

Published in final edited form as:

Qual Life Res. 2014 September ; 23(7): 1997–2013. doi:10.1007/s11136-014-0656-1.

Biological pathways, candidate genes and molecular markers associated with quality-of-life domains: an update

Mirjam A.G. Sprangers¹, Melissa S.Y. Thong^{1,2}, Meike Bartels³, Andrea Barsevick⁴, Juan Ordoñana⁵, Qiuling Shi⁶, Xin Shelley Wang⁶, Pål Klepstad⁷, Eddy A. Wierenga⁸, Jasvinder A. Singh^{9,10}, and Jeff A. Sloan¹¹ on behalf of the GeneQoL Consortium

¹Department of Medical Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ²CoRPS - Center of Research on Psychology in Somatic Diseases, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands ³Department of Biological Psychology, VU University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands ⁴Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia PA, USA ⁵Department of Human Anatomy and Psychobiology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain ⁶Department of Symptom Research, MD Anderson Cancer Center, The University of Texas, Houston TX, USA ⁷Chair Department of Intensive Care Medicine, St. Olav University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway ⁸Department of Cell Biology and Histology, University of Amsterdam, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ⁹University of Alabama at Birmingham and Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham AL, USA ¹⁰Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester MN, USA ¹¹Department of Health Sciences, Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Section of Cancer Center Statistics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Abstract

Background—There is compelling evidence of a genetic foundation of patient-reported QOL. Given the rapid development of substantial scientific advances in this area of research, the current paper updates and extends reviews published in 2010.

Objectives—The objective is to provide an updated overview of the biological pathways, candidate genes and molecular markers involved in fatigue, pain, negative (depressed mood) and positive (well-being/happiness) emotional functioning, social functioning, and overall QOL.

Methods—We followed a purposeful search algorithm of existing literature to capture empirical papers investigating the relationship between biological pathways and molecular markers and the identified QOL domains.

Results—Multiple major pathways are involved in each QOL domain. The inflammatory pathway has the strongest evidence as a controlling mechanism underlying fatigue. Inflammation and neurotransmission are key processes involved in pain perception and the COMT gene is

Address for correspondence: Mirjam A. G. Sprangers, Department of Medical Psychology / J3-211, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 15, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. m.a.sprangers@amc.uva.nl. Tel.: +31 20 566 4661; Fax: +31 20 566 9104.

Financial disclosure/Conflict of interest: None declared

Supplementary Material

Supplementary information 1: Electronic Literature Search, Tables and Reference List

Supplementary Information 2: References to Tables 1–7

associated with multiple sorts of pain. The neurotransmitter and neuroplasticity theories have the strongest evidence for their relationship with depression. Oxytocin-related genes and genes involved in the serotonergic and dopaminergic pathways play a role in social functioning. Inflammatory pathways, via cytokines, also play an important role in overall QOL.

Conclusions—Whereas the current findings need future experiments and replication efforts, they will provide researchers supportive background information when embarking on studies relating candidate genes and/or molecular markers to QOL domains. The ultimate goal of this area of research is to enhance patients' QOL.

Keywords

biological pathways; genes; molecular markers; quality of life; patient-reported outcomes (PROs)

Introduction

In 2004, empirical support was found for the provocative hypothesis that there is a genetic basis to patient-reported quality of life (QOL) [1]. In response to this preliminary work [2], an international and interdisciplinary research consortium (GeneQOL) was formed to set out the state of the science at that point and to design and implement a program of research [3]. A theoretical framework was published to facilitate and focus the investigation into the biological foundation of self-perceived QOL [4].

Multiple publications and studies ensued laying the groundwork for methodological approaches and identifying candidate molecular markers [e.g., 5–7]. Replication of preliminary work has been seen alongside new markers [8]. Reviews documented the biological pathways and molecular markers that were found to be associated with QOL domains, including the most prevalent symptoms pain [9] and fatigue [10], and emotional [11] and social functioning [12].

As a result of this collective work, there is now compelling evidence of a genetic foundation of QOL. Given the rapid development of substantial scientific advances in this area of research, the current paper updates and extends the previous work. The objective is to provide an updated overview of the biological pathways, candidate genes and molecular markers for these domains and those for overall QOL. This information will provide researchers supportive background information when embarking on studies relating candidate genes and/or molecular markers to QOL.

Methods

To provide an overview of the state of the science, we started by including the references provided in our earlier reviews [2, 3, 9–12]. To update these references, we conducted a computerized literature search on PubMed for the years November 2007–November 2012. However, we did not set a limitation on year of publication for overall QOL as the GeneQol Consortium had not previously published a review on this subject. Due to the large number of hits on depression and pain, up-to-date publications were limited to the last three years

(2010–2012). Articles or book chapters found through reference checking or personal communication (until June, 2013) were also included.

Specific search terms are provided as supplementary material (see Supplementary information 1). Empirical papers investigating the relationship between biological pathways/molecular markers and the following QOL domains were included: fatigue, pain, emotional functioning (depression, well-being/happiness), social functioning and overall QOL. We limited our search to publications in English on human subjects.

A gene or molecular marker is included if there is at least one publication (either empirical, meta-analysis or review) reporting its significant association with a QOL domain. The findings are provided in Tables 1 to 6 for each QOL domain, respectively. References included in the tables are provided as supplementary information. The text will only elaborate on new information since our previous reviews.

The primary source for identifying the biological pathways was the KEGG website (Kyoto Encyclopedia for Genes and Genomes) (<http://www.genome.jp/kegg/>) and supplemented by Genecards website (<http://www.genecards.org/>).

Results

Fatigue

To the best of our knowledge no genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on fatigue have been published to date. Several biological pathways show promise as underlying mechanisms of fatigue: inflammation, serotonin, dopamine, and glutathione metabolic pathways [10, 13].

Saligan [14] synthesized the evidence for inflammatory pathway involvement. In cross-sectional studies, various markers of immunity and inflammation obtained at various time points from blood, plasma, serum or in vitro, were inconsistent in predicting fatigue depending on the covariates included in the analysis [15]. In a small number of longitudinal studies, primarily in women with early stage breast cancer, fatigue was associated with elevations in immune response and inflammation. Significant associations have also been demonstrated between fatigue and genomic markers. Higher morning and evening fatigue in cancer patients and caregivers were found to be related to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the interleukin (IL)-6 gene [15], while in lung cancer survivors, fatigue was associated with SNPs of the IL-1 β , IL-1ra, and IL-10 genes [6]. Among breast cancer survivors, fatigue was related to the IL1 β -511 and the IL6-174 polymorphism [16] (Table 1).

There is some evidence of serotonin system involvement in fatigue. In various forms of cancer, tryptophan is catabolized by enzymes produced by the tumor cells, i.e. indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase (TDO). By decreasing tryptophan levels the tumor creates an immunosuppressive environment protecting itself against the immune system [17]. High fatigue in cancer patients was associated with low concentration of tryptophan and high kynurenine/tryptophan ratio [18]. High fatigue in

women with irritable bowel syndrome was associated with the 5-HT marker on the Tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH) gene [19].

There is evidence of association between fatigue and the dopamine system. In a sample of 100 breast cancer survivors, fatigue was found to be related with the Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene [20]. In a sample of 332 healthy university students and staff, time on task and subjective indicators of energy level were evaluated for association with genes governing dopamine [21]. Polymorphisms in COMT and dopamine transporter gene (DAT1) were associated with differences in time taken for task completion while subjective declines in mental energy were associated with dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) polymorphisms.

The glutathione metabolic pathway has been associated with differential reports of fatigue. In a case-control study of lung cancer patients with high and low QOL scores (including fatigue), two SNPs in the glutathione S-transferase super family were associated with fatigue during cancer therapy: ABCC4 and GSTZ1 [22].

In summary, the inflammatory pathway has the strongest evidence as a controlling mechanism underlying fatigue during the cancer experience. However, most pathways described here need further replication and validation studies. Other pathways also need exploration. For example, adipose tissue could play a role in fatigue because it secretes numerous cytokines, chemokines, and other proteins [23]. Additionally, there is evidence of links between stress and fatigue involving the HPA-axis and norepinephrine (another catecholamine) that need exploration [13].

Pain

Findings from GWAS studies on pain are limited to single cohorts in specialized settings. None of these were replicated. Moreover, the identified gene candidates are not intuitively biological plausible. A large-scale GWAS meta-analysis on chronic widespread pain [24] revealed that the minor C-allele of rs13361160 on chromosome 5p15.2 was associated with a 30% higher risk of chronic widespread pain presence. In another GWAS including 335 patients with postoperative pain several SNPs located close to the FAM119A and CREB genes were associated with more opioid requirements [25]. Another GWAS identified SNP TCL1A as being associated with musculoskeletal pain in women on adjuvant therapy for breast cancer [26]. Those works support the notion of inflammation as a promising target in the regulation of pain [9]. Finally, Galvan et al. [27] in a pooled GWA analysis observed that several SNPs related to neuronal transmission were associated with a good response from opioids used for cancer pain.

Most published studies continue to focus on pathways involved in pain. As addressed in our previous review [9], biological pathways related to neurotransmission, inflammation, and response to analgesics have served as major targets for pain genomic study. SNPs and haplotypes of Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene were associated with multiple phenotypes, such as osteoarthritis-related pain [28], neck pain and pressure pain hypersensitivity in the neck and shoulder area in breast cancer survivors [29], pain sensitivity in major depressive disorder patients [30], and pain impact on daily positive

affect in fibromyalgia [31]. Two of those studies revealed sex-specific effect of COMT gene variations [28, 30]. Gene-related pain modulation is more likely to be seen in females, which indicates a possible role of estrogen in pain modulation (Table 2).

Polymorphisms of serotonin transporter gene (5-HTT) and serotonin HTR1A receptor gene were associated with perception of thermal pain [32, 33] and joint pain in chronic fatigue syndrome [34]. The A118G polymorphism in the opioid receptor mu 1 (OPRM1) gene was associated to pain intensity in patients with disc herniation [35]. Variability in the SCN9A gene can cause loss of function of the sodium channel transporter pathway. Additionally, patients with osteoarthritis, sciatica, or phantom pain due to amputation may experience differing amounts of pain on the basis of their SCN9A rs6746030 genotype [36].

In summary, the current work supports inflammation and neurotransmission as key processes involved in pain perception. Again, these findings need replication and extension (Table 2), preferably using large-scale GWAS studies.

Emotional Functioning

Negative Emotional Functioning: Depressed Mood—The past decade has witnessed a variety of large scale and individual genetic studies, which have suggested numerous candidate genes for depression. A range of GWAS studies have also been conducted. Sullivan [37], Muglia [38], Shi [9] and their respective colleagues did not identify any SNP that achieved GWAS significance in relation to major depression, whereas Shyn et al. [39] found significant SNPs in ATP6V1B2, SP4 and GRM7. Hek et al. [40] conducted a GWAS study with the self-reported Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale. Using over 50,000 individuals, one SNP, rs161645, in the 5q21 region reached GWAS significance. Kao and colleagues [41] concluded that the results are inconclusive, due to small effect size.

Whereas the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is described as the ‘final common pathway’ for most depressive symptoms [42], recent studies generated new hypotheses for biological mechanisms of depression (Table 3). For example, much research has been directed at the cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction of the inflammatory pathway. A meta-analysis of 24 studies measuring cytokines in patients with major depression found significantly higher concentrations of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha and IL-6 [43]. Cytokines were also found to be related to self-reported depressive symptoms in a wide variety of patient populations. For example, the allele of IL4 rs 2243248 was associated with high depression levels in oncology outpatients [44], and TNF-308 and IL6-174 polymorphisms that were found to be associated with fatigue were also associated with depression in breast cancer patients [45]. Moreover, polymorphisms of IL-10 were associated with depressed mood in patients attending their GP with acute infection [46] or who had end stage renal disease [47]. Moreover, patients with Marfan disease who reported the lowest levels of mental QOL could also be distinguished on the basis of high expression levels of CXCL11, a gene coding for cytokines [7].

Since numerous susceptible genes for depression have been suggested without conclusive results, Kao et al. [41] reviewed and integrated the available data. They included 5,055 candidate genes, which they ranked (prioritization) on the basis of the magnitude of

evidence multiplied by a source-specific weight. They thus identified 160 genes that have a high likelihood to be associated with depression. The top seven genes are dopamine beta-hydroxylase (DBH), serotonin transporter SLC6A4, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3B), and the alpha7 neuronal nicotine acetylcholine receptor subunit gene (CHRNA7).

Two of these top genes support the neurotransmitter theory. DBH catalyses the biosynthesis of the neurotransmitter noradrenaline from dopamine. Low DBH activity is a likely risk factor for depression. SLC6A4, belonging to the serotonergic synapse pathway, is most likely related to the etiology of depression. The neurotrophin signalling pathway is supported by three top genes. BDNF is a neuroprotective protein which changes the balance of neuroprotective and neurotoxic responses to stress. GSK3B is an enzyme involved in neuronal cell development and energy metabolism, and has a key role in the action of mood stabilizer. NGFR modulates the activity of tyrosine kinases for neurotrophin family and may have a protective effect against developing depression.

In summary, the neurotransmitter and neuroplasticity theories have the strongest evidence for their relationship with depression [41]. However, these and other pathways (Table 3) need future experiments and replication efforts to disentangle the molecular mechanisms underlying depression.

Positive Emotional Functioning: Well-being/Happiness—No GWAS studies on well-being or happiness have been published to date. In fact, this QOL domain has been the subject of few genetic studies. Bartels and colleagues [5] were the first to conduct a genome-wide linkage study of 1157 offspring from 441 families. A suggestive linkage signal was obtained at the end of the long arm of chromosome 19 for marker D19S254 at 110 cM. A second suggestive linkage peak was found at the short arm of chromosome 1 for marker D1S534 at 153 cM. These two regions are not overlapping with the regions found for contrasting phenotypes, such as depression.

The first candidate gene study [48] reported an association of the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR) with satisfaction of life in a representative sample of 2574 Americans. However, follow-up work using data from the Framingham Heart Study and an augmented sample from the same data did not replicate this finding [49].

Chen and colleagues [50] report an association between the monoamine oxidase (MAOA) gene and happiness in women. This study, based on a sample of 193 and 152 Caucasian women and men respectively, suggests that the low expression allele of the MAOA gene (MAOA-L) predicts higher self-reported happiness in women after correction of several covariates such as age, gender, education, but also mental disorder, and self-esteem (Table 4).

Rietveld and colleagues [51] are the first who demonstrate that genetic variants as measured in the current laboratory protocols are of significance for wellbeing. In a sample of over 11,500 unrelated Swedish and Dutch individuals, they found that 5–10% of the variance in

responses to single-question survey measures of subjective well-being is accounted for by the additive effects of the SNPs measured on presently used genotyping platforms. A correction for measurement error in the subjective well-being measures raises the point estimates to 12–18%. These results warrant optimism about the prospects of using genetic data in wellbeing research because the contributing polymorphisms could be discovered with a sufficiently large sample of individuals.

Social Functioning

Recent reports on the biological foundations of social functioning remain focused on previously-identified biological pathways [12]. More specifically, recent studies support the posited intervention of the medial prefrontal cortex, and associated neural structures (i.e., amygdala) on social functioning. Holmes et al. [52] reported a strong relationship between those structures and social avoidance or facial recognition. They found that decreased thickness in the left medial prefrontal cortex was associated with social withdrawal, reduced social functioning, and an increased likelihood of errors in the identification of facial emotions. They also found that individual differences in this circuitry could arise, in part, from common genetic variability contributing to the risk of depression, which is compatible with the previously-observed phenotypic relation between negative mood and difficulty adjusting to social circumstances [53].

To the best of our knowledge, no GWAS has been yet published about social functioning specifically. However, genome-wide approaches have been performed on associated personality and behavioural variables. Suggestive results were found in areas of neural activation of specific brain regions and personality traits (i.e, extraversion), pointing to new variants that could ultimately be related to social functioning [54–58]. Although promising, they have not produced yet conclusive results.

Recent literature on candidate genes confirms the previously reviewed evidence regarding the role of genetic variants on individual differences in social functioning. Oxytocin-related genes have continued being the variants most commonly associated with areas related to social functioning, and with impacts of social environments on the individual, in a variety of samples [59–61]. However the picture is far from clear; the relationships between oxytocin and social behaviour are complex, and epigenetic mechanisms play a crucial role [62, 63]. Moreover, given the known influence of estrogens on oxytocin receptor activity, gender could also impact the intensity and/or direction of this association [59, 61]. Additionally, gene variants that appear to be related to serotonergic pathways (e.g, HTR2A [53]) and dopaminergic pathways (e.g., DRD4 [64] and MAO-A [65]), or to psychiatric vulnerability, have continued to be reported as associated to social functioning domains [53, 64, 66].

In summary, recent reports appear to support the initial interest in some specific genetic variants as related to social functioning. However, many questions regarding this relationship are still unresolved and subject to further scientific enquiry (Table 5).

Overall QOL

To the best of our knowledge, no GWAS study has been conducted on overall QOL. However, several candidate gene studies have expanded our knowledge of the relationship

between genetic variables and QOL (Table 6). The biological pathways and genetic variants involved in the individual QOL domains mentioned previously also apply to overall QOL.

Jun et al. [19] examined whether polymorphisms in tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH) gene were associated with disease morbidity and overall QOL in a community-based sample of 199 Caucasian women with irritable bowel syndrome. SNP4 polymorphism of TPH1 was significantly associated with overall QOL. These associations strengthened further when analyses were adjusted for gastro-intestinal symptoms and global severity index of current psychological distress.

Rausch et al. [6] examined the association of SNPs in six cytokine genes with QOL in 1,319 patients with non-small cell lung cancer. After Bonferroni correction, rs2069861 and rs2069843 SNPs in IL-6 gene were significantly associated with overall QOL. SNP polymorphisms in IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-1RN were significantly associated with a clinically significant difference in overall QOL. In another analysis from this cohort that consisted of 1,299 lung cancer patients, 470 SNPs in 56 genes of three biologic pathways were assessed for association with QOL [22]. The study reported that three SNPs on the MGMT gene (rs3858300, rs10741191, and rs10741191) were associated with 34%, 36%, and 30% higher risk of reporting a deficit in overall QOL, respectively.

In summary, these three studies provide evidence of association of genetic polymorphisms in genes for cytokines and receptors involved in important pathways with QOL. The biological plausibility and existing evidence of association of these pathways with other domains of QOL make it likely that this is not a mere fishing expedition. Clearly, much more studies are needed to disentangle the biological and molecular mechanisms underlying the complex construct of QOL.

Biological Pathways

Biological pathways and individual genes have more than one function and can therefore impact more than one QOL domain. Table 7 reorganizes and summarizes tables 1 to 6 by presenting the biological pathways and genes that are involved in the different QOL domains. Genes are included in this table if an association was found with at least two QOL domains.

There is substantial evidence that inflammatory pathways, via cytokines, play an important role in the QOL domains. Additionally, the dopaminergic and serotonergic synaps pathways demonstrate consistent involvement in emotional functioning, but play a role in other QOL domains. The neurotrophin signalling pathway seems to be more restricted to involvement with the emotional and social domains of QOL. The neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway is related to a range of QOL domains.

Discussion

The idea for exploring the relationship between genetic variables and QOL domains is now almost ten years old. What began as an exploratory investigation from a devil's advocate perspective has evolved into a broad program of research with established theoretical

underpinnings and empirical evidence. This review provides promising evidence of genetic involvement in multiple QOL phenotypes through a variety of biological pathways. It speaks to the multi-dimensional nature of QOL and to the complex physiological mechanisms that underly a person's subjective experience. The exploration of the relationship between QOL and genetic variables has evolved into research involving a myriad of biological mechanisms instead of just one or two isolated pathways/genes.

Two potentially limiting aspects of this review merit attention. First, we included any genetic variable that was reported as being significant at least once. Many genetic findings fail the test of replication, so we undoubtedly have included some genetic variables that will ultimately fail to demonstrate a relationship with QOL domains. However, given the novelty of this area of research, we felt it was too early to be more restrictive and to discard potential genetic biomarkers. Second, the number of genetic studies published to date varied across the different QOL domains, resulting in a varied level of evidence for individual biomarker relationships.

The findings presented in this review provide a platform for the inclusion of pathways and genes/molecular markers in the design of future studies. As most studies are cross-sectional, we need more longitudinal studies, preferably hypothesis-driven, to disentangle the causal biological pathways. Further, the vast majority of studies reviewed is involving pre-specified candidate genes. This knowledge-based approach runs the risk of missing important genes. GWAS can help to more fully investigate not only initial candidate genes but might uncover unexpected relationships. Whereas GWAS studies are still scarce, the decreasing costs will make such large-scale studies increasingly more feasible. Finally, as the current review was restricted to a limited number of QOL domains, we need additional reviews that collate evidence of the biological foundation for other QOL domains (e.g., physical functioning, cognitive functioning, and symptoms, such as nausea, cough, and appetite loss).

Ultimately, the goal of this research is to identify and use genetic markers for QOL deficits in clinical practice. Better understanding of the genetic basis of QOL will lead to innovations and discoveries that will help us improve patient care in the same way that the understanding of cellular and molecular mechanisms of diseases, such as diabetes and heart disease, have helped us better treat our patients with new drug discoveries targeting these mechanisms. Screening for predispositions to deficits in QOL holds the same clinical implications as screening for predispositions to disease and treatment outcomes. For example, there is a preponderance of evidence that cytokines are involved in various QOL domains. Moreover, they are easy to obtain in clinical practice. Perhaps it is time to begin screening patients for cytokine markers to provide prophylactic interventions to prevent QOL deterioration. More specifically, based on cytokine screening results, patients may be allocated to different prophylactic programs to reduce fatigue, e.g., provision of B12 supplements, steroids, blood transfusions, exercise programs, or cognitive therapy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding

The present research is supported in part by a Social Psychology Fellowship from the Dutch Cancer Society to Melissa Thong (#UVT2011-4960).

We thank Prof. Dick Swaab for his suggestion to devise a table presenting the biological pathways and genes that are involved in the different QOL domains (Table 7).

References

1. Hampton T. Patients' genes may influence quality of life after cancer chemotherapy. *JAMA*. 2004; 292(6):673–4. [PubMed: 15304448]
2. Sloan J, Zhao X. Genetics and quality of life. *Current Problems in Cancer*. 2006; 30:255–260. [PubMed: 17123877]
3. Sprangers MAG, Sloan JA, Veenhoven R, Cleeland CS, Halyard MY, Abertnethy AM, et al. The establishment of the GENEQOL Consortium to investigate the genetic disposition of patient-reported quality-of-life outcomes. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*. 2009; 12(3):301–311. [PubMed: 19456223]
4. Sprangers MAG, Sloan JA, Barsevick A, Chauhan C, Dueck AC, Raat H, et al. the GENEQOL consortium. Scientific imperatives, clinical implications, and theoretical underpinnings for the investigation of the relationship between genetic variables and patient-reported quality-of-life outcomes. *Quality of Life Research*. 2010; 19:1395–1403. [PubMed: 20945161]
5. Bartels M, Saviouk V, de Moor MHM, Willemsen AHM, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Hottenga JJ, et al. Heritability and genome-wide linkage scan for subjective happiness. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*. 2010; 13(2):135–142. [PubMed: 20397744]
6. Rausch SM, Clark MM, Patten C, Liu H, Felten S, Li Y, et al. Relationship between cytokine gene single nucleotide polymorphisms and symptom burden and quality of life in lung cancer survivors. *Cancer*. 2010; 116:4103–4113. [PubMed: 20564140]
7. Schoormans D, Radonic T, de Witte P, Groenink M, Azim D, Lutter R, et al. Mental quality of life is related to a cytokine genetic pathway. *Plos One*. 2012; 7(9):e45126. [PubMed: 23049769]
8. Zwinderman, AH.; Sprangers, MAG.; Baas, F.; Van Noorden, CJ.; Radbruch, L.; Davies, A.; Swaab, DF., et al. Genes selected for their relevance to pain are also associated with fatigue and dyspnea: Evidence of the European Pharmacogenetic Opioid Study. Annual Conference of the International Society for Quality of Life Research; London. 2010. p. Abstract: NO147
9. Shi Q, Cleeland CS, Klepstad P, Miaskowski C, Pedersen NL. Biological pathways and genetic variables involved in pain. *Quality of Life Research*. 2010; 17:1407–1417. [PubMed: 20842532]
10. Barsevick A, Frost M, Zwinderman AH, Hall P, Halysard M. I'm so tired: biological and genetic mechanisms of cancer-related fatigue. *Quality of Life Research*. 2010; 19(10):1419–1427. [PubMed: 20953908]
11. Sprangers MAG, Bartels M, Veenhoven R, Baas F, Martin NG, Mosing M, et al. the GENEQOL Consortium. Which patient will feel down, which will be happy? The need to study genetic disposition of emotional states. *Quality of Life Research*. 2010; 19:1429–1437. [PubMed: 20419396]
12. Ordoñana JR, Bartels M, Boomsma DI, Cella D, Mosing M, Oliveira JR, et al. Biological pathways and genetic mechanisms involved in social functioning. *Quality of Life Research*. 2013; 22(6): 1189–200. [PubMed: 23054492]
13. Thornton LM, Andersen BL, Blakely WP. The pain, depression, and fatigue symptom cluster in advanced breast cancer: Covariation with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the sympathetic nervous system. *Health Psychology*. 2010; 29(3):333–337. [PubMed: 20496988]
14. Saligan LN, Kim HS. A systematic review of the association between immunogenomic markers and cancer-related fatigue. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2012; 26(6):830–848.

15. Aouizerat BE, Dodd M, Lee K, West C, Paul SM, Cooper BA, et al. Preliminary evidence of a genetic association between tumor necrosis factor alpha and the severity of sleep disturbance and morning fatigue. *Biological Research for Nursing*. 2009; 11(1):27–41. [PubMed: 19419979]
16. Collado-Hidalgo A, Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, Cole SW. Cytokine gene polymorphisms and fatigue in breast cancer survivors: Early findings. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2008; 22(8): 1197–1200.
17. Platten M, Wick W, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan catabolism in cancer: beyond IDO and tryptophan depletion. *Cancer Research*. 2012; 72(21):5435–5440. [PubMed: 23090118]
18. Schroecksnadel K, Fiegl M, Prassl K, Winkler C, Denz HA, Fuchs D. Diminished quality of life in patients with cancer correlates with tryptophan degradation. *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*. 2007; 133(7):477–485. [PubMed: 17356858]
19. Jun SE, Kohen R, Cain KC, Jarrett ME, Heitkemper MM. TPH Gene polymorphisms are associated with disease perception and quality of life in women with irritable bowel syndrome. *Biological Research for Nursing*. 2014; 16(1):95–104. [PubMed: 23172723]
20. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Cantarero-Villanueva I, Fernandez-Lao C, Ambite-Quesada S, Diaz-Rodriguez L, Rivas-Martinez I, et al. Influence of catechol-o-methyltransferase genotype (Val158Met) on endocrine, sympathetic nervous and mucosal immune systems in breast cancer survivors. *Breast*. 2012; 21(2):199–203. [PubMed: 21974969]
21. Lim J, Ebstein R, Tse CY, Monakhov M, Lai PS, Dinges DF, Kwok K. Dopaminergic polymorphisms associated with time-on-task declines and fatigue in the Psychomotor Vigilance Test. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(3):e33767. [PubMed: 22438994]
22. Sloan JA, de Andrade M, Decker P, Wampfler J, Oswald C, Clark M, et al. Genetic variations and patient-reported quality of life among patients with lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2012; 30:1–9. [PubMed: 22105825]
23. Clement K, Langin D. Regulation of inflammation-related genes in human adipose tissue. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2007; 262(4):422–430. [PubMed: 17875178]
24. Peters MJ, Broer L, Willems HL, Eiriksdottir G, Hocking LJ, Holliday KL, et al. Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of chronic widespread pain: Evidence for involvement of the 5p15.2 region. *Annals of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013; 72:427–436.
25. Nishizawa D, Fukuda K, Kasai S, Hasegawa J, Aoki Y, Nishi A, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a potent locus associated with human opioid sensitivity. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2014; 19(1):55–62. [PubMed: 23183491]
26. Ingle JN, Schaid DJ, Goss PE, Liu M, Mushiroya T, Chapman JA, et al. Genome-wide associations and functional genomic studies of musculoskeletal adverse events in women receiving aromatase inhibitors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010; 28:4674–4682. [PubMed: 20876420]
27. Galvan A, Skorpen F, Klepstad P, Knudsen AK, Fladvad T, Falvella FS, et al. Multiple loci modulate opioid therapy response for cancer pain. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2011; 17:4581–4587. [PubMed: 21622719]
28. van Meurs JB, Uitterlinden AG, Stolk L, Kerkhof HJ, Hofman A, Pols HA, et al. A functional polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene is associated with osteoarthritis-related pain. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2009; 60:628–629. [PubMed: 19180497]
29. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Fernandez-Lao C, Cantarero-Villanueva I, Ambite-Quesada S, Rivas-Martinez I, Del Moral-Avila R, et al. Catechol-O-methyltransferase genotype (Val158met) modulates cancer-related fatigue and pain sensitivity in breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2012; 133:405–412. [PubMed: 21898113]
30. Fijal B, Perlis RH, Heinloth AN, Houston JP. The association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene and pain scores in female patients with major depressive disorder. *Journal of Pain*. 2010; 11:910–5. 915. [PubMed: 20627703]
31. Finan PH, Zautra AJ, Davis MC, Lemery-Chalfant K, Covault J, Tennen H. Genetic influences on the dynamics of pain and affect in fibromyalgia. *Health Psychology*. 2010; 29:134–142. [PubMed: 20230086]
32. Lindstedt F, Karshikoff B, Schalling M, Olgart HC, Ingvar M, Lekander M, et al. Serotonin-1A receptor polymorphism (rs6295) associated with thermal pain perception. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e43221. [PubMed: 22952650]

33. Lindstedt F, Lonsdorf TB, Schalling M, Kosek E, Ingvar M. Perception of thermal pain and the thermal grill illusion is associated with polymorphisms in the serotonin transporter gene. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e17752. [PubMed: 21423614]
34. Ortega-Hernandez OD, Cuccia M, Bozzini S, Bassi N, Moscovitch S, Diaz-Gallo LM, et al. Autoantibodies, polymorphisms in the serotonin pathway, and human leukocyte antigen class II alleles in chronic fatigue syndrome: Are they associated with age at onset and specific symptoms? *Annals of New York Academy of Science*. 2009; 1173:589–599.
35. Olsen MB, Jacobsen LM, Schistad EI, Pedersen LM, Rygh LJ, Roe C, et al. Pain intensity the first year after lumbar disc herniation is associated with the A118G polymorphism in the opioid receptor mu 1 gene: Evidence of a sex and genotype interaction. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012; 32:9831–9834. [PubMed: 22815498]
36. Reimann F, Cox JJ, Belfer I, Diatchenko L, Zaykin DV, McHale DP, et al. Pain perception is altered by a nucleotide polymorphism in SCN9A. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America*. 2010; 107:5148–5153.
37. Sullivan PF, de Geus EJC, Willemsen G, James MR, Smit JH, Zandbelt T, et al. Genomewide association for major depressive disorder: A possible role for the presynaptic protein piccolo. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2009; 14(4):359–375. [PubMed: 19065144]
38. Muglia P, Tozzi F, Galway NW, Francks C, Upmanyu R, Kong XQ, et al. Genome-wide association study of recurrent major depressive disorder in two European case-control cohorts. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2010; 15:589–601. [PubMed: 19107115]
39. Shyn SI, Shi J, Kraft J, Potash J, Knowles JA, Weismann MM, et al. Novel loci for major depression identified by genome-wide association study of Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression and meta-analysis of three studies. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2011; 16(2):202–215. [PubMed: 20038947]
40. Hek K, Demirkan A, Lahti J, Terracciano A, Teumer A, Cornelis MC. A genome-wide association study of depressive symptoms. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2012; 73:667–678. [PubMed: 23290196]
41. Kao CF, Fang YS, Zhao Z, Kuo PH. Prioritization and evaluation of depression candidate genes by combining multidimensional data resources. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6(4):e18696. [PubMed: 21494644]
42. Bao A-M, Meynena G, Swaaba DF. The stress system in depression and neurodegeneration: Focus on the human hypothalamus. *Brain Research Reviews*. 2008; 57(2):531–553. [PubMed: 17524488]
43. Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu H, Sham L, Reim EK, et al. A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:446–457. [PubMed: 20015486]
44. Illi J, Miaskowski C, Cooper B, Levine JD, Dunn L, West C, et al. Association between pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine genes and a symptom cluster of pain, fatigue, sleep disturbance, and depression. *Cytokine*. 2012; 58:437–447. [PubMed: 22450224]
45. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, Castellon S, Arevalo J, Cole SW. Cytokine genetic variations and fatigue among patients with breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2013; 31(13):1656–1661. [PubMed: 23530106]
46. Piraino B, Vollmer-Conna U, Lloyd AR. Genetic associations of fatigue and other symptom domains of the acute sickness response to infection. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. 2012; 26:552–558.
47. Holtzman S, Abbey SE, Chan C, Bargman JM, Stewart DE. A genetic predisposition to produce low levels of IL-10 is related to depressive symptoms: A pilot study of patients with end stage renal disease. *Psychosomatics*. 2012; 53:155–161. [PubMed: 22424164]
48. De Neve JE. Functional polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) in the serotonin transporter gene is associated with subjective well-being: Evidence from a US nationally representative sample. *Journal of Human Genetics*. 2011; 56(6):456–459. [PubMed: 21562513]
49. De Neve JE, Christakis NA, Fowler JH, Frey BS. Genes, economics, and happiness. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics*. 2012; 5(4):193–211.
50. Chen H, Pine DS, Ernst M, Gorodetsky E, Kasen S, Gordon K, et al. The MAOA gene predicts happiness in women. *Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*. 2013; 10(40):122–125.

51. Rietveld CA, Cesarini D, Benjamin DJ, Koellinger PD, De Neve JE, Tiemeier H, et al. Molecular Genetics and Subjective Well-Being. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2013; 110(24):9692–9697. [PubMed: 23708117]
52. Holmes AJ, Lee PH, Hollinshead MO, Bakst L, Roffman JL, Smoller JW, et al. Individual differences in amygdala-medial prefrontal anatomy link negative affect, impaired social functioning, and polygenic depression risk. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012; 32(50):18087–18100. [PubMed: 23238724]
53. Antypa N, Calati R, Souery D, Pellegrini S, Sentissi O, Amital D, et al. Variation in the HTR1A and HTR2A genes and social adjustment in depressed patients. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2013; 150(2):649–652. [PubMed: 23537781]
54. Brown AA, Jensen J, Nikolova YS, Djurovic S, Agartz I, Server A, et al. Genetic variants affecting the neural processing of human facial expressions: evidence using a genome-wide functional imaging approach. *Translational Psychiatry*. 2012; 2:e143. [PubMed: 22828495]
55. Vinkhuyzen AA, Pedersen NL, Yang J, Lee SH, Magnusson PK, Iacono WG, et al. Common SNPs explain some of the variation in the personality dimensions of neuroticism and extraversion. *Translational Psychiatry*. 2012; 2:e102. [PubMed: 22832902]
56. Kim HN, Roh SJ, Sung YA, Chung HW, Lee JY, Cho J, et al. Genome-wide association study of the five-factor model of personality in young Korean women. *Journal of Human Genetics*. 2013; 58(10):667–74. [PubMed: 23903073]
57. Luciano M, Huffman JE, Arias-Vasquez A, Vinkhuyzen AA, Middeldorp CM, Giegling I, et al. Genome-wide association uncovers shared genetic effects among personality traits and mood states. *American Journal of Medical Genetics part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2012; 159B(6): 684–695.
58. Amin N, Hottenga JJ, Hansell NK, Janssens AC, de Moor MH, Madden PA, et al. Refining genome-wide linkage intervals using a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies loci influencing personality dimensions. *European Journal of Human Genetics*. 2013; 21(8):876–882. [PubMed: 23211697]
59. Lucas-Thompson RG, Holman EA. Environmental stress, oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) polymorphism, and mental health following collective stress. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2013; 63(4):615–624. [PubMed: 23470776]
60. Montag C, Brockmann EM, Lehmann A, Muller DJ, Rujescu D, Gallinat J. Association between oxytocin receptor gene polymorphisms and self-rated ‘empathic concern’ in schizophrenia. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(12):e51882. [PubMed: 23284802]
61. Norman GJ, Hawkey L, Luhmann M, Ball AB, Cole SW, Berntson GG, et al. Variation in the oxytocin receptor gene influences neurocardiac reactivity to social stress and HPA function: A population based study. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2012; 61(1):134–139. [PubMed: 22146101]
62. Olf M, Frijling JL, Kubzansky LD, Bradley B, Ellenbogen MA, Cardoso C, et al. The role of oxytocin in social bonding, stress regulation and mental health: An update on the moderating effects of context and interindividual differences. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2013; 38(9):1883–1894. [PubMed: 23856187]
63. Kumsta R, Hummel E, Chen FS, Heinrichs M. Epigenetic regulation of the oxytocin receptor gene: Implications for behavioral neuroscience. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2013; 7:83. [PubMed: 23734094]
64. Belsky J, Pluess M. Genetic moderation of early child-care effects on social functioning across childhood: A developmental analysis. *Child Development*. 2013; 84(4):1209–1225. [PubMed: 23432522]
65. Fergusson DM, Boden JM, Horwood LJ, Miller AL, Kennedy MA. MAOA, abuse exposure and antisocial behaviour: 30-year longitudinal study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2011; 198:457–463. [PubMed: 21628708]
66. Strohmaier J, Amelang M, Hothorn LA, Witt SH, Nieratschker V, Gerhard D, et al. The psychiatric vulnerability gene CACNA1C and its sex-specific relationship with personality traits, resilience factors and depressive symptoms in the general population. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2013; 18(5): 607–613. [PubMed: 22665259]

Appendix 1: Glossary

Allele	is one of a number of alternative forms of the same gene or same genetic locus (generally a group of genes). It is the alternative form of a gene producing different effects. Sometimes, different alleles can result in different observable phenotypic traits.
Blood plasma	Is the liquid component of blood, consisting 90% of water, with the 10% remainder including proteins, minerals, waste products, clotting factors, hormones and immunoglobins.
Blood serum	Is the blood plasma without the clotting elements.
Chromosome	Self-replicating structures in the nucleus of a cell that carry the genetic information.
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid): The double-stranded molecule that encodes genetic information
Epigenetics	The study of heritable changes to DNA structure that do not alter the underlying sequence.
Gene	The basic unit of inheritance. A sequence of DNA that codes for a particular protein product.
Genome	The entire collection of genetic information (or genes) that an organism possesses.
Ligand	is an ion or molecule (functional group) that binds to a central metal atom to form a coordination complex.
Genome wide association study (GWAS)	A study that evaluates association of genetic variation with outcomes or traits of interest by using 100,000 to 1,000,000 markers or more across the genome.
Genotype	The genetic constitution of an individual.
Haplotype	is a combination of alleles (DNA sequences) at adjacent locations on a chromosome that are inherited together.
Heritability	The proportion of phenotypic differences among individuals that can be attributed to genetic differences in a particular population.

Locus (plural, loci)	The site(s) on a chromosome at which the gene for a particular trait is located.
Linkage study	Study to identify physical segments (e.g., chromosomal regions) that are associated with given traits.
Nucleotides	Organic molecules that are the building blocks of nucleic acids, like DNA and RNA.
Phenotype	An observed characteristic of an individual that results from the combined effects of genotype and environment.
Polymorphism	The existence of two or more variants of a gene, occurring in a population, with at least 1% frequency of the less common variant (cf mutation).
SNP	A single-nucleotide polymorphism is a variation in a DNA sequence when a single nucleotide in the gene differs between paired chromosomes.

Note: definitions are taken from text books and Wikipedia.

Appendix 2: GENEQOL Consortium Participants per September 2013

Amy P. Abertnethy, Duke Cancer Care Research Program, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, US; Frank Baas, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Andrea M. Barsevick, Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, US; Meike Bartels, Department of Biological Psychology, VU University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Dorret I. Boomsma, Department of Biological Psychology, VU University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Andrew Bottomley, Quality of Life Department, EORTC Data Center, Brussels, Belgium; Michael Brundage, Department of Oncology, Queen's University Cancer Centre of Southeastern Ontario, Kingston, Ontario, Canada; David Cella, Department of Medical Social Sciences, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, US; Cynthia Chauhan, Cancer Advocay, Wichita, KS, US; Charles S. Cleeland, Department of Symptom Research, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, US; Corneel Coens, Quality of Life Department, EORTC Data Center, Brussels, Belgium; Amylou C. Dueck, Section of Biostatistics, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ, US; Marlene H. Frost, Women's Cancer Program, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, US; Per Hall, Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; Michele Y. Halyard, Department of Radiation Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ, US; Pål Klepstad, Department of Intensive Care Medicine, St Olavs University Hospital, Norwegian University of Technology and Science, Trondheim, Norway; Hanneke W.M. van Laarhoven, Department of Medical Oncology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Nicholas G. Martin, Queensland Institute of Medical

Research, Brisbane, Australia; Christine Miaskowski, School of Nursing, University of California, San Francisco, CA, US; Miriam Mosing, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; Benjamin Movsas, Department of Radiation Oncology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, US; Joao R. Oliveira, Department of Neuropsychiatry, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife – Pernambuco, Brazil; Juan Ordoñana, Department of Human Anatomy and Psychobiology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain; Donald L. Patrick, Department of Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, US; Nancy L. Pedersen, Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; Hein Raat, Preventive Youth Health Care, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; Bryce Reeve, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, US; Ristvedt Stephen, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, US; Mary E. Ropka, Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Cheltenham, PA, US; Carolyn Schwartz, DeltaQuest Foundation, Concord, MA, US; Quiling Shi, Department of Symptom Research, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, US; Gen Shinozaki, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, US; Jasvinder A. Singh, Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center and University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN and Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, US; Jeff A. Sloan, Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, US; Mirjam A. G. Sprangers, Department of Medical Psychology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Dick Swaab, The Netherlands Institutes for Neuroscience, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; Jayant Talwalkar, Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Rochester, Mayo Clinic, MN, US; Melissa Thong, Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Center of Research on Psychology in Somatic diseases (CoRPS), Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands; Cornelis J. F. Van Noorden, Department of Cell Biology and Histology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Ruut Veenhoven, Faculty of Social Sciences, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; Gert Wagner, Berlin University of Technology, Max Planck Research School LIFE, Berlin, Germany; Shelley Xin Wang, Department of Symptom Research, MD Anderson Cancer Center, The University of Texas, Houston, TX, US; Eddy Wierenga, Department of Cell Biology and Histology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Ping Yang, Department of Genetic Epidemiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, US; Ailko H. Zwinderman, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Table 1

Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Fatigue

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
Fatigue				• (1)
		• Serotonergic synapse/metabolic pathway	• 5-HT	• (2-5)
		• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>COMT</i> , <i>DRD4</i> , <i>DAT1</i>	• (6, 7)
		• Glutathione metabolic pathway	• <i>GSTZ1</i> , <i>ABCC4</i> , <i>DPYD</i> , <i>GSTP1</i> , <i>OPRT/U MPS</i>	• (8-10)
	• Inflammation	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction ◆ pro-inflammatory ◆ anti-inflammatory ◆ immunity ◆ cell migration ◆ inflammation	• <i>IL-1β</i> , <i>IL-6</i> , <i>TNF-α</i> , <i>CD19+β</i> • <i>IL-1RN</i> , <i>IL-1Rα</i> , <i>IL-10</i> • <i>IL-2</i> , <i>IL-4</i> , <i>IL-5</i> , <i>IFN-γ</i> • <i>CXCL9</i> , <i>CXCL11</i> • <i>CRP</i>	• (11) • (12-25) • (13-15, 17) • (12, 26) • (27) • (4, 13)
	• Cortisol production, serotonin dysregulation, catecholamine	• HPA	-NR-	• CRH, ACTH, cortisol, melatonin, norepinephrine, epinephrine • (28-31)
	• Circadian rhythm disruption – i.e. through modulation of arousal and sleep patterns	• SCN	• <i>PER2</i> , <i>PER3</i> , <i>TIMELESS</i>	• EGF, TGF- α , neuregulin-1, prokineticine-2, cardiotrophin-like cytokine, PER2, PER3, cortisol, melatonin • (28, 32-37)
	• Peripheral fatigue (muscle strength)	• EGFR	-NR-	-NR- • (28)
		• Skeletal muscle	-NR-	• ATP • (37, 38)
	• Vagal afferent nerve activation (suppress somatic muscle activity – ‘sickness behavior’)	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction ◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1</i> , <i>IL-6</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• IL-1, IL-6, TNF- α • (39)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or GeneCards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1-7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Pain

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
<i>Pain</i>				• (40)
• Disease-related pain/pain perception	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dopaminergic synapse • Serotonergic synapse • Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction ◆ pro-inflammatory • Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction • Phagosome • Endocrine and other factor-regulated calcium reabsorption • Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction • Glucocorticoid/ Mineralocorticoid receptor • Adipocytokine signaling pathway • Sodium channel transporter • Apoptosis • PI3K/Akt signaling • Transcription • Metabolic pathway • mRNA surveillance pathway 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>COMT, CRHBP</i> • <i>5-HTT (SLC6A4), 5-HT2A, HTR1A, TPH1</i> • <i>CX3CR1</i> • <i>OPRM1, HTR1A</i> • <i>HLA-DRB1</i> • <i>ER-α</i> • <i>ADR-β2, MC2R</i> • <i>SERPINA6</i> • <i>POMC</i> • <i>SCN9A</i> • <i>CASP9</i> • <i>WNK1/HSN2, TCL1A</i> • <i>BCL11A</i> • <i>NOS3, GCHI</i> • <i>HBS1L-MYB</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -NR- -NR- • CX3CR1 -NR- -NR- • Estrogen -NR- -NR- -NR- -NR- -NR- -NR- -NR- • eNOS -NR- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (41–50) • (2, 46, 51–56) • (57) • (50, 56, 58) • (55) • (46) • (46, 49) • (49) • (49) • (59, 60) • (61) • (62, 63) • (64) • (65–68) • (64)

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
	• ?	• <i>FAM173B</i>	-NR-	• (68)
	• ?	• <i>CCT5</i>	-NR-	• (68)
• Medication consumption, pain treatment efficacy/side effect (morphine, opioid), response to pain, pain relief	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>COMT</i> , <i>CREB1</i>	-NR-	• (69-72)
	• Protein methylation	• <i>METTL21A</i>	-NR-	• (72)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>5-HTT (SLC6A4)</i>	-NR-	• (73)
	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction			
	◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>TNF-α</i> , <i>IL-6</i>	• <i>TNF-α</i> , <i>IL-6</i>	• (74)
	◆ anti-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1RA</i>	• <i>IL-1RA</i>	• (75)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction/ morphine addiction	• <i>OPRM1</i>	• <i>DAMGO(?)</i>	• (76-80)
	• Drug metabolism	• <i>CYP3A4</i>	-NR-	• (81)
	• Proteolysis/ protein transport	• <i>RHBDF2</i>	-NR-	• (82)
• Drug transportation and metabolism of specific analgesics/painkillers	• ABC transporter	• <i>ABCB1</i>	-NR-	• (83-87)
	• Drug metabolism	• <i>CYP2B6</i> , <i>CYP2D6</i> , <i>CYP2C9</i> , <i>UGT2B7</i>	-NR-	• (85, 88-98)
• Pain severity, duration	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction			
	◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1α</i> , <i>IL-1β</i> , <i>IL-6</i> , <i>IL-8</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• <i>IL-1α</i> , <i>IL-1β</i> , <i>IL-6</i> , <i>IL-8</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• (12, 74, 99-102)
	◆ anti-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1RNA</i>	• <i>IL-1RNA</i>	• (102)
	◆ immunity	• <i>IL-4</i>	• <i>IL-4</i>	• (12)
	• Glutathione metabolic pathway	• <i>ABCC4</i>	-NR-	• (9)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction/morphine addiction	• <i>OPRM1</i>	-NR-	• (103)

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>CRHR1</i>, <i>CRHR2</i>, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CRH, cortisol, substance P, angiotensin, vasopressin, melatonin, nerve growth factor, cholecystokinin 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (104)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or GeneCards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

Table 3
Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Negative Emotional Functioning

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
<i>Emotional Functioning</i>				• (105)
<u>Negative affect</u>				
• Depression	• Amygdala-medial prefrontal cortex	-NR-	-NR-	• (106)
	• ?	• <i>PCLO</i>	-NR-	• (107, 108)
	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>MAO-A, GNB3, SLC6A3, DRD4, DBH, PRKACA, GNAS, GNAL, AKT1, CREB1, CAMK2A, GRIN2B, GRIN2A, VMAT2, DRD2, COMT, DAT</i>	• <i>DBH, COMT, MAO-A</i>	• (109–119)
	• Metabolic pathway	• <i>MTHFR, NOS2A, PLA2G2A, SYN12, TYMS, OPRT/UMPS, ATP6V1B2, TPH</i>	• Tryptophan	• (8, 109, 110, 115, 120–123)
	• Dopaminergic / serotonergic pathway (?)	• <i>CACNA1C</i>	-NR-	• (124)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>5-HT2A, SLC6A4 (5-HTT, 5-HTTLPR), GNB3, PTGS2, PRKACA, GNAS, HTR1A, VMAT2, TPH1</i>	-NR-	• (2, 109, 111, 112, 115, 121, 125–128)
	• Glutamatergic synapse	• <i>PRKACA, GNB3, ADCY7, GNAS, GRIN2B, GRIN2A, GRIN1, GRM7</i>	-NR-	• (112, 123, 129)
	• ?	• <i>SP4</i>	-NR-	• (123)
	• Cholinergic synapse	• <i>CHRNA7, PRKACA, GNB3, ADCY7, AKT1, CREB1, CAMK2A</i>	-NR-	• (111, 112, 116, 130)
	• Neurotrophin signaling pathway	• <i>BDNF, GSK3B, NGFR, CAMK2A, p75^{NTR}</i>	• <i>BDNF</i>	• (111, 112, 116, 131, 132)
	• Phagosome	• <i>MPO</i>	-NR-	• (121)
	• Proteasome	• <i>PSMB4</i>	-NR-	• (133)
	• Immune response	• <i>TBX21</i>	-NR-	• (133)

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neurodegeneration (Alzheimer's disease) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>APOE2, APOE3, APOE4</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> APOE4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (109, 134, 135)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chemokine signaling pathway 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>CCL2, AKT1, PRKACA, ADCY7</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -NR- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (112)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, MCP1, TNF-α, CCL2</i> <i>IL-1RN, IL-10</i> <i>IL-2, IL-4, IL-4R, IL-7, IL-12p70, IL-15, IFN-γ, GM-CSF</i> <i>CXCL9, CXCL11, Eotaxin, MIP-1α</i> <i>CRP</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, MCP1, CCL2, TNF-α IL-1RN, IL-10 IL-4, IFN-γ, GM-CSF CXCL9, CXCL11, Eotaxin, MIP-1α CRP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (12, 24, 26, 111, 136-138) (17, 26, 136, 139) (12, 136) (27, 136) (137)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> pro-inflammatory 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> anti-inflammatory 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> immunity 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> cell migration 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> inflammation 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Glucocorticoid/ Mineralocorticoid receptor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>FKBP5</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cortisol 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (140)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction/ morphine addiction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>AVPR1A, AVPR1B, HCRTR2, OXTR, CRHR1, CRHR2</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AVP, orexin, oxytocin, CRH 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (141-148)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nucleosides transport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>SLC29A3</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -NR- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (149) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GABAergic synapse 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>GABA</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GABA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (150, 151) 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Anhedonia Circadian rhythm disruption – through modulation of arousal and sleep patterns Antidepressant medication response/non-response 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Endocrine and other factor-regulated calcium reabsorption 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>ER-α, AR</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estrogen, androgen 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (152, 153)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>NUDT12</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -NR- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (154)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>CNR1</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -NR- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (155)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCN 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>PER3, CLOCK, CRY2</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PER, CLOCK, CRY 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (36, 156, 157)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>CRHR1, CRHR2</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CRH 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (147)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neurotrophin signaling pathway 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>BDNF, VGF</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BDNF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (158, 159)

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
• Anxiety	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>5-HTTLPR</i>	-NR-	• (160)
	• Glucocorticoid / Mineralocorticoid receptor	• <i>UCN3</i> , <i>FKBP5</i>	• Cortisol	• (133, 159, 161)
	• Nitrogen metabolism pathway	• <i>GLDC</i>	• Glycine	• (162)
	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction ◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1β</i> , <i>MIF</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• <i>IL-1β</i> , <i>MIF</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• (159)
	• T-cell receptor signaling	• <i>CD3E</i>	-NR-	• (133)
	• Proteasome	• <i>PSMD9</i>	-NR-	• (133)
	• HIF-1 signaling pathway (?)	• <i>SERPINE1</i>	• PAI	• (163)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>SLC6A4</i> (<i>5-HTTLPR</i>), <i>TPHI</i>	-NR-	• (2, 164–168)
	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>DRD2</i>	-NR-	• (119)
	• ?	• <i>NPSRI</i>	• <i>NPSRI</i>	• (169, 170)
	• Metabolic pathway	• <i>SYNJ2</i>	-NR-	• (122, 171)
	• Tight junction pathway	-NR-	-NR-	• (171)
	• Adipocytokine signaling pathway	• <i>NPY</i>	• Neuropeptide Y	• (172)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	• <i>OXTR</i>	-NR-	• (173)
• Loneliness	• Cholinergic synapse	• <i>CHRNA4</i>	-NR-	• (168)
	• Metabolic pathways	• <i>MTHFR</i>	-NR-	• (174)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	• <i>OXTR</i>	-NR-	• (175)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or Genecards, <http://www.genecards.org/>
 Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

Table 4
Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Positive Emotional Functioning

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
Positive affect	-NR-	-NR-	-NR-	• (176, 177)
	• Dopaminergic system (ventral tegmental area)	• <i>COMT</i> , <i>OPRM1</i>	• CART	• (178)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>SLC6A4 (5-HTTLPR)</i> , <i>MAOA</i>	-NR-	• (179–181)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	-NR-	• Oxytocin	• (178)
	• Adipocytokine signaling pathway	• <i>NPY</i>	• Neuropeptide Y	• (178)
	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>TNF-α</i>	• (17)
	◆ pro-inflammatory			
Emotional appraisal	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>SLC6A4 (5-HTTLPR)</i>	-NR-	• (182)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or Genecards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

Table 5
Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Social Functioning

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
Social Functioning				
• Social behaviour (empathy, altruism, bonding, social skills)	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	• <i>OXTR</i> , <i>AVPR1A</i>	• Oxytocin, arginine-vasopressin	• (184–196)
	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>DRD4</i>	-NR-	• (197)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>SLC6A4 (5-HTT)</i>	-NR-	• (185)
• Motivational behavior (reward seeking, extraversion)	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>DRD4</i> , <i>DRD2</i> , <i>DRD3</i>	-NR-	• (119, 198–200)
• Social function	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction			
	◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-6</i> , <i>TNF-α</i>	• IL-6, TNF-α	• (17)
	◆ anti-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1RN</i>	• IL-1RN	• (17)
	• Amygdala-medial prefrontal cortex	-NR-	-NR-	• (106)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>HTR2A</i>	-NR-	• (201)
	Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	• <i>OXTR</i>	-NR-	• (202, 203)
	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>DRD2</i>	-NR-	• (204)
	• Neurotrophin signaling pathway	• <i>BDNF</i>	-NR-	• (205)
	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>COMT</i>	-NR-	• (206, 207)
	• Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	• <i>OPRM1</i>	-NR-	• (208)
• Antisocial behavior	• Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>MAO-A</i>	-NR-	• (209)
• Personality traits	◆ Self-transcendence (to be an integral part of universe and its source)	• <i>CH13LI</i>	• Serum YKL-40	• (210)
	◆ Neuroticism	-NR-	-NR-	• (211, 212)
	• Olfactory transduction	• <i>OR1A2</i>	-NR-	• (213)

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
◆ Openness	• Monoamine transport	• <i>SCAMP2</i>	-NR-	• (214)
	• Aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption	• <i>KCNJ1</i>	-NR-	• (212)
	• Dopaminergic / serotonergic pathway (?)	• <i>CACNA1C</i>	-NR-	• (124)
◆ Coherence (perceived comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness of internal and external demands)				
• Neural processing of facial expression	• ?	• <i>TMEM212</i>	-NR-	• (215)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or GeneCards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

Table 6
Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers in Overall Quality of Life

Quality of Life Domains	Biological Pathways*	Candidate genes	Biomolecular markers	Literature
<i>Overall Quality of Life</i>	• DNA repair pathway	• <i>MGMT</i>	-NR-	• (9)
	• Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction			
	◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-6</i>	• IL-6	• (17)
	◆ inflammation	-NR-	• CRP, neopterin	• (4)
	• Metabolic pathway	• <i>TYMS, UGT1A1</i>	-NR-	• (8)
	• Serotonergic synapse	• <i>TPHI</i>	• tryptophan	• (2, 4)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or GeneCards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.

NR: the relevant information was not reported

?: the relevant biological pathway could not be identified

Table 7

Common Biological Pathways, Candidate Genes and Molecular Markers Associated with QOL Domains

*(Genes are included in this table if association is found with at least two QOL domains)

Biological Pathways	Candidate genes	Quality of life domain	Literature
Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction ◆ pro-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1β</i>	• General health	• (17)
		• Fatigue	• (17)
	• <i>IL-6</i>	• Pain	• (100, 102)
		• Emotional functioning - Depression	• (112, 136)
		• Anti-depressant response	• (159)
		• Overall quality of life	• (17)
		• General health	• (17)
		• Fatigue	• (13, 24, 39)
	• <i>IL-8</i>	• Pain	• (39, 74, 101)
		• Emotional functioning - Depression	• (24, 26, 112, 136–138)
• <i>TNF-α</i>	• Social functioning	• (17)	
	• Pain	• (99)	
	• Emotional functioning - Depression	• (12, 136)	
◆ inflammation	• <i>CRP</i>	• Fatigue	• (14, 20, 24, 39)
		• Pain	• (74, 100)
◆ anti-inflammatory	• <i>IL-1RN</i>	• Emotional functioning – Depression	• (138, 159)
		• Social functioning	• (17)
		• Fatigue	• (13)
	• <i>IL-1RA</i>	• Emotional functioning - Depression	• (137)
		• General health	• (17)
		• Fatigue	• (17)
		• Pain	• (17)
	• <i>IL-10</i>	• Emotional functioning - depression	• (17)
		• Social functioning	• (17)
		• Fatigue	• (13, 14)
• <i>IL-10</i>	• Pain	• (75, 102)	
	• General health	• (17)	
	• Fatigue	• (17)	
	• Pain	• (39)	
	• Emotional functioning – Depression	• (26, 112, 136, 139)	
Dopaminergic synapse	• <i>COMT</i>	• Fatigue	• (6)
		• Pain	• (41–43, 45–48, 50, 69–71)
		• Emotional functioning - Depression	• (118)
	• <i>DRD2</i>	• Emotional functioning - Positive affect	• (178)
		• Social functioning	• (206, 207)
		• Emotional functioning – Depression	• (118, 119)

Biological Pathways	Candidate genes	Quality of life domain	Literature
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>DRD4</i> • <i>CREB1</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emotional functioning – Anxiety • Social functioning • Fatigue • Emotional functioning depression • Social functioning • Pain • Emotional functioning – Depression 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (119) • (119, 199, 204) • (7) • (110) • (198) • (72) • (116)
Dopaminergic synapse/Serotonergic synapse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>MAOA</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emotional functioning – depression • Emotional functioning – positive affect • Social functioning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (110) • (181) • (209)
Serotonergic synapse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>5-HTT (SLC6A4)</i> • <i>TPHI</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pain • Emotional functioning – depression • Emotional functioning – anxiety • Emotional functioning – positive affect • Social functioning • Overall quality of life • Fatigue • Pain • Emotional functioning - Depression • Emotional functioning - anxiety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (46, 51, 52, 73) • (125–128, 182) • (164–168) • (179) • (185) • (2) • (2) • (115) • (2)
Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>OPRM1</i> • <i>AVPR1A</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General health • Pain • Emotional functioning • Social functioning • Emotional functioning – depression • Social functioning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (216) • (58, 76–80, 103) • (178) • (208) • (146) • (184, 187, 190–193)
Glutathione metabolic pathway	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>DPYD</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical functioning • Fatigue 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (8) • (8, 10)

* Biological pathways are according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes), <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/> or Genecards, <http://www.genecards.org/>

Note: References are included in Supplementary Material 2: References to Tables 1–7.