# Fish and fatty acid consumption and the risk of hearing loss in women $1-3$

Sharon G Curhan, Roland D Eavey, Molin Wang, Eric B Rimm, and Gary C Curhan

## ABSTRACT

Background: Acquired hearing loss is common and often disabling, yet limited prospective data exist on potentially modifiable risk factors. Evidence suggests that higher intake of fish and longchain omega-3  $(n-3)$  polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) may be associated with a lower risk of hearing loss, but prospective information on these relations is limited.

Objective: We prospectively examined the independent associations between consumption of total and specific types of fish, long-chain omega-3 PUFAs, and self-reported hearing loss in women.

Design: Data were from the Nurses' Health Study II, a prospective cohort study. The independent associations between consumption of fish and long-chain omega-3 PUFAs and self-reported hearing loss were examined in 65,215 women followed from 1991 to 2009. Baseline and updated information was obtained from validated biennial questionnaires. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate multivariable-adjusted RRs and 95% CIs.

Results: After 1,038,093 person-years of follow-up, 11,606 cases of incident hearing loss were reported. Consumption of 2 or more servings of fish per week was associated with a lower risk of hearing loss. In comparison with women who rarely consumed fish  $(<1$ serving/mo), the multivariable-adjusted RR for hearing loss among women who consumed 2–4 servings of fish per week was 0.80 (95% CI: 0.74, 0.88) (*P*-trend  $<$  0.001). When examined individually, higher consumption of each specific fish type was inversely associated with risk ( $P$ -trend  $\leq$  0.04). Higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs was also inversely associated with risk of hearing loss. In comparison with women in the lowest quintile of intake of longchain omega-3 PUFAs, the multivariable-adjusted RR for hearing loss among women in the highest quintile was 0.85 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.91) and among women in the highest decile was 0.78 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.85) (*P*-trend  $<$  0.001).

Conclusion: Regular fish consumption and higher intake of longchain omega-3 PUFAs are associated with lower risk of hearing loss in women. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:1371–7.

## INTRODUCTION

Acquired hearing loss is a highly prevalent and often disabling chronic health condition (1) that can adversely affect social connectivity, cognitive function (2), and quality of life (3–5). Hearing loss is associated with increased burden of disease and hospitalization among older adults in the United States (6). Although a decline in hearing is often considered an inevitable aspect of aging, the identification of several potentially modifiable risk factors has provided new insight into possibilities for the prevention or delay of acquired hearing loss (7–11).

Compromise of the blood supply to the cochlea may contribute to reduced auditory sensitivities, and thus vascular factors have been implicated as important contributors to hearing loss (12). Consumption of fish and higher intakes of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs, specifically EPA (20:5n $-3$ ) and DHA (22:6n $-3$ ), have been associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular (13) and cerebrovascular disease (14) and may help in the maintenance of cochlear blood flow by similar mechanisms (15). In a study of 798 Australian men and women aged  $\geq 50$  y, the 5-y incidence of hearing loss was lower among individuals who consumed  $\geq 2$ servings of fish per week compared with those who consumed  $\leq$ 1 serving of fish per week (RR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.95). Higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs was also inversely associated with a 5-y incidence of audiometrically measured hearing loss (RR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.60, 0.97) (16). A crosssectional study based on data from the Supplementation with Antioxidant Vitamins and Minerals 2 primary prevention trial found that higher seafood and shellfish intake was associated with better hearing thresholds in men but not in women  $(17)$ . Given that prospective information on the relation between fish intake and hearing loss is limited, we prospectively examined the relation of consumption of total fish, specific types of fish, and intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs and the risk of selfreported hearing loss in a cohort of 65,215 US women, aged 27– 44 y, who were followed for 18 y.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

#### Study population

The Nurses' Health Study II is an ongoing cohort study of 116,430 female nurses who were aged 25–42 y at cohort inception in 1989. Participants have been followed by biennial mailed questionnaires that elicit information on dietary and

Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:1371–7. Printed in USA. © 2014 American Society for Nutrition 1371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From the Channing Division of Network Medicine (SGC, MW, EBR, and GCC) and the Renal Division (GCC), Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; Vanderbilt Bill Wilkerson Center for Otolaryngology and Communication Sciences, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN (RDE); and the Departments of Biostatistics (MW) and Epidemiology (MW, EBR, GCC), Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA. <sup>2</sup> Supported by NIH grants DC010811 and UM1 CA176726 and by grants

from Vanderbilt University School of Medicine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Address correspondence to SG Curhan, Channing Division of Network Medicine, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: scurhan@ partners.org.

ReceivedMay 14, 2014. Accepted for publication August 20, 2014.

First published online September 10, 2014; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.091819.

lifestyle factors and various health outcomes; the follow-up rate over 22 y exceeds 90% of eligible person-time. Detailed information on diet, including fish and shellfish consumption, has been obtained every 4 y, beginning in 1991. The 2009 long-form questionnaire asked women whether they have a hearing problem and, if so, at what age a change in hearing was first noticed. Of the 90,488 women who completed this questionnaire, excluded from the analyses were those who reported a hearing problem that began before the study baseline  $(n = 2584)$ ; reported a history of cancer other than nonmelanoma skin cancer (attributed to possible exposure to ototoxic chemotherapeutic agents;  $n = 859$ ; had not answered the 1991 baseline dietary questionnaire, left 10 or more items on the semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaire (SFFQ) blank, or reported total food intakes judged to be implausible  $(n = 12,160)$ ; did not answer the hearing question ( $n = 9364$ ); or reported the use of fish-oil or cod liver oil supplements at baseline ( $n = 306$ ). We also excluded women and censored women who reported supplement use during follow-up, as the content and quality of these supplements are not regulated and can vary considerably (18). The total number of women included in the analysis was 65,215.

Although some participants have been lost to follow-up since the inception of this cohort or did not answer the 2009 questionnaire, the baseline characteristics of participants who did and did not answer the 2009 questionnaire did not differ appreciably (data not shown). The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Partners HealthCare System.

#### Ascertainment of dietary intake of fish and fatty acids

Dietary intake was assessed by using a validated SFFQ that included more than 130 items and was first administered in 1991 and then every 4 years thereafter. The SFFQ included 4 questions about finfish and shellfish intake, with common units or portion sizes for each item: *1*) dark-meat fish, such as mackerel, salmon, sardines, bluefish, tuna steak, or swordfish; 2) canned tuna; 3) light-meat fish, such as cod, haddock, or halibut; and 4) shrimp, lobster, or scallops as a main dish. For each item, participants were asked how often, on average, they had consumed a given quantity during the preceding year. Nine response options were provided, ranging from "almost never" to "6 or more per day." Total fish intake was assessed by totaling the participant's response to the finfish plus shellfish items. Individual fatty acid intake as well as average daily intake of other nutrients was calculated by multiplying the frequency of consumption of each item by its nutrient content per serving and then totaling the nutrient intake for all food items (methods described previously) (19). Nutrient estimates were based on the US Department of Agriculture (20) and Harvard University food-composition database, which is continually updated over time to reflect the composition of new foods in the marketplace. For the present study, we calculated intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs, EPA  $(20:5n-3)$ , and DHA  $(22:6n-3)$ , which are derived primarily from fish; intake of the intermediate-chain omega-3 PUFA  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (ALA) (18:3n-3), derived primarily from vegetarian sources; and intake of omega-6 PUFA (linoleic acid plus arachidonic acid), by using methods described in detail previously (21). Nutrient intakes were adjusted for total energy intake by the residual method (22). Intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs from foods was primarily from fish  $(>\,80\%$  at baseline)

and secondarily from chicken  $(<10\%$  at baseline), similar to that in the US food supply data (23). To assess long-term intake and to minimize misclassification, we calculated cumulative average intake so that fish, shellfish, and nutrient intake in a given time period represented the average intake of previous and current time periods (24).

The reproducibility and validity of the SFFQ as an instrument for ranking individuals by fish and fatty acid intakes have been demonstrated in several validation studies in this and similar cohorts (22, 25, 26). Spearman rank correlation coefficients for the fish items between 2 questionnaires administered 1 y apart were 0.54 for tuna, 0.63 for dark-meat fish, 0.48 for light-meat fish, and 0.67 for shrimp, lobster, or scallops as a main dish. The mean total fish intake was 3.7 servings/wk according to the questionnaire and 3.6 servings/wk according to 2 one-week dietary records ( $r = 0.61$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The intake of EPA calculated from the SFFQ was correlated with the fatty acid composition of adipose tissue ( $r = 0.47$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

## Ascertainment of outcome

The primary outcome, self-reported hearing loss, was determined based on the response to the hearing loss question on the 2009 long-form questionnaire. The question asked, "Do you have a hearing problem?" (no, mild, moderate, or severe) and, if so, "At what age did you first notice a change in your hearing?"  $(<$ 30, 30–39, 40–44, 45–49, 50–54, 55–59, or 60+ y). We defined a case as a hearing problem that was first noticed after 1991. Although hearing loss can be insidious in onset, incident cases were defined as hearing loss at the age it was first noticed by the participant. We did not have information on severity of hearing loss at the time of onset, and thus we were not able to perform prospective analyses that considered severity of hearing loss as the outcome. Pure-tone audiometry is considered the gold standard for hearing loss evaluation; however, because of cost and logistic limitations of such assessment in large populations, questionnaires have been used to assess hearing loss and have been found to be reasonably reliable in previous studies (27, 28).

## Ascertainment of covariates

To assess potential confounding, we evaluated multivariable models and adjusted for factors that are purported to be risk factors for hearing loss and could potentially be related to fish and fatty acid consumption. Potential confounders that were considered covariates in multivariable analyses included age (1); race (1); smoking (29); BMI (8); waist circumference (8); physical activity (8); intake of alcohol (29), folate (11), vitamin B-12, magnesium (30), potassium (31), and vitamin A (32); history of hypertension (33); history of diabetes (34); use of aspirin (7), acetaminophen (9), and ibuprofen (9); and tinnitus (35). Updated covariate information was obtained from the biennial questionnaires, and intakes of dietary factors were calculated from the SFFQ.

#### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses for baseline characteristics in 1991 were examined by categories of total fish intake. All analyses were prospective, using information on intake of fish, shellfish, and fatty acids that was collected before the date when a change in hearing was first noticed. We computed person-time of follow-up for each participant from study baseline to the date of reported hearing loss, report of cancer (other than nonmelanoma skin cancer), or end of follow-up in 2009. Date of reported hearing loss was considered the midpoint of the category for reported age of first noticing a change in hearing. Total fish consumption was divided into 5 categories  $\ll 1$  serving/mo, 1–3 servings/mo, 1 serving/wk, 2–4 servings/wk, and  $\geq$ 5 servings/wk), with the lowest category as the referent group. Consumption of specific types of fish was divided into 4 categories  $\ll 1$  serving/mo, 1–3 servings/mo, 1 serving/wk, and  $\geq$ 2 servings/wk). Fatty acid intake was categorized in quintiles, with the lowest quintile as the referent group. The highest quintile of long-chain omega-3 PUFA intake was further divided into 2 deciles to explore more extreme levels of intake. In our primary analyses, to better represent long-term dietary intake and reduce measurement error, we calculated intake of fish and fatty acids as a cumulative average of intake from all available dietary questionnaires up to the start of each follow-up interval (36). In secondary analyses, we also compared results by using the most recent reported dietary intake and baseline dietary intake in relation to hearing loss. The results were not appreciably different, and thus we report only the cumulative average results here.

Cox proportional hazards regression models adjusted for potential confounders were used to estimate the multivariableadjusted RR of hearing loss by category of fish or fatty acid intake compared with the lowest category of intake. To test for a linear trend across categories of intake, we modeled the category medians as a continuous variable. We used the Anderson-Gill data structure, with a new data record created for each biennial questionnaire

cycle in which the participant was at risk, with covariates set to represent the value from the latest returned questionnaire to handle time-varying covariates efficiently. We stratified by age and calendar time in all models. For all RRs, we calculated 95% CIs. All P values are 2-tailed. Statistical tests were performed by using SAS statistical software, version 9.3 (SAS Institute).

## RESULTS

Characteristics of participants at baseline according to category of total fish intake are shown in Table 1. Baseline characteristics are presented to provide representative values, but updated information was used for the analyses. Women who consumed fish more frequently were more physically active; were more likely to be current or past smokers; had a higher intake of alcohol and nutrients such as vitamin B-12, folate, potassium, magnesium, and retinol activity equivalents (vitamin A); and were more likely to have hypertension. At baseline, 78.8% of the women consumed fish at least once per week.

After 1,038,093 person-years of follow-up, 11,606 cases of incident hearing loss were reported. After adjustment for potential confounders, regular fish consumption was inversely associated with the risk of hearing loss (Table 2). In comparison with women who consumed fish less than once per month, the multivariable-adjusted RR for hearing loss among women who consumed 1 serving/wk was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.88, 1.00), 2–4 servings/wk was 0.80 (0.74, 0.88), and  $\geq$ 5 servings/wk was 0.80  $(0.67, 0.95)$  (*P*-trend < 0.001).

We examined the relation between consumption of specific types of finfish or shellfish and risk of hearing loss (Table 3).

TABLE 1

Age-standardized baseline characteristics of women in 1991 according to category of fish intake<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Values are means  $\pm$  SDs unless otherwise indicated and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population. Values of polytomous variables may not sum to 100% because of rounding.<br><sup>2</sup> Value is not age adjusted.

 $3$  METs, metabolic equivalents from recreational and leisure-time activities.

## TABLE 2



Age- and multivariable-adjusted RRs (95% CIs) of hearing loss according to cumulative average fish intake in 65,521 women followed from 1991 to 2009

 ${}^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression was used, and the models were adjusted for age; BMI; waist circumference; smoking; intake of alcohol, folate, vitamin B-12, potassium, magnesium, and vitamin A (retinol activity equivalents); hypertension; diabetes; tinnitus; race; use of aspirin, ibuprofen, and acetaminophen; physical activity; and calories.

More frequent consumption of any type of fish (canned tuna, dark-meat fish, light-meat fish, or shellfish) tended to be inversely associated with risk (*P*-trend  $\leq$  0.04).

We also examined the relation between intake of omega-3 PUFAs and the risk of hearing loss (Table 4). Higher intake of total omega-3 PUFAs was inversely associated with the risk of hearing loss. In comparison with women with the lowest quintile of intake, the multivariable-adjusted RR for hearing loss among women in the highest quintile of intake was 0.88 (95% CI: 0.82, 0.95) (*P*-trend  $<$  0.001). We further examined the independent associations between long-chain omega-3 PUFAs (EPA + DHA) and between intermediate-chain omega-3 PUFAs (ALA) and risk of hearing loss. Higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs was inversely associated with risk of hearing loss. In comparison with women in the lowest quintile of long-chain omega-3 PUFA intake, the multivariable-adjusted RR for hearing loss among women in the highest quintile was 0.85 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.91) (*P*-trend  $\leq$  0.001). After the highest quintile was

divided into 2 deciles, the RR was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.84, 0.98) for those in the ninth decile and 0.78 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.85) for those in the highest decile of intake.

Overall, intake of ALA was not significantly associated with risk. In secondary analyses stratified by level of fish intake and level of long-chain omega-3 PUFA intake, there was no significant association between intake of ALA and risk of hearing loss, even among women with very low intake of fish or of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs (data not shown). Furthermore, the relation between long-chain omega-3 PUFA consumption and risk of hearing loss did not vary by level of omega-6 PUFA intake, nor did the relation vary by age (data not shown).

Women in the highest category of fish consumption were more likely to have hypertension, and hypertension may be a risk factor for hearing loss (33). Because of the possibility that women with hypertension changed their habits after their diagnosis, we performed analyses that excluded women with hypertension at baseline and stopped updating diet when hypertension was

#### TABLE 3





 $<sup>I</sup>$  Cox proportional hazards regression was used, and the models were adjusted for age; BMI; waist circumference;</sup> smoking; intake of alcohol, folate, vitamin B-12, potassium, magnesium, and vitamin A (retinol activity equivalents); hypertension; diabetes; tinnitus; race; use of aspirin, ibuprofen, and acetaminophen; physical activity; calories; and intake of the other fish types.<br><sup>2</sup>Because of the limited number of cases, the highest category of intake for dark-meat fish and shellfish was collapsed

into  $\geq$ 1 serving/wk.

#### TABLE 4

Age- and multivariable-adjusted RRs (95% CIs) of hearing loss according to cumulative average fatty acid intake in 65,521 women followed from 1991 to 2009



 $<sup>1</sup>$  At baseline, 1991.</sup>

 $2^{\circ}$ Cox proportional hazards regression was used, and the models were adjusted for age; BMI; waist circumference; smoking; intakes of alcohol, folate, vitamin B-12, potassium, magnesium, and vitamin A (retinol activity equivalents); race; history of hypertension, diabetes, and tinnitus; use of aspirin, ibuprofen, and acetaminophen; physical activity; calories; and the other omega-3 fatty acids.<br><sup>3</sup> For long-chain omega-3 PUFAs (DHA + EPA), the multivariable-adjusted RR for decile 9 (median intake 0.34 g/d) = 0.91 (95% C

multivariable-adjusted RR for decile 10 (median intake  $0.49 \text{ g/d} = 0.78 \text{ (}95\% \text{ CI: } 0.72, 0.85\text{).}$ 

reported during follow-up; the results were essentially unchanged (data not shown).

## DISCUSSION

In this prospective study among 65,215 US women, we observed a lower risk of hearing loss among women who consumed 2 or more servings of fish per week. Consumption of any specific type of fish (tuna, dark-meat fish, light-meat fish, or shellfish) tended to be associated with lower risk. In addition, higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs was inversely associated with risk. These findings suggest that diet may be important in the pathogenesis of hearing loss.

Cochlear blood flow must be well regulated to meet the energy demands of the inner ear, ensure adequate delivery of oxygen and glucose, and effectively remove the by-products of metabolism. Compromise of cochlear blood supply can hinder maintenance of the endocochlear potential, ion transport, endolymphatic fluid balance, and the integrity of the blood-labyrinth barrier in the stria vascularis (12). Insufficient cochlear blood flow may lead to hypoxic-ischemic damage to hair cells and hearing loss. In animals, mechanisms associated with cochlear hypoperfusion, ischemia, and the formation of reactive oxygen species have been associated with mitochondrial DNA damage, anatomic changes within the inner ear, cell apoptosis, and age-related hearing loss (37, 38). Inadequate cochlear blood flow, diminished cochleovascular reactivity, and less effective autoregulation may render the ear vulnerable to stress and impaired function (12).

Fish consumption and higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs may help maintain adequate cochlear blood flow and protect against ischemic injury. These benefits may be mediated through multiple mechanisms, such as improved vascular reactivity and endothelial function; favorable influences on plasma lipids, triglycerides, and blood pressure; and protection against thrombosis and inflammation. Long-chain omega-3 PUFAs may influence membrane structure, modulate membrane ion channels and electrophysiology in response to ischemic stress, regulate gene expression, reduce arachidonic acid–derived proinflammatory or prethrombotic eicosanoids, and increase omega-3 PUFA-derived eicosanoids (13).

Our prospective results differ from those of a cross-sectional European study  $(n = 821)$  that found no association between fish intake and hearing levels in women (17). The differing findings may be the result of the small size or cross-sectional design that did not permit temporal relations to be examined. Our results in younger women are consistent with a prospective Australian study among older individuals that found consumption of  $\geq 2$ servings of fish at baseline was associated with a lower 5-y incidence of audiometrically determined hearing loss (16). Notably, no cross-sectional association between fish intake and hearing loss was observed in that study. Our findings are also consistent with those from a Dutch study that found an inverse association between higher levels of total plasma long-chain omega-3 PUFAs and a 3-y risk of hearing loss (39).

Evidence suggests regular fish consumption (1–2 servings per week) may protect against several diseases, such as coronary artery disease (40), sudden cardiac death (41), ischemic stroke (21), atrial fibrillation (42), cognitive decline (43), and dementia (44). The proposed benefits of fish intake may be attributable in large part to the long-chain omega-3 fatty acids that fish provide. Finfish and shellfish are the chief dietary sources of the major long-chain omega-3 PUFAs, EPA  $(20:5n-3)$  and DHA  $(22:6n-3)$ , often referred to as "marine" fatty acids. Intake is particularly essential for DHA, because it cannot be synthesized appreciably after infancy (45). DHA appears to be important during auditory neurodevelopment, and higher dietary intake of DHA in breast milk or supplemented formula during early infancy is associated with accelerated maturation of auditory brainstem response latencies (46, 47).

Limited amounts of EPA can be synthesized from ALA  $(18:3n-3)$ , the plant-derived fatty acid found in green leafy vegetables, walnuts, and flax seeds (48), and thus ALA may be a source of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs among those with very low fish intake (49). Higher intake of ALA may compensate for low fish and long-chain omega-3 PUFA intake (50), but we did not observe a relation between intake of ALA and risk of hearing loss for any amount of fish or long-chain omega-3 PUFA intake, even among women with a very low intake.

Some authors suggest that higher intake of omega-6 fatty acids can attenuate the beneficial effects of omega-3 fatty acids by competing for common metabolic enzymes, competing for incorporation into plasma lipid fractions, or serving as precursors to proinflammatory eicosanoids (44, 51). However, we did not observe that higher intake of omega-6 fatty acids modified the relation between fish or long-chain omega-3 fatty acids and risk of hearing loss.

Fish is an important part of a healthy diet, yet only approximately one-third of Americans report eating fish once per week, and almost half eat fish rarely or not at all (52). Recommendations for intake, typically  $\geq 2$  servings of fish/wk or  $\geq 250$  mg longchain omega-3 PUFAs/d, have been incorporated into national and international dietary guidelines (13). Strikingly, intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs is  $<60$  mg/d for approximately half of US adults (53). Although specific limitations are advised for pregnant and nursing women due to potential contaminants, the benefits of fish consumption greatly outweigh the possible risks for adults in the general population (41). These findings suggest a protective role for long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, but because intakes of fish and marine fatty acids are highly correlated, it is difficult to disentangle the singular effects of fish and marine fatty acid consumption. Some benefits of fish intake on hearing might be mediated through a complex interplay among a wide range of nutrients found in fish that may also confer protection against hearing loss and possibly act in synergy with omega-3 fatty acids (54).

Our study has limitations. Assessment of hearing loss was based on self-report. Pure-tone audiometry is considered the gold standard for hearing evaluation, but self-reported hearing loss has been demonstrated to be reasonably reliable (27, 28). Onset of hearing loss is usually insidious, and thus imprecision in the assessment of date of onset exists. Assessment of hearing loss was based on date of onset as reported in 2009; however, all information on exposures and covariates was collected before the reported date of onset of hearing loss, allowing all the relations to be examined prospectively. The severity of hearing loss could not be considered because we did not have information on hearing loss severity at the time of onset. Misclassification of exposure is of concern because dietary questionnaires may have errors in reporting and recall. Diet was assessed prospectively, and thus errors would likely be random with respect to the outcome and would tend to bias results toward the null. Cumulative averaging of dietary intake by using multiple validated questionnaires over time was done to reduce misclassification of the exposures and to examine the association with long-term intake. Although we recognize that measurement error still exists, the error introduced by these procedures is likely random and independent of the outcome. Women in our cohort who consumed fish more regularly may have had healthier diet and lifestyle habits. However, careful adjustment for potential dietary and lifestyle confounding variables did not appreciably alter the results, suggesting an independent association between fish and long-chain omega-3 PUFAs and risk of hearing loss. Nevertheless, the possibility of unmeasured confounding cannot be excluded. The present study examined a predominantly white population of women. Although the prevalence of acquired hearing loss in US adults is higher in men than in women in the age group of our study population, little is known about whether there are differences in the underlying pathophysiology of hearing loss between women and men or whether there are sex differences in the relation between dietary risk factors for hearing loss. Further examination of the relation between fish and long-chain omega-3 fatty acid consumption in men and in other populations would be informative.

In conclusion, regular fish consumption and higher intake of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs are associated with a lower risk of hearing loss in women. These findings provide evidence that modifiable dietary risk factors may help reduce the risk of hearing loss.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—SGC, RDE, MW, EBR, and GCC: provided the study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of the data, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; SGC and GCC: acquired data; SGC: drafted the manuscript; MW and GCC: provided statistical expertise; and GCC: provided study supervision and had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. No conflicts of interest were reported.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Agrawal Y, Platz EA, Niparko JK. Prevalence of hearing loss and differences by demographic characteristics among US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. Arch Intern Med 2008;168(14):1522–30.
- 2. Lin FR, Metter EJ, O'Brien RJ, Resnick SM, Zonderman AB, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss and incident dementia. Arch Neurol 2011;68: 214–20.
- 3. Olusanya BO, Ruben RJ, Parving A. Reducing the burden of communication disorders in the developing world: an opportunity for the millennium development project. JAMA 2006;296(4):441–4.
- 4. Dalton DS, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Wiley TL, Nondahl DM. The impact of hearing loss on quality of life in older adults. Gerontologist 2003;43:661–8.
- 5. Gates GA, Cobb JL, Linn RT, Rees T, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB. Central auditory dysfunction, cognitive dysfunction, and dementia in older people. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1996;122:161–7.
- 6. Genther DJ, Frick KD, Chen D, Betz J, Lin FR. Association of hearing loss with hospitalization and burden of disease in older adults. JAMA 2013;309:2322–4.
- 7. Curhan SG, Eavey R, Shargorodsky J, Curhan GC. Analgesic use and the risk of hearing loss in men. Am J Med 2010;123:231–7.
- 8. Curhan SG, Eavey R, Wang M, Stampfer MJ, Curhan GC. Body mass index, waist circumference, physical activity, and risk of hearing loss in women. Am J Med 2013;126(12):1142.e1–8.
- 9. Curhan SG, Shargorodsky J, Eavey R, Curhan GC. Analgesic use and the risk of hearing loss in women. Am J Epidemiol 2012;176(6): 544–54.
- 10. Zhan W, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Huang GH, Pankow JS, Gangnon RE, Tweed TS. Modifiable determinants of hearing impairment in adults. Prev Med 2011;53:338–42.
- 11. Durga J, Verhoef P, Anteunis LJ, Schouten E, Kok FJ. Effects of folic acid supplementation on hearing in older adults: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 2007;146(1):1–9.
- 12. Shi X. Physiopathology of the cochlear microcirculation. Hear Res 2011;282:10–24.
- 13. Mozaffarian D, Wu JH. Omega-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: effects on risk factors, molecular pathways, and clinical events. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:2047–67.
- 14. He K, Song Y, Daviglus ML, Liu K, Van Horn L, Dyer AR, Goldbourt U, Greenland P. Fish consumption and incidence of stroke: a metaanalysis of cohort studies. Stroke 2004;35:1538–42.
- 15. Bourre JM. Roles of unsaturated fatty acids (especially omega-3 fatty acids) in the brain at various ages and during ageing. J Nutr Health Aging 2004;8:163–74.
- 16. Gopinath B, Flood VM, Rochtchina E, McMahon CM, Mitchell P. Consumption of omega-3 fatty acids and fish and risk of age-related hearing loss. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:416–21.
- 17. Péneau S, Jeandel C, Dejardin P, Andreeva VA, Hercberg S, Galan P, Kesse-Guyot E. Intake of specific nutrients and foods and hearing level measured 13 years later. Br J Nutr 2013;109:2079–88.
- 18. Ahmed M, Moazzami AA, Andersson R, Pickova J. Varying quality of fish oil capsules: fatty acids and tocopherol. Neuroendocrinol Lett 2011;32(suppl 2):37–40.
- 19. Hu FB, Bronner L, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Rexrode KM, Albert CM, Hunter D, Manson JE. Fish and omega-3 fatty acid intake and risk of coronary heart disease in women. JAMA 2002;287(14):1815–21.
- 20. US Department of Agriculture. Composition of foods-raw, processed, and prepared, 1963-1988. Agricultural handbook no. 8. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1989. Available from: http:// www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl (cited 16 October 2013).
- 21. Iso H, Rexrode KM, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. Intake of fish and omega-3 fatty acids and risk of stroke in women. JAMA 2001;285(3):304–12.
- 22. Willett WC. Nutritional epidemiology. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- 23. Raper NR, Cronin FJ, Exler J. Omega-3 fatty acid content of the US food supply. J Am Coll Nutr 1992;11:304–8.
- 24. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rimm E, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. Dietary fat intake and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. N Engl J Med 1997;337:1491-9.
- 25. London SJ, Sacks FM, Caesar J, Stampfer MJ, Siguel E, Willett WC. Fatty acid composition of subcutaneous adipose tissue and diet in postmenopausal US women. Am J Clin Nutr 1991;54:340–5.
- 26. Feskanich D, Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Litin LB, Willett WC. Reproducibility and validity of food intake measurements from a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. J Am Diet Assoc 1993;93(7):790–6.
- 27. Ferrite S, Santana VS, Marshall SW. Validity of self-reported hearing loss in adults: performance of three single questions. Rev Saude Publica 2011;45:824–30.
- 28. Schow RL, Smedley TC, Longhurst TM. Self-assessment and impairment in adult/elderly hearing screening–recent data and new perspectives. Ear Hear 1990;11(suppl):17S–27S.
- 29. Itoh A, Nakashima T, Arao H, Wakai K, Tamakoshi A, Kawamura T, Ohno Y. Smoking and drinking habits as risk factors for hearing loss in the elderly: epidemiological study of subjects undergoing routine health checks in Aichi, Japan. Public Health 2001;115:192–6.
- 30. Choi YH, Miller JM, Tucker KL, Hu H, Park SK. Antioxidant vitamins and magnesium and the risk of hearing loss in the US general population. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:148–55.
- 31. Wangemann P. Supporting sensory transduction: cochlear fluid homeostasis and the endocochlear potential. J Physiol 2006;576(pt 1):11–21.
- 32. Gopinath B, Flood VM, McMahon CM, Burlutsky G, Spankovich C, Hood LJ, Mitchell P. Dietary antioxidant intake is associated with the prevalence but not incidence of age-related hearing loss. J Nutr Health Aging 2011;15:896–900.
- 33. Gates GA, Cobb JL, D'Agostino RB, Wolf PA. The relation of hearing in the elderly to the presence of cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular risk factors. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1993;119: 156–61.
- 34. Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC. Diabetes and hearing impairment in the United States: audiometric evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2004. Ann Intern Med 2008;149(1):1–10.
- 35. Shargorodsky J, Curhan GC, Farwell WR. Prevalence and characteristics of tinnitus among US adults. Am J Med 2010;123(8):711–8.
- 36. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm E, Ascherio A, Rosner BA, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Dietary fat and coronary heart disease: a comparison of approaches for adjusting for total energy intake and modeling repeated dietary measurements. Am J Epidemiol 1999;149:531–40.
- 37. Dai P, Yang W, Jiang S, Gu R, Yuan H, Han D, Guo W, Cao J. Correlation of cochlear blood supply with mitochondrial DNA common deletion in presbyacusis. Acta Otolaryngol 2004;124:130–6.
- 38. Pickles JO. Mutation in mitochondrial DNA as a cause of presbyacusis. Audiol Neurootol 2004;9:23–33.
- 39. Dullemeijer C, Verhoef P, Brouwer IA, Kok FJ, Brummer RJ, Durga J. Plasma very long-chain  $n-3$  polyunsaturated fatty acids and agerelated hearing loss in older adults. J Nutr Health Aging 2010;14:347–51.
- 40. Virtanen JK, Mozaffarian D, Chiuve SE, Rimm EB. Fish consumption and risk of major chronic disease in men. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88: 1618–25.
- 41. Mozaffarian D, Rimm EB. Fish intake, contaminants, and human health: evaluating the risks and the benefits. JAMA 2006;296:1885–99.
- 42. Mozaffarian D, Psaty BM, Rimm EB, Lemaitre RN, Burke GL, Lyles MF, Lefkowitz D, Siscovick DS. Fish intake and risk of incident atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2004;110:368–73.
- 43. Huang TL. Omega-3 fatty acids, cognitive decline, and Alzheimer's disease: a critical review and evaluation of the literature. J Alzheimers Dis 2010;21:673–90.
- 44. Cunnane SC, Plourde M, Pifferi F, Begin M, Feart C, Barberger-Gateau P. Fish, docosahexaenoic acid and Alzheimer's disease. Prog Lipid Res 2009;48:239–56.
- 45. Kaur G, Cameron-Smith D, Garg M, Sinclair AJ. Docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n $-3$ ): a review of its biological effects. Prog Lipid Res 2011; 50:28–34.
- 46. Amin SB, Merle KS, Orlando MS, Dalzell LE, Guillet R. Brainstem maturation in premature infants as a function of enteral feeding type. Pediatrics 2000;106:318–22.
- 47. Unay B, Sarici SU, Ulas UH, Akin R, Alpay F, Gokcay E. Nutritional effects on auditory brainstem maturation in healthy term infants. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004;89:F177–9.
- 48. Lattka E, Illig T, Heinrich J, Koletzko B. Do FADS genotypes enhance our knowledge about fatty acid related phenotypes? Clin Nutr 2010;29: 277–87.
- 49. Burdge G. Alpha-linolenic acid metabolism in men and women: nutritional and biological implications. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2004;7:137–44.
- 50. Valsta LM, Salminen I, Aro A, Mutanen M. Alpha-linolenic acid in rapeseed oil partly compensates for the effect of fish restriction on plasma long chain  $n-3$  fatty acids. Eur J Clin Nutr 1996;50:229–35.
- 51. Simopoulos AP. Essential fatty acids in health and chronic disease. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;70(Suppl):560S–9S.
- 52. Harvard School of Public Heath Nutrition Source. Fish: friend or foe? Available from: http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/nutritionsource/fish/ (cited 1 October 2013).
- 53. Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, Adams RJ, Berry JD, Brown TM, Carnethon MR, Dai S, de Simone G, Ford ES, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2011 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;123:e18–209.
- 54. de Bree A, Mennen LI, Hercberg S, Galan P. Evidence for a protective (synergistic?) effect of B-vitamins and omega-3 fatty acids on cardiovascular diseases. Eur J Clin Nutr 2004;58:732–44.