	11.5	8.3	3, 767	3.726	3,696	3.666	-2.7
8 Southeastern North Caroline	326	332	335	343	5,2	12.6	12,5	12.3	12.0	- 4.8	4,105	4,150	4,123	4,115	0.2
South Carolina	_30 2	310	315	326	6,5	10.9	10.8	10.6	10,8	- 0,9	3,329	3.351	3.342	3 325	5.9
Permon and	356	363	376	389	9.3	11.1	10.6	10.6	10.3	+ 7.2	3,955	3,917	3,987	4.005	1.3
1 Mid-South Foundation	325	331	345	356	9.5	1 12.3	12.0	11.8	11.5	- 6.5	4,001	3,977	4,068	4,092	2,3
															1 0.1

. .

TABLE 1.--Hospital-based measures of short-stay hospitals use sejusted for petient origin, for Hedicare beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, by FSRO area, State, and region, 1974-77

> Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Realth Care Financing Administration

Region, State, and PSRO	(Pe	Dischar 1,000	ge rate encolled	15)	Percoal. Stange	Ave:	rage len (Dej	gth of s: ye)	tay	Change Change	(Pe	Days of a er 1,000	are tate enrollee	es)	Poresoni Obango
	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1976-77
CHIGAGO REGION	330	334		347	<u>.</u> ;.?	12.4	12,0	<u>11_8</u>	<u>11.5</u>	-7.3	4,087	4,011	4.064	3,995	-2.3
Illinois	339	342	355	359	5.9	12.8	12.3	12.2	11.9	-7.0	4.334	4,204	4,326	4,274	-1.4
1 Northern Illinois	331	328	338	335	1.2	10.5	10.3	10.2	9.7	-7.6	3,471	3,981	3,447	3,251	
2 Crescent Counties Foundation	307	312	320	325		1 12.1	11.8	11.5	11.1	-3-3	3,710	3,683	3,684	3,603	1 - 5-2
5 Gaicago Foundation	309	376	342	390	7.5	14.5	12.2	19.1	12.0	1.8	4,412	4,442	4,072	4,371	1 -0.2
5 MidsState Poundation	377	377	388	397	5.5	12.3	ñ.5	11.4	11.0	-10.6	4,633	4,338	4,425	4.364	-5-7
6 East Central Illinois Foundation	377	369	376	381	1.1	10.7	10.2	10.1	9.9	-7.5	4,036	3,765	3,799	3,769	-4.6
7	605	398	412	4L5	2.5	11.9	11.2	10.8	10.9	-9-6	4,819	4,457	4,454	4,518	-6.2
Southern Illinois	388	390	404	409	5-4	11.0	10.5	10.2	10.3	-5+4	4,264	4,096	4,119	4,208	-1.3
Indiana	319	324	337	341	5.9	12.4	12.1	11.9	11.4	-8.1	3,956	3,923	4,008	3.891	-1.6
1 Calumet	306	301	314	321	1,.9	12.5	12.1	12.3	11.9		3,827	3,644	3,862	3,819	
2 Ares II - South Bend	325	322	337	333	2+2	12.0	12.1	11.7	11.4	-+3+0	3,904	3,900	3,947	3,801	-2.6
3 Area 111 - Fort Wayne	297	309	310	317	0.7	13.4	12.8	12.1	11.1	-17+3	3,976	3,957	3,756	3,517	-11.7
4 Area IV - Newcastle	324	323	338	340	12.2	12.0	12.7	12.0	11.7	-7-1	3 403	3 63/	4,052	3,977	
A free WT - Maismapolis	300	340	160	363	3.7	11.0	10.6	10.7	10.3	1.20	3 845	3 760	3,950	3 739	1 26
7 Southwest Indiana	348	358	371	385	10.6	12.4	11.8	ũ.;	11.4	-7.1	4,311	4,225	4,340	4,392	1.9
W-1/	216	202	224	446	× 0	1.2.7		12.0		_~ .	4 016	6 024	4 052	4 0.26	0.2
Theory Partners	374		. 300	378	+	12.3	11.0	11.6	21.2	1	1 4. 596	6.496	4 466	4 106	+
2 Ares 37	350	355	358	364	4.0	11.3	11.4	10.7	10.4	0	3.951	3,904	3.830	3.781	-4-3
3 Vestern Michigan	290	302	313	320	10.3	11.4	10.9	10.6	10.1	-12+4	3,301	3,289	3,316	3,231	,2
4 Area IV - Saginaw	337	347	354	354	5.0	11.4	11.1	10.8	10.3	-9.6	3,841	3,857	3,824	3,647	-2+2
5 GLSC - Flint	344	348	365	366	6.4	13.8	13.1	12.9	12.5	-9+	4,749	4,559	4,712	4,575	-3.7
6 Central Michigan Hedical Care	320	321	331	335	1.33	11.9	11.8	10.9	10,9		1 3,811	3,782	3,611	3,651	2.2
7 Area VII = Ann Arbot	318	332	343	250	13.0	1 11.2	14.0	12.4	11.2	6.3	3,007	6 616	3,8/0	3,922	
S Southeastern Alchigan	305	316	318	337	9.5	13.2	12.9	12.6	12.2	7.5	4.023	4.079	4.009	4.057	0.1
10 Area X = Kalamazoo	334	342	351	356	6.6	ii.3	L0.9	10.8	10.2	-9.7	3,779	3,724	3,790	3,635	-3.5
Nimesore	379	375	384	371	-7.1	1 11.1	10.9	10.5	10.0	-9.9	4,205	4.084	4.032	3.710	-11.6
1	398	393	397	393	1-1.3	L0.6	10,3	10,0	9.4	-11.7	4,222	4,051	3,973	3,690	-17.6
2 Foundation for Health Care	390	382	394	368	-5.5	11.7	11.6	11.3	10.8	7.7	4.559	4,434	4,453	3,977	-12.3
3 Quality Council	345	347	357	354	3.6	10.7	10.4	10.0	9.5	-11.2	3,696	3,604	3,572	3,365	-9.0
Ohio	310	319	327	333	7.4	12.6	12.3	12.2	11.9	-5.6	3,906	3,918	3,985	3,959	1.4
L Medco Peer Review	296	308	313	324	<u>8.5</u>	13.6	13.0	12.8	12.4	-8.8	4,030	4,006	4,009	4.022	-2.21
2 Area II - Dayton	287	293	308	318	10.	12.7	12.5	12.3	11.7	-7.9	3,645	3,636	3,792	3,726	_1 2
3 Region III - Findlay	337	343	352	352	842	111.7	11 3	11.3	10.4	-2+2	3,862	3,002	3 0 20	3,039	2.1
S Region V - Peinesville	312	333	331	341	9.3	11.6	11.3	11.1	11.0	-1-3	3.622	3.759	3.671	3.745	3.4
6 Region VI - Akron	310	310	323	324	4.5	12.7	12.4	12.4	12.1	-4-7	3,930	3,846	6,003	3,917	[-ō.3
7 Ares VII - Martins Ferry	356	370	381	383	2.6	11.2	12.2	11.2	11.3	0.9	3,981	4,140	4,264	4,324	8,6
8 Area VIII - Zanesville	331	345	343	353	5.0	12.2	12.0	12.0	11.4	-6.5	4,042	4,137	4,120	4,024	-0-6
9 Region IX = Fortsmouth	370	362	374	370	12.2	12.0	11.6	11.7	12.5	1	9 662	4,196	4,375	4,260	
10 Region I - Columbus	200	347	347	350	6.5	11.4	10.9	10.7	10.6	2.0	3.841	3,840	3,708	3,804	-1,0
12 Physicians' Feer Review	296	299	310	312	5-4	13.7	13.6	13.7	13.2	-3.6	4,055	4,064	4,242	4,121	1.6
Wiscontin	337	135	367	362	1.5	11.9	11.6	10.9	10.7	-10,1	4.013	3,819	3.723	3.659	-347
1 Wisconsin Review Organization	357	353	361	357	0.0	11.3	10.8	10.3	10.0	1 -11.5	4,030	3,607	3,719	3,573	11.3
2 Southeastern Wisconsin	301	302	305	313	4.0	13.5	12.8	12.4	12.1	-9.0	3,997	3,859	3,782	3,788	
					⊥	<u> </u>				1		-			

TABLE 1.--Hospital-based measures of short-stay hospitals use adjusted for patient origin, for Medicare beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, by PSRO area, Stare, and region, 1974-77

Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Bealth Care Pinancing Administration

HEALTH CARE FINANCING REVIEW/Summer 1979

Region, State, and PSRO	(14	Dischary r 1,000	se rate enrollee	8)	Percent Change	Ave	raga len (Da	gth of # ys)	tay	Percent Cnange	0	Denys of Per 1,000	care rat enrolle	e es)	Parcent Change
	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974-77
DALLAS REGION	369	389	400	406	4.4	9,9	9.6	9.5	9,3	- 9+L	3.847	3,730	3,802	3,772	- 2.0
Arkenses	405	405	420	431	6.4	9,6	9.3	9.0	<u>8.8</u>	- 8.3	3,884	3,764	3,781	3,788	- 2,5
Louisians.	373	373	386	394	5.6	9.2	9.7	9.7	9.6	- 3.0	2,694	3,616	3,739	3,783	2.4
	407	630	444	662	4.9	8.7	8.5	* *	4 1	- 4.6	3,625	3,350	3,664	3,661	1 61
A SOUTHWEST LOUISIADA	304	905	600	600	3.6			÷		- 3.3	3,748	3,657	3,709	3,755	1
4 Southeast Louisiana	302	301	315	329	8.9	12.5	12.4	12.4	12.0	- 4.0	3,626	3,512	3,581	3,631 3,945	4.0
New Hextco	336	941	351	346	3.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8,5	- 5,0	3,028	3,072	3,137	2,945	- 2.7
Oklahoma	401	394	401		-1.0	9.3	9.0	. 8.9	8.9	- 4.3	3,730	3,549	3,569	3,531	- 5.3
Техаа	390	391	.403	410	5.1	10.2	9.9	9.8	9,4	- 7.8	3,976	3,873	3,953	3.854	- 3.1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	453	446	457	468	3.3	9.7	9.3	9.2	8.9	1	4,393	4,148	4,203	4,165	- 2.4
2	372	374	381	397	2.4	10.3	9.8	9.7	9.4		3,835	3,669	3,697	3,735	2.0
3	355	359	372	376	1.1	10.5	10,3	10,1	9.8	1	3,726	3,695	3,752	3,682	- 1.2
4	435	432	445	450	1	9.0	9,3	9.2	8.9		4,263	4,019	4,090	4,006	- 0.0
5	407	402	416	422		9,6	÷.6	9.5	9.0	- 8,2	3,985	3,863	3,953	3,802	- 4+6
6	365	375	384	387	0.0	10.3	9.8	9.7	9.2	*10.17	3.761	3,674	3,726	3,557	- 5.4
7	386	387	403	406	1 21	10.9	10.6	10.5	10,4		4,212	4,104	4,226	4.244	D₊8
8	400	414	609	421	2.3	11.4	11.1	10.7	10.0	-14-3	4.554	4.598	4.380	4,205	- 7.6
9	358	362	378	385	7.5	10.2	9.9	9.9	9,5	- 0.9	3,652	3,583	3,746	3,653	0.03
KANSAS CITY RECION	378	382	395	399	3.6	11.7	_ 11.5	11.3	10,8	• 7.7	4,426	4,391	4,461	4,311	- 2.0
lowe.,	375	378	368	388	3.5	11.2	1L,2	10,8	10,0	-10.7	4,202	4,234	4,190	3,883_	- 7,6
Kensus	414	4L9	438	440	\$.3	11.7	11.6	11.5	11.2	- 4.3	4,838	4.857	5,032	4,932	1.9
Hissourl	257	362	374	384	7.0	12.3	12.1	11.9	11.4	- 7.3	4.387	4,381	4,444	4,379	0,2
 Northwest Missouri	359	368	374	381	6.1	12.0	12.1	11.7	11.4	- 5.0	4,305	4,458	4,375	4,341	0.8
2 Nid-Missouri	382	398	405	417	1 3.4	12.1	11.7	11,7	10.8	-10.7	4,626	4,652	4,734	4,504	- 2,6
3 Gentral Eastern Missouri	325	329	346	360	10.7	14.0	13.5	13,3	12.8	- 0.0	4,543	4,437	4,600	4,604	1.3
4 MOAF,	360	359	371	379	3.3	10.9	10.6	10.6	10.1	- 7+3	3.925	3.877	3,928	3.829	- 2,4
5 Southeast Missouri	431	424	442	453	5.1	10.4	10,2	10,2	\$, 9	- 4.8	4,480	4,322	6,509	4,481	0.02
Hebraska	402	399	414	410	×.0	10.7	10.2	9.9	9.7	- 9.3	4,303	4.072	4.098	3.972	: 7.7
DENVER REGION	382	378	388	385	0.8	9.7	9.6	9.4	9.0	- 7.2	3,706	3,628	3,650	<u>3,468</u>	- 6.4
Colorado		369	378	377	2,2	9.8	10.0	9,9	9,5	- 3+1	3.614	3,685	3,744	3.580	- 0.9
Montana	422	413	423	413	-2.1	9.0	8.9	8.4	8.0	-11.1	3,798	3,673	3,550	3, 395	- 13.0
North Daketa	441	436	450	443	0.5	10.5	10.2	9.9	9.5	- 9.5	4,623	4,446	4,457	4,209	. 9,0
South Dakota	422	617	430	429	1.7	10,0	10.0	9.7	9,1	- 9.0	4,223	4.169	4,173	3,905	- 7.5
Vfab	290	288	295	296	2.1	8.6	. 8.3	8.2	<u>8.0</u>	- 7.0	2,492	2,387	2,420	2,370	- 4.9
Wyoming	395	380	392	389	-1.5	9,6	9,1	9.0	8.6	-30,4	3.792	3,453	3,529	3,348	- 11.7

TABLE 1.--Nospitui-based measures of short-stay huspitals use adjusted for patient origin, for Medicare beneficiaries, aged 65 and over, by FSRO urea. State, and region, 1974-77

Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Health Care Financing Administration

Recion. State, and FSRO	(Pe	Discharg r 1,000	u rere eurollea	.)	Devoent Change	Ave:	raga lang (Day	th of st (3)	tay	Percent Change	(P)	Days of a er 1,000	enrolles	2 E8)	Percent Change
	1974	1975	1975	1977	1974 - 77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974 - 77	1974	1975	1976	1977	1974 - 77
SAN PRANCESCO REGION	310	312	317	319	2.9	9.6	9.3	9.3	<u>9,1</u>	- 5,2	2,972	2,899	2,951	2,905	- 2.3
Ar12008	315	316	317	_321	1.9	10.6	10.5	10.4	10.0	- 5.7	3_339	3.313	3,300	3,211	- 3.8
1 Northern Arizona	305	310	312	314	3.0	10.5	10.4	10.3	9.8	- 0.7	3,202	3,219	3,213	3,080	- 3.8
2 Greatern Southern	338	330	330	338	0.0	10.9	10.7	10.6	10,3	- 5.5	3,687	3,525	3,493	3,477	- 5.7
California	310	312	318	320	3.2	9.5	9.2	9.2	8.9	- 0.3	2,940	2,870	2,925	2.847	- 3.2
1 Redwood Coast Region	311	322	328	321	3,2	7.5	7.2	7.3	7.Z	- 4,0	2,331	2,316	2,393	2,314	- 0.7
2 Superior California	357	347	357	351	- 1,7	8.3	7.8	8.0	7.7	7.2	2,963	2,705	2,856	2,704	- 8.7
3 North Bay	301	295	308	304	1,0	9.1	9.2	8.9	8.8	- 3,3	2,737	2,711	2,741	2,673	- 2,3
4 Greater Sacramento	301	307	308	303	0.7	8.6	8.4	8+2	8.0	- 7,0	2,390	2,579	2,525	2,426	- 6.3
Organization, Inc	305	308	313	317	3.9	10.7	10.7	10.9	10.4	- 2.8	3,266	3,295	3,409	3,298	- 1.0
6 Mid-Peninsula	284	292	294	301	6.0	10.0	9.8	9.7	9.7	- 3,0	2,836	2,861	2,953	2,917	2,9
7 East Bev	292	294	305	312	6.8	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.\$	- 1.1	2,595	2,586	2,655	2,742	
8 San Joaquin Ares	304	301	310	306	1.3	7.7	7.6	7.8	7.8	1.3	2,343	2,284	2,416	2,400	2.4
9 Santa Clara Valley	271	270	279	280	3.3	9.1	8.9	9.0	8.7	- 4,4	2,462	2,401	2,509	2,433	- 1.2
10 Stanialaus-Merced-Mariposa	323	329	343	335	3.7	8.9	8.6	8.7	8.4	- 5,6	2,871	2,829	2,980	2,8L4	- 2.0
11 Fresno-Madera	265	274	283	285	7.5	7.7	7.1	7.3	7.1	- 7.6	2,037	1,948	2,064	2.022	- 0.7
12 Monterey Bay Area	275	272	281	280	1.8	8.2	8.1	8.1	7.9	- 3.7	2,254	2,205	2,272	2,208	2.0
13 PSRO of Tulare - Kings Counties	319	314	324	324	1.0	7.9	7.5	7.4	7.3	- 7.0	2,518	2,351	2,398	2,362	- 6.2
14 Kern County	331	343	343	351	6.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	7.6	- 8.4	2,748	2,740	2,746	2,667	2.9
15 Professional Standards	325	327	328	322	- 0,9	9.4	9.1	9.0	8.8	- 0.4	3,052	2,978	2,955	2,831	- 7,2
16 Sauta Berbara/San Luis Obiepo															
Counties	324	321	317	302	- 6.8	9.0	8.6	8.6	8.5	- 5,6	2,915	2,760	2,728	2,566	- 22.0
17 Ventura Area	313	322	315	332	6.1	8.3	7,9	8.1	7.9	- 4.8	2,594	2,542	2,552	2,619	1.0
18 Area XVIII	322	327	334	340	5.6	10.3	10.0	10.1	9.7	5.8	3,319	3,269	3,373	3,296	- 0.7
26	314	322	325	330	5.1	9.5	9.2	9,3	9.0	5.3	2,986	2,962	3,023	2,965	- 0.7
27 Riverside County	324	315	317	305	- 5,9	8.9	8.6	\$.6	8.2	- 7,9	2,861	2,708	2,726	2,504	- 13,1
28 Sen Diego/Imparial	286	282	258	294	2.8	0,6	8.6	8.6	816	7.5	2,575	2,422	2,474	2,528	- 1.8
Hewaii	263	263	258	257	. 2.3	10,2	9.7	9.5	9.8	. 3.9	2,680	2,550	2,446	2,514	- 0.2
Nevada		345	372	361	4.9	9.6	9.5	9.6	8.9	- 7.3	3,312	3,279	3,566	3,211	- 3.0
SEATTLE REGION	324	323	326	326	0.0	8.6	8.1	8.0	7,9	- 8.1	2,785	2,614	2,621	2,575	- 7.5
Alaska	332	288	312	322	. 3.0	. 8.0	7.5	8.0	8,3	3.8	2,654	2,156	2,499	2,670	0.6
		350	266	357		8.7	8.3	8.2	8.0		3 111	2 970	2 998	2 969	- 8-1
Idano			500												
Oregon	316	311	317	316	0.0	9.8	8.7	8.4	8.3	ل بيني ا	3,092	2,708	2.663	2,622	1 15.2
1 Multmomah Foundation	31 L	312	322	320	2.9	1	9.9	7.0	9-3	- 15,5	3,4422	3,090	7.029	2,978	10.0
2 Greater Oregon	318	311	315	316	- 1,3	9.2	4.0	7.9	7.8	- 15,2	2,923	2,486	4,986	2 ,445	- 40,3
Washington	323	325	328	327	12,4	7.8	7.6	7.6	7.6	- 2,5	2,517	2,466	2,491	2,484	• 1.5

TABLE 1. --Rospital-based measures of short-stay hospitals use adjusted for patient origin, for Hedicare bemailclaries, agad 65 and over, by PSRO ares, State, and region, 1974-77

Office of Research Office of Research, Demonstrations, and Statistics Realth Care Financing Administration

TABLE 2.--Discharge rates in short-stay hospitals for Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and over, in highest- and lowest-ranking PSRO areas, 1977

(Hospital-based data adjusted for patient origin)

PSRO Area

Discharge rate (Per 1,000 enrollees)

No.

Name and State

Highest ranking

4501	Texas 1/	468
2605	Southeast Missouri, Missouri,	453
1902	Southwest Louisiana, Louisiana	452
4504	Texas 1/	450
2500	Mississippi Foundation, Mississippi.	446
3500	North Dakota Health Care Review	
3000	North Dakota	443
1700	Kansas Foundation, Kansas	440
1001	Foundation for PSR. Florida	433
0400	Arkansas Foundation. Arkansas	431
4300	South Dakota Foundation. South Dakota	429
1901	North Louisiana Louisiana	426
4505	Texas 1/	422
4508	Texas 1/	421
2602	Mid-Missouri, Missouri	417
1407	Illinois 1/	415
2700	Montana Foundation Montana	413
2800	Nebraska 1/	410
1408	Southern Illinois Illinois	409
4507	Texas 1/.	408
1903	Louisiana Medical Standards, Louisiana	408
	200 10 Fulla Hearbar of anoar aby 200 rotain	
		Lowest ranking
1000	Foundation of Dourto Rico Duorto Rico	228
1200	Pacific DSDO Howaii	267
2106	Control Manuland Manuland	260
4100	Central Mary Jano, Mary Land,	
	Anos II DSDO Connecticut	260
0702	Area II PSRO, Connecticut	269
0702	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia	269 273 273
0702 0900 3314	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York	269 273 273 275
0900 3314 3313 2009	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Contral Popper/Vania	269 273 273 275 279
0900 3314 3313 3909 0512	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California	269 273 273 275 279 280
0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California	269 273 273 275 279 280 280
0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 280
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bargen County, New Jorcey	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 281
0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 283
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0511	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut, Connecticut Eresno-Madera California	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0511 3102	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut, Connecticut Fresno-Madera, California Passaic Valley. New Jersey	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284 285 286
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0511 3102 3315	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut, Connecticut Fresno-Madera, California Passaic Valley, New Jersey Nassau Physicians Review New York	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284 285 286 286 287
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0511 3102 3315 0703	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Baltimore City, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Fresno-Madera, California Passaic Valley, New Jersey Nassau Physicians Review, New York Hartford County, Connecticut	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284 285 286 286 287 287
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0704 0704 13102 3315 0703 2103	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut, Connecticut Fresno-Madera, California Passaic Valley, New Jersey Nassau Physicians Review, New York Hartford County, Connecticut Montagemery County, Maryland	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284 285 286 287 287 287
0702 0900 3314 3313 3909 0512 0509 2102 3103 3904 0704 0704 0704 0511 3102 3315 0703 2103 2103	Area II PSRO, Connecticut National Capital, District of Columbia Queens County, New York Kings County, New York South Central Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Monterey Bay Area, California Santa Clara Valley, California Baltimore City, Maryland Bergen County, New Jersey Eastern Pennsylania, Pennsylvania Eastern Connecticut, Connecticut Fresno-Madera, California Passaic Valley, New Jersey Nassau Physicians Review, New York Hartford County, Connecticut Montgomery County, Maryland Area 7 Physicians Review, New Jorsey	269 273 273 275 279 280 280 281 283 284 284 284 284 285 286 287 287 287 287

1/ PSRO contract not yet awarded.

Region and PSRO	Discharge rate (Per 1,000 enrollees)	Average length of stay (Days)	Days of care rate (Per 1,000 enrollees)
Total U.S		10.9	3,767
oston	320	12.0	3,834
Highest PSR0	367	13.1	4,311
Lowest PSR0	269	10.0	2,841
w York	1/ 296	14.4	4,266
Highest PSR0	377	17.1	5,123
Lowest PSRO	273	12,0	3,657
hiladeInhia	322	12.3	3.960
Highest PSRO	390	14.9	4.644
Lowest PSR0	269	10.3	3,260
tlanta	365	10.0	3,651
Highest PSR0	446	12.9	4,458
Lowest PSR0	294	8.7	2,705
hicago	347	11.5	3,995
Highest PSR0	415	13.6	4.575
Lowest PSRO	312	9.4	3,231
allas	406	9.3	3,772
Highest PSRO	468	12,0	4,243
Lowest PSR0	329	8,3	2,945
ansas Citv	399	10.3	4,311
Highest PSR0	453	12.8	4,932
Lowest PSR0	360	9.7	3,829
enver	385	9.0	3,468
Highest PSR0	443	9.5	4 209
Lowest PSR0	296	8.0	2,370
an Francisco	319	9.1	2,905
Highest PSR0	372	10.4	3.477
Lowest PSR0	257	7.1	2,022
eattle	326	7.9	2.575
Highast PSRO	357	9.3	2.975
Lougat DCDO	316	7.6	2,57

TABLE 3 --- Short-stay hospital use by Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and over, by HEW region, 1977

1/ Excludes Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands

TABLE 4.--Average length of stay in short-stay hospitals for Medicare beneficiaries ages 65 and over, in highest- and lowest-ranking PSRO areas, 1977

(Hospital-based data)

	PSRO	Area	a l
No.	Name	and	State

Averag	e le	ength	of
stay	(in	days))

Highest ranking

Kings County, New York	17.1
Virgin Islands Medical Institute	16.5
Bronx Medical Services, New York	16.4
New York County, New York	16.2
Erie Region, New York	16.1
Queens County, New York	15.9
Hudson County, New Jersey	15.3
Richmond County, New York	15.3
Bergen County, New Jersey	15.2
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	14.9
Baltimore City, Maryland	14.8
Nassau Physicians Review, New York	14.6
Area VI of New York, New York	14.6
Eastern New York, New York	14.3
Essex Physicians Review, New Jersey.	14.1
Southern New Jersey, New Jersey	13.8
Area 9, New York	13.8
National Capital, District of Columbia	13.7
Suffolk Physicians Review, New York.	13.7
Area 8, New York	13.7
	Lowest Ranking
Fresno-Madera, California	7.1
Redwood Cost Region, California	7.2
Tulare-Kings, California	7.3
	Kings County, New York Virgin Islands Medical Institute Bronx Medical Services, New York New York County, New York Erie Region, New York Queens County, New York Hudson County, New York Hudson County, New Jersey Richmond County, New Jersey Bergen County, New Jersey Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Baltimore City, Maryland Nassau Physicians Review, New York Area VI of New York, New York Eastern New York, New York Eastern New York, New York Eastern New York, New York Area 9, New York National Capital, District of Columbia Suffolk Physicians Review, New York. Area 8, New York Fresno-Madera, California Tulare-Kings, California

0501	Redwood Cost Region, California	7.2
0513	Tulare-Kings, California	7.3
5000	Washington State, Washington	7.6
0514	Kern County, California	7.6
0502	Superior California, California	7.7
3802	Greater Oregon, Oregon	7.8
0508	San Joaquin Aréa, California	7.8
0512	Monterey Bay Area, California	7.9
0517	Ventura Area, California	7.9
4600	Utah PSRO, Utah	8.0
2700	Montana Foundation, Montana	8.0
0504	Greater Sacramento, California	8.0
1300	Idaho PSRO, Idaho	8.0
0527	Riverside County, California	8.2
1902	Southwest Louisiana, Louisiana	8.3
0200	Alaska PSRO, Alaska	8.3
0510	Stanislaus-Merced-Mariposa, California	8.4
0516	Santa Barbara/San Louis Obispo, California	8.5
3200	New Mexico PSRO, New Mexico	8.5

TABLE 5.--Days-of-care rate in short stay hospitals for Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and over, in highest- and lowest-ranking PSRO areas, 1977

(Hospital-based data adjusted for patient origin)

No.	PSRO Area Name and State	Days-of-care rate (per 1,000 enrollees)

Highest ranking

.

4800	Virgin Islands Medical Institute	6,446
3305	Adirondack. New York	5,123
3301	Erie Region, New York	5,108
1700	Kansas Foundation, Kansas	4,932
3312	Richmond County, New York	4,848
3316	Bronx Medical Service. New York	4,789
3307	Eastern New York, New York	4,730
3311	New York County, New York	4,721
3105	Hudson County, New Jersey	4,707
3313	Kings County, New York	4,704
3912	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	4,644
3306	Area VI, New York	4,616
2603	Central Eastern Missouri, Missouri	4,604
3308	Area 8, New York	4,584
2305	Professional ReviewGLSC, Michigan	4,575
1403	Chicago Foundation, Illinois	4,571
2308	Southeastern Michigan, Michigan	4,542
1407	Illinois <u>1</u> /	4,518
2602	Mid-Missouri, Missouri	4,504
3104	Essex Physician Review, New Jersey	4,504
		Lowest ranking
0511	Fresno-Madera, California	2,022
0512	Monterey Bay Area, California	2,208
0501	Redwood Coast Region, California	2,314
0513	Tulare-Kings, California	2,362 🖌
4600	Utah PSRO, Utah	2,370
4000	Puerto Rico Foundation, Puerto Rico	2,374
0508	San Joaquin Area, California	2,400
0504	Greater Sacramento, California	2,426
0509	Santa Clara Valley, California	2,433
3802	Greater Oregon, Oregon	2,448
5000	Washington State PSRO, Washington	2,484
0527	Riverside County, California	2,504
1200	Pacific PSRO, Hawaii	2,514
0528	Dan Diego/Imperial, California	2,528
0516	Santa Barbara/San Luis Obispo, California	2 565
0517	Ventura Area. California	2,619
0514	Vaun Cauntus Palifaunta	2,667
0011	NPCD LOUGLY, LALITOCOLA,	2 . LUTT
0200	Alaska PSRO, Alaska	2,670
0200 0503	Alaska PSRO, Alaska North Bay. California	2,670
0200 0503 0502	Alaska PSRO, Alaska North Bay, California Superior, California	2,670 2,673 2,704

1/ PSR0 contract not yet awarded.

	ALOS	Discharge <u>Rate</u>	Days-of- <u>Care Rate</u>
Age (percent 75 and Over)	r=.24 (t=3.3)	.15 (2.0)	.30 (4.3)
Race (percent Nonwhite)			
Population Density (per sq. mi.)	.38 (5.5)		.26 (3.7)
Nursing Home Beds (per 1000 enrollees)			
Physicians (per 1000 enrollees)		30 (-4.3)	30 (-4.3)
Teaching Hospitals (percent admission)	.16 (2.2)		
Occupancy (percent)	.73 (14.6)	26 (-3.7)	.48 (7.5)

TABLE	6Partial Correlation Coefficients 1/ o	of ALOS,	Discl	harge Rate,	,
	Days-of-Care Rate with Independent Va	ariables	for a	all PSRO A	reas,
	1976				

 $\frac{1}{t}$ The partial correlation coefficients were calculated from the formula:

$$\tau_{yx_1} \cdot T = \sqrt{t^2 + (n-8)}$$
 $i = 1, 2, ...7$

Where $\forall yx_i \cdot T$ denotes the partial correlation of y and x_i ; i = 1, 2, ..., 7and T denotes the full subset of the remaining 6 variables whose values are held fixed. t is given by b_{ijb_i} where b_i are the regression coefficients in the model with all variables entered and b_i are their associated standard errors.

n is the number of independent observations (n = 190).

Table 7: Prediction Models for ALOS in the Northeast and West (Regression Coefficient and t values)

	Northeast HEW Region	West HEW Region
Explanatory Variable	1-2-3	8-9-10
Age (percent 75 and Over)	18.4483 (1.5)	-18.9338 (-1.8)
Sex (Percent Female)	15.0974 (1.1)	25.3719 (2.7)
Race (percent Nonwhite)	5.2844 (1.8)	2.4790 (1.7)
Population Density (per sq. mi.)	.00009 (4.5)	.00008 (.8)
Short Stay Beds (per 1000 enrollees)	.1017 (.8)	.4645 (3.1)
Nursing Home Beds (per 1000 enrollees)	.1037 (.8)	.0064 (.1)
Physicians (per 1000 enrollees)	3953 (-1.4)	4918 (-1.3)
Teaching Hospital (ercent admissions)	0060 (7)	.0081 (1.1)
Occupancy (Percent)	.2214 (5.4)	.0469 (1.3)
Constant	-21.9396	-3.2408
R ²	.67	.67
F	11.3	6.0
N	61	36
	·····	<u></u>